AT&T

Michael W. Tye Senior Attorney June 3, 1991 Suite 1400 106 East College Avenue Tallahassee, Florida 32301 904 425-6360

Mr. Steven C. Tribble, Director Division of Records and Reporting Florida Public Service Commission 101 East Gaines Street Tallahassee, Florida 32399

Re: Docket No. 870790-TL

Dear Mr. Tribble:

Enclosed for filing in the above referenced docket are one (1) original and fifteen (15) copies of the AT&T's Prehearing Statement. Copies of the foregoing are being served on all parties of record in accordance with the attached Certificate of Service.

Yours truly, W.De Michael W. Tye

| ACK V | MWT:sdh |
|--------|------------------------|
| AFA | Attachments |
| APP | cc: J. P. Spooner, Jr. |
| CAF | |
| ('CMU | |
| CTR | |
| EAG | • |
| LEG / | |
| LIN 6 | |
| OPC | |
| RCH | |
| SEC _/ | RECEIVED & FILED |
| WAS | 1 A |
| ОТН | EPSC BUREAU OF RECORDS |

DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE 05568 JUN-3 ISSI Sec-Records/Reporting

Ą

BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In re: Request for Extended) Area Service (EAS) throughout) Gilchrist County)

, , [,] ,

Docket No. 870790-TL Submitted for Filing: June 3, 1991

AT&T'S PREHEARING STATEMENT

AT&T Communications of the Southern States, Inc. (hereinafter "AT&T"), pursuant to Rule 25-22.038, Florida Administrative Code, and Order No. 24257, issued March 20, 1991 by the Florida Public Service Commission (hereinafter "the Commission") in the abovereferenced docket, hereby submits its Prehearing Statement.

A. <u>Witnesses</u>

AT&T does not intend to sponsor the testimony of any witnesses in this proceeding. However, in the event that there are matters raised by the parties for the first time at the hearing, AT&T reserves the right to present responsive testimony, if necessary.

B. <u>Exhibits</u>

AT&T does not intend to present any exhibits. However, in the event that there are matters raised by the parties for the first time at the hearing, AT&T reserves the right to submit responsive exhibits, if necessary.

> DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE 05568 JUN -3 ISSI COSC-RECORDS/REPORTING

C. <u>Basic Position</u>

• .

Three of the proposed EAS routes in this case - Bradford to Trenton, High Springs to Trenton, and Bradford to Newberry - would cross an established LATA boundary. AT&T submits that implementation of the proposed EAS on those interLATA routes is not in the public interest inasmuch as it would result in higher toll costs for other interLATA customers. The solution to the calling problems which may be faced by residents of Gilchrist County lies in reducing the access charges which interexchange carriers incur in the completion of interexchange calls. Reduction of such charges will lead to appropriate reductions in long distance rates thereby making calling more affordable between the affected communities.

D. Fact Issues

See AT&T's Positions on Issues.

E. <u>Legal Issues</u>

See AT&T's Positions on Issues.

F. <u>Policy Issues</u>

See AT&T's Positions on Issues.

G. <u>Position on Issues</u>

See AT&T's Positions on Issues.

H. <u>Stipulated Issues</u>

٠,

AT&T is not aware of any issues which have been stipulated to by the parties.

I. <u>Pending Motions</u>

AT&T is not aware of any pending motions.

J. Other Requirements

AT&T is not aware of any requirements set forth in the order on prehearing procedure with which it is unable to comply.

Respectfully submitted,

Michael W. Tye AT&T 106 East College Avenue Suite 1410 Tallahassee, Florida 32301 (904) 425-6360

Attorney for AT&T Communications of the Southern States, Inc.

DOCKET NO. 870790-TL AT&T'S PREHEARING STATEMENT ATTACHMENT 1

AT&T'S POSITIONS ON ISSUES

- **Issue 1:** What factors should be considered when determining whether a community of interest exists in Gilchrist County?
- Position: AT&T submits that the Commission should adhere to the community of interest factors set forth in Rule 25-4.060, Florida Administrative Code.
- Issue 2: Is there a sufficient community of interest on the toll routes in Gilchrist County to justify implementing either EAS as currently defined in the Commission rules, or some alternative toll proposal?
- Position: No.

•

- **Issue 3:** Should any proposed EAS plan or toll alternative plan serve only the Gilchrist County pockets of the involved exchanges, or the entire exchanges?
- Position: AT&T has no position on this issue at this time.
- Issue 4: What EAS plan or toll alternative plan, if any, should be implemented on the Gilchrist County routes? Should the same plan be implemented in both directions; be optional or nonoptional; be one-way or two-way?
- Position: AT&T submits that no EAS plan or toll alternative plan should be implemented on the Gilchrist County routes.
- Issue 5: What are the specific cost items that should be considered in determining the proper costs of the implementation of EAS? Should the plan the Commission implements permit full recovery of costs and lost revenues, including incremental costs?
- Position: AT&T has no position on this issue at this time.
- **Issue 6:** What are the appropriate rates and charges for the plan to be implemented on this route?
- Position: AT&T has no position on this issue at this time.

Issue 7: Should the customers be surveyed and if so, how should the survey be conducted? If surveyed customers fail to accept the plan presented to them, what alternative, if any, should be considered?

۰.,

- Position: AT&T has no position on this issue at this time.
- **Issue 8:** If the Commission orders EAS or a toll alternative whereby ALLTEL and Southern Bell do not equally recover cost and lost revenues, should some form of compensation agreement be established between the two companies?
- Position: AT&T has no position on this issue at this time.
- **Issue 9:** Can the Commission legally waive its own rules pertaining to EAS? (LEGAL ISSUE)
- Position: AT&T has no position on this issue at this time.
- Issue 10: If the answer to Issue 9 is "yes," then which rules, if any, should be waived an in what manner and to what extent?
- Position: AT&T has no position on this issue at this time.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

Docket No. 870790-TL

I HEREBY CERTIFY that a true copy of the foregoing has been sent by U.S. Mail or hand-delivered to the following parties of record on this 3^{nP} day of 4^{nP} , 1991.

ALLTEL, Inc. Attn: Richard Brashear Post Office Box 550 Live Oak, FL 32060

*** . .

Board of County Commissioners Gilchrist County Attn: Mitzi Cockrell Austin Post Office Box 37 Trenton, FL 32693

Tracy Hatch, Esq. Florida Public Service Comm. 101 East Gaines Street Tallahassee, FL 32301

Theodore M. Burt, Esq. P. O. Box 308 Trenton, FL 32693 E. Barlow Keener, Esq. c/o: Marshall Criser 150 South Monroe, #400 Tallahassee, FL 32301 David B. Erwin, Esq.

Southern Bell Telephone and

Telegraph Company Harris R. Anthony, Esq.

Mason, Erwin & Horton 1311-A Paul Russell Road Suite 101 Tallahassee, Florida 32301

Walter D'Haeseleer Department of Communications Florida Public Service Comm. 101 East Gaines Street Tallahassee, FL 32301

11.