



Michael W. Tye
Senior Attorney

February 28, 1991

Suite 1400
106 East College Avenue
Tallahassee, Florida 32301
904 425-6360

Steven C. Tribble, Director
Florida Public Service Commission
101 East Gaines Street
Tallahassee, Florida 32399-0850

RE: Docket No. 910060-TP

Dear Mr. Tribble:

On February 19, 1991, AT&T Communications of the Southern States, Inc. (AT&T) received a request from the Commission's Division of Communications seeking the names and addresses of AT&T's 900 information providers. The information requested is proprietary business information. Therefore, pursuant to Commission Rule 25-22.006, AT&T is seeking specified confidential classification of such material.

I. Summary of Confidential Information

Pursuant to Commission Rule 25-22.006(4)(a), the following is a summary of the material for which specified confidential classification is being sought:

The information for which AT&T is seeking classification as specified confidential material is a list of AT&T MultiQuest^R Service sponsors which terminate calls within Florida.

II. Justification for Classification as Specified Confidential Material

In accordance with Sections 350.121 and 364.183, Florida Statutes, as well as Rule 25-22.006, the previously-described information should be classified as specified confidential material. This material relates to the competitive activities of AT&T and provides specific details regarding AT&T's competitive activities. The release of the attached information could cause severe competitive harm to

^RRegistered service mark of AT&T.

DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE

02022 FEB 28 1991

PSC-RECORDS/REPORTING

Mr. Steven C. Tribble
February 28, 1991
Page Two

AT&T by disclosing to its competitors detailed market information concerning AT&T's activities in the marketplace. The information furnished would provide AT&T's competitors with a list of AT&T's customers. Disclosure of such information would allow AT&T's competitors to direct their marketing efforts at those specific companies to the competitive disadvantage of AT&T.


III. Duration of Confidentiality

Because of the dynamic nature of competition in the State of Florida, AT&T is unable to provide a date by which this material would no longer be proprietary and confidential.

IV. Conclusion

AT&T has provided the requested information in two forms. The first form, which was provided under separate cover, is a copy of the documentation in which the proprietary material has been deleted. A copy of the information in its nonproprietary form also is attached hereto. However, the second form, which contains the complete information, should be classified as specified confidential material for the reasons set forth above.

Very truly yours,


Michael W. Tye

MWT/dbw
Attachment

cc: Walter D'Haeseleer
John P. Spooner, Jr.
Ann Hinson Shelfer