

BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In re: Complaint of Pat Kintz/James)	DOCKET NO. 910625-EI
Kiselak against FLORIDA POWER AND LIGHT)	ORDER NO. 25571
regarding diversion meter tampering)	ISSUED: 01/07/92
rebilling for estimated usage of)	
electricity)	

The following Commissioners participated in the disposition of this matter:

THOMAS M. BEARD, Chairman
 SUSAN F. CLARK
 J. TERRY DEASON
 BETTY EASLEY

ORDER AFFIRMING BACKBILLING

BY THE COMMISSION:

After Florida Power and Light Company (FPL) rendered a backbilling in the amount of \$8,087.67, Pat Kintz filed a complaint with the Commission's Division of Consumer Affairs. FPL refused to establish service in her name at 3987 N.W. 163rd Street, Opa Locka claiming that she had resided at that address during the period when James Kiselak (the customer of record) diverted electricity. FPL billed Mr. Kiselak for the estimated unmetered electricity consumed, applicable taxes, fees and investigative charges. An informal conference failed to resolve the dispute and the Commission approved Staff's Recommendation that the backbilling was proper. Mr. Kiselak and Ms. Kintz requested a Formal Proceeding and the matter was referred to the Division of Administrative Hearings. The hearing was held in Tallahassee, Florida on November 4, 1991. Neither Ms. Kintz nor Mr. Kiselak appeared at the Hearing.

On November 14, 1991 the Hearing Officer submitted the Recommended Order to the Commission. This Recommended Order is attached to this Order as "Exhibit A". The Recommended Order includes 14 specific findings of fact concerning the condition of the meter (tampered); the propriety of FPL's backbilling (a reasonable estimate of the electricity used but not billed during the relevant period); and the residence of Ms. Kintz and Mr. Kiselak during and subsequent to the backbilling period. The Hearing Officer concluded that FPL had complied with all applicable statutes, rules and tariff provisions in rendering the backbilling

DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE
 00185 JAN-7 1992
 FDSC-RECORDS/REPORTING

ORDER NO. 25571
DOCKET NO. 910625-EI
PAGE 2

and in refusing to establish service for Ms. Kintz at the 3987 N.W. 163rd St. address.

A full recitation of the facts in the Recommended Order would be unduly repetitive. In summary, the Hearing Officer found that a meter reader noticed evidence that the meter had been tampered with (a wire in the canopy, air conditioner on and meter not turning, drag marks on disk). The meter was removed, tested and found to be registering consumption accurately when the wire was not in place. FPL based its rebilling on the consumption for the month of April, 1989 (2079 kwh) and applying the seasonal average percentage of use chart for Dade County. Investigative charges were billed, as this customer had previously paid a current diversion bill at this address and was the first customer to use this particular meter. The Hearing Officer found that Mr. Kiselak had been the customer of record since at least 1986 and continued to reside at 3987 N.W. 163rd St. The Hearing Officer found that Ms. Kintz had been residing at 3987 N.W. 163rd Street since at least October of 1988.

We find that the Hearing Officer's Findings of Fact are supported by competent substantial evidence of record.

We find that the Hearing Officer correctly applied the law concerning the prohibition against a utility from giving any undue preference and requiring a utility to render a backbilling in the event it discovers it has underbilled a customer. The Hearing Officer correctly interpreted the Commission's rules concerning a "reasonable estimate" of the energy used and the proper backbilling time frame in the event of a tampered meter. The Hearing Officer correctly interpreted and applied FPL's tariff sheet regarding its refusal to establish service at the same address in the name of Ms. Kintz.

On November 19, 1991 Mr. Kintz and Ms. Kiselak filed another unsigned copy of the document protesting the Notice of Proposed Agency Action. This document was prefaced with a copy of the "Notice of right to submit exceptions" included in the Hearing Officer's Recommended Order. A copy of this document is attached to this Order as "Exhibit B". This filing speaks to the Notice of Proposed Agency Action and not to the Recommended Order. Rule 25-22.057 (3)(a) Florida Administrative Code provides in part "... exceptions shall fully set forth the error claimed and the basis in law therefore." We reject this document as not addressing "errors

182

ORDER NO. 25571
DOCKET NO. 910625-EI
PAGE 3

claimed" in the Recommended Order and not identifying the "basis in law" requiring an alternative result.

Based on the foregoing, it is

ORDERED by the Florida Public Service Commission that the Hearing Officer's Findings of Fact are adopted in full as this agency's Findings of Fact. It is further

ORDERED that the Hearing Officer's Conclusions of Law are adopted in full as this agency's Conclusion's of Law. It is further

ORDERED that the complaint of Pat Kintz/James Kiselak against Florida Power and Light Company regarding the backbilling of estimated usage of electricity is DENIED. It is further

ORDERED that the backbilling in the amount of \$8087.67 by Florida Power and Light Company for estimated usage of electricity is AFFIRMED. It is further

ORDERED that Florida Power and Light Company acted properly in refusing to establish in the name of Pat Kintz at 3987 N.W. 163rd Street, Opa Locka, Florida. It is further

ORDERED that the document filed by the Petitioners on November 19, 1991 is rejected as not addressing "errors claimed" in the Recommended Order and not identifying the "basis in law" requiring an alternative result.

ORDERED that this docket shall be closed.

By ORDER of the Florida Public Service Commission, this 7th day of JANUARY, 1992.

STEVE TRIBBLE, Director
Division of Records and Reporting

(S E A L)

RVE
910625fo.RVE

by: Kay [Signature]
Chief, Bureau of Records

ORDER NO. 25571
DOCKET NO. 910625-EI
PAGE 4

NOTICE OF FURTHER PROCEEDINGS OR JUDICIAL REVIEW

The Florida Public Service Commission is required by Section 120.59(4), Florida Statutes, to notify parties of any administrative hearing or judicial review of Commission orders that is available under Sections 120.57 or 120.68, Florida Statutes, as well as the procedures and time limits that apply. This notice should not be construed to mean all requests for an administrative hearing or judicial review will be granted or result in the relief sought.

Any party adversely affected by the Commission's final action in this matter may request: 1) reconsideration of the decision by filing a motion for reconsideration with the Director, Division of Records and Reporting within fifteen (15) days of the issuance of this order in the form prescribed by Rule 25-22.060, Florida Administrative Code; or 2) judicial review by the Florida Supreme Court in the case of an electric, gas or telephone utility or the First District Court of Appeal in the case of a water or sewer utility by filing a notice of appeal with the Director, Division of Records and Reporting and filing a copy of the notice of appeal and the filing fee with the appropriate court. This filing must be completed within thirty (30) days after the issuance of this order, pursuant to Rule 9.110, Florida Rules of Appellate Procedure. The notice of appeal must be in the form specified in Rule 9.900 (a), Florida Rules of Appellate Procedure.

DOCKET NO. 910625-EI
DECEMBER 5, 1991

EXHIBIT A

STATE OF FLORIDA
DIVISION OF ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS

PAT KINTZ and JAMES KISELAK,)
)
 Petitioners,)
)
 vs.) CASE NO. 91-4909
)
)
 FLORIDA POWER AND LIGHT COMPANY,)
)
 Respondent.)
 _____)

RECOMMENDED ORDER

This matter was heard by William R. Dorsey, Jr., the Hearing Officer designated by the Division of Administrative Hearings, on November 4, 1991, in Tallahassee, Florida.

APPEARANCES

For Florida Power and Light Company: K. Crandel McDougall, Esquire
Post Office Box 029100
Miami, Florida 33102-9100

For Pat Kintz: No appearance

For James Kiselak: No appearance

For the Public Service Commission: No appearance

STATEMENT OF THE ISSUES

The issues are whether Florida Power and Light may backbill James Kiselak for electricity diverted from a residential electric meter, and for costs of investigation, and whether it may decline to transfer the account for the residence at which the electricity was diverted to the name of Pat Kintz until the backbill and the costs of investigation are paid.

DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE

11303 NOV 14 1991

FPSC-RECORDS/REPORTING

0 000

185
ORDER NO. 25571
DOCKET NO. 910625-EI
PAGE 6

DOCKET NO. 910625-EI
DECEMBER 5, 1991

PRELIMINARY STATEMENT

Before this matter was referred by the Public Service Commission to the Division of Administrative Hearings on August 5, 1991, Commission staff held an unsuccessful informal conference on May 6, 1991, in an effort to resolve the dispute. The Commission then considered the matter informally under Section 120.57(2), Florida Statutes, and issued an order in favor of Florida Power and Light Company. That order gave Pat Kintz and James Kiselak the right to petition for a formal proceeding, which they requested, and the matter was then transferred to the Division of Administrative Hearings.

Although the notice of hearing was served on James Kiselak and Pat Kintz at the address which appears on their joint complaint to the Public Service Commission filed July 18, 1991, [which was 3987 NW 163rd Street, Miami (Opa Locke), Florida 33054], they did not appear at the time of the hearing. They had no written or oral communication with the Division of Administrative Hearings from the time the notice of hearing was mailed on October 4, 1991, through the day of the hearing on November 4.

At the opening of the hearing, Florida Power and Light moved to dismiss the proceeding pursuant to Rule 22I-6.022, Florida Administrative Code, which says:

Failure to appear at final hearing shall be grounds for entry of an order of dismissal or recommended order of dismissal, as appropriate.

The motion was denied. It is not clear which party bears the burden of proof in this proceeding. Although the first pleading

186.
ORDER NO. 25571
DOCKET NO. 910625-EI
PAGE 7

DOCKET NO. 910625-EI
DECEMBER 5, 1991

was a complaint filed by Pat Kintz and James Kiselak with the Public Service Commission on July 18, 1991, their complaint arose from the attempt by Florida Power and Light to (a) charge Mr. Kiselak \$8,087.67 for diverted electricity and \$375.53 as costs of investigation, and (b) refuse to transfer the service into the name of Pat Kintz unless the bill was paid. Florida Power and Light had also threatened to deny further electric service at the residence where the diversion of current had taken place unless the backbill and costs of investigation were paid. It appears that the party which would be altering the status quo here is Florida Power and Light, which proposes to discontinue service. Consequently, even in the absence of an appearance by Pat Kintz or James Kiselak, it would be necessary for Florida Power and Light Company to present evidence to justify the entry of a final order which could permit the termination of electrical service and block transfer of the account into the name of Pat Kintz.

During the hearing the following witnesses testified on behalf of Florida Power and Light: Mr. Chase Vessels, Mr. Emory Curry, Mr. Joe Brenner, and Ms. Diann Thomas. Florida Power and Light Company exhibits 1-18 were received in evidence. Exhibits 2 and 3, the actual electric meter from which Florida Power and Light maintains current was diverted, and the wire which was inserted through the acrylic canopy of the meter to stop the meter disc from turning were returned to Florida Power and Light. The meter and wire have been kept under lock and key in a padlocked meter box since the meter had been removed from the

ORDER NO. 25571
DOCKET NO. 910625-EI
PAGE 8

DOCKET NO. 910625-EI
DECEMBER 5, 1991

residence, and Florida Power and Light has kept a log of all persons who have had access to the box and its padlock. Florida Power and Light will continue to keep a log of those persons, and Florida Power and Light is in a better position to safe-guard those items than is the Division of Administrative Hearings.

At the close of the hearing, Florida Power and Light Company waived its opportunity to file a proposed recommended order. No transcript of the proceeding will be prepared, although the proceeding was transcribed by the Public Service Commission.

FINDINGS OF FACT

1. Mr. James Kiselak has, for a number of years, been the customer of record for electric service provided by Florida Power and Light Company to a residence located at 3987 NW 163rd Street in Opa Locka, Florida. Mr. Kiselak had been accused in 1985 of current diversion by removing the meter and inverting it. After an investigation, Mr. Kiselak paid a back bill for current diversion.

2. As part of the resolution of the first current diversion matter, the old meter, #5C75910, was removed and replaced with meter #5C98980 on January 27, 1986. The meter was brand new at the time it was installed. This is not a situation where a new resident has become the customer of record at a home and "inherited" a meter which had been tampered with by a prior resident.

3. In August of 1989 Florida Power and Light Company received a tip that the customer at the Kiselak residence was

188

ORDER NO. 25571
DOCKET NO. 910625-EI
PAGE 9
DOCKET NO. 910625-EI
DECEMBER 5, 1991

removing the meter from the socket. A meterman was sent to investigate on September 17, 1989, who found only a hole in the acrylic canopy over the meter.

4. The meter was reinspected by Mr. Chase Vessels on March 18, 1990. He found a wire placed through the hole in the acrylic canopy which stopped the meter disc from turning and registering the use of electricity. At that time he saw that electricity was being consumed because a wall unit air conditioner was operating, a freezer located outside the home was operating, and the outside lights were on. That meter was removed and taken under lock and key where it was tested by Emory Curry on April 4, 1990. Mr. Curry found that the wire through the hole in the canopy had stopped the disc from turning, and that there were drag marks on the top of the disc. When the obstructing wire was removed, tests showed that the meter registered current usage appropriately. The meter has been kept in a locked meter box, and FPL has maintained a log of all persons who have had access to the meter in that box since that time. From the time the meter was tested by Mr. Curry on April 4, 1990, no other person has had access to the meter, the meter was locked again at the close of the hearing on November 4, 1991, in the meter box. The wire was maintained in a separate envelope and locked in the meter box as well.

5. An investigator for Florida Power and Light Company, Joe Brenner, observed the residence at 3987 NW 163rd Street on January 23, 24, and 25, 1991, February 4, 5, 6, 7, and 8, 1991, and February 11, 12, 13, and 14, 1991. In the yard in front of

ORDER NO. 25571
DOCKET NO. 910625-EI
PAGE 10

DOCKET NO. 910625-EI
DECEMBER 5, 1991

the home a Mazda truck was parked, as well as a Mazda RX7, 2-door automobile, which had no license tag. On January 23, Mr. Brenner saw a gentleman come out, go to the mailbox, remove mail, go through it in a manner consistent with receiving mail at his place of residence and re-enter the home. A credit report obtained by Florida Power and Light Company from Equifax Credit Information Services in North Miami Beach, Florida, shows that Mr. Kiselak has resided in the house from August 6, 1973, through the date of that report on October 30, 1991, and that he receives bills from his various creditors at that address. Mr. Brenner met this man at the informal hearing which was conducted by the Public Service Commission, who identified himself as James Kiselak. Mr. Kiselak drove to the informal hearing in the Mazda RX7, which then had a license plate. The records of the Dade County Auto Tag Agency which were admitted during the hearing show that the car was registered to James Kiselak at the address of 3987 NW 163rd Street in Opa Locka, Florida.

6. After the testing of the meter in April of 1990, a current diversion investigator for Florida Power and Light Company, Diann Thomas, met with Patricia Kintz at the residence where the current diversion occurred; she was accompanied by Roger Sweeney, who also works for Florida Power and Light.

7. At that time Ms. Kintz maintained that she was the owner of the house and its resident, that she was solely responsible for the payment of the electric bills and that she lived in the home alone.

DOCKET NO. 910625-EI
DECEMBER 5, 1991

8. Based upon the records of Florida Power and Light which have shown Mr. Kiselak as the customer at the residence since before 1986, his presence at the home on January 23, 1991, his receipt of mail there, the credit report showing that the residence is his billing address for his creditors, and the presence of the Mazda automobile at the residence during the period from January 23 to February 14, 1991, I find that Mr. Kiselak has been residing at the home continuously, and has received the benefit of the current diversion based on meter tampering. For a substantial period of time, at least since October 11, 1988, Ms. Kintz has also occupied the house and received the benefit of the current diverted, although there is no proof that she is (a) responsible for causing the diversion or (b) subject to a cause of action by Florida Power and Light Company for the value of the current diverted.

9. Ms. Diann Thomas has calculated a backbill for the current diverted at the Kiselak residence in a manner consonant with Rule 25-6.104, Florida Administrative Code, which permits a utility to bill the customer "on a reasonable estimate of the energy used" when there has been meter tampering.

10. The type of tampering involved would be manipulable from day-to-day or month-to-month. The bill during the month of April 1989 was for 2,079 kwh of electricity. Usage registered that month was high compared to other months and it is reasonable for the utility to regard this as an unmanipulated month, and to use that consumption as the basis for projecting the proper amount to be billed. For the entire year of 1989, on average for

ORDER NO. 25571
DOCKET NO. 910625-EI
PAGE 12

DOCKET NO. 910625-EI
DECEMBER 5, 1991

residential customers of Florida Power and Light Company, April bills represented 6.81 percent of all billings for the calendar year. Therefore, the projected electric utilization for the entire year would be 30,529 kwh. Stated another way, the average percentage of use calculation would also show an average use of 69 kwh per day.

11. After the diversion was detected and the new (i.e. third) meter was set on the residence, the use recorded for August and September of 1990 were 2,885 kwh and 3,333 kwh, which are consistent with the average percentage of use calculation based on the April 1989 actual usage. The projected usage for the bill delivered in March 1986 (the first full billing period after the meter had been placed on January 27, 1986), through April of 1990, after the diversion was discovered, is calculated in FPL exhibit 10. The actual bills paid for the Kiselak residence were deducted from the projected amounts in FPL exhibit 18. Based upon these calculations FPL is due \$6,871.65 for the diverted electricity; a franchise charge, which would have been added to each monthly bill based upon kilowatt hours used of \$284.69, is due, as is a city/county utility tax of \$591.80, and a current diversion investigation charge of \$375.53. The current diversion investigation charge is reasonable and is broken out on page 4 of FPL exhibit 10. The total due to FPL is therefore \$8,087.67.

12. The second issued raised is whether Florida Power and Light Company has properly declined to transfer service at the residence to the name of Ms. Kintz, without payment of the

ORDER NO. 25571
DOCKET NO. 910625-EI
PAGE 13

DOCKET NO. 910625-EI
DECEMBER 5, 1991

total amount due from Mr. Kiselak. The preponderance of the evidence shows that Mr. Kiselak has used the address as a mailing address for his credit cards, he has been observed frequenting the residence. Ms. Kintz has been also residing there since at least October 10, 1988, when her most current Florida drivers license was issued and she used the residence as her address on that license. Both Kiselak and Kintz continue to occupy the residence.

13. While only Mr. Kiselak is indebted to Florida Power and Light, its tariffs, which have been approved by the Commission, do address this situation. According to tariff sheet 6.010, on service agreements, section 1.5:

[Florida Power and Light] may refuse or discontinue service for failure to settle, in full, all prior indebtedness incurred by any customer for the same class of service at any one or more locations of such customer. [Florida Power and Light] may also refuse service for prior indebtedness by a previous customer provided that the current applicant or customer occupied the premises at the time the prior indebtedness occurred and the previous customer continues to occupy the premises.

Both Ms. Kintz and Mr. Kiselak benefited from the service during the period current had been diverted, for while the account had been in Mr. Kiselak's name, Ms. Kintz resided there too. Florida Power and Light may refuse to provide service to Ms. Kintz at 3987 NW 163rd Street pursuant to the tariff sheet.

14. The provisions of the tariff sheet are reasonable. It is specifically meant to cover situations such as this, though the more common situation would be one in which two college roommates occupy an apartment or residence, while the electric

ORDER NO. 25571
DOCKET NO. 910625-EI
PAGE 14

DOCKET NO. 910625-EI
DECEMBER 5, 1991

service is in the name of only one of them. After running up a substantial electric bill which they are unable to pay, the roommate not named on the FPL account may apply to have the service transferred to his (or her) name, and thereby attempt to avoid payment of the current bill, and avoid an interruption of service. Section 1.5 of tariff sheet 6.010 (FPL exhibit 13) is designed to prohibit such situations. It prohibits the transfer of the account into the name of Ms. Kintz here.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

The Division of Administrative Hearings has jurisdiction over this matter. Section 120.57(1), Florida Statutes.

The billing for current diversion here is authorized by Rule 25-6.104, Florida Administrative Code. A reasonable estimate of the energy used has been proven by Florida Power and Light. Mr. Kiselak is responsible to Florida Power and Light for diverted energy, associated taxes and investigation costs in the amount \$8,087.67.

Ms. Kintz is not entitled to have the electric service transferred into her name unless the amount due from Mr. Kiselak is paid, under the provisions of the Florida Power and Light tariff sheet 6.010, section 1.5, quoted in Finding 13. She resided in the residence at the time the diversion took place, and still resides there. She is not, however, currently indebted to Florida Power and Light in any amount.


ORDER NO. 25571
DOCKET NO. 910625-EI
PAGE 15

DOCKET NO. 910625-EI
DECEMBER 5, 1991

RECOMMENDATION

It is RECOMMENDED that a final order be issued by the Florida Public Service Commission finding that Mr. Kiselak is indebted to Florida Power and Light in the amount of \$8,087.67, and that if this amount is not paid to Florida Power and Light within 10 days from the date of the Commission's final order, Florida Power and Light be authorized to cease providing electric service to that address. It is also recommended that Florida Power and Light not be required to transfer the account from the name of Mr. Kiselak to Ms. Kintz unless Mr. Kiselak first pays the full amount due, because Ms. Kintz occupied the premises at the time the current diversion occurred and still continues to occupy those premises.

DONE AND ENTERED in Tallahassee, Leon County, Florida, this 5th day of November 1991.


WILLIAM R. DORSEY, JR.
Hearing Officer
Division of Administrative Hearings
The DeSoto Building
1230 Apalachee Parkway
Tallahassee, Florida 32399-1550
(904) 488-9675

Filed with the Clerk of the
Division of Administrative Hearings
this 2th day of November 1991.

ORDER NO. 25571
DOCKET NO. 910625-EI
PAGE 16
DOCKET NO. 910625-EI
DECEMBER 5, 1991

NOTICE OF RIGHT TO SUBMIT EXCEPTIONS:

All parties have the right to submit written exceptions to this Recommended Order. All agencies allow each party at least 10 days in which to submit written exceptions. Some agencies allow a larger period within which to submit written exceptions. You should contact the agency that will issue the final order in this case concerning agency rules on the deadline for filing exceptions to this Recommended Order. Any exceptions to this Recommended Order should be filed with the agency that will issue the final order in this case.

Copies furnished:

K. Crandal McDougall, Esquire
Florida Power and Light Company
Legal Department
Post Office Box 029100
Miami, Florida 33102-9100

Mr. James Kiselak
3987 Northwest 163rd Street
Miami, Florida 33054

Ms. Pat Kintz
3987 Northwest 163rd Street
Miami, Florida 33054

Kay Flynn, Chief
PSC/Bureau of Records
101 East Gaines Street
Tallahassee, Florida 32399-0870

Susan Clark, Esquire
Public Service Commission
101 East Gaines Street
Room 212
Tallahassee, Florida 32399-0850

Steve Tribble, Director of Records
and Recording
Public Service Commission
101 East Gaines Street
Tallahassee, Florida 32399-0850

196.

ORDER NO. 25571
DOCKET NO. 910625-EI
PAGE 17

DOCKET NO. 910625-EI
DECEMBER 5, 1991

David Swafford, Executive Director
Public Service Commission
Room 116
101 East Gaines Street
Tallahassee, Florida 32399-0850

Rob Vandiver, General Counsel
Public Service Commission
Room 212
101 East Gaines Street
Tallahassee, Florida 32399-0850

Case No. 91-4909

ORDER NO. 25571
DOCKET NO. 910625-EI
PAGE 18

DOCKET NO. 910625-EI
DECEMBER 5, 1991

EXHIBIT B

July 18, 1991 *AND 11-19-91*

To: Florida Service Commission Director
Division of Records and Reporting

From: Mr. James Kiselak and Ms. Pat Kintz

Re: Complaint of Pat Kintz/ James Kiselak against Florida
Power and Light Co. Regarding diversion meter tampering
rebilling for estimated usage of electricity.

Petition being filed under rule No. 25-22.036 (7)

~~ORDER~~ No. 24751 *DOCKET NO. 910625-EG*

Issued 7-3-91

DOAH CASE NO. 91-4909

To whom it may concern:

This petition is being written in protest to the order for the reasons herein this writing. It seems that in the writings of the case background the recommendations and staff analysis as well as the writings in the order comes to the attention of the parties involved. They being Ms. Kintz and Mr. Kiselak. There are many discrepancies of the wording used. Many statements that were made are completely left out and many unknown and non factual wordings have been written. To further understand this protest it will be outlined and commented on by Ms. Kintz and Mr. Kiselak paragraph by paragraph used in the memorandum by P.S.C. dated June 11, 1991.

Case Background

Paragraph 1 : Ms. Kintz never stated that she was the actual customer. Ms. Kintz stated that she had Mr. Dorn make the call in her behalf because at the time Aug 20, 1990 Ms. Kintz was ill and FPL was threatening to turn off power at her place of residence as well as Mr. Dorn residence.
Fact: Mr. Dorn made the call and stated he was calling in behalf of Ms. Kintz.

Paragraph 2 : FPL has never provided any factual proof that the so called informing neighbor positively identified Mr. Kiselak as the actual person tampering with the meter. FPL stated that on Sunday March 18, 1990 an employee found a wire through a hole in the meter stopping the meter disk. FPL has not provided the employe who found this wire on SUNDAY or shown his work schedule to prove he or she was working on SUNDAY.

ORDER NO. 25571
DOCKET NO. 910625-EI
PAGE 19
DOCKET NO. 910625-EI
DECEMBER 5, 1991

Paragraph 3 : FPL's back billing dates cannot be justified from March 1986 to April 1990. FPL stated that they only knew of any such meter tampering from August 1989 to March 1990. Therefore they have no proof that any meter tampering occurred before Aug 1989, a period of only six months, not four years. The apartment was rented out for that period of about seven months, therefore it could have been the renter who tampered with the meter. FPL never stated this fact.

FPL's states, this is the second case of meter tampering, FPL fails to state all the facts. The meter was removed so the electrical maintenance could be preformed in the house wiring. When Mr. Kiselak was approached by an FPL representative he stated this to him, in person in the front yard of 3987 NW 163 Street. Informed the FPL representative that the meter had been reinstalled incorrectly by accident. Mr. Kiselak at that very moment corrected the meter while the FPL representative looked on. The FPL representative then stated that he would bill Mr. Kiselak a minimum charge for current diversion. The bill was approx. \$98.00, Mr. Kiselak paid the bill without dispute. Mr. Kiselak also has a witness to these actions.

Paragraph 4 : Ms. Kintz was not the owner of the property when interviewed on June 19, 1990. FPL says she said she was the owner. Ms. Kintz states she did not say she was the owner, only a renter and she didn't have anything to do with paying the electric bills. She never said she lived there for six years, FPL has misinterpreted Ms. Kintz. FPL has written her statements falsely to make them read the way they want it to sound. FPL COULD NOT have determined that Mr. Kiselak was still the mortgagor in Oct of 1990, Ms. Kintz has documented proof that she held the mortgage at that time and was in fact paying the mortgage Company. FPL fails to state the facts. Ms. Kintz never stated she was moving in for six years and furthermore if her drivers license was issued in 1988 that only accounts for two years to 1990. FPL again misinterpreted Ms. Kintz and writes it to sound the way they want it to...

Paragraph 6 : FPL has not provided any proof of a reliable source whom FPL says knows without a reasonable doubt that Mr. Kiselak still permanently resides 3987 NW 163 Street. At the hearing Mr. Kiselak provided two persons that stated he has resided at their residences, again FPL has failed to write the facts. The pictures can only prove that Mr. Kiselak was on the property they do not in any way prove that Mr. Kiselak lives there. Again FPL has no substantial evidence.

ORDER NO. 25571
DOCKET NO. 910625-EI
PAGE 20
DOCKET NO. 910625-EI
DECEMBER 5, 1991

Discussion of Issues

The commission has not looked at this case close enough and has not seen or heard all the facts. It seems like the commission has sided with the large conglomerate FPL and is disregarding all other reasonable doubts and facts presented by Mr. Kiselak and Ms. Kintz and their associates. This protest petition is being submitted based on these contradicting facts and writing herein.

Furthermore in the United States of America a person or persons are in fact innocent until proven beyond all reasonable doubt that they are guilty. FPL has not proven any such guilt of the accused parties. Therefore FPL's back billings and accusations cannot be justified and this case docket should not be closed until in fact a settlement can be obtained, until such settlement is obtained FPL is in fact infringing on the rights of the parties involved.

The affected parties hereby file this petition for formal proceeding as provided by rule 25-22.029 (4), Florida administrative code, this day 18 July, 1991.

Hereby filed by Mr. James Kiselak and Ms. Pat Kintz