

BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In re: Request by Lake Mary City)	DOCKET NO. 910762-TL
Commission for extended area service)	
from the Sanford and Geneva exchanges)	ORDER NO. 25507
to the Orlando and Apopka exchanges)	
_____)	ISSUED: 12/19/91

ORDER GRANTING MOTION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME

By Order No. 25031, issued September 9, 1991, we directed United Telephone Company of Florida (United) and Southern Bell Telephone and Telegraph Company (Southern Bell), to perform certain traffic studies. We ordered the companies to perform these traffic studies so that we could further evaluate Resolution No. 91-376 filed with this Commission by the City Commission of the City of Lake Mary. Resolution No. 91-376 requested that we consider requiring implementation of extended area service (EAS) from the Sanford and Geneva exchanges to the Orlando and Apopka exchanges. Resolution No. 91-212 by the Seminole County Board of County Commissioners and Resolution No. 91-1605 by the City Commission of the City of Sanford have also been filed with this Commission and make the same request. The companies were directed in Order No. 25031 to prepare and submit appropriate traffic studies to us within sixty (60) days of the issuance date of the Order, making the studies due by November 8, 1991.

On December 10, 1991, United filed a Motion for Extension of Time requesting an extension through and including December 18, 1991, in which to prepare and submit the required traffic studies. As grounds for its request, United states that it has no record of having received Order No. 25031 and was unaware that traffic studies had been ordered until it received a copy of the traffic study submitted by Southern Bell in response to our Order. United then obtained a copy of Order No. 25031 on November 14, 1991. United asserts that it does not anticipate that its requested extension of time will delay the scheduled events in this docket.

Upon consideration, I find it appropriate to grant United's request for an extension of time. Accordingly, United shall be granted an extension of time until the close of business on December 18, 1991, in which to prepare and submit the required traffic studies.

Based on the foregoing, it is

DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE
12537 DEC 19 1991
FSC RECORDS/REPORTING

ORDER NO. 25507
DOCKET NO. 910762-TL
PAGE 2

ORDERED by Commissioner J. Terry Deason, as Prehearing Officer, that the Motion for Extension of Time filed by United Telephone and Telegraph Company of Florida on December 10, 1991, is hereby granted. It is further

ORDERED that United Telephone and Telegraph Company of Florida shall file the required traffic study data on or before December 18, 1991.

By ORDER of Commissioner J. Terry Deason, as Prehearing Officer, this 19th day of DECEMBER, 1991.



J. TERRY DEASON, Commissioner
and Prehearing Officer

(S E A L)

ABG

NOTICE OF FURTHER PROCEEDINGS OR JUDICIAL REVIEW

The Florida Public Service Commission is required by Section 120.59(4), Florida Statutes, to notify parties of any administrative hearing or judicial review of Commission orders that is available under Sections 120.57 or 120.68, Florida Statutes, as well as the procedures and time limits that apply. This notice should not be construed to mean all requests for an administrative hearing or judicial review will be granted or result in the relief sought.

Any party adversely affected by this order, which is preliminary, procedural or intermediate in nature, may request: 1) reconsideration within 10 days pursuant to Rule 25-22.038(2), Florida Administrative Code, if issued by a Prehearing Officer; 2)

ORDER NO. 25507
DOCKET NO. 910762-TL
PAGE 3

reconsideration within 15 days pursuant to Rule 25-22.060, Florida Administrative Code, if issued by the Commission; or 3) judicial review by the Florida Supreme Court, in the case of an electric, gas or telephone utility, or the First District Court of Appeal, in the case of a water or wastewater utility. A motion for reconsideration shall be filed with the Director, Division of Records and Reporting, in the form prescribed by Rule 25-22.060, Florida Administrative Code. Judicial review of a preliminary, procedural or intermediate ruling or order is available if review of the final action will not provide an adequate remedy. Such review may be requested from the appropriate court, as described above, pursuant to Rule 9.100, Florida Rules of Appellate Procedure.