

MASON, ERWIN & HORTON, P.A.  
ATTORNEYS AT LAW  
1020 EAST LAFAYETTE STREET, SUITE 202  
TALLAHASSEE, FLORIDA 32301

Edwin L. Mason  
David B. Erwin  
Norman H. Horton, Jr.

(904) 878-7138

March 17, 1989

Steve Tribble, Director  
Division of Records and Reporting  
Florida Public Service Commission  
101 East Gaines Street  
Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850

Re: Docket No. 870790-TL  
Gilchrist County EAS - Pocket Area


Dear Mr. Tribble:

Enclosed herein for filing on behalf of ALLTEL Florida, Inc., please find a copy of the Pocket Area Traffic Study required by Order No. 820607 in the above captioned docket.

Since several of the routes involved with this pocket study are InterLATA routes, we would request that the study be provided proprietary confidential treatment. InterLATA routes are subject to competition and the release of the traffic information on these routes could provide information to present or potential competitors to the detriment of the existing carriers.

Should you have any questions regarding this, please do not hesitate to call me.

Sincerely,



Norman H. Horton, Jr.

NHH/tb

cc: Richard H. Brashear  
Harriet Eudy  
Bridgett Duff

DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE

02806 MAR 17 1989

FPSC-RECORDS/REPORTING

M E M O R A N D U M

3-17-89

TO :  DIVISION OF AUDITING AND FINANCIAL ANALYSIS  
 DIVISION OF COMMUNICATIONS  
 DIVISION OF ELECTRIC AND GAS  
 DIVISION OF RESEARCH  
 DIVISION OF WATER AND SEWER

FROM: DIVISION OF RECORDS & REPORTING (FLYNN)

RE : CONFIDENTIALITY OF CERTAIN INFORMATION

DOCUMENT NO.: 2806-89

DESCRIPTION: Pocket Area Traffic Study per Order 20607

SOURCE: ALLTEL Florida, Inc.

DOCKET NO.: 870790-TL

The above material has been received with a request for confidential treatment (attached). PLEASE ADVISE THE DIVISION OF APPEALS by completing the section below and forwarding a copy of this memo along with a brief memo supporting your recommendation. Please respond within 10 working days from the date of this memo.

-----  
Please read each of the following and mark the appropriate boxes.

/  The document(s) is (are), in fact, what the utility  
Yes No asserts it (them) to be.

/  The utility has provided enough details to perform a  
Yes No reasoned analysis of its request.

/  The material has been received incident to an inquiry  
Yes No undertaken pursuant to §350.121, F.S.

/  The material is confidential business information  
Yes No because it includes:

PLEASE BE SURE TO ATTACH A  
BRIEF MEMO SUPPORTING YOUR RECOMMENDATION