LAW OFFICES

MESSER, VICKERS, CAPARELLO, MADSEN, LEWIS, GOLDMAN & METZFILE COPY

A PROFESSIONAL ASSOCIATION

SUITE 701 215 SOUTH MONROE STREET POST OFFICE BOX 1876 TALLAHASSEE, FLORIDA 32302~1876 TELEPHONE (904) 222-0720 TELECOPIER (904) 224-4359

100

ACK .

CAF

OTH

CMU _____ CTR _____ EAG ____ SUITE 900 2000 PALM BEACH LAKES BOULEVARD WEST PALM BEACH, FLORIDA 33409 TELEPHONE (407) 640-0820 TELECOPIER (407) 640-8202

REPLY TO: Tallahassee

HAND DELIVERY

January 27, 1994

Mr. Steve Tribble, Director Division of Records and Reporting Florida Public Service Commission 101 East Gaines Street Tallahassee, Florida 32399-0850

RE: Docket No. 920199-WS

Dear Mr. Tribble:

On behalf of Southern States Utilities, Inc. ("SSU"), enclosed for filing in the above-referenced docket is an original and fifteen copies of SSU's Fifth Status Report addressing the status of water and wastewater deficiencies addressed in Order No. PSC-93-0423-FOF-WS. The Report is titled <u>Status of System Deficiencies</u> <u>per Final Rate Order in Docket No. 920199-WS</u>, <u>Report No. 5</u>. This Fifth Status Report is submitted in accordance with Order No. PSC-93-0423-FOF-WS, at page 16, and relates to the quality of service items referenced in the Final Order. Please note that this report addresses only the deficiencies addressed in the Final Order which remain unresolved.

Copies of this filing have been served on the parties of record in this docket pursuant to the attached certificate of service. Thank you for your assistance in processing this filing. Please contact me if you have any questions.

AFA _____ Please acknowledge receipt of these documents by stamping the extra copy of this letter "filed" and returning the same to me.

Sincerely,	
NY	VID
fenter &	AM
tonnoth A	TTAFFman

Kenneth A. Høffman

KAH/rl LEG Enclosures cc: Parties of Record, w/enclosures EIN. Brian P. Armstrong, Esq. 090 RCH _ SEC WAS

DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE

00904 JAN 27 5

FPSC-RECORDS/REPORTING

Mr. Steve Tribble Page 2 January 27, 1994

. •

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I HEREBY CERTIFY that a copy of the foregoing Status of System Deficiencies per Final Rate Order in Docket No. 920199-WS, Report No. 5, was furnished by U.S. Mail on this 27th day of January, 1994, to the following:

Harold McLean, Esq. Office of Public Counsel 111 West Madison Street Room 812 Tallahassee, FL 32399-1400

Lila Jaber, Esq. Division of Legal Service 101 East Gaines Street, Room 212 Tallahassee, FL 32399-0863

Susan W. Fox, Esq. MacFarlane Ferguson P. O. Box 1531 Tampa, Florida 33601

Michael Gross, Esq. Assistant Attorney General Department of Legal Affairs Room PL-01, The Capitol Tallahassee, Florida 32399-1500

Larry M. Haag, Esq. County Attorney 107 N. Park Avenue Suite 8 Inverness, Florida 34450

By: KENNETH A. HOFMAN, ESQ.

Michael S. Mullin, Esq. P. O. Box 1563 Fernandina Beach, FL 32034

Michael Twomey, Esq. Route 28, Box 1264 Tallahassee, FL 32310



STATUS OF SYSTEM DEFICIENCIES PER FINAL RATE ORDER IN DOCKET NO. 920199-WS

REPORT NO 5

JANUARY 27, 1994

DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE 00904 JAN 27 # FPSC-RECORDS/REPORTING 2743

TABLE OF CONTENTS

SUBJECT	PAGE
Outstanding Water Systems Requiring Eight Month Response Pine Ridge Utilities	1
Outstanding Water Systems Requiring Twelve Month Response Beechers Point	3
Outstanding Wastewater Systems Requiring Twelve Month Response Sugar Mill Woods University Shores	5

STATUS OF WATER SYSTEM'S DEFICIENCIES

REQUIRING EIGHT MONTH RESPONSE

DOCKET NO. 920199-WS

STATUS OF QUALIT	Y OF SERVICE	
System Name: Pine Ridge Utilities - Water	Status Report Date: 1/26/94	
County: Citrus	Area of Resp. Operations/Engineering	
I. Deficiency: Low pressure on Bonanza Street		
II. Corrective Action: This part of the distribution system was looped in 1992. That did not materially improve the pressure. The plant pressure will be increased by 10 psi in an attempt to raise the pressure at the customers premises.		
III. Current Status: Still evaluating solutions to this problem. The report prepared by Henigar and Ray, Consulting Engineers outlines 3 alternatives: interconnection with the County, installation of an additional well, or installation of in-line booster pump. SSU has decided to install the in-line booster station and the cost is estimated to be \$70,000.		
IV. Estimated Completion Date: By Mid 1994 depending on solution.		
Distribution:		
Region Manager: Area Supervisor: Engineering: Environmental Services: Rates: Operations Administration:		

STATUS OF WATER SYSTEM'S DEFICIENCIES

REQUIRING TWELVE MONTH RESPONSE

DOCKET NO. 920199-WS

System Name: Beechers Point - Water	Status Report Date: 1/26/94	
County: Putnam	Area of Resp. Engineering	
I. Deficiency: Sodium and Chlorides exceed MCL		
 II. Corrective Action: The company entered into a Consent Order (# 93-0332) with the FDER dated April 22, 1993 for improvements to correct the above referenced violations. Three options are outlined in the Order that include: 1. Interconnect with the Town of Welaka. 2. Submittal of engineering plans to permit additional treatment. 3. Secure a permit from St. Johns River Water Management District to remediate the existing wells at Beechers Point WTP to a more shallow depth pursuant to drawing better quality water. 		
If Option 1 is exercised, an interconnect shall be completed within 9 months of the date of the Order. If Option 2 is exercised, additional treatment shall be installed within 1 year of a permit issued by FDER. If Option 3 is exercised, the remediated wells shall be cleared bacteriologically and all chemical analysis required for community public water systems shall be received within 6 months of the effective date of the Order.		
III. Current Status: Option 1 has been selected. A special meeting of the Town Council was held on July 27th approving a resolution giving authority to serve outside the Town limits. The Town of Welaka approved the interconnection agreement on August 10, 1993. SSU has filed a permit application with FDER. Permit to construct interconnect approved by FDER December 14th. Citrus Pipeline started construction January 10th and completed construction on January 19th. Awaiting bacteriological results and final clearance from FDER before activating interconnect.		

IV. Estimated Completion Date: January 1994

.

Distribution:

Region Manager: Area Supervisor: Engineering: Environmental Services: Rates: Operations Administration:

STATUS OF WASTEWATER SYSTEM'S DEFICIENCIES

. . . . <u>.</u>

REQUIRING TWELVE MONTH RESPONSE

DOCKET NO. 920199-WS

System Name: Sugar Mill Woods - Wastewater	Status Report Date: 1/26/94	
County: Citrus	Area of Resp. Engr/Env. Services	
I. Deficiency: Various Effluent Violations and No Fence		
II. Corrective Action: Replaced numerous s wells rehabilitated.	pray heads in spray field. Monitoring	
III. Current Status: Preliminary design work is being done for plant modifications. Design being done by Henigar and Ray, Inc. Engineering report completed. Final design drawings underway. Modifications will include pretreatment headworks, new clarifier, chlorine contact chamber, additional sludge processing facilities, spray field modifications and fence. The construction permit was filed on or about December 16, 1993. Awaiting approval of permit from FDEP.		
IV. Estimated Completion Date: Mld 1994		
Distribution: Region Manager: Area Supervisor: Engineering: Environmental Services: Rates: Operations Administration:		

. . .

System Name: University-Wastewater Status Report Date: 1/26/94 County: Orange Area of Resp. Engr/Env. Services I. Deficiency: Effluent Disposal Capacity Iteration of Resp. Engr/Env. Services III. Corrective Action: Modifications to existing effluent disposal sites and study to determine the feasibility of additional sites for effluent disposal. See the attached correspondence and copy of Water Reuse Rerating Study performed by PBG&S dated April 15, 1993 enclosed in status report number 2. III. Current Status: At this time, the most viable alternative appears to be the purchase of wastewater treatment capacity, if available, from Orange County, SU is in the process of negatiding an agreement with Orange County. The analysis of alternative effluent disposal sites determined them to be a less viable option for timely resolution of this deficiency. IV. Estimated Completion Date: Mid 1994 Distribution: Region Manager: Area Supervisor: Engineering: Environmental Services: Regres: Operations Administration:			
I. Deficiency: Effluent Disposal Capacity II. Corrective Action: Modifications to existing effluent disposal sites and study to determine the feasibility of additional sites for effluent disposal. See the attached correspondence and copy of Water Reuse Rearting Study performed by PBG&S dated April 15, 1993 enclosed in status report number 2. III. Current Status: At this time, the most viable alternative appears to be the purchase of wastewater treatment capacity, if available, from Orange County, SSU is in the process of negotiating an agreement with Orange County. The analysis of alternative effluent disposal sites determined them to be a less viable option for timely resolution of this deficiency. IV. Estimated Completion Date: Mid 1994 Distribution: Region Manager: Area Superviso: Engineering: Environmental Services: Rates:	System Name: University-Wastewater	Status Report Date: 1/26/94	
II. Corrective Action: Modifications to existing effluent disposal sites and study to determine the feasibility of additional sites for effluent disposal. See the attached correspondence and copy of Water Reuse Rerating Study performed by PBG&S dated April 15, 1993 enclosed in status report number 2. III. Current Status: At this time, the most viable alternative appears to be the purchase of watewater treatment capacity, if available, from Orange County. SSU is in the process of negotiating an agreement with Orange County. The analysis of alternative effluent disposal sites determined them to be a less viable option for timely resolution of this deficiency. IV. Estimated Completion Date: Mid 1994 Distribution: Region Manager: Area Supervisor: Engineering: Environmental Services: Retes:	County: Orange	Area of Resp. Engr/Env. Services	
determine the feasibility of additional sites for effluent disposal. See the attached correspondence and copy of Water Reuse Rerating Study performed by PBG&S dated April 15, 1993 enclosed in status report number 2. III. Current Status: At this time, the most viable alternative appears to be the purchase of wastewater treatment capacity, if available, from Orange County, SSU is in the process of negotiating an agreement with Orange County. The analysis of alternative effluent disposal sites determined them to be a less viable option for timely resolution of this deficiency. IV. Estimated Completion Date: Mid 1994 Distribution: Region Manager: Area Supervisor: Environmental Services: Rates:	J. Deficiency: Effluent Disposal Capacity		
 purchase of wastewater treatment capacity, if available, from Orange County, SSU is in the process of negotiating an agreement with Orange County. The analysis of alternative effluent disposal sites determined them to be a less viable option for timely resolution of this deficiency. IV. Estimated Completion Date: Mid 1994 Distribution: Region Manager: Area Supervisor: Engineering: Environmental Services: Rates: 	determine the feasibility of additional sites for correspondence and copy of Water Reuse	or effluent disposal. See the attached Rerating Study performed by PBG&S	
Distribution: Region Manager: Area Supervisor: Engineering: Environmental Services: Rates:	purchase of wastewater treatment capacity, if available, from Orange County, SSU is in the process of negotiating an agreement with Orange County. The analysis of alternative effluent disposal sites determined them to be a less viable		
Region Manager: Area Supervisor: Engineering: Environmental Services: Rates:	IV. Estimated Completion Date: Mid 1994		
Area Supervisor: Engineering: Environmental Services: Rates:			
Environmental Services: Rates:	Area Supervisor:		
	Environmental Services:		

Γ.

J see