

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

VOTE SHEET

DATE: March 8, 1994

RE: DOCKET NO. ~~940133~~-TL - Investigation of CENTRAL TELEPHONE COMPANY OF FLORIDA'S provision of Centrex Service to Royal Oaks Apartments in violation of Section 364.339(1)(b), F.S., Order No. 17111, Rule 25-24.560, F.A.C., and General Customer Services Tariff 23.8.3.

Issue 1: Recommendation that Central Telephone Company of Florida (Centel) should be ordered to discontinue the provision of centrex service for residential resale to Royal Oaks Apartments and other establishments, in violation of Section 364.339(1)(b), F.S., Order No. 17111, Rule 25-24.560, F.A.C., and General Customer Service Tariff 23.8.3.

*Deferred*

Issue 2: Recommendation that Centel should be ordered to revise General Customer Services Tariff 23.7.1 to remove the definition of a transient end-user as one whose occupancy does not exceed nine months.

COMMISSIONERS ASSIGNED: Full Commission

COMMISSIONERS' SIGNATURES

MAJORITY

DISSENTING

\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_

REMARKS/DISSENTING COMMENTS:

PBC/RAR33(5/90)

*To the 3/22/94 Commission Conference*

02277-93  
PBC

Vote Sheet -  
Docket No. 940139-TL  
March 8, 1994

Issue 3: Recommendation that Royal Oaks should not be ordered to show cause why it should not be fined for providing telecommunications service to the public without prior Commission approval, in violation of Section 364.33, F.S.

Issue 4: Recommendation that Royal Oaks should be ordered to refund to each resident any excess amount staff determines it collected for telephone service that exceeds the amount each resident would have paid if the resident had obtained service directly from Centel.

Issue 5: Recommendation that this docket be closed if no protest is timely filed to the Commission's notice of proposed agency action.