APPEARANCES:

HARRIS R. ANTHONY, c/o Marshall M. Criser, III, 150 South Monroe Street, Suite 400, Tallahassee, Florida 32301, Telephone No. (904) 222-1201, on behalf of Southern Bell Telephone and Telegraph Company.

J. SUE RICHARDSON, Office of the Public Counsel, Claude Pepper Building, Room 812, 111 West Madison Street, Tallahassee, Florida 32399-1400, Telephone No. (904) 488-9330, appearing on behalf of the Citizens of the State of Florida.

JEAN R. WILSON, FPSC Division of Legal Services, 101 East Gaines Street, Tallahassee, Florida 32399-0863, Telephone (904) 487-2740, on behalf of the Commission Staff.

JEANNE BAKER, Baker & Moscowitz, 3130

Southeast Financial Center, 200 South Biscayne

Boulevard, Miami, Florida 33131-5306, Telephone No.

(305) 379-6700, appearing on behalf of the deponent

Laura D. Farmer.

21 p nu Page

22 | ALSO PRESENT:

STAN GREER, FPSC Division of Communications

CARL VINSON, FPSC Division of Research & Regulatory Review

1	APPEARANCES (Continued)
2	TERRILL BOOKER, FPSC Division of
3	Communications
4	WALTER BAER, Office of Public Counsel
5	WAYNE TUBAUGH, Southern Bell
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

INDEX

2		Page No.
3	ERRATA SHEET	5
4	STIPULATION	6
5	AFFIDAVIT OF DEPONENT	40
6	CERTIFICATE OF OATH	41
7	CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER	42
9	WITNESS	
LO	LAURA D. FARMER	
11	Examination by Ms. Richardon	7
L2	Examination by Ms. Wilson Examination by Mr. Vinson	37 39
L3 L4		
L5		
L6		
.7		-
.8		
9		
20		
1		
2		
3	<u>-</u>	
5		
ا د.		_

ERRATA SHEET

DOCKET NO. 910163-TL NAME: LAURA D. FARMER DATE: April 20, 1993

Page	Line	
1 rade	11116	
Ī		
+		<u></u>
<u> </u>		
Ť T		
+		
1		<u> </u>
1		·
1		
		<u> </u>
1		
1		
1	<u> </u>	
 	<u> </u>	1
1	<u> </u>	<u></u>
	<u> </u>	
		·
	1	
1		
+		<u> </u>
T		
†	<u> </u>	
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>
1	<u> </u>	
1	i	
+	<u>!</u>	<u> </u>
	<u> </u>	
1		1
ī		<u> </u>
		l
	<u> </u>	
1		
1	i -	<u> </u>
 		
1	<u> </u>	
	1	
+	i	1
 		<u> </u>
1		
T		
+		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
<u> </u>	<u> </u>	
<u> </u>		
1		
†		
<u> </u>	1	<u> </u>
<u> </u>		
-		

STIPULATION

IT IS STIPULATED that this deposition was taken pursuant to notice in accordance with the applicable Florida Rules of Civil Procedure; that objections, except as to the form of the question, are reserved until hearing in this cause; and that reading

IT IS ALSO STIPULATED that any off-the-record conversations are with the consent of the deponent.

and signing was not waived.

1		LAURA D. FARMER
2	appeared a	s a witness and, after being first duly sworn
3	by the cou	rt reporter, testified as follows:
4		EXAMINATION
5	BY MS. RIC	HARDSON:
6	Q	Okay. Ms. Farmer, would you please state
7	your name	for the court report and spell it to make
8	sure that	we have it correctly?
9	A :	Laura, L-A-U-R-A, Farmer, F-A-R-M-E-R.
.0	Q	And your address?
.1	A	It's I just moved. Wait.
.2		•
.з	Q	And that's Miami?
.4	A	Uh-huh.
.5	Q.	And the zip code?
.6	A	
.7	Q .	And your phone number?
.8	A	
.9	Q.	And that's area code 305?
20	A	
21	Q.	And what is your present position with the
22	Company?	,
23	A	Maintenance administrator.
4	Q.	And where is that?
25	A	That's at 8610 Southwest, 107th Avenue.

1	Q Which IMC is that in?
2	A That's South Dade.
3	Q South Dade IMC. And how long have you been a
4	maintenance administrator in South Dade?
5	A Since '83.
6	Q And who is your present supervisor?
7	A Dottie Ketchum.
8	Q Is she a first level, second level?
9	A First level.
10	Q And how long has she been your supervisor?
11	A About maybe three years. Three years, I
12	quess.
13	Q About 1990?
14	A Yeah, something like that.
15	Q Who was the first level supervisor before
16	that?
17	A I had so many, I don't know. Let's see. I
18	really don't remember. I'm trying to think. I think
19	Renee Munoz was a supervisor at one time, but I'm not
20	sure she was after her or before her.
21	Q All right. And that's M-U-N-O-Z?
22	A M-U-N-O-Z.
23	Q Munoz, okay. And that was sometime before
24	Ms. Ketchum, but your not real sure when?
25	A Yeah. Who else you mean?

1 Q Yes. 2 A When I first came in there, it was Brian Grant when I first got hired in '83. 3 Brian --0 4 Brian Grant, he was the first one that I 5 reported to. 6 7 Q And that's G-R-A-N-T, Grant? Uh-huh. 8 Α 9 And that was 1983? Q 10 Α Uh-huh. 11 Okay. Q 12 A We just walk around so much in there, so it's kind of hard to remember everybody you report to. I'm 13 sure there were some others too, but I don't remember all of them. 15 What about second level? Who is your second 16 17 level supervisor right now? 18 Α April Ivy. 19 About how long has she been your supervisor? 20 Let's see. She came in I think about four 21

years, four or five year.

Q Somewhere like '89?

Α Could be.

22

23

24

25

Q And do you know who it was before April?

Cherie Calvert. Α

Q And that's C-H-E-R-I-E? 1 Yeah, C-A-L-V-E-R-T, I think. 2 Α V-E-R-T? 3 Uh-huh. Α 5 Okay. And do you know who was it was before Cherie? 6 7 Let's see. It was Larry Rorroig. (Phonetic) Α And that's R-O-R-R-E-R? 8 Q I think it was -R-O-I-G, I think. Was it? I 9 Α think it's -R-O-I-G. 10 11 -R-0-I-G? Q I'm not sure. 12 A 13 Q Something like that? A Yeah. 14 And those three and then? 15 Let's see Shirley Perring. She was there as 16 well. When I first came, it was Booker. I can't think 17 of his first name. 18 19 Hampton Booker. 20 Α Hampton Booker, he was there when I first came to the test center. 22 Okay. Have you discussed this deposition Q with anyone other than your attorney and Southern 23 24 Bell's attorney?

25

A

No, not really.

_	when jou buy not rearry, wood that mean jou
2	may have mentioned it to somebody?
3	A I probably mentioned, "Were you on the list
4	to go for a deposition?" but not really discussing it
5	or anything.
6	Q So I want to be real clear. Did you talk
7	about the substance of the questions that may be asked
8	or answers you may give during this deposition with
9	anyone?
LO	A No, huh-uh.
.1	Q Has anyone given you any assurance that you
.2	would not be disciplined based on what you told us here
.3	today?
4	A Yes. My attorney did and she also said that
.5	Mr. Anthony did as well.
.6	Q Has anyone discussed with you the possible
L 7	criminal penalties that would apply if you lied in this
L8	deposition here today?
L9	A Well, right. Yeah. I wouldn't lie, but
20	yeah.
21	Q But you're aware of the perjury that applies
22	to this deposition?
23	A Yeah.
24	MS. BAKER: Let me just object to that
25	statement.

1	MS. RICHARDSON: Perjury doesn't apply to
2	formal depositions
3	MS. BAKER: Perjury is a conclusion of law
4	that somebody has lied and it's material and
5	intentional. Laws relating to perjury apply to any
6	under oath proceedings including this one.
7	MS. RICHARDSON: Thank you.
8	Q (By Ms. Richardson) We're all clear on that
9	now?
10	A Yes.
11	Q Let me ask you. Did you give a statement to
12	a company investigator regarding handling of trouble
13	repair reports?
14	A Yes, I did.
15	Q And then I need to ask you who was in the
16	room with you when you gave that statement?
17	A You mean when we first had the deposition,
18	when you first called us in?
19	Q Yes.
20	A It was I don't even know. A Southern Bell
21	attorney and another guy from West Palm Beach. I don't
22	even remember the names. It was like two years ago.
23	Q But it was an attorney. Was the other person
24	an attorney also?
25	A No, I think he was representing the Company,

1	but I don't think he was an attorney.
2	Q Was there a union representative involved?
3	A No.
4	Q As a maintenance administrator, what are you
5	basic duties? What do you do?
6	A Screen the troubles that come in from repair
7	Q Are those residence and business troubles?
8	A Yes.
9	Q When they come in, they come in from the
0	CRSAB?
1	A Uh-huh.
2	Q So somebody has already received the trouble
3	from the customer and talked to the customer?
4	A Right.
5	Q Then you get the trouble record already
6	established when it comes to you?
7	A Right.
8	Q When you say "screen the trouble," what
.9	process does that involve?
0	A Well, we have to determine whether or not
1	we're going to route out on the trouble or we're going
2	to give it to the central office, whether we're going
3	to close out the trouble and contact the customer or
4	whatever. That's what the screening is. Just isolate

the trouble to whatever, you know.

And when you do the screening process, is it part of your training in what you do to determine whether or not that trouble is out-of-service or affecting service? A Yes. And how do you determine whether a trouble is out-of-service? I test the line and wait for the test result. 0 And when is that decision made then to status a trouble out of service? When in that screening process? Well, I get the trouble, the customer says, "No dial tone," or whatever. A lot of times the trouble will come in without a service code on it or whatever. A lot of times I retest the trouble because a lot of times the phone could be unplugged, so it may test open out and, you know, somebody would reported it. So I'll get the trouble, I'll test it and it could test open out. If it's still open out, then I'm going to route out on it, send a person out on it. If it retests and it test fine, then I'm going to try to contact the customer and ask him does he still have a

1

2

3

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

problem.

Q Okay. When you said "route out," you mean

1	dispatch?
2	A Dispatch out on it.
3	Q Dispatch out on it?
4	A Uh-huh.
5	Q Has anyone ever instructed you at any time
6	not to status a trouble out-of-service when you knew it
7	was out-of-service?
8	A Not me as an individual, no.
9	Q Were you present when instructions were given
10	not to status any out-of-services today?
11	A Yes, uh-huh.
12	Q Can you tell me when that occurred?
13	A I can't give precise dates.
14	Q Can you give me a year?
15	A In the '80s or whatever.
16	Q Would that be maybe between '85, '88, '89?
17	A Yeah, probably '85 '84, '85 or something
18	like that maybe.
19	Q Do you know where those instructions came
20	from?
21	A They came from management. They're the only
22	ones that could tell us.
23	Q Do you have a specific manager that you can
24	recall giving you those instructions?

A Not, not really.

1	Q Do you know if other maintenance
2	administrators in the test center followed those
3	instructions?
4	A Yeah, because sometimes we discussed it, so
5	know they did.
6	Q Do you know why those instructions were given
7	not to status out-of-service today?
8	A We really didn't know why to tell the truth.
9	To us it was procedure. It was something to do that
10	day. If that is what needed to be done, then that's
11	what we did. But there was no reasoning for us, you
12	know, why they did it or why it was done.
13	Q At the time that it was done and you were
14	following the instructions not to status
15	out-of-service, did you form an opinion as to whether
16	or not that was proper or improper?
17	MS. BAKER: Object. You can go ahead and
18	answer.
19	A Well, yeah, I guess you do form an opinion,
20	you know. Whether or not that somebody said, well,
21	maybe that's not right or maybe it is right. If you
22	don't really have a reason for doing it, you really
23	don't think about it that much.
24	Q (By Ms. Richardson) Okay. At any time did

you feel that if you did not follow those instructions

1	to not status out-of-service today that you would be
2	disciplined?
3	A I may have been talked to. If they say do it
4	as an instruction if they say do it as an
5	instruction, you're going to do. If you didn't, you
6	expect to get reprimanded or talked to.
7	Q At any time were you given instructions to
8	not status out-of-service troubles up front, but to
9	status them on close out?
10	MS. BAKER: Object to the form.
11	A Not me personally, but it was given, yes.
12	Q (By Ms. Richardson) About what time?
13	A You mean the time frame?
14	Q Years, yes.
15	A About the same time, '84, '85. Something
16	along there. It could be, you know, later. But after
17	a while, it gets to be routine, so you really don't
18	know.
19	Q Do you know who gave you those instructions?
20	A No, because the management changed so much in
21	there so everybody that came in had the same rules. So
22	it just sort of reiterated what was going on that's
23	all.
24	Q Do you know if other maintenance
25	administrators were following those instructions?

1	A Yes, they were.
2	Q Based upon your conversations with them?
3	A Yes.
4	Q Do you know what affect let me back up. I
5	am going to ask a different question.
6	Are you presently aware of the Company's
7	objective that out-of-service reports be repaired
8	within 24 hours?
9	A Well, the time I know they had to repair them
10	within 24 hours, but I wasn't aware that if it wasn't,
11	that it was any big deal. You know, I didn't know if
12	it wasn't, you know, any repercussions from not doing
13	it.
14	Q Are you aware of that now today?
15	A Now I am, yes.
16	Q How did you become aware of that?
17	A I guess just by talking really among some of
18	the other employees. I really didn't know that if the
19	customer was out of service over 24, that he
20	automatically got a rebate. I didn't know that. At my
21	level, I didn't have to know that.
22	Q Do you have any idea about who made you aware
23	of that?
24	A Just some of the other MAs. We talked. You

know, we go to say, "You didn't know that?" You know,

because everybody hears differently, but as far as someone telling us this, no. 2 Did you hear about it -- can you give me a 3 year or time frame about when you realized this or were 4 made aware? 5 I only realized about maybe two or three 6 years ago that I did get one. 7 Are you aware presently of a Public Service 8 9 Commission rule that requires the Company to repair out-of-service reports within 24 hours? 10 I do now, yes. 11 A 12 And is that since 1990 that you were aware of Q that? 13 14 Α Something around there. Were you aware of it before 1990? 15 Well, I knew we had to repair them within 24 16 hours, but I really didn't think it had anything to do 17 with the PSC. 18 19 Q Great. Thank you. I'm communicating. 20 having trouble earlier, but evidently I'm communicating 21 now. I would like to talk to you about backing up 22 the time. Have you heard those terms? 24 Α Yeah. 25 And in what context have you heard the terms Q

"backing up the time?"

A I usually interpret it as meeting the commitment. That's the way it's usually stated, if they said it or whatever. But we say backing up because that's what it really was. But management probably would say meeting the commitment.

Q Okay. So management's terms were "meeting the commitment." I need a definition of what that means. What does it mean to meet the commitment?

MS. BAKER: If the witness knows.

Q (By Ms. Richardson) What's your understanding?

A My understanding of it is you have a commitment due by 3:00. Maybe it wasn't cleared until 3:30, so that means -- same thing as backing it up. We have to meet the commitment, we're going to have to do it before 3:00, right? So we'll do it like 2:55 to meet the commitment, which is actually backing up the time. It's the same thing.

Q Now, I need some further clarification on commitment. On a trouble report, when you receive the trouble report and it's sitting there in front of you. Visualizing a screen for me. You have a customer's name and address and you have the phone numbers up there and you have the date and the time that the

trouble was received?

- A Uh-huh.
- Q And then you have another status line that shows you a date and a time and C-O-M-M with a date and a time after it. What does that C-O-M-M stand for?
 - A I'm not understanding what you're saying now.
- Q Is there a time given at the beginning of a report?
 - A There's time that the customer calls in.
 - Q And another time that's a --
- A That it went to system, I guess, and there's a time that it got to us.
- Q Is a customer given a commitment by when the Company will have the repair completed?
- A I really don't know how they established that commitment. I never did understand. I know they set the clocks, but I never really understood exactly how they went about giving the commitment to the customer and I still don't.
- Q But you do know the customer is told, "We're going to have your trouble fixed by a certain commitment time?"
- A I know they give them a commitment. I'm just saying I don't know how they go about arriving at the commitment that they give them.

1	Q Okay. Now, my next question is, is a
2	clearing time different from a commitment time?
3	A It could be different, yeah. You could clear
4	it earlier then the commitment or clear it after the
5	commitment or whatever. Either way.
6	Q At what point in your handling of a trouble
7	report would the time be backed up?
8	MS. BAKER: Object to the form.
9	A If I'm screening the report?
10	Q (By Ms. Richardson) Yes.
11	A Well, it depends. If the report's been
12	sitting around for a long time and they have
13	objectives, also screening time that they want to meet
14	as well, so usually we used to just screen it one
15	minute after it came in and whatever, you know, route
16	out or whatever we're going to do with it.
17	Q On reports that are dispatched out, would you
18	back up a commitment time before an ST called in?
19	A No.
20	Q When the ST called in, would you back up the
21	commitment time at the top of the report or back up the
22	clearing time that you entered at the bottom of the
23	report?
24	MS. BAKER: Object. Compound question.
25	A Whatever commitment he tells me if it's at

one time, they had told us -- if a guy calls in, he could have cleared it and give him dial tone before the commitment. But a lot of times he wasn't able to get through or he decided to finish some other work that we was doing before he called in. It didn't mean that it 5 wasn't cleared at that time, it just means he just 6 didn't call at that time. 7

So a lot of times we would just assume that if he says 3:30 and we figured he cleaned up and he did this, that trouble had to be before 3:00. So we close it out before then.

- Okay. Did backing up the commitment time have any effect on that 24-hour index?
- That was totally two different indexes. Α they wasn't in trouble of -- if they weren't in trouble of missing the 24-hour commitment, then you may as well make your other commitment.
 - Okay. What if they were in trouble? Q
- Of missing the 24? A
- Q Yes.

1

2

3

4

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- They gave more than likely, we would probably back it up.
- Okay. Would that instruction come to you Q from a manager?
- 25 All the instructions -- we wouldn't make the Α

determination ourselves. It would have to come --2 somebody else would have to tell us this. Would you just sort of automatically do that? 3 If something is happening for so many years 4 5 and no one ever came back and made it any different, 6 then you wouldn't change the format unless they came and told you. You know, you would continue business as 7 usual. So if they said -- if 24 hours were very 8 9 important for us to meet, then that's what we would look at. We'll try to meet it. 10 11 If an ST called you to close out his report and he had already missed the 24-hour index, would you 12 13 question him as to when he actually cleared and restored service? 14 MS. BAKER: Object as to form. 15 16 Α We usually let him tell us when he cleared 17 it. (By Ms. Richardson) And if he cleared it 18 Q 19 after 24, would you back up the time? Chances of those -- the clear time he would 20 21 give us would be before the 24 anyway, so we really 22 wouldn't have to make that decision most of the time. 23 If you did have to make the decision -- well, 24 let me ask you. 25 Were there any times when you did have to

1	make the decision?
2	A Sometimes, yes.
3	Q What was the decision you made?
4	A I would meet the commitment. I would make
5	the 24.
6	Q Okay. Now, I would like to ask you about
7	disposition codes and cause codes. Can you just kind
8	of give me a general description of what they are?
9	A Those are whatever codes that isolate
10	whatever trouble they cleared or however you clear it
11	Those are the codes that you use.
12	Q Okay. Are there certain disposition and
13	cause codes that would exempt a report from being
14	counted against the Company in the out-of-service-over
15	24-hour index?
16	MS. BAKER: If she knows.
17	MS. RICHARDSON: I asked are there.
18	A I think there are, but I don't really know
19	what the codes are.
20	Q (By Ms. Richardson) But you're aware that
21	some may do that?
22	A Yeah, I do remember just one. But I wasn't
23	even sure that it did anything, but there's only one
24	that I really knew and that had to do with cable. And

25 that was the only one that I knew.

1 That was a multiple cable failure? Q 2 A Yes. Were you given any instructions to use a 3 multiple cable failure code when you felt it did not 4 apply? 5 No, because I really wasn't familiar with the 6 multiple cable failure code, so whatever code they said 7 was fine with me. 8 Were you familiar with anyone using the 9 multiple cable failure code to help with meeting the 10 index? 11 Well, I remember one instance that I was 12 speaking to someone and I just -- it was concerning 13 cable failures because everybody is a little afraid of 14 closing out cable failures because it entails a lot of 15 troubles. So if you close it out and make one mistake 16 and you miss it, that means a hundred troubles that are 17 attached to that failure, you missed all of them. 18 So I was just speaking to one of the managers 19 there, and I just asked, you know, that I hated to 20 21 close them out. And she said, well, she didn't know 22 why people are so afraid to close them out. always remember to close them out cause code 420. 23 I never knew what it meant, but that's what 24

she said. So evidently I assume maybe that was a code

1	that wouldn't be counted or whatever. So I said okay.
2	Q Okay. So from that point on, did you close
3	all cable failures to that same cause code?
4	A Whenever I closed them out, which was very
5	seldom, because I didn't close out many failures, but,
6	yeah, I would use 420.
7	Q Do you know what it is to exclude a trouble
8	report?
9	A Yes.
LO	Q Will you tell me what happens when you
11	exclude a trouble report?
L2	MS. BAKER: Object to the form. Time frame,
L3	really.
14	Q (By Ms. Richardson) Let's go back prior to
L5	1990.
16	A This exclude practice we have a list of
17	exclude codes anyway that are valid that we use. So
18	whatever those codes were, those were the only ones we
19	could really use anyway to exclude a trouble.
20	Q And what happened to the trouble report
21	itself when you excluded it?
22	A Well, we just it was in the data base, but
23	it really wasn't there, I guess, when we excluded it.
24	Q Would it show up in any of the indexes, if it

was excluded?

1	A No, these were acceptable exclude codes that					
2	I guess they really didn't fall into any category.					
3	I really don't know how they did it to tell the truth.					
4	Q Let me ask a more specific question. In your					
5	opinion, before 1990, was it proper to exclude an					
6	out-of-service report?					
7	MS. BAKER: Object. No foundation for her					
8	opinion.					
9	A Well, all I remember is when I first in the					
10	test center, we did have a we were paper then when I					
11	first came in. And I do remember because I wasn't					
12	familiar with what was going on anyway, but everything					
13	was paper and I do remember that they used to close out					
14	if the trouble was missed, they would excluded it					
15	and say "status error," and they would bring the					
16	trouble back up like that. Or if they knew that they					
17	had already missed the commitment on the trouble or					
18	whatever, they're just excluded. A status error.					
19	Q Okay. And if they had missed the commitment					
20	and excluded it, would they also bring up that report					
21	and reenter it?					
22	A Yes.					
23	Q Would they reenter it with the original date					
24	and time?					
25	A Date, time and commitment and closed it out					

and meet the commitment, yes.

- Q As meeting the commitment?
- A (Witness nods head affirmatively.)
- Q Would that involve backing up the time on that second regenerated report to meet the commitment?
- A Well, actually it's like a new report so you're not really backing up anything, you're just putting everything into perspective, you know, just like a new report. You came in, you did it, you met the commitment, you closed it out.
 - Q But the first time you didn't meet it?
- A That's why it was excluded.
- Q That's why it was excluded?
- 14 A Yes.
 - Q Have you heard the terms "building the base"?
- 16 A I heard them talking about it.
- 17 Q What does it mean to you?
 - A Well, I guess they had a certain objective they had to meet and maybe they were below that objective and all it said was, "Bill it out of service." They needed more out-of-services depending upon what area they needed it in. You know, like Homestead or Miami or whatever. I assume that's what it is. I'm not stating that's what it is.
 - Q That's your feeling?

- A That's my feeling of what they were trying to do.
 - Q Have you ever heard of anyone building the base?

- A Well, what they would tell you if a trouble came in and if it tested okay, or however it tested, and you were closing it out during the screening process, they would want you to status it out-of-service after the fact. Considering you met the commitments and you met 24 and met the customer's commitment, then you can show a "Y" and close it out as out of service. And that will build the out-of-service base. Now, that's my understanding of how it was done. I don't know.
- Q Now, I want to clarify for the record, when you say "show a Y," are you talking about the final status screen?
- A Right. When you do your last line of FST, when you're closing out the trouble report. And you want to show it out-of-service after the fact rather than it was shown before the fact, and you put a "Y" there as out-of-service and account it as clearing out of service before the commitment.
- Q And can you tell me how long this practice -- what period of time?

1	A This happened around the '80s, I think,					
2	basically.					
3	Q Do you know when that practice stopped?					
4	A Probably two, two or three years ago when the					
5	investigation started, I guess.					
6	Q Has the criteria for statusing a report as					
7	out-of-service changed during the period of time 1983					
8	to present?					
9	A Has it changed? Of course it's changed.					
10	What do you mean, like specify. I don't understand,					
11	change from where?					
12	Q All right. Good. Let me get tighter on it.					
13	And any time you have a question about my question,					
14	please ask because you need to be comfortable that					
15	you're answering my question. That's fine.					
16	Let's back up to 1990. Were there any					
17	changes in 1990 to the way you statused out-of-service					
18	reports?					
19	A Yeah, they're out of service, they're					
20	out-of-service.					
21	Q Okay. Were you given new criteria for					
22	determining if it was out of service?					
23	A We got some new see we have like					
24	out-of-service codes so they implemented some new ones					

customer even when we come and test-OK; and I call the 1 2 customer, there's a lot of static and he can't hear me, 3 he can barely talk even though he's testing okay. A test-OK is not really an out-of-service code. I would still status out because he really doesn't have any 5 6 service, so I would make that determination myself. Are you familiar with no-access codes? 7 Q 8 Not really, because I really didn't do to Α much of no accessing. You know, sometimes they would 9 give it to me to do. Just give us a code and we would 10 close it out and hold the trouble for three days, the 11 customer didn't call back in, then we closed the 12 13 trouble out. Have you ever closed a no-access trouble that 14 Q was not dispatched out? There was no way you could do that because it 16 would be no-access if we never went out on it. 17 18 Somebody has to go out on it in order for us to close it out no-access. 19 20 Have you ever no accessed a report when you 21 knew that it was not a no-access? 22 No. 23 Would you explain to me what a central office

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

It could

It could be any number of failures.

24

25

failure is?

A

be -- if a central office fails, something happened inside the CO or something could have happened there. I don't know. A alarm went off, anything could have happened that caused a customer to go out of service. Anything that happened inside the central office is considered a central office failure.

Q Okay. Are you aware of any trouble reports that were improperly closed to central office failures?

A I don't know of any personally that was closed improper, no. They usually give us the codes; however, if they determine if it was in the CO, they usually give you the central office code.

Q So when you say "they give you the code," you mean the central office?

A Central office would use it. If they cleared it inside, then it's -- then is goes to the central office because a lot of time the central office, if they cut, like, you know -- I guess it depends. The trouble sometimes looks like it's in the CO and it may be out. And the trouble with it, they may wind up clearing it outside then they would close the outside code. But if it was the central office, they usually give us a code to close it out. So I don't know.

Q Were you ever instructed to close out out-of-service reports to a central office code?

1 Α I wasn't, no, huh-uh. 2 Do you know of anyone else who was? Just speculation or hearsay, that's it. 3 Α What did you hear? Q 4 I just heard someone say that we had a 5 failure once that was closing -- that was a central 6 office failure and it was closed out to test-OK or 7 something like that. 8 9 Did you hear why that was done? I really don't know why it was done. 10 11 have no idea. 12 Do you handle service orders? Q In a limited amount, yes. 13 Can you explain to me how you handled it or 14 Q 15 what your responsibilities are in handling service 16 orders? 17 MS. BAKER: Are we are talking about the 18 present time? Your questions are present. 19 (By Ms. Richardson) When did you handle 20 service orders, at what time? 21 Probably about three or four years now. 22 only time I come in contact with service orders is when 23 the repairmen goes out. What we do is, if he goes out 24 on a report, he doesn't have a dial tone out there,

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

what he does is he calls DTAG, which is what I'm doing

now. And I pull the service order up and I just release the service order. That's it. But give the customer a dial tone is what it is.

Q Would that be a trouble report?

A No, that's a service order.

Q It would be a service order?

A Uh-huh.

Q I'm sorry. I'm losing it. When the customer called up? Is that what you said?

A No, no. A service order is a customer called up, he wants service and location. The guys get dispatched out on the service order. When he works the service order — usually if he's out there on a service order and he completes the order, he doesn't have dial tone on the pair that he's on and he will give it yp the dial tone assistance group, which is us, and we will pull the service order; we verify everything on the service order, go into RMC system where we switch

Q The RMAS?

A Yes, which is the switch and we release the order to the switch. We then give him dial tone outside. But that's the only thing I have to do with service orders.

Q Okay. Is there a requirement that service

must be installed within a certain period of time? 1 On service orders? I don't -- I really don't 2 know how they go about setting up the dates. But they 3 go through the business office, so I don't know how 4 they set up the dates. Depending on the load, I quess. 5 I'm not sure. That's how they used to set up the dates 6 for the service orders. 7 8 Have you ever been involved in selling customer services, Ms. Farmer, for the Company? 9 10 Α No, I really didn't do that too much. We only -- well, we started a little bit but no. 11 When you say "we started a little bit --" 12 13 When we first came there we used to, you 14 know, call customers, sell some of the features. But I 15 don't do it that much myself. And that was back in 1983 then? 16 That's in the '80s, yeah. '83 or '84, 17 something like that. 18 19 Okay. Are you aware of anyone who may have 20 recorded a sales to a customer that the customer never 21 ordered?

- A I'm not aware of it, no.
- Q Did you, yourself, ever record a sales to a customer that the customer never ordered?
 - A No.

22

1	Q Did anyone ever instruct you to record a
2	sales to a customer that the customer never ordered?
3	A No.
4	Q Did you ever participate in a boiler room
5	operation of sales?
6	A Absolutely not.
7	MS. BAKER: Object to the form.
8	Q (By Ms. Richardson) Okay. Ms. Farmer, I
9	think, at this point, I've run out of questions unless
10	my memory gets jogged. I really appreciate your time
11	and I appreciate your waiting.
12	The Commission may have a couple of questions
13	for you before you go and Mr. Anthony may have some and
14	your attorney may want to add something to the record.
15	Okay. Thank you.
16	EXAMINATION
17	BY MS. WILSON:
18	Q I just wanted to ask you, on those days when
19	you were told no OOS, would that be like a one-day
20	period or two-day period? Do you recall?
21	A It wasn't like that. It was kind of hard to
22	explain. I know basically on the weekends, you know
23	you mean like status out-of-service?
24	Q Yes.
25	A We didn't do it on weekends, but so far as

during the week, it could have continued because no one told you not to or vice versa. So it would just depend on when they decided to say okay we need to whatever, status out-of-service or not. But it wasn't like a day-to-day thing. It was a continuation and then they may say, okay, we need to do this because this part may be okay and now we need to work on this part.

Something like that; best to my knowledge.

- Q Would that be like throughout the month or different times of the month it happened with more frequency, or is it hard to say?
 - A It's hard to say.

Q Would it generally continue, let's say, for example, when you status something out-of-service, would that be for like maybe a couple of days. I know I've already asked you this, but is it possible that they may have said only do it for part of the day? Was it generally for a day or a couple of days until you were told to do otherwise?

A It could go on like. The only one that I just really remember -- it's kind of hard to say, like I say, if start doing it, you just do it. Sometimes you're not even aware that maybe you should be stopping because you haven't got the word or something, you know, like that. And a lot of times -- I just remember

-	In the keys, because the keys is very small, so if you
2	miss like one out-of-service, you can throw your whole
3	objective. So that was the one that I remember because
4	we really had to be very careful on that one.
5	MS. WILSON: Okay. Thank you very much.
6	EXAMINATION
7	BY MR. VINSON:
8	Q Could there have been other areas other than
9	the Keys where that was done?
10	MS. BAKER: Object to the form.
11	A Well, if you got a lot of reports in Perrine,
12	you got a whole lot of reports, it wouldn't make that
13	much difference if, you know, you were able to afford
14	to miss more than in a smaller area because your
15	objective is a lot more.
16	MR. VINSON: That's all the questions I have.
17	MR. ANTHONY: I don't have anything. Thank
18	you.
19	MS. BAKER: I have no questions.
20	(Thereupon, the deposition concluded at 10:25
21	a.m.)
22	
23	
24	
25	

AFFIDAVIT OF DEPONENT This is to certify that I, LAURA D. FARMER, have read the foregoing transcription of my testimony, Page 1 through 39, given on April 20, 1993 in Docket No. 910163-TL, and find the same to be true and correct, with the exceptions, and/or corrections, if any, as shown on the errata sheet attached hereto. LAURA D. FARMER Sworn to and subscribed before me this ____ day of _____, 19____ NOTARY PUBLIC State of _______ My Commission Expires:

1	STATE OF FLORIDA) CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER
2	COUNTY OF LEON)
3	I, PAMELA A. CANELL, Official Commission
4	Reporter, DO HEREBY CERTIFY that I was authorized to
5	and did stenographically report the foregoing deposition of LAURA D. FARMER
6	I FURTHER CERTIFY that this transcript, consisting of 39 pages, constitutes a true record of
7	the testimony given by the witness. I FURTHER CERTIFY that I am not a relative,
8	employee, attorney or counsel of any of the parties, nor am I a relative or employee of any of the parties'
9	attorney or counsel connected with the action, nor am I financially interested in the action.
10	DATED this 12th day of May, 1993.
11	. Panela A. Carell
12	PAMELA A. CANELL Official Commission Reporter
13	Telephone No. (904) 488-5981
14	
15	
16	STATE OF FLORIDA)
17	COUNTY OF LEON)
18	The foregoing certificate was acknowledged before me this day of May, 1993, by PAMELA A.
19	CANELL, who is personally known to me.
20	WHITE KELL KING
21	State of Florida
22	Notary/Public - State of Florida
23	#CC 264765
24	MANUELIC STATEMENT

ERRATA SHEET

3

DOCKET NO. 910163-TL NAME: LAURA D. FARMER

4

DATE: April 20, 1993

-			•
5	Page	Line	
6	18	19	Strike "Renee" and insert in its place "Maria." RFASIN: The reporter transcribed the wrong word.
ĭ	9	12	Strike "walk" and insert in its place "move." REASON: The correction
7			is needed so that my answer makes sense.
8	19	7	Strike "I did" and insert in its place "they." REASON: The correction is needed so that my answer makes sense.
	28	9	After "first" add "came." REASON: The reporter dropped the word.
9	29	20	Strike "Bill it" and insert in its place "build." RFASON: The correction is needed so that my answer makes sense.
10	32	17	After "would" add "not." RFASON: The correction is needed to make the answer I gave accurate.
11	33	21	Strike "close the" and insert in its place "use an." RASON: The correction is needed so that my answer makes sense.
12	35	15	Strike "yp" and insert in its place "to." RFASON: The reporter transcribed the wrong word.
13	35	18	Strike "RMC" and insert in its place "RMAS." RFASON: The correction is needed to make the answer I gave accurate.
14	36	15	Strike "don't" and insert in its place "didn't." REASON: The correction is needed to make the answer I gave accurate.
15			
16			
17	<u> </u>	1	
18			
19			
20		<u> </u>	
21			
22		<u> </u>	
23			
24			
25			

DOCUMENT NO. 12

1 BEFORE THE 2 FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION 3 4 In the Matter of DOCKET NO. 910163-TL Investigation into the : 5 integrity of SOUTHERN BELL : TELEPHONE AND TELEGRAPH 6 COMPANY'S repair service 7 activities and reports. 8 9 DEPOSITION OF: LAURA D. FARMER 10 TAKEN AT THE INSTANCE OF: Florida Public Service Commission 11 12 PLACE: 666 N.W. 79th Avenue 13 Room 640 Miami, Florida 14 15 TIME: Commenced at 9:45 a.m. Concluded at 10:25 a.m. 16 17 DATE: Tuesday, April 20, 1993 18 REPORTED BY: Pamela A. Canell 19 Official Commission Reporter 20 21 22 23 24 25

AFFIDAVIT OF DEPONENT

This is to certify that I, LAURA D. FARMER, have read the foregoing transcription of my testimony, Page 1 through 39, given on April 20, 1993 in Docket No. 910163-TL, and find the same to be true and correct, with the exceptions, and/or corrections, if any, as shown on the errata sheet attached hereto.

Sworn to and subscribed before me this

4 day of Wyut, 1993

NOTARY PUBLIC

State of Muda

My Commission Expires:

"OFFICIAL NOTARY SEAL"
KAREN OSBOURNE
Notary Public, State of Florida
Commission No. CC245337
My Commission Expires 12/9/96
Roaded Through Fig. Notary Service & Bonding Co.
1-800-3-NOTARY