Ja. J.

Steel Hector & Davis

Tallahassee, Florida

Matthew M. Childs, P.A. (904) 222 - 4448

ULIGINAL

February 6, 1995

Ms. Blanca S. Bayo, Director Division of Records and Reporting Florida Public Service Commission 101 East Gaines Street Tallahassee, FL 32399

RE: DOCKET NO. 950007-ET

Dear Ms. Bayo:

Enclosed for filing please find an original and fifteen (15) copies of Florida Power & Light Company's List of Issues and Positions in the above-referenced docket.

Also enclosed is a formatted double sided high density 3.5 inch diskette containing the List of Issues and Positions for Florida Power & Light Company.

M. (-
All fact ples	P
Matthew M. Childs,	PA
CNUI	
CTTMMC/ml _	
EAG Enclosure	
LCO LENCIOSURE	
Hcc: All Parties of Record	
RECEIVED & FILED	
DECEMBER OF THE PARTY OF THE PA	
EPSG-BUREAU GE RECORDS	
V. 15	

Tallahassee Office 215 South Monroe Suite 601 Tallahassee, FL 32301 - 1804 (904) 222 - 2300 Fax: (904) 222 - 8410

AlH -

4000 Southeast Financial Center Miami, FL 33131-2396 (305) 577-7000 Fax: (105) 358-1418

DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE

1900 Philips Point West 785 South Flagter Drive Dwills Palm Beach, FL 33401-6198 (407) 650-7200

FPSC-RECORDS/REPORTINGON 655-1500

BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

IN RE: Environmental) DOCKET	ON T	. 950007-EI	
Recovery Clause) FILED	: I	FEBRUARY 6,	1995

FLORIDA POWER & LIGHT COMPANY'S ISSUES AND POSITIONS

 What is the appropriate final environmental cost recovery true-up amount for the period April, 1994 through September, 1994?

FPL: \$111,561 overrecovery for the period including interest.

What is the estimated environmental cost recovery true-up amount for the period October, 1994 through March, 1995?

FPL: \$351,379 overrecovery for the period including interest.

3. What is the total environmental cost recovery true-up amount to be collected during the period April, 1995 through September, 1995?

FPL: \$462,940 net overrecovery.

4. What is the appropriate projected environmental cost recovery amount to be included in the recovery factors for the period April, 1995 through September, 1995?

FPL: The appropriate projected environmental cost recovery amount to be collected during the period is \$3,956,201. This amount consists of \$4,356,494 of projected environmental compliance cost for the period net of the prior period overrecovery.

DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE

01341 FEB-6 S

FPSC-RECORDS/REPORTING

5. What are the appropriate Environmental Cost Recovery Factors for the period April, 1995 through September, 1995 for each rate group?

Class	Environmental Recovery Factor (\$/KWH)
RS1	0.00010
	0.00010
	0.00010
	0.00009
	0.00010
	0.00009
	0.00009
트 이렇게 많지 않고 있다. (는 전통 및 다양 BOTH 100 H	0.00010
	0.00009
	0.00009
	0.00009
	0.00009
	0.00010
	0.00008
	0.00009
	RS1 GS1 GSD1 OS2 GSLD1/CS1 GSLD2/CS2 GSLD3/CS3 ISST1D SST1T SST1D CILC D/CILC G CILC T MET OL1/SL1 SL2

6. What should be the effective date of the new environmental cost recovery factors for billing purposes?

FPL: The Company is requesting that these new charges become effective starting with meter readings scheduled to be read on or after April 1, 1995 (Cycle Day 3) and continue through September 30, 1995 (Cycle Day 2). Billing cycles may start before April 1, 1995, and the last cycle may be read after September 30, 1995, so that each customer is billed six months regardless of when the factor becomes effective.

7. Should the Commission approve FPL's request to recover the cost of the Operation and Maintenance of the Continuous Emission Monitoring Systems through the Environmental Cost Recovery Clause?

FPL: Yes. The expenses are required to comply with the Clean Air Act Amendments of 1990 (Title IV). All expenses were incurred after April 13, 1993, are not being recovered in any other cost recovery mechanism; and were not considered at the time of FPL's last rate case.

8. Should the Commission approve FPL's request to recover the cost of the Corrective Action Program through the Environmental Cost Recovery Clause?

FPL: Yes. The expenses are required to comply with the Hazardous and Solid Waste Amendments of 1984 (HSWA), which revised the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act. All expenses were incurred after April 13, 1993, are not being recovered in any other cost recovery mechanism; and were not considered at the time of FPL's last rate case.

9. How should the costs for the two newly proposed environmental compliance activities be allocated to the rate classes?

FPL: The cost of the operation and maintenance of the Continuous Emission Monitoring System should be allocated on an energy basis consistent with Order No. PSC-94-0393-FOF-EI, and the cost of the Corrective Action Program should be allocated on an energy basis consistent with similar costs in FPL's last cost of service study.

WITNESSES AND SUBJECT MATTER

WITNESS	SPONSOR	SUBJECT MATTER	EXHIBIT TITLES	
B.T. BIRKETT	FPL	ECRC Factors for April 1995 through September 1995	Documents 1-8	
W.M. REICHEL	FPL	New Environmental Compliance Activities, Status of Projects	Documents 1-7	
		Respectfully submitted, STEEL HECTOR & DAVIS 215 South Monroe Street Suite 601 Tallahassee, FL 32301 Attorneys for Florida Po		

Matthew M. Childs, P.A.

& Light Company

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

DOCKET NO. 950007-EI

I HEREBY CERTIFY that a true and correct copy of Florida Power & Light Company's List of Issues and Positions has been furnished by Hand Delivery (**) and U. S. Mail this 6th day of February, 1995, to the following:

Martha C. Brown, Esq.** Legal Division Florida Public Service Commission 101 East Gaines Street Tallahassee, FL 32399

John Roger Howe, Esq. Office of Public Counsel 111 West Madison Street Room 812 Tallahassee, FL 32399

John W. McWhirter, Jr., Esq. McWhirter, Grandoff & Reeves P. O. Box 3350 Tampa, FL 33601-3350

Joseph A. McGlothlin, Esq. Vicki Gordon Kaufman, Esq. McWhirter, Grandoff & Reeves 315 South Calhoun Street Suite 716 Tallahassee, FL 32301

G. Edison Holland, Esq. Jeffrey A. Stone, Esq. Beggs and Lane P. O. Box 12950 Pensacola, FL 32576

Matthew M. Childs, P.A.