GuBoso Ausley Mergeret B. Ausley

James D. Beasley Michael P Bruyers C Graham Cerothers Kevin J. Cerroll Robert N. Clerke, Jr.

J. Marshell Conred Timothy B. Elliott Stephen C. Emmanuel

John P. Fons Van P. Geeker Michael J. Glezar Carte A. Green

Charles S. Ausley (1907-1972) John C. Ausley (1912-1980) D. Fred McMullen (1904-1980) Gerald T. Hart (1948-1991)

Ausley, McMullen, McGehee, Carothers & Proctor

Attorneys at Law

Washington Square Building 227 S. Calhoun Street - 32301 P O Box 391 - 32302

Tallahassee, Florida

Telephone 904 224-9115 Telecopier 904 222-7560 Mein Building Telecopier 904 222 7139 Real Estate Telesopier 904 222-7952 Utilities

January 24, 1994

HAND DELIVERED

Jenn Johnson Hert Kennsth R. Hert David J. Hull E. Martin McGehee (Retired) Carcilyn D. Olive R. Stan Peeler Robert A. Pierce H. Palmer Proctor M. Julian Proctor, Jr. Steven P. Seymoe William M. Smith Deboreh J. Stephens Jemis Harold Thompson J. Jeffry Wahlen Emily S. Waugh C. Gery Williams Lee L. Williams

Mr. Steve C. Tribble, Director Division of Records and Reporting Florida Public Service Commission 101 East Gaines Street

Tallahassee, Florida 32399-0850

Fuel and Purchased Power Cost Recovery Clause with Generating Performance Incentive Factor; FPSC Docket No.

Dear Mr. Tribble:

Enclosed for filing in the above docket, on behalf of Tampa Electric Company, are fifteen (15) copies of each of the following:

Petition of Tampa Electric Company. 00793-94 1.

00795-942. Prepared Direct Testimony of Mary Jo Pennino and Exhibit (MJP-2) regarding Tampa Electric's projected Total Fuel and Purchased Power Cost Recovery Factors and Exhibit (MJP-3) regarding projected Capacity Cost Recovery Factors for the period April 1994 - September 1994.

00794 943. Prepared Direct Testimony of E. A. Townes and R. F. Tomczak and Exhibit (RFT/EAT-2) regarding Schedules Supporting the Oil Backout Cost Recovery Factor for the period April 1994 -September 1994 and Exhibit (RFT/EAT-3) regarding the Gannon Conversion Project Comparison of Projected Payoff with Original Estimate as of November 1993.

Please acknowledge receipt and filing of the above by stamping the duplicate copy of this letter and returning same to this writer.

Thank you for your assistance in connection with this matter.

Sincerely,

Dames D. Beasley

JDB/pp encls.

cc: All Parties of Record (w/enc.)

RECEIVED & FILED FPSC-BUREAU OF RECORDS

DOCKET NO. 950001 EF TAMPA ELECTRIC COMPANY OIL BACKOUT SUBMITTED FOR FILING 01/24/94



	0.11	MAR
1	FILE C	LAL
2	6	A A
3		
4		
5		
6	TAMPA ELECTRIC COMPANY	
7	BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMM	ISSION
8	DOCKET NO. 940001-EI	
9		
10	Re: Levelized Oil Backout Cost Recover	y Factor
11	April 1994 - September 1994	
12		
13		
14	TESTIMONY AND EXHIBITS OF:	
15		
16	E. A. Townes	
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		

DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE 00794 JAN 24 & FPSC-RECORDS/REPORTING

TAMPA BLECTRIC COMPANY DOCKET NO. 940001-EI OIL BACKOUT SUBMITTED FOR FILING 01/24/94

1		BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION
2		PREPARED DIRECT TESTIMONY
3		OF
4		ELIZABETE A. TOWNES
5		
6	Q.	Would you please state your name and address?
7		
8	A.	My name is Elizabeth A. Townes. My business address is
9		702 North Franklin Street, Tampa, Florida 33602.
10		
11	Q.	Please describe your educational background and
12		experience.
13		
14	A.	I received a Bachelor of Business Administration degree in
15		Accounting from Florida International University in 1978
16		and a Master of Business Administration from the
17		University of Tampa in 1982. I am a Certified Public
18		Accountant in the state of Florida and a Member of the
19		Florida Institute of Certified Public Accountants and
20		American Institute of Certified Public Accountants.
21	•	
22		Prior to joining Tampa Electric Company in January 1982, I
23		was employed by General Telephone Company of Florida. I
24		joined Tampa Electric as a regulatory accountant. In
25		September 1983, I was promoted to Manager-Regulatory

1 Control and subsequently in February 1991, I was promoted 2 to my current position as Assistant Controller.

3

4

5

6

7

My current responsibilities include accounting for fuel activities, conservation, oil backout and other regulatory accounting areas, and the revenue and financial reporting functions, and accounts payable.

8

9 Q. Ms. Townes, what is the purpose of your testimony in this proceeding?

11

12 A. The purpose of my testimony is to present a summary
13 computation of the estimated Oil Backout Cost Recovery
14 Factor to be collected during the six-month projection
15 period beginning April 1994 and ending September 1994,
16 including the estimated true-up adjustment required as of
17 March 1994.

18

19 Q. Have you prepared documents in support of your testimony?

20

21 A. Yes. I have jointly prepared with Mr. Tomczak a composite
22 exhibit titled "Schedules Supporting Oil Backout Cost
23 Recovery Factor" indicated as Exhibit No. (RFT/EAT-2).
24 This exhibit is a summary of the detailed computations,
25 prepared under my supervision and direction, to derive the

estimated Oil Backout Cost Recovery Factor. This exhibit consists of six documents and I will make references in my testimony to each of the documents and explain the development, or source, of each line item. I have also jointly prepared with Mr. Tomczak Exhibit No. (RFT/EAT-3) titled "Comparison of Projected Payoff with Original Estimate, as of November 1993." This exhibit provides a comparison of the estimated payback of the Gannon conversion project with the original projection submitted during the 1982 qualification hearings.

12 Q. Ms. Townes, would you first please summarize the key
13 assumptions used in your derivation of the estimated
14 factor?

16 A. Yes. The key assumptions involved with the determination
17 of the factor for the projection period are the estimated
18 fuel savings, the estimated revenue requirements
19 associated with the converted Gannon Units and common
20 facilities, the estimated energy sales, and the estimated
21 true-up as of March 1994.

Q. What is the estimated Oil Backout Cost Recovery Factor
which you have determined for the six-month projection
period ended September 1994?

- 1 A. The factor .which I have determined to be appropriate for
- the projection period is .073 cents per kilowatt hour.
- This factor is shown on line 19, of Document 1.

5 Q. Please explain the computations shown on Document 1.

6

The computations begin with the estimated energy sales 7 8 during the projection period shown on line 1. 9 amounts are consistent with the company's fuel adjustment filing in this docket. Lines 2 through 4 reflect the 10 11 estimated fuel savings supplied by Mr. Tomczak. Lines 5 through 10 reflect a computation of the estimated revenue 12 requirements associated with the Gannon Oil Backout 13 Project. Lines 11 through 13 reflect a computation of the 14 estimated net savings and the amount available for 15 additional depreciation under the Clause, as determined on 16 Lines 14 through 19 reflect the 17 a six-month basis. computation of the Oil Backout Cost Recovery Factor 18 19 including the estimated net true-up adjustment required as 20 of March 1994.

21

Q. Ms. Townes, please explain your computation of revenue requirements shown on lines 5 through 10.

24

25 A. The computation begins on line 5 with the estimated

straight-line depreciation expense associated with the various components of the Plant in Service investment. The monthly provisions for depreciation reflected on line 5 are based on the currently approved depreciation rates for the various components of the Plant in Service Line 6 reflects the estimated interest investment. carrying cost of the Plant in Service investment. projected monthly interest expense is determined based on the projected debt cost applied to the average debt balance for each month. Income tax expense, shown on line 7, is computed on Document 3. The estimated monthly property tax expense is shown as Taxes Other Than Income Taxes on line 8. The amounts shown on line 9 represent the operation and maintenance expense differential which was furnished by Mr. Tomczak. Total revenue requirements reflected on line 10 represent the sum of all revenue requirement components shown on lines 5 through 9.

18

19

20

1

3

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

Q. Ms. Townes, would you please explain Document 2 reflecting your computation of the Plant in Service investment?

21

22 A. Yes. Line 1 of Document 2 reflects the actual unrecovered 23 investment in Plant in Service at the beginning of each 24 month shown. Since no additional expenditures are 25 currently anticipated, line 2 indicates no additions to Plant in Service. Line 5 reflects the provision for depreciation for the period. These are the same amounts shown on line 5 of Documents 1 and 5. Line 6 reflects the additional depreciation permitted under the Oil Backout Recovery Clause, equivalent to 2/3 of the estimated net savings which is shown on line 13 of Documents 1 and 5. Line 7 reflects the estimated net unrecovered investment in Plant in Service at the end of the month.

10 Q. Ms. Townes, would you please explain further the
11 computation of income tax expense reflected on line 7 of
12 Documents 1 and 5?

A. Yes. The computation of these amounts is shown on Document 3. Referring to Document 3, lines 1 through 5 agree with amounts shown as components of revenue requirements including those associated with additional depreciation, on lines 5, 6, 8, 9, 10 and 13 on Documents 1 and 5. Line 7 reflects the portion of depreciation on line 2 which represents depreciation of the equity portion of AFUDC capitalized during construction. As this amount is not tax deductible, it represents a "permanent" difference between book and tax basis of plant. Thus, this portion of depreciation expense for each month must be added back to book income to compute income before

income taxes on line 8. Line 9 reflects the income tax expense before ratable amortization of investment tax credits using an effective income tax rate of 38.575%. Line 10 reflects the ratable amortization of investment tax credit consistent with the investment recovery via depreciation expense. Line 11 reflects the total income tax expense which agrees with amounts shown on line 7 of Documents 1 and 5.

Q. Ms. Townes, you indicated earlier that a key assumption in determining the factor for this projection period is the estimated true-up adjustment required for the six-month period ending March 1994. Please explain the calculation of the net true-up adjustment.

16 A. The projected cumulative net true-up adjustment as of
17 March 1994 represents an overrecovery of \$609,239 as shown
18 on line 15 of Document 1. The true-up adjustment is
19 calculated on Documents 4, 5 and 6.

The computation begins on Document 4 with the estimated tariff revenues to be billed under the Clause for each month in the period from October 1993 through March 1994, shown on Line 1. The Oil Backout Revenue applicable to this period is then reduced by the estimated/actual cost

recovery under the Clause for each month in the period from October 1993 through March 1994. The amounts on Line 4 are calculated on Document 5. To this true-up provision shown on Line 5 by month, is added the beginning of the month true-up and interest provision, shown on Line 6 for a cumulative end of the period net true-up before interest, shown on Line 8. The resulting estimated true-up provision at March 1994, of \$609,239 is shown on Line 10 of Document 4.

11 Q. What was the projected true-up amount for the six months
12 ended September 1993 which was included in the Oil Backout
13 cost recovery for the period October 1993 - March 1994?

In the filing dated July 7, 1993, the company projected a cumulative underrecovery of \$4,605 as of September 1993 which is currently being collected. The actual overrecovery at September 1993 was \$193,724, as reflected on line 6 of Document 4. The actual overrecovery at September 30, 1993, is due to lower than anticipated interest expense.

Q. What is the status of the estimated payback of the Gannon conversion project?

A. As shown on Exhibit No. (RFT/EAT-3), titled "Comparison of Projected Payoff with Original Estimate, as of November 1993," cost recovery is now projected for 2000.

The delay in recovery from the original projection submitted during the 1982 qualification hearings is due primarily to reduced estimated fuel savings, as sponsored by Mr. Tomczak.

9 Q. Please explain any significant variances noted in the

10 payoff comparison.

12 A. Actual straight-line depreciation is less than the
13 original projection in 1982 due to overestimating the
14 depreciation associated with early retirement of the
15 existing plant.

Significant variances noted in the cost of capital and income tax components are due to the current estimate

being based on the approved 100% debt financing; whereas, the original estimate was based on conventional financing,

which included a combination of debt and equity. Since

conventional financing included an equity component,

income taxes were provided on the return associated with

the equity component.

21

22

23

24

An estimate for taxes other than income taxes was not included in the original estimate. An estimate is now included since property taxes can be more reasonably determined.

In the original estimate, revenue taxes were included as part of the base revenue requirement (the sum of straight-line depreciation, cost of capital, income taxes, taxes other than income taxes, operation and maintenance differential, and revenue taxes). Revenue taxes are now excluded from the base revenue requirement. The Regulatory Assessment fee is included in the total to be billed by grossing up the Oil Backout factor.

The net result of the changes between the original and current estimate is a decrease in base revenue requirement. However, the expected additional depreciation has declined due to reduced fuel savings. Additional depreciation is computed as two-thirds of the excess of fuel savings over the base revenue requirement determined on a six-month filing period as required under the Oil Backout Clause.

Q. Ms. Townes, does this conclude your testimony?

1 A. Yes, it does.

DOCKET NO. 940001-EI
TAMPHIBLECTRIC COMPANY
OIL BACKOUT
SUBMITTED FOR FILING U1/24/94

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	TAMPA ELECTRIC COMPANY
7	BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION
8	DOCKET NO. 940001-EI
9	
LO	Re: Levelized Oil Backout Cost Recovery Factor
11	April 1994 - September 1994
12	
13	
14.	TESTIMONY AND EXHIBITS OF:
15	
16	R. F. Tomczak
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

TAMPA ELECTRIC COMPANY
DOCKET NO. 940001-EI
OIL BACKOUT
SUBMITTED FOR FILING 01/24/94

1		BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION
2		PREPARED DIRECT TESTIMONY
3		OF
4		R. F. TOMCZAK
5		
6	Q.	Please state your name, address and occupation.
7		
8	A.	My name is Robert F. Tomczak. My mailing address is P. O.
9		Box 111, Tampa, Florida 33601, and my business address is
10		6820 South Tamiami Trail, North Ruskin, Florida 33570. I
11		am Vice President-Production Operations and Maintenance of
12		Tampa Electric Company.
13		
14	Q.	Please furnish a brief outline of your educational
15		background and business experience.
16		
17	λ.	I graduated in 1962 from the University of Buffalo with a
18		Bachelor of Science degree in Industrial Engineering. In
19		1970, I completed the Public Utility Executive Course at
20		the Georgia Institute of Technology, and in 1984 I
21		completed the Public Utility Executive Program at the
22		University of Michigan. My career at Tampa Electric
23		Company began in 1962 when I was employed as a
24		Distribution Engineer. Since that time I have served as
25		Meter Engineer, Manager of Meter Operations, General

Manager of Western Service Area, Assistant to the
President, Manager - Gannon Station, General Manager Traveling Maintenance, Assistant to the Vice President Production, and General Manager - Production Services. In
1985, I was elected to my current position as Vice
President - Production Operations and Maintenance.

7

8 Q. Will you describe some of the responsibilities of your
9 present position?

10

12 I am responsible for the engineering, operation,
13 maintenance, and construction of the power production
14 facilities to include safety of personnel and equipment,
15 security, training, control of costs, and various
16 personnel and administrative functions.

17

18 Q. Mr. Tomczak, what is the objective of your testimony?

19

20 A. The objective of my testimony is to present the cost
21 associated with the conversion of four of Tampa Electric
22 Company's generating units from oil to coal. In addition,
23 I will sponsor the calculation of the operation and
24 maintenance expense differential and the determination of
25 fuel savings for the projection period and the projected

payoff period.

2

3 Q. How does your testimony relate to the testimony of other 4 witnesses in this proceeding?

5

Ms. Blizabeth Townes is sponsoring the overall calculation 6 of the company's Oil Backout Cost Recovery Factor for the 7 period April 1994 - September 1994, as well as the 8 estimated payoff period for the total project. 9 10 calculations, Ms. Townes develops the basic revenue requirements of the project using the actual cost of the 11 12 conversion assets, and my projection of the operation and 13 maintenance expense differential and the fuel savings 14 resulting from the conversion. Kilowatt-hour sales and fuel costs are consistent with those used in the company's 15 16 fuel adjustment filing.

17

18 Q. Have you prepared documents in support of your testimony?

19

20 A. Yes. I have prepared portions of documents which are
21 included in a composite Exhibit No. (RFT/EAT-2) titled
22 "Schedules Supporting Oil Backout Cost Recovery Factor"
23 and Exhibit No. (RFT/EAT-3) titled "Comparison of
24 Projected Payoff with Original Estimate, as of November
25 1993." These exhibits are being jointly sponsored by

Ms. Townes and me. 2 What is the status of the project? Q. 3 The conversion of Gannon units 1 through 4 from oil to 5 coal is complete. The units were placed into commercial 6 service as follows: 7 8 October 6, 1985 Unit 1 9 May 23, 1985 Unit 2 10 July 12, 1984 Unit 3 11 November 7, 1983 Unit 4 12 13 What is the cost of the Oil Backout assets which are Q. 14 included in the cost recovery computation in this 15 proceeding? 16 17 The total cost of the conversion project to be recovered A. 18 through the Clause is \$140.5 million. No additional 19 expenditures are anticipated. 20 21 Q. What are the projected fuel savings which will occur as a 22 result of the operation of the converted Ganron units

during the projection period?

23

24

As shown on Line 4 of Document 1, total fuel savings 1 resulting from the project for the period April 1994 -September 1994 are expected to be \$4,088,710. This amount 3 is based upon the difference in fuel expenses from production costing runs which simulate dispatch of all 5 generating units with and without the conversion of the 6 7 Gannon units. The assumptions for sales, unit ratings, heat rates, coal and No. 6 oil prices and availability 8 9 factors are consistent with those used by the company in its fuel adjustment filing in this docket. 10

11

12 Q. Have you calculated the projected operating and
13 maintenance expense differential of the project for April
14 1994 - September 1994?

15

16 A. Yes, I have calculated the operation and maintenance 17 expense differential for this period to be \$1,820,793 as 18 shown on line 9 of Document 1.

19

20 Q. Please explain how the operation and maintenance expense 21 differential was calculated.

22

23 A. The operation and maintenance differential consists of the 24 oil/non-oil operating expense differential and other 25 projected costs resulting from the Oil Backout project. This differential was calculated by applying a percentage representing the increased operation and maintenance costs associated with coal-firing to total projected operation and maintenance expenses pertaining to the converted Gannon units. The percentage was derived by comparing historical operation and maintenance costs for Gannon units 1-4 as oil-fired to historical operation and maintenance costs for Gannon units 5 and 6 as coal-fired. Specifically identifiable costs to be incurred to comply with the Oil Backout Cost Recovery Rule were added to the operating expense differential to derive the total operation and maintenance differential.

The operation and maintenance differential as shown on Exhibit No. (RFT/EAT-3) "Comparison of Projected Payoff with Original Estimate, as of November 1993," is now higher than the original estimate since the original estimate did not include maintaining the assets required for dual firing capability. In addition, the current estimate is based on more detailed engineering estimates and actual experience associated with the converted units.

Q. Mr. Tomczak, please explain the decrease in fuel savings indicated on the projected payoff exhibit.

- The reduction in fuel savings is due to a decrease in the projected differential between the price of oil and the price of coal, and a decrease in the projected system energy requirements. The current estimate of fuel savings is based on long-term fuel price and energy projections prepared in conjunction with this current fuel adjustment clause filing.
- 9 Q. Does this conclude your testimony?
- **A.** Yes.

TAMPA ELECTRIC COMPANY

SCHEDULES SUPPORTING OIL BACKOUT

COST RECOVERY FACTOR

APRIL 1994 – SEPTEMBER 1994

OIL BACKOUT COST RECOVERY

INDEX

00	CUMENT NO.	TITLE	PAGE
	1	SUMMARY OF OIL BACKOUT COST RECOVERY COMPUTATION WITH FACTOR	1
	2	PLANT IN SERVICE INVESTMENT	2
	3	COMPUTATION OF OIL BACKOUT INCOME TAXES	3
	4	OIL BACKOUT TRUE-UP COMPUTATION	4
	5	SUMMARY OF OIL LACKOUT COST RECOVERY COMPUTATION	5
	6	CALCULATION OF DIL BACKOUT INTEREST PROVISION	6

TAMPA ELECTRIC COMPANY

SUMMARY OF CIL BACEDUT COST RECOVERY COMPUTATION

April 1994 through September 1994

	Line			1500								
	Ne		Unio	Mine	Seere	Ari	Мп	1mm	Lake	ALCE	Sentenber.	Total
	1.	Soles	MWR	Toucast		1,005,249	1.075.542	1.243.077	1.303.547	120,04	157277	22/0171
		Parl Seviegs:										
	2	Feel and Net Power Transactions										
	-	without Conversion	3	Tomczak		\$26,707,656	231.489.519	\$34,109,311	234,000,360	\$37,708,897	924 820 410	\$200,846,362
	3.		•	10EE		320,707,630	331,467,317	454,105,111	200,000,000	031,100,091	434,636,617	320,00,002
		with Conversion	3	Tomezak		26,096,424	30.412.749	33,144,061	35,368,590	37,286,607	34.449.199	196,757,652
	4.	Fuel Savings	3	Tamanah	Line 2 - Line 3	\$611,230	\$1,076,770	\$965,230	\$431,770	1472.750	\$381.420	\$4.044.210
	۹.	t ou zeneh		1 Out 12 K	MW 2 - 1286 3	MILLER	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	2001.IIV	P. L. A. N.	2371320	STORE VIX
		Reseaue Requirement:										
	5.	Straight-Line Depreciation	2	Towns	Decament 2	2584,605	2584,605	2584,605	\$584,605	\$584,605	2584,605	\$3,507,630
	6.	laterat Expense	2	Toware		113,276	101.585	100,110	108,416	97,162	95,688	616.237
١.	7.	Income Tax Expense	3	Towns	Decement3	(51,961)	(51,961)	(51,961)	(51,961)	(51,961)	(51,961)	
7	8.	Taxes Other Than I scome Taxes	3	Toware		42,395	42,395	42,395	42,395	42,395	42,395	254,370
	9.	O & M Differential	8	Tourzak		238.171	स्राजाः	288,076	241.946	34174	440.134	1.570.773
	10.	Resease Requirements	\$	Toware	Lines 5+6+7+8+9	2725.426	\$927.542	\$943,725	\$725,407	\$1,033,345	21710%2	\$5,487,264
		Additional Depreciation:										
	11.	Net Savings	3	Towne	Line 4 - Line 10	(\$315,256)	\$148,828	\$2,005	(\$293,431)	(\$611,055)	(\$729,445)	(\$1,798,554)
	12	Customer Retained Savings	\$	Towns		315,256	(1.44.E2E)	<u>(2.005)</u>	292.631	611.055	723.445	\$1,798,554
	13:	Additional Depreciation	\$	Towns	Line 11 - Line 12	90	\$0	\$0	\$0	20	\$0	\$0
	14.	Cost Recovery for the Period	3	Towns	Line 10 + Line 13	\$926,484	8927,942	\$963,225	\$925,401	\$1,033,345	\$1,110,865	\$5,887,264
	15.	Prior Period Net True-Up	3	Towns	Daument 4	(101.540)	(191.540)	(101.540)	(101,540)	(101.540)	(101.539)	(609.239)
	16.	Total Cast Recovery		Towse	Line 14 + Line 15	20124	2574.407	2461.445	2070.861	\$931_EE	\$1,002,324	25.778.075
	17.	Oil Backout Cost Recovery Factor	e/KWH	Toware	Line 16/Line 1							0.07281
	18,	Oil Backout Cost Recovery Factor Adjusted for Revenue Tanus	e/KWH	Towns	Line 17 x 1.00083				29			0.0729
	19.	Rounded Oil Backout Recovery Pactor	«/KWH	Towns								0.073

H

EXHIBIT NO.

DOCKET NO. 940001~BI

TAMPA BLECTRIC COMPANY
(RFT/BAT-2)

DOCUMENT NO. 1

PAGE 1 of 1

TAMPA ELECTRIC COMPANY

PLANT IN SERVICE INVESTMENT

October 1993 through September 1994

Line No.	Actual October	Actual Necessian	December	LANDEZ	Reletace	March	Anii	Max	lane	Ish	Ancut	Satraba
). Beginning Net Plant Balance	\$46,295,176	845,710,571	\$45,125,966	\$44,541,360	\$43,954,755	\$43,372,150	842,787,545	\$42,202,940	\$41,618,335	\$41,033,730	\$40,449,125	\$39,864,520
2. Addition to Plant in Service					0	0	0	0	0	0	0	•
3. Cont of Removal / Salvage	2		2	9	9			2	1	9	2	2
4. Balance (Lines 1 + 2 + 3)	\$46,295,176	845,710,571	\$45,125,966	\$44,541,360	343,956,755	\$43,372,150	842,787,545	842,202,940	\$41,614,335	\$41,033,730	\$40,449,125	239,864,520
5. Straight - line Depreciation	(584,405)	(584,405)	(584,606)	(584,605)	(584,605)	(584,605)	(584,605)	(584,605)	(584,605)	(584,605)	(584,605)	(584,605)
5. Additional Depreciation			2	2	9	9	9	1	9	2	•	
7. Ending Net Plant Balance	245710.577	METERN	\$44.541.340	243.954.755	MILTIZALEN.	112.777.545	\$12.702.940	MALLES	241.611.73	240.649.125	39.844.57 0	क्रमाध

THE RESTRICTION IN

COMPUTATION OF OIL DACES OF SECOND SALES

Cambry 2015 through Depturation 2014

	Lin			Access	-											
	Ma		Some	Omder	Hamile		_	E-man	Manage	And	Mar	Jaco	24	August	leaster.	
			Dominated A.S. Liber 19	D61.757	2012,740	SIMOR	DC1.00	ELEILER.	FF3.10	FR401	B27.942	PK1/25	(6725,491	\$LEXILUE	SLIMAN	
	L	- edd depres	Omnes A5, Lbe 3	0		0	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	
		Daywiston-sraight	Oromati 45, Uhr 5	(34 (3 5)	(242)	(PLP4)	(BVB)	(04.00)	(04,00)	(04/85)	(PL(E))	(BL(B))	(24,26)	(24,003)	(24/25)	
	L	- edd	Description D	0	0	•		•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	
	1	Image Equa	Downson &S, Live 6	(METAL)	(F.36)	(M.951)	(18,137)	(84,007)	(PLSD)	(10,776)	(101,581)	(190,139)	(M(4%)	(7,10)	(1,000)	
	4	Time Other Then														
		lower Temp	Ommen 1 & 5, Line 8	MAID	64912)	6(912)	(CM)	(12,765)	(2275)	(02,395)	(C)(1)	(E)71)	(62,395)	(62,765)	(C)75)	
C.	3 5.	O A M Offersid	Doormot 1 & S, Uhr 9	(C) (TEST)	aren			10040)			enna)		(MICHE)	CRITHO	HALE)	
	6.		Lines 1+2+3+4+5	(CSL/641)	(651,941)	(E3 (961)	(ES L941)	(ES L941)	(ES (261)	(65 L961)	(63 (.961)	(EST-201)	(ERF#1)	(EST-001)	(ES LOS 1)	
	7	Depreciation of AFUDC Equity		292	2.000	2.92	1.00	2.000	1.00	299	2.00	2.99	149	2.99	2.988	
	8.	Income Below Institute						1444	14.20			20.00		00.00	00.00	
		Tues	Line4+1													
	۹.	Iversity Tones	Line8 s 38.575 %		(B.965)	(618,983)	(F 18,003)	(F 181,003)	(12,90)	(FIL 983)	(12,40)	(FEL.983)	(18,00)	(EE,965)	(12,40)	
	10.	Association of FTC				(1)			man)	(TAME)	(MAR)			(1)	(ILAM)	
	11	FEBRUAR THE Expense	Document 1 dt 5, Uhm 7		ELLEND	CITATI	Collecti	CHECK	WILMID	ELIMID	errem	CITCH	MINU	WILLIA	ELITATI	
																(

EXHIBIT NO.

DOCKET NO. 940001-BI

TAMPA BLECTRIC COMPANY
(RFT/BAT-2)

DOCUMENT NO. 3

PAGE 1 of 1

TAMPA BLECTRIC COMPANY

OIL BACKOUT TRUE-UP COMPUTATION

October 1993 through Morch 1994

	Line No.		Actual October	Actual Mercurlan	. Resealer	Lanner	February	March	Total	
	1.	Oil - Backoot Cost Recovery Revenue (Net of Revenue Taxes)	\$1,176,517	\$1,042,284	81,032,628	31,063,632	1995,826	\$965,777	\$6,276,664	
	2.	Adjustment not Applicable to this period (Prior use-up)	(750)	(MA)	(MI)	(768)	(7.69)	(765)	(4.605)	
	3,	Oil-Backout Revenue Applicable to this period (Line 1 + 2)	1,175,749	1,041,516	1,031,000	1,062,864	995,058	965,012	6,272,059	
	4.	Jurisdictional Oil-Backout Con Recovery Authorized (Document 5, Line 14)	(863,757)	(992,749)	(1.055.3 12)	(955,585)	(1,011,689)	(999.560)	(5.869.652)	
A	5,	True-up Provision for the Mouth Over(Under) Collection (Line 3 + 4)	311,592	48,767	(23,452)	107,379	(16,631)	(25,548)	402,407	
	6.	True-up and Interest Provision for the Month Beginning of the Month	193,724	507,408	558,337	537,130	646,738	632,472	193,724	
	7.	True-up Collected/(Refunded)	260	764	265	768	268	265	4,605	
	8.	End of the Period Net True-up Before Interest (Line 5 + 6 + 7)	506,484	556,943	535,653	645,177	630,875	607,689	600,736	
	9.	Interest Provision for the Mosth Interest (Document 6, Line 10)	224	1.394	1.477	1.561	1.597	1,550	8,503	
	10.	End of the Period Net True - up Over/(Under) Recovery (Line 8 + 9)	2597,498	2558.337	2537,130	3616,738	3632,472	2609,239	3609.239	

E.

DOCERT NO. 944001-BI
TAMPA BLECTRIC COMPANY
(RFT/BAT-2)
DOCUMENT NO. 4
PAGE 1 of 1

TAMPA ELECTRIC COMPANY

EMMARY OF CIL BACEOUT COST RECOVERY COMPUTATION

October 1993 through March 1994

	Line		17 ala	Was	Source	Actual	Actno	December	January	Pelcago	Marsh	Tetal
	Ne		U	1.7	2000		A					
	1.	Soles	MWH	Tomak		1177.45	14010	1.001.489	1.064.519	296.656	264.582	(28) M
		Fuel Savings:										
	2	Puel and Net Power Transactions without Conversion	\$	Tomazak		827,302,792	\$24,108,454	\$26,648,403	\$27,303,478	\$23,660,460	\$25,457,212	\$154,480,799
	3.	Puck and Net Power Transactions with Conversion	\$	Tomezak		27,449,264	24,356,544	25.711.903	77.397.704	13.592.690	25.201.932	154.516.481
	4.	Feel Savings	s	Tomesak	Line 2 — Line 3	(8137.472)	(\$241.534)	(\$63,500)	2770	\$157,770	755.780	(D)(AFZ)
		Resease Requirements:										
	5.	Straight-Line Depreciation	\$	Towns	Decement 2	2584,605	2584.605	\$584,606	2584,605	\$584,605	2584,605	83,507,631
-	6.	lateral Expense	3	Towns		90,357	92.286	106,955	118,137	106,007	104,533	620,275
S	7.	Income Tax Expense	3	Towns	Decement 3	(58,644)	(\$1,961)	(51,961)	(51,961)	(\$1,961)	(51,961)	(318,449)
		Taxes Other Than I acome Taxes	2	Toward		(26,883)	54,912	54,912	42,395	42,395	42,395	210,126
	9.	O & M Differ ential	\$	Tomczak		274.322	312.997	358,800	262.409	330,643	310.984	1.850.062
	10.	Revenue Requirements	s	Towse	Lines 5+6+7+8+9	2063.757	2777.749	\$1.095312	2955.585	31.01.40	\$790,540	15.849.657
		Additional Depreciation:									(\$735,280)	(\$5,905,334)
	11.	Net Savings	\$	Torse	Line 4 - Line 10	(\$1,001,229)	(\$1,241,279)	(\$1,118,812)	(\$954,815)	(\$853,919)	735,280	\$5,905,334
	12	Customer Retained Savings	3	Ionse		1.001.229	1.241.279	1.118.412	254.815	453.919	133.224	<u> </u>
	13.	Additional Depreciation	\$	Townses	Line 11 - Line 12	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
	14,	Cast Recovery for the Period	\$	Towns	Line 10 + Line 13	\$463,757	\$992,749	\$1,055,312	\$955,585	\$1,011,689	\$990,560	\$5,849,652
	15.	Prior Period Net True-Up	8	Torse	Decument4	(32.287)	(32.287)	(32.287)	(32.287)	(32.287)	(32.289)	(193,774)
	16.	Total Cast Recovery	8	Towns	Line 14 + Line 15	2631.47P	2742.462	STATE OF THE PARTY	5773.753	2573.ATZ	2953.271	25,675,572

EXHIBIT NO. 940001-EI

DOCKET NO. 940001-EI

TAMPA ELECTRIC COMPANY

(RFT/EAT-2)

DOCUMENT NO. 5

PAGE 1 of 1

TAMPA BLECTRIC COMPANY

CALCULATION OF OIL BACKGUT INTERSET PROVISION

October 1995 through March 1994

	Line	Actock	Actne					
	No.	October.	Hermann.	Descript	LABOURY	Reignan	March	
	1. Beginning True-up Aspenst Decement 4, Lin	e 6 In 99,724	\$997,400	2554,337	\$537,130	\$646,738	\$632,472	
	2. Ending Tree-up Amount Before Interest Decement 4, Lin		154.943	535.653	645,177	630,875	607.589	
	3. Total True-up Amount Lieu 1 + 2	1700.200	11.04.351	\$1.023.539	\$1,182,367	\$1,277,613	21.249.161	
	4. Average True-up Amount Line 3/2	(1350.104	1552.124	144.52	8591,154	2638,807	2620,061	
	5. Interest Rate - First Day of Mouth	3.1995	3.140%	3.150%	3.340%	3.000%	3.000%	
Ø2	6. Interest Rate — First Day of Subsequent Month	1105	11525	13472	3.400%	3.000%	3.000%	
	7. Total Beginning and Ending Intervet Rate Lieu 5 + 6	1.005	1225	ACREA.	4.340%	6.090%	5.000%	
	8. Average Interest Rate Line 7/2	1146	11455	3.245%	3.170%	3.000%	3,000%	
	9. Mostly Average Interest Rate Line #/12	0.244	0.2025	1.700	9.264%	9.259%	0,750%	
	10. Monthly Interest Provides Line 9 for sources and	2724	SL.EM	\$1.477	\$1.561	21.597	\$1.550	8.503

DOCKET NO. 540001-BI
TAMPA BLECTRIC COMPANY
(RF1/EAT-2)
DOCUMENT NO. 6
PAGE 1 of 1

EXHIBIT NO. ______

DOCKET NO. 940001-BI

TAMPA ELECTRIC COMPANY
(RFT/EAT-3)
SUBMITTED FOR FILING 1/24/94

TAMPA ELECTRIC COMPANY

GANNON CONVERSION PROJECT

COMPARISON OF PROJECTED PAYOFF WITH ORIGINAL DETIMATE

AS OF NOVEMBER 1993

TAMPA BLETTER COMPANY CILEACRET VANANCE ANALYSES

April 1994 through Suprember 1994

	Um	10. 7	Actual	Actual	Actual	Actual	Actual	Actual	Actual	Arms	Artral	Actual								
	Ne	Decription	1983	1964	1905	1985	1967	1988	1988	1999	1991	1992	1993	1994	1995	1994	1997	1994	1999	2000
	1.	Straight- Line Degracio	tion																	
	2.	Current Estimate	3617	5,441	7,748	6,351	6,976	7,051	7,016	7.015	7,915	7.016	2011	2014						
	1	Original Estimate	2.00	5.874	2.72	8.726	7.845	2.845	7.845	2.845	1,015	1	7,015	7,016	7,015	7,015	7,016	7,015	7,015	2923
	4.	Variance	(17.71)	(415)	*	0130	(267)	(794)	(633)	(430)	2.015	2.816	2.015	7.014	7.015	7.015	2.016	2.015	2.015	2.970
	5.	Cost of Capital																		
	6.	Current Entimate	3562	5,057	7,171	7,826	6,592	6,488	6,674	5,447	3,699	2.271	1,079	1,246	1,017	774	586	170		
	7.	Original Estimate	21.573	8.245	1245	15,903	14.244	11.712	4.511	4.250	2	2	2	2	1,017	9	1	170	1	2
	8.	Variance	(\$3.461)	(2.588)	(SAFT)		(7.652)	(\$271)	(LDD)	1.197	2492	2.271	1.275	1.246	1.017	774	224	170	1	1
	9.	Income Tames																		
	10.	Current Betimate	(\$184)	(2,810)	(2557)	(521)	(670)	(615)	(649)	(1,025)	(391)	(615)	(624)	(624)	(699)	(594)	(965)			
	П.	Original Estimate	23764	5.229	1.873	2,875	1.414	6.851	4.622	1.544	2	2	•	9	9	9	9	(266)	2	•
	12.	Verlance	(\$3,299)		(10.300)	(10.402)	0177	(7.444)	(5.271)	(2.519)	DYL	(615)	(124)	(124)	(499)	(594)	(363)	(244)	9	•
1.	13.																			
10	14.	Current Estimate	30	411	817	1,274	604	586	785	768	757	705	659	509	474	426	388	321		
	15.	Original Estimate	22	1	1	1	2	1	•	1	•	1	2	9	•	2	2	0	9	2
	16.	Variance	22	411	917	1.274	***	236	785	740	257	705	659	599	474	426	144	321	9	9
	17.	Operation & Maintenant	e Diff.																	
	18.	Current Estimate	\$124	1,106	2322	3,675	3,858	3,759	3,556	3,640	3,512	3,684	3,603	3,644	3,771	3,911	4.055	4.000		
	19.	Original Estimate	2750	811	1.876	226	1.211	1.314	1.426	1.547	1	. 1	1	9	9	9	1,055	4,201	4,361	1,730
	20.	Variance	(2626)	295	446	2.729	2.647	2445	2.130	2.093	3.512	1444	3.492	3.444	3.771	3.911	4.855	4.205	4.361	1.730
	21.	Revenue Tann																		
	22.	Current Estimate	20					0	0	0	6		0							
	23.	Original Estimote	\$171	323	411	270	592	44	354	242	2	2	9	9	1	9	•	•	•	9
	24.	Variance	(\$17L)	(323)	(411)	(579)	(509)	(444)	(353)	(243)	2	•	•	Q	1	0	2	Q	9	9

EXHIBIT NO.

DOCKET NO. 540001—RI

TAMPA ELECTRIC COMPANY
(RFT/EAT—3)

DOCUMENT NO. 1

PAGE 1 of 2

TAMPA BLECTRIC COMPANY CIL BACKOUT VARIANCE ANALYSIS

April 1994 through September 1994

				H12000															
Lin No	Martin and the Control of the Contro	Actual 1983	Actual 1994	Actual 1985	Actual 1986	Actual 1987	Actual 1968	Actual 1909	Actual 1999	Actual 1991	Actual 1992	1993	1994	1995	1996	1997	1998	1999	. 2000
25.	Revenue Requirements																		
26.	Current Estimate	\$1,119	9,805	15,501	20,599	17,360	17,269		15,845	14,593	13,061	11,733	11,791	11,576	11,532	10,980	11,445	11,376	4,653
27.	Original Estimate	319,379	20,434	30,566	25,960	32,293	24.173	22,762	15.449	0	9	9	9	0	9	0	9	9	2
24.	Variance	(39,751)	(19.679)	(CHALL)	(15.361)	(14,933)	(10,904)	(5,300)	396	14.593	13.061	11.733	11.791	11.578	11.532	19,900	11.445	11.376	4,653
29.	Fuel Savings																		
30.	Current Estimate	\$4,050	20,142	35,339	4,292	14,193	1,526	15,888	20,196	(502)	1,307	(45)	6,509	11,712	10,754	17,503	18,957	21,598	12,757
31.	Original Estimate	\$3,261	29,222	66,258	65,729	45,200	71.420	\$1,900	26,192	194,983	102,993	112116	106.215	0	9	9	0	9	9
32.	Variance	2722	(2,090)	(19,919)	(61.437)	(\$1,007)	(69,894)	(66,892)	(75,906)	(105,485)	(101,696)	(112.161)	(99,796)	11.712	10.754	17,503	18,957	21.596	12.757
33.	Additional Deprociation																		
34.	Current Estimate	\$1,954	6,891	13,225	120	27	0	1,677	3,359	(2,517)		0	0	947	(323)	4,473	5,000	6,814	4,823
35.	Original Estimate	20	9	273	7.859	11.174	19,440	31,491	19,555	2	0	2	0	0	2	9	9	9	9
36.	Variance	\$1,954	5.891	12.952	(7.732)	(11,147)	(12,440)	(30,214)	(16.196)	(2.517)	9	0	9	947	(323)	4.473	5,008	6.814	4,823
37.	Accumulated Depreciation																		
38.	Current Estimate	32,571	14,983	35,876	44,347	51,350	58,401	67,094	77,468	81,966	85,982	95,997	103,013	110,975	117,667	129,156	141,179	155,000	162,754
39,	Original Estimate	22,820	8.696	16,697	33,282	52,301	79,586	119,322	146,722	146,722	144,722	146,722	146,722	146,722	146,722	146,722	146,722	146,722	146,722
40.	Variance	(\$249)	36,207	319,179	\$11,065	(3951)	(\$21,185)	(\$52,228)	(\$49.254)	(\$44,756)	(\$57,740)	(859,725)	(243,799)	(\$35,747)	(\$29,055)	(\$17.566)	(\$5,543)	39,284	\$16.032

* Includes 16% provision for cost removal. (FPSC Order No. 19573, 19438)

EXHIBIT NO.

DOCKET NO. 940001-EI

TAMPA ELECTRIC COMPANY
(RFT/EAT-3)

DOCUMENT NO. 1

PAGE 2 of 2