1		EFORE THE C SERVICE COMMISSION
2	FIORIDA FOBLI	C SERVICE COMMISSION
3		
4	In the Matter of	: DOCKET NO. 950001~EI
5	Fuel and Purchased Power Recovery Clause with Gen	
6	Performance Incentive Fa	
7		
8		
9	PROCEEDINGS:	PREHEARING CONFERENCE
10		
11	BEFORE:	COMMISSIONER J. TERRY DEASON Prehearing Officer
12		
13	DATE:	Monday, February 20, 1995
14	TIME:	Commenced at 1:30 p.m.
15		Concluded at 4:17 p.m.
16	PLACE:	Fletcher Building
17	4.5	FPSC Hearing Room 122 101 East Gaines Street
18		Tallahassee, Florida
19	REPORTED BY:	ROWENA NASH HACKNEY
20		Official Commission Reporter
21		
22	5	
23		
24		DOCUMENT HUMBER-DA
25		20001 35020

20.1	201	C 3	RA	NT.	$\neg \tau$	20	٠
A 1		P. 64	R AL	ru t	-		Ξ

Petersburg, Florida 33733, Telephone No. (813) 866-5098, appearing on behalf of Florida Power Corporation.

MATTHEW M. CHILDS, P.A., Steel, Hector & Davis, 215 South Monroe Street, Suite 601, Tallahassee, Florida 32301, Telephone No. (904) 224-7595, appearing on behalf of Florida Power and Light Company.

NORMAN H. HORTON, JR., Messer, Vickers,

Caparello, Madsen, Goldman & Metz, P.A., Post Office Box

1876, Tallahassee, Florida 32302-1876, Telephone No.

(904) 222-0720, appearing on behalf of Florida Public

Utilities Company.

RUSSELL A. BADDERS, Beggs & Lane, 700 Blount Building, 3 West Garden Street, P.O. Box 12950, Pensacola, Florida 32576-2950, Telephone No. (904) 432-2451, appearing on behalf of Gulf Power Company.

JAMES D. BEASLEY, Macfarlane, Ausley, Ferguson and McMullen, P.O. Box 391, Tallahassee, Florida 32302, Telephone No. (904) 224-9115, appearing on behalf of Tampa Electric Company.

APPEARANCES CONTINUED:

MARIAN B. RUSH, Salem, Saxon & Nielsen, P.C., Suite 3200, One Barnett Plaza, 101 East Kennedy Boulevard, P. O. Box 3399, Tampa, Florida 33601, Telephone No. (813) 224-9000, and

MICHAEL E. KAUFMANN, Brickfield, Burchette & Ritts, P.C., 1025 Thomas Jefferson Street, N.W., Eighth Floor - West Tower, Washington, D.C., 20005, Telephone No. (202) 342-0800, appearing on behalf of Florida Steel Corporation.

VICKI GORDON KAUFMAN, McWhirter, Reeves,

McGlothlin, Davidson and Bakas, 315 South Calhoun

Street, Suite 716, Tallahassee, Florida 32301, Telephone

No. (904) 222-2525, appearing on behalf of Florida

Industrial Power Users Group.

JOHN ROGER HOWE, Office of Public Counsel, 111
West Madison Street, Room 812, Tallahassee, Florida
32399-1400, Telephone No. (904) 488-9330, appearing on
behalf of the Citizens of the State of Florida.

MARTHA CARTER BROWN, Florida Public Service

Commission, Division of Legal Services, 101 East Gaines

Street, Tallahassee, Florida 32399-0863, Telephone No.

(904) 487-2740, appearing on behalf of the Commission

Staff.

## APPEARANCES CONTINUED:

PRENTICE P. PRUITT, Florida Public Service Commission, Office of General Counsel, 101 East Gaines Street, Tallahassee, Florida 32399-0862, Telephone No. (904) 488-7463, Counsel to the Commissioners.

## ALSO PRESENT:

ROBERTA BASS, FPSC Division of Electric and Gas.

0					5	
1				INDEX		
2				MISCELLANEOUS		
3	ITEM				PAGE	NO.
4		CER	rificate of	REPORTER	63	
5						
				ISSUES		
6	ISSUE	NO			PAGE	NO.
7	10001	no.				
	Issue	No.	1		14	
8	Issue	No.	2		14	
9	10000		-			
	Issue	No.	3		21	
10	Issue	No.	4		26	
11	10000					
	Issue	No.	5		28	
12	Issue	No.	6		28	
13						
	Issue	No.	7		30	
14	Issue	No.	8		33	
15	######################################					
	Issue	No.	9		34	
16	Issue	No.	10		43	
17					45	
	Issue	No.	11		45	
18	Issue	No.	12		46	
19						
20	Issue	No.	13		46	
20	Issue	No.	14		47	
21	82935				40	
22	Issue	No.	15		48	
22	Issue	No.	16		48	
23	A-070825-3-3-3				40	
24	Issue	No.	17		49	
24	Issue	No.	18		49	
25					50	
- 1	Issue	No.	19		30	

1			
2	Index of Issues Continued:		
3	Issue No. Pa	ge	No.
	Issue No. 20		51
4	Issue No. 21		51
5	Issue No. 22		53
6	Issue No. 23		54
7	Issue No. 24A		54
8	Issue No. 24B		55
9	Public Counsel's Generic Issue		55
10	Florida Steel's Issue (d)		58
11	Florida Steel's Issue (e)		59
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			

## PROCEEDINGS

## (Hearing convened at 1:35 p.m.)

COMMISSIONER DEASON: Call the prehearing conference to order.

First of all, let me apologize for the slight delay and the fact that we're meeting in a different room, but as I'm sure everyone is aware, the internal affairs that we had scheduled for today proceeded longer than we first envisioned. And with that, we'll begin with having the notice read.

MS. BROWN: By notice issued February 10th,

1995, this time and place was set for a prehearing

conference in the following dockets: Docket

No. 950001-EI, Fuel and Purchased Power Cost Recovery

Clause with Generating Performance Incentive Factor;

Docket No. 950002-EG, Energy Conservation Cost Recovery

Clause; Docket No. 950003-GU, Purchased Gas Cost

Recovery Clause; and Docket No. 950007-EI, Environmental

Cost Recovery Clause. The purpose of the prehearing

conference is described in the notice.

COMMISSIONER DEASON: Okay. We'll take appearences at this time.

MS. RUSH: Marian Rush, Salem, Saxon & Nielsen in Tampa, appearing on behalf of Florida Steel Corporation in the 950001 docket.

1	MR. KAUFMANN: Michael Kaufmann, Brickfield,
2	Burchette & Ritts, Washington, D. C., for the Intervenor
3	Florida Steel Corporation.
4	MR. HORTON: Norman H. Horton, Jr., Messer,
5	Vickers, Caparello, Madsen, Goldman & Metz, P.O. Box
6	1876, Tallahassee, appearing on behalf of Florida Public
7	Utilities in the 01, 02 and 03 dockets.;
8	MR. BEASLEY: Commissioner, I'm Jim Beasley,
9	P.O. Box 391, Tallahassee, Florida 32302, representing
10	Tampa Electric Company in the 01 and 02 dockets.
1	MR. HOWE: Commissioner Deason, I'm Roger Howe
12	with the Office of Public Counsel, appearing on behalf
13	of the Citizens of the State of Florida in the 01, 02,
14	03 and 07 dockets.
15	MS. KAUFMAN: Vicki Gordon Kaufman,
16	McWhirter, Reeves, McGlothlin, Davidson and Bakas,
17	315 South Calhoun Street, Suite 716, Tallahassee 32301.
18	I'm appearing on behalf of the Florida Industrial Power
19	Users Group in the 0001, 0002 and 0007 dockets.
20	MR. BADDERS: Russell A. Badders, Beggs &
21	Lane, 3 West Garden Street, P.O. Box 12950, Pensacola,
22	Florida 32576. I'm appearing on behalf of Gulf Power
23	Company in the 0001, 02 and 07 dockets. I'm also making
24	an appearance on behalf of Jeffrey Stone.

MR. McGEE: James McGee, Post Office Box

14042, St. Petersburg 33733. With me is Ronald M. Bright, the Class B practitioner. We are both on behalf of Florida Power Corporation in the 01 and 02 dockets.

MS. BROWN: Martha Carter Brown and Vicki D.

Johnson for the Florida Public Service Commission Staff
in the 01 and 07 dockets.

MR. PRUITT: And I'm Prentice P. Pruitt, counsel for the Commissioners.

MR. CHILDS: Commissioner, my name is Matthew Childs with the firm of Steel, Hector and Davis. I'm appearing on behalf of Florida Power & Light Company in the environmental cost recovery and fuel adjustment dockets.

COMMISSIONER DEASON: Okay, very well. Any other appearances?

Here again, I apologize for the cramped quarters, so we are going to have to be sharing microphones. But perhaps some of the dockets that are a little less controversial, we may get to proceed through those quite quickly.

Ms. Brown?

MS. BROWN: Commissioner Deason, it appears to us at this point that the 03 and 07 dockets may be the least controversial, and we suggest that we do those

first.

do 01.

2

1

3 4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

22

23

COMMISSIONER DEASON: Ms. Brown, do you have a recommendation as to whether we go into 01 or 02 at this point?

MS. BROWN: I really don't. We might as well

COMMISSIONER DEASON: Very well. Does anyone have a particular reason why 02 should be taken at this time?

We'll just go into 01 then.

MS. BROWN: Commissioner, I think once everyone gets situated, it would be a good idea to go straight through the draft Prehearing Order in this docket.

COMMISSIONER DEASON: Very well.

MS. BROWN: There may be several corrections and changes to make.

COMMISSIONER DEASON: I hope everyone is either at a microphone or sufficiently close to one that we can proceed now with the 01 docket.

And I will take Staff's advice and we will proceed through the O1 order at this time beginning with Section I, "Case Background." I assume there are no questions or corrections to Section I.

Section II is the procedure for confidential information, which is standard in all Commission orders. The only question I have is concerning the notice of the use of confidential information which is contained in Section B.1) on Page 3 of the draft Prehearing Order. Are there any parties at this time that know that they will be utilizing confidential information?

MS. RUSH: Commissioner?

COMMISSIONER DEASON: Yes. Ms. Rush, is it?

MS. RUSH: Yes, it is.

COMMISSIONER DEASON: Yes, Ms. Rush.

MS. RUSH: In some of the discovery that we are seeking from Florida Power and Light at this particular time, there may be some issues regarding confidential information.

According to the Prehearing Order, you will have seven days prior to the beginning of hearing, and I believe that would be March 1st. And I would just implore you to give notice of any information that you will be utilizing and that the Commission has -- I put you on notice that the Commission has a procedure set out in the order as far as the handling of confidential information so that we do not have to close our hearings.

1	MS. RUSH: Thank you.
2	COMMISSIONER DEASON: Section III addresses
3	prefiled testimony and exhibits. Any questions or
4	changes to Section III?
5	Section IV addresses the order of
6	witnesses.
7	MR. BEASLEY: I have one, Commissioner.
8	COMMISSIONER DEASON: Yes.
9	MR. BEASLEY: On the top of Page 6,
10	Ms. Townes' name is listed twice on that page. If we
11	could strike "and E. A. Townes" after "M. J. Pennino,"
12	at the top of the page, I think that would take care of
13	that problem.
14	COMMISSIONER DEASON: Very well. We'll make
15	that change.
16	MR. BEASLEY: Thank you.
17	COMMISSIONER DEASON: Any other changes or
18	questions concerning the order of witnesses?
19	MR. BADDERS: Gulf Power does, sir.
20	COMMISSIONER DEASON: Yes.
21	MR. BADDERS: We need to make a change to
22	Mr. Howell's testimony. He will address Issues 18, 19
23	and 21, also. And on Cranmer, delete 23 and add 18.
24	COMMISSIONER DEASON: I'm sorry, delete 23
25	MR. BADDERS: Delete 23 and add 18.

Thank you. 1 COMMISSIONER DEASON: Okay. 2 Ms. Rush, do you know which specific issues 3 your witness will be addressing? 4 MS. RUSH: I will defer to Mr. Kaufmann on 5 6 that. COMMISSIONER DEASON: Yes, Mr. Kaufmann? 7 MR. KAUFMANN: Specifically, there will be 8 testimony regarding the fuel cost estimates for the 9 latter period that's relevant to this docket and to the 10 proposed inclusion of approximately \$2.8 million of 11 plant betterment in the fuel clause. 12 COMMISSIONER DEASON: What I'm going to do is 13 once we go through all of the issues and we have an idea 14 of what the numbering scheme is going to be, if you will 15 identify the specific issue by number that your witness 16 will be addressing and give that information to 17 Ms. Brown and she'll incorporate that into the final 18

Prehearing Order.

MR. KAUFMANN: Yes, Commissioner.

19

20

21

22

23

25

COMMISSIONER DEASON: Any other questions or changes to the order of witnesses?

Very well. We'll proceed into Section V, which is the basic positions. Changes or corrections to basic positions?

1	Very well. We will proceed into Section VI,
2	which are the specific issues, and we'll begin with
3	Issue No. 1. And my question, basically, is Issue 1
4	possible for a stipulation?
5	MR. HOWE: Public Counsel will agree with
6	Staff on Issue 1.
7	COMMISSIONER DEASON: Ms. Kaufman?
8	MS. KAUFMAN: FIPUG will take no position.
9	COMMISSIONER DEASON: Very well. With that
10	understanding, I believe that all of the company
11	positions as well as Staff's position are the same; is
12	that correct?
13	MS. BROWN: That's correct, Commissioner. We
14	need a position, though, from Florida Steel.
15	MR. KAUFMANN: No position on that at this
16	time.
17	MS. BROWN: All right. Then I think we do
18	have a stipulated issue.
19	COMMISSIONER DEASON: Very well. Show Issue
20	as a stipulation.
21	Issue 2? The question for Issue 2 that I have
22	was that Staff has no position for Gulf. Is that still
23	your position?
24	MS. BROWN: Yes. Mr. Chairman, that is no
25	position pending resolution of company-specific issues.

COMMISSIONER DEASON: Very well.

MR. HOWE: Commissioner Deason, with that understanding, Public Counsel will agree with Staff on Issue No. 2.

COMMISSIONER DEASON: Very well.

MR. BADDERS: And Gulf Power on Issue 2, it depends on whether -- it depends on the resolution of Issue 9. I can give you the figures for both or just wait until we resolve Issue 9.

commissioner DEASON: Just incorporate your position consistent with your position on Issue 9, as to whatever number that is, and just show that as your position for Issue 2.

MR. BADDERS: Okay. It would be an underrecovery of 577,273.

COMMISSIONER DEASON: Underrecovery?

MR. BADDERS: Yes, sir.

COMMISSIONER DEASON: Very well.

MS. BROWN: Commissioner Deason, I'd like to just take a minute to point out the fact that we have two weeks before the hearing commences in the case, and I will have some opportunity to work with the attorneys to iron out the glitches in the final Prehearing Order and to correct numbers and all. I just wanted to let everyone know that.

--

commissioner DEASON: Well, that is certainly the normal and established practice, especially for a docket with this many numbers and calculations involved.

MS. BROWN: Yes.

commissioner DEASON: Any time that we can identify and incorporate corrections, we're certainly invited to do so and urge you to do so.

MR. KAUFMANN: Commissioner, we originally had stated, "No position." However, we he would like to wait to see. There are some filings which I have not checked to see whether they are filed, they either have just recently been filed or will be filed, showing the actual numbers for January of this year. We have also seen some numbers from December of last year which may be relevant to the hearing itself, and we may need to change our position on that if those show a substantial difference between the estimates and the actuals.

commissioner deason: So is this information -- do you already have this information in hand or are you awaiting discovery?

MR. KAUFMANN: Part of it we are waiting to be filed by Florida Power & Light. The original filing was based on some estimates, but the actuals will be in hand by the time of the hearing. So depending on what the

actuals show, we may need to change our position.

commissioner DEASON: Well, the concern I have is that if there are contrary positions to be taken, it's only fair to all parties in this case -- it would be to Florida Power & Light -- to know what is at issue.

Ms. Brown, how do we normally handle these situations?

MS. BROWN: I think Mr. Childs -- Mr. Childs looks like he's dying to say something. (Laughter)

COMMISSIONER DEASON: Mr. Childs?

MR. CHILDS: Well, I just would point out that this is an issue that deals with estimated true-up amounts. The numbers that counsel for Florida Steel may be trying to look at to get actuals deal with our estimated filing. That's the practice that we use. You go through the forecast, the estimated actual and then the final true-up. We are now at the estimated actual on this issue today, and I think the relevant inquiry would be if the estimates are correct, not to try to go back and true-up for another purpose.

COMMISSIONER DEASON: Well, is it Florida

Steel's contention that the estimates somehow are incorrect or are based upon faulty information of some sort?

MR. KAUFMANN: That may be our theory,

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

although we are awaiting for discovery to support that. We have not received that yet.

MS. BROWN: Perhaps I can flesh this out a little bit, Commissioner Deason.

It's my understanding that Florida Power -Florida Steel is taking the position that Florida Power
& Light has badly overestimated their projected natural
gas costs, and they have -- I don't remember when they
filed their discovery, you know, things happen very
quickly in these dockets, and that's still pending in
part because we have two weeks before the hearing. I'm
sure that we can have discussions after the prehearing
conference. And when Florida Steel gets its
information, they can contact me to determine --

certainly, I'm going to allow Florida Steel to take no position at this time pending the review of information that's subject to discovery. But at the same time, I would just urge Florida Steel to make a quick determination as to whether there is going to be an issue here.

And you can communicate with Staff, and I would urge you to communicate with Mr. Childs, so that this matter can be fleshed out so that if there is an issue, it can be identified as an issue. And come

hearing time, we can be expedited to the extent possible 1 to get the matter resolved one way or the other. 2 MR. KAUFMANN: We have been working even up 3 until minutes before the hearing in trying to resolve 4 whatever discovery issues that we have. So we can do 5 that. 6 COMMISSIONER DEASON: Very well. 7 With the possible exception of Florida Power & 8 Light and with Gulf Power, I take it that the amounts 9 for the other companies can be stipulated? 10 MS. BROWN: Yes, Commissioner. And, also, 11 since Gulf changed its number, we now are in agreement. 12 Our number for Gulf is also 5,777,273 -- 177,273. 13 COMMISSIONER DEASON: Does Public Counsel also 14 agree with that number? 15 MR. HOWE: I just need a little clarification. 16 Did Gulf change their number, or did Gulf just provide 17 an alternative number depending on the way the 18 Commission decided Issue No. 9? 19 COMMISSIONER DEASON: Well, that does need to 20 be clarified. I wanted what Gulf's number would -- the 21 position Gulf is taking for Issue 2 which is consistent 22 with whatever position they're taking on subsequent 23 issues. Because that is their position. 24

MR. BADDERS: I guess the basis here is

whether or not we agree with Staff on Issue 9, and I 1 believe we do. We are in agreement with Staff on Issue 9. 2 COMMISSIONER DEASON: So Issue 9 is the real 3 substantive issue. 4 5 MR. BADDERS: Right. COMMISSIONER DEASON: And Issue 2 is just a 6 calculation reflecting your agreement with Staff on 7 Issue No. 9? 8 MR. BADDERS: That is correct. 9 COMMISSIONER DEASON: Okay. Given that 10 situation, Mr. Howe, do you still have a question or a 11 position? 12 MR. HOWE: No. Public Counsel's position 13 would be to agree with Staff. I would like to make it 14 clear that I'm assuming, as we have in past fuel 15 adjustment proceedings, that should a decision be made 16 in a later issue that comes back and affects some 17 true-up, future recovery, whatever, that that adjustment 18 will be made. 19 MS. BROWN: Yes. 20 COMMISSIONER DEASON: The calculations which 21 are fallouts, obviously, those calculations will be 22 23

correct with issues or positions or decisions made on substantive issues which may follow.

24

25

MR. HOWE: We're on Issue 3, correct?

1	MS. BROWN: 2.
2	MR. HOWE: And Public Counsel will agree.
3	COMMISSIONER DEASON: We are on Issue 2.
4	MS. BROWN: 2.
5	MR. HOWE: 2? I'll agree with Staff on Issue 2
6	COMMISSIONER DEASON: Very well. Any further
7	questions or comments on Issue 2?
8	MS. KAUFMAN: Mr. Deason, FIPUG would take no
9	position on Issue 2.
10	COMMISSIONER DEASON: Very well. That brings
11	us to Issue No. 3.
12	MS. BROWN: Commissioner, Staff has a change,
13	a correction to their position for Florida Power &
14	Light. That should be, "No position at this time
15	pending resolution of company-specific issues."
16	COMMISSIONER DEASON: And you have no position
17	for Gulf Power as well; is that correct?
18	MS. BROWN: No. We have a position for Gulf
19	Power.
20	COMMISSIONER DEASON: Okay. What is that
21	position?
22	MS. BROWN: And that is 2,971,655
23	underrecovery for Gulf.
24	MR. BADDERS: We are in agreement with that.
25	COMMISSIONER DEASON: And Gulf is in agreement

with that.

And is Florida Steel's position correctly stated in the draft Prehearing Order?

MR. KAUFMANN: Yes, Commissioner.

MR. CHILDS: Could I inquire then? Because I'm not sure I understand how the position relates to the issue.

COMMISSIONER DEASON: Well, my question was

Issue 3 is a true-up amount --

MR. CHILDS: Right.

COMMISSIONER DEASON: And it seems to me that the position has to do with projections for future periods. Is that basically your question?

MR. CHILDS: That's my question.

COMMISSIONER DEASON: Okay. How does your position, Mr. Kaufmann, on Issue 3 relate to true-up amounts?

MR. KAUFMANN: Commissioner, again, part of the difficulty is not having in hand some of the discovery regarding how accurately we believe they are or are not in making these estimates.

We put this issue here because, at least as far as we could tell for the months that we did have data for, we saw what we believe to be overestimations.

If perhaps this is not the exact issue that these issues

should be discussed, we can move that to company-specific issues. But, again, part of the problem is we are not sure how it's going to shake out. This is the best that we can tell at this point.

commissioner deason: Well, I guess the problem I'm having is that, as I understand your position, it has to do with projections of natural gas prices and a fundamental issue as to the correct depreciation and capitalization practices for particular cost components which, basically, are forward-looking and I'm not so sure that they affect true-up amounts.

But if they do affect true-up amounts, I certainly want it -- maybe Staff can give me some help. Are these issues which affect --

MS. BROWN: I have a suggestion, Commissioner, that I think will work, and that will be that we need a specific company-specific issue on Florida Power & Light's projected natural gas -- natural gas projections and if they are appropriate. And that way you get at the issue that you want to deal with, and we can leave these fallout issues to be resolved after that question is answered.

MR. KAUFMANN: That would satisfy us.

MS. BROWN: Does that work for Mr. Childs?

MR. CHILDS: If you have an issue that has to

do with whether the forecast of natural gas prices is correct, which I think is what Florida Steel has raised, it's perfectly fine with us to have the issue, that issue. My point simply is that this No. 3 relates to the true-up, not to the forecast.

MS. BROWN: Right.

MR. CHILDS: Okay.

MS. BROWN: What I was trying to get at, I think, was that if we could address Mr. Kaufmann's concerns in the other company-specific issues, then we would not have to deal with the concerns in this issue.

MR. KAUFMANN: That's correct.

COMMISSIONER DEASON: Well, let me say this.

To the extent there is a substantive issue which is determined such that it impacts true-up amounts, it will be reflected in those calculations. At this point I just don't see a direct relationship between your specific issues and these true-up amounts. There may be; I'm not saying that there are not.

But obviously, to the extent you take positions on company-specific issues which will affect the true-up amount, it will be reflected in the true-up amount. And to that extent, I don't have a problem with the Florida Steel position being as stated. I'm just not sure at this point there's a direct relationship.

1	MS. BROWN: What is your feeling about having
2	a company-specific issue that we could draft to address -
3	COMMISSIONER DEASON: I have no objection.
4	Apparently, Mr. Childs has no objection.
5	MS. BROWN: All right. Well, I will after
6	the prehearing conference, I'll discuss the wording of
7	that issue with the parties and include it in the
8	Prehearing Order.
9	COMMISSIONER DEASON: Well, now, let me ask.
10	Isn't there an issue concerning the or maybe I'm
11	incorrect an issue concerning whether there are
12	certain costs which should be capitalized and
13	depreciated as opposed to recovery through the fuel cost
14	recovery factor?
15	MS. BROWN: Yes. Yes, that is an issue. But
16	the natural gas question was not identified as a
17	specific issue, and I think that might help in the
18	reason that there was some confusion.
19	COMMISSIONER DEASON: Okay. Any objection
20	then for there being a company-specific issue for
21	Florida Power & Light as to the appropriateness of the
22	projected cost of natural gas?
23	MR. KAUFMANN: No objection.
24	COMMISSIONER DEASON: Mr. Childs?
25	MR. CHILDS: I have no objection. The only

reason I made my prior comment is I assume if, you have 1 a specific issue on projections, that that means no position on this Issue 3 for Florida Steel. But I don't 3 know that. I was trying to resolve this issue. 4 MS. BROWN: Well, I think we need to get 5 together. We need to look at the language. 6 MR. CHILDS: Okay. Fine. 7 COMMISSIONER DEASON: Perhaps that can be 8 worked out by the parties. What I take it is that 9 Florida Steel is not convinced at this point until they 10 further look at information as to whether those issues 11 may impact the true-up amounts. And if they do impact 12 the true-up amounts, well, then, certainly they are free 13 to take that position. 14 Issue No. 4. 15 MS. BROWN: Commissioner, Staff has a change 16 to Issue No. 4's positions for Florida Power & Light. 17 That change is, "No position at this time, pending 18 resolution of company-specific issues." 19 And for Gulf we now have a position -- a 20 number. It's 2.315. 21 COMMISSIONER DEASON: I'm sorry, it's 2 point 22 what? 23

COMMISSIONER DEASON: That's close to Gulf's

24

25

.315 cents per kilowatt-hour.

position, but it's slightly different. Is there a rounding question?

MR. BADDERS: No, Commissioner. That's as a result of Issue No. 9. It affects all the numbers, I believe it's by .001. It will also affect the factors later. And we are in agreement with the 2.315 cents per kilowatt-hour figure.

COMMISSIONER DEASON: Very well. Then, does
the only outstanding issue then relate to Florida Power
& Light? I guess my question is, "Is there an agreement
or stipulation for the other companies, other than
Florida Power & Light?"

MS. BROWN: Yes.

COMMISSIONER DEASON: Mr. Howe, is that correct?

MR. HOWE: I believe it is, Commissioner.

Commissioner Deason, I believe it is with the understanding -- we can agree with Staff with the understanding, as I said before, that if any of the future issues have an affect on the recovery factor, they will be recognized here.

MS. BROWN: Right.

COMMISSIONER DEASON: Very well. Mr. Howe, that's designed to keep your answers brief. (Laughter)
And I would suggest that you continue to sit there in

the future.

Any other questions or comments on Issue 4?

MR. BEASLEY: Commissioner, Issue 3 would be
the same, wouldn't it, stipulated as to all except for
Florida Power & Light, I believe?

COMMISSIONER DEASON: I believe that it would be correct for Issue 3 as well.

Issue No. 5.

MS. BROWN: Commissioner, Issue No. 5 is our usual timing effective date issue that is stipulated. Everyone keeps changing their language around sometimes, which makes it hard for new secretaries to realize that they are really all saying the same thing. With Florida Steel's agreement, I think we can stipulate this issue.

commissioner deason: I think Florida Steel
has no position at this time. I believe this is a
pretty standard fundamental issue and is really not
controversial. I think we can show that as a
stipulation.

Issue No. 6. Staff, you've taken a position where previously you did not take a position; is that correct?

MS. BROWN: That's correct. Commissioner, if I could just have a second to discuss these numbers with my Staff person.

COMMISSIONER DEASON: Surely. 1 (Discussion off the record.) 2 Let me get to that page. We do have a 3 position in the new Prehearing Order that I made 4 corrections to this morning; and I want to add to that, 5 as well. 6 On Page 13, it's Staff's position for Florida 7 Power & Light, we have the appropriate factors are 8 listed on Page 2 of 10 of Attachment A. These factors 9 are different than those proposed by the Company because 10 they reflect Staff's position on Issue 10A. 11 That would be our position at the moment. 12 This is a fallout issue, as well. Once Issue 10A is 13 decided, we will be in agreement with Florida Power and 14 15 Light. COMMISSIONER DEASON: Well, since there is an 16 issue in 10A, I think it's appropriate for there to be a different position shown for Issue 6. Realizing, 18 though, that when 10A is determined that the final calculation which is contemplated in Issue 6 will simply 20 fall out. 21 22

Florida Power & Light is the only company for which there is a disagreement?

MS. BROWN: Yes.

23

24

25

COMMISSIONER DEASON: Okay. Any other parties

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

have any positions contrary to the company positions? 1 MR. HOWE: Commissioner Deason, the Office of 2 Public Counsel could take no position on this and let it 3 go forward. Again with the caveat that if any 4 adjustments are made in future issues, it will fall back 5 in here. 6 COMMISSIONER DEASON: Very well. We'll move 7 right along then to Issue No. 7. 8 I had a question concerning Florida Power's 9 position. I think it's the formatting of the numbers. 10 I had difficulty understanding what was going where. I 11 assume that will be corrected for the final Prehearing 12 13 Order? MS. BROWN: I'm sorry. Where are you? 14 COMMISSIONER DEASON: I'm on Page 14, Issue 7, 15 Florida Power Corporation's position. I had difficulty 16 understanding where the columns went. 17 MS. BROWN: I wonder why? We'll fix the 18 formatting. 19 COMMISSIONER DEASON: Okay. Very well. 20 21 Florida Power & Light is the same as the previous 22

MS. BROWN: Commissioner, Staff's position for Florida Power & Light is the same as the previous position. "The appropriate factors are listed on Page 2 of 10 of Attachment A. These factors are different than those proposed by the company because they reflect

23

24

1	Staff's position on Issue 10A."
2	COMMISSIONER DEASON: Very well. And there is
3	agreement for all the other companies other than Florida
4	Power and Light?
5	MS. BROWN: Yes.
6	MR. HOWE: Commissioner Deason, Public Counsel
7	will take no position.
8	COMMISSIONER DEASON: Very well.
9	MR. BADDERS: Commissioner Deason, for Gulf
10	Power, each of our factors listed under fuel cost
11	factors
12	COMMISSIONER DEASON: Sorry?
13	MR. BADDERS: Each of the factors for the fuel
14	cost will increase by .001.
15	COMMISSIONER DEASON: That's as a result of
16	positions on other issues?
17	MR. BADDERS: Yes.
18	COMMISSIONER DEASON: I think Staff will make
19	that modification.
20	MS. BROWN: Yes. The Prehearing Order will
21	reflect that modification.
22	MR. BADDERS: Okay.
23	MS. BROWN: I need to ask Florida Steel what
24	their position is on this issue; and I think we may have
25	overlooked them on the previous issue, as well.

MR. KAUFMANN: I didn't say anything because if the issue is -- I'm sorry. If the issue is open, again, to the degree that there is any flow-through from any changes because of our issues, then I'm sure that they will be appropriately adjusted.

MS. BROWN: Okay.

COMMISSIONER DEASON: I'm going to assume that that's going to be the basic position for Florida Steel, Public Counsel and for FIPUG. And if we get to an issue where there should be some different treatment, I think that they will not hesitate to bring that to my attention.

Mr. Childs?

MR. CHILDS: I'm sorry, but I am confused as it relates to Issue 6 and 7 for the Staff's position.

Issue 6 deals with the loss multipliers, Issue 7 deals with the factor which also includes a loss multiplier. And I thought that Staff conditioned both of their -- or their position on both of those issues as to what may develop.

I am not aware of anything from the Staff relating to loss multipliers being at issue in this case. I thought the loss multipliers had already been approved, and that we may be talking about the price multiplier on Issue No 7.

1	COMMISSIONER DEASON: Well, We'll take a
2	second and try to confirm that one way or the other.
3	MS. BROWN: We are in agreement with Florida
4	Power & Light on Issue 6.
5	COMMISSIONER DEASON: That is the line loss
6	multiplier?
7	MS. BROWN: Yes, the line loss multipliers,
8	and I think that can be stipulated. We got our numbers
9	ahead of ourselves. The position that I read for
10	Issue 7 remains, however.
11	COMMISSIONER DEASON: Since you are going to
12	be the one writing the order, I know that you will get
13	it correct.
14	MS. BROWN: Thank you for that vote of
15	confidence. (Laughter)
16	COMMISSIONER DEASON: I believe now we are on
17	Issue No 8, is the revenue tax factor. Can this issue
18	be stipulated for all companies? I believe it can.
19	MR. CHILDS: Insert a position for Florida
20	Power of 1.0083. I think that will explain the
21	stipulation.
22	COMMISSIONER DEASON: 1.00083?
23	MR. CHILDS: That's correct.
24	COMMISSIONER DEASON: And that is in agreement
25	with Staff's position.

1	
1	MR. CHILDS: And, Commissioner, we had
2	previously given Staff as we went through various
3	changes and corrections, and this is one which we have
4	given them; and we are in agreement, so I think it is
5	stipulatible.
6	COMMISSIONER DEASON: I believe it is a
7	stipulation.
8	MS. BROWN: We don't have a position for Gulf
9	on this issue.
10	MR. BADDERS: Oh, I'm sorry. Gulf's position
11	would be 1.01609.
12	COMMISSIONER DEASON: That position is in
13	agreement with Staff's?
14	MS. BROWN: Yes. It appears we have a
15	stipulated issue.
16	COMMISSIONER DEASON: Very well. That can be
17	reflected in the final Prehearing Order.
18	Issue No. 9 is an issue which you previously
19	touched upon when we were in the 07 docket.
20	MS. BROWN: Yes, that's correct. This is an
21	unusual but generic issue that Staff would like to have
22	resolved in this fuel hearing. Staff's position on the
23	issue remains the same. I don't know what the parties
24	have.
25	MR. BADDERS: Could I ask a clarification on

that? The issue appears to be phrased in terms of 1 referring to the cost of purchases of SO2. 2 The question I had was whether we can properly 3 assume that the issue would apply to the revenues from 4 the sales. Is that Staff's intention? 5 MS. BROWN: Yes. 6 MR. McGEE: We would agree with Staff's 7 position there. 8 COMMISSIONER DEASON: I'm sorry. You want 9 your position changed then to be in agreement with 10 Staff's position? 11 MR. McGEE: That's correct. 12 COMMISSIONER DEASON: And, Staff, the 13 clarification that you gave concerning sales of 14 allowances, what was that clarification again? 15 MS. BROWN: That it applies also to revenues 16 from the sales of allowances. 17 COMMISSIONER DEASON: Okay. So the same 18 treatment will be afforded sales as well as the 20 utilitization of allowances. MS. BROWN: Yes. The purchases. 21 COMMISSIONER DEASON: Okay. Any other changes 22 to position? I see that there are quite a few "No Positions" being taken.

25

MR. BADDERS: Gulf Power would like to change

their position to be in agreement with Staff.

COMMISSIONER DEASON: Okay.

MR. BEASLEY: As would Tampa Electric Company.

MR. CHILDS: Commissioner, with the prior clarification that Staff gave as to the application of this issue to purchases as opposed to generation, we will agree with Staff.

COMMISSIONER DEASON: Okay.

MR. HOWE: Commissioner Deason, I guess I need to ask a couple of questions on this one. As I understand it, the issue of SO2 allowances would come up as Mr. Childs mentioned earlier today with the purchased power where the cost of the allowance is embedded in the purchased power.

It may also come up with a utility that is not participating in an environmental cost recovery clause -- or a utility either is participating or is not participating.

For example, if we have a utility that is participating or has pleadings in the environmental cost recovery clause and that utility sells some allowances, would we just recognize the revenues wherever we are recognizing the costs?

MS. BROWN: Yes.

MR. HOWE: So if a utility is participating in

environmental cost recovery, everything will be there. 1 And if they are not participating, everything will be in 2 the fuel. 3 MS. BROWN: That's what we're trying to get 4 5 to. 6 MR. CHILDS: Down in back. The reason I asked the question earlier is that you may, in fact, be 7 participating in the environmental cost recovery clause 8 or environmental costs you may include S02 credits in 9 that calculation. Independently, however, the utility 10 may be purchasing fuel or purchasing energy from another 11 utility, the purchase price that may have reflected in 12 it or embedded in it is something from the selling 13 14 utility's SO2. What we are saying is, when you have that 15 instance, you should recover your total cost of 16 purchased power through fuel adjustment despite the fact 17 that some component may relate to SO2. But other than 18 that, everything would go through the environmental. 19 COMMISSIONER DEASON: And I think Staff 20 confirmed that position, was my understanding. 21 MR. CHILDS: Well, I thought they did earlier, 22 but then I thought they said right now, "No." 23 MS. BROWN: No. 24

MR. CHILDS: Okay. (Laughter)

commissioner DEASON: Well, we're going to need to get it clarified one way or the other, and perhaps this can be addressed in hearing.

MS. BROWN: We'll discuss it.

MR. CHILDS: Okay.

COMMISSIONER DEASON: Well, let me ask. Is it your intent then that if there are purchases of power that has in it cost of SO2 allowances, that those costs would not be part of the purchased power, that they would be separated out and become cost in ECCR.

MS. BASS: No. If there is cost of emission allowances included in the embedded cost of purchased power that can not be separated out, then all that purchased power cost, including that embedded cost, should be recovered through fuel adjustment, regardless of whether the utility is participating in the environmental cost recovery clause or not.

MR. HOWE: Mr. Deason, I have one more question. As I read the environmental cost recovery statute, Section 366.8255, it is discretionary with a utility whether it chooses to request recovery of environmental costs.

Are we perhaps here making it mandatory to what we are talking about here? By that, I mean, would a utility by any chance have a latitude to request

recovery of cost and not request to pass through revenues from sales? But what we are talking about here, are we essentially saying that we are going to treat it in the fuel or environmental, but we are going to treat it and no discretion lies with the utility.

understanding, Mr. Howe. But it seems to me what Staff is saying is that if a company chooses to employ the energy -- I'm sorry, the environmental cost recovery, that would be the mechanism to reflect SO2 purchases as well as sales. And if they choose not to do that, if they sell SO2 allowances, it would then be reflected in the fuel adjustment.

I believe that's what Staff's position is.

MS. BROWN: It is. And I don't really see the problem that Mr. Howe sees in the statute.

I agree that it is at the company's discretion to choose to recover costs through the environmental cost recovery clause. But it's not my understanding that that discretion extends to hopping back and forth all over the place and picking and choosing -- this we're going to recover through environmental; that we are going to recover through fuel. It's if you choose it, that's what you do. Then I suppose at some future time a utility could say, "Well, we don't want to use

this anymore. We want to go back to what we did before."

So I think there is some requirement that they stick with it once they have chosen it. Does that help?

MR. HOWE: It helps, but I just want to be clear on what our interpretation. The environmental cost recovery statute in (2) begins with: "An electric utility may submit to the Commission a petition describing the utility's proposed environmental compliance activities." And then it continues.

There may be some situations where a utility for its own purposes, maybe to keep its rates down, chooses not to seek an additional cost either through the fuel adjustment or through environmental cost recovery. All right. So a certain amount of discretion under the statute lies with the utility.

Now, I don't want the customers to be placed in a position where it is recognized that the utilities have that discretion but it's applied in such a way that the utilities make the decision when to pass through revenues from the sale of SO2 allowances.

MS. BROWN: Well, I get a little hung up on hypotheticals anyway. Generally speaking, they leave me confused because I can't ever predict every possible permutation of something.

MR. HOWE: Nor can we.

MS. BROWN: So why don't we wait until we are faced with that problem to see what should be done with it then.

As far as this particular issue goes, I don't think that -- Staff is not saying you can flip back and forth. If you are in environmental now, recover the costs through environmental, if you choose to recover them at all.

MR. HOWE: I would state for the record that our interpretation would be that if a utility receives revenues from the sale of SO2 allowances or any other source, revenues from environmental compliance activities, if there could be such a thing, that it be mandatory that it be flowed through either the fuel adjustment or the environmental cost recovery clause.

MS. BASS: I think our position on that would be if the utility is recovering costs associated with environmental cost or/and environmental compliance actions or with the SO2 allowances, that any revenues associated with those same things would flow through the same mechanism, whether it be fuel or whether it be the environmental cost recovery clause. If they are recovering costs, then, likewise, the revenues should flow through there, too. And I think that that was the

1	point that you were trying to make, Roger, was if the
2	costs are there, the revenues should be there.
3	MR. HOWE: That's fine.
4	MS. BASS: And that would be our position,
5	too.
6	MR. HOWE: All right. Thank you.
7	COMMISSIONER DEASON: Okay. Any other
8	comments on Issue No. 9?
9	MS. BROWN: We may be close to a stipulation
10	on this issue if we have FPUC's position and Florida
11	Steel's position and FIPUG's.
12	COMMISSIONER DEASON: Mr. Horton?
13	MR. HORTON: I don't think FPUC has a
14	position. I will be more than happy to see if we can
15	agree with Staff. I believe we can, but I need to
16	COMMISSIONER DEASON: Okay. Make that inquiry
17	and just inform Staff as soon as you can so they can
18	incorporate that into the final order.
19	MS. BROWN: I'm sure that they won't
20	MR. HORTON: I am, too.
21	MS. BROWN: They really shouldn't probably be
22	in this issue at all.
23	MR. HORTON: That's right.
24	COMMISSIONER DEASON: Does Florida Steel have
25	a position on this issue?

1	MR. KAUFMANN: No, Commissioner. This is not
2	of concern to us.
3	COMMISSIONER DEASON: And FIPUG has no
4	position?
5	MS. KAUFMAN: We have no position.
6	MR. HOWE: Public Counsel will agree with
7	Staff with the explanation we received here today.
8	MS. BROWN: All right. Then I think we have a
9	stipulated issue, Issue 9.
LO	COMMISSIONER DEASON: Okay. We can move now
11	into the company-specific issues. We began with Florida
12	& Light in Issue 10A.
13	MS. BROWN: Commissioner, Staff has a position
14	on this issue now.
15	COMMISSIONER DEASON: Okay. What is that
16	position?
17	MS. BROWN: I have to take a deep breath. The
18	position is: "No. The proposed fuel allocation
19	methodology is inconsistent with the way that generating
20	plant costs are allocated to the customer classes. This
21	is important because an inverse relationship exists
22	between the capital costs of the generating units and
23	the cost of fuel needed to operate the generating units.
24	Consequently, if the customer class is assigned a larger

25 portion of the fuel costs because it contributes

1	relatively more to the higher peaking load hours, then
2	the capital cost of the generating units should be
3	allocated in the same fashion."
4	COMMISSIONER DEASON: All right. That Staff's
5	position. Any other questions or clarifications for
6	Issue 10A?
7	MR. HOWE: Public Counsel would take no
8	position.
9	COMMISSIONER DEASON: Okay. Issue 10B.
10	MR. KAUFMANN: Excuse me.
11	COMMISSIONER DEASON: Yes.
12	MR. KAUFMANN: 10A is not stated here, but we
13	would agree with FIPUG and FPL on that issue.
14	COMMISSIONER DEASON: Very well. Any other
15	positions on 10A?
16	Issue 10B. Are the positions stated correctly
17	there?
18	MR. HOWE: Public Counsel's position would be,
19	"No".
20	Commissioner Deason, also for clarification, I
21	would say that we don't have any dispute with the
22	amount. We view this as kind of a policy issue. We
23	don't plan on cross examining a witness on this issue if
24	that helps in planning.
25	COMMISSIONER DEASON: Okay. We can move then

to the issues proposed by Florida Steel for Florida Power & Light. First unnumbered issue, Issue (a). This 2 concerns return on equity. 3 MS. BROWN: Commissioner, Staff's 4 recommendation on this issue is that it is not 5 appropriate for this proceeding. This is a rate case 6 issue that Florida Steel should address either by a petition to review Florida Power & Light's rates or some 8 other rate case proceeding that would be before us. COMMISSIONER DEASON: And I take it that would 10 be the same for Issue (b). 11| MS. BROWN: Yes, that's correct. 12 COMMISSIONER DEASON: As well as Issue (c). 13 MS. BROWN: Yes. 14 COMMISSIONER DEASON: Okay. Mr. Kaufmann? 15 MR. KAUFMANN: Your Honor, without waiving 16 Florida Steel's rights to raise these issues in some 17 other docket, we will withdraw a request for 18 consideration in this docket. 19 COMMISSIONER DEASON: Very well. Show then 20 that Issues (a), (b) and (c) are withdrawn for purposes 21 of this docket. And Florida Steel will be permitted to 22 raise these issues in different proceedings if it is 23

Issue No. 11. Can Issue 11 be stipulated?

deemed to be appropriate at that time.

24

1	MS. BROWN: I think so, Commissioner. Yes, it
2	can.
3	COMMISSIONER DEASON: Very well.
4	MR. HOWE: Public Counsel will take no
5	position.
6	COMMISSIONER DEASON: Okay. Issue No. 12.
7	MS. BROWN: Commissioner, Issue No. 12 appears
8	to be stipulated also pending Public Counsel's
9	MR. HOWE: Public Counsel takes no position.
10	COMMISSIONER DEASON: Is Staff taking a
11	position now? In my draft you do not take a position.
12	MS. BROWN: Yes. We are taking a position.
13	The factors are represented in the GPIF targets that are
14	attached to Staff Attachment 1, the rewards and
15	penalties, and they agree with the companies' numbers.
16	COMMISSIONER DEASON: For all companies?
17	MS. BROWN: Yes.
18	COMMISSIONER DEASON: Okay. Issue No. 13.
19	Does Power & Light have a position?
20	MR. CHILDS: Yes. And we will furnish that to
21	Staff.
22	COMMISSIONER DEASON: Okay. You have a
23	position and you'll furnish it, but your position is not
24	"Yes"?
25	MR. CHILDS: I was answering, Did we have a

1	position?
2	COMMISSIONER DEASON: Okay. You do have a
3	position, and you will be furnishing that?
4	MR. CHILDS: We will.
5	MR. HOWE: Public Counsel takes no position on
6	Issue 13.
7	COMMISSIONER DEASON: Does FIPUG take a
8	position on this issue?
9	MS. KAUFMAN: No, Commissioner Deason.
10	COMMISSIONER DEASON: Issue No. 14.
11	MS. BROWN: Commissioner, I think these are
12	stipulated issues, although I notice that a couple of
13	the companies don't have positions right now. Oh, it's
14	oil backout. I'm sorry.
15	COMMISSIONER DEASON: Okay. I believe we are
16	on Issue 14.
17	MR. BEASLEY: Tampa Electric will accept
18	Staff's numbers on that particular issue.
19	COMMISSIONER DEASON: Does Gulf have a this
20	is oil backout, right? Okay.
21	MS. BROWN: Yes, it is, Commissioner. And we
22	will make the correction of removing the companies that
23	don't have oil backout factors.
24	COMMISSIONER DEASON: Very well.
25	MR. HOWE: Public Counsel will take no

1	position on Issue 14.
2	COMMISSIONER DEASON: TECO is agreeing with
3	Staff's number, and that leaves Florida Power & Light.
4	MR. CHILDS: We have revised. I believe we
5	are in agreement with Staff. We transposed numbers from
6	issues here.
7	COMMISSIONER DEASON: Very well. Then Issue
8	14 can be shown as a stipulation, I take it?
9	MS. BROWN: Yes, Commissioner.
10	COMMISSIONER DEASON: Is that also true for
11	Issues 15 and 16?
12	MR. BEASLEY: Tampa Electric accepts the
13	Staff's number on Issue 15 as well.
14	COMMISSIONER DEASON: Okay. And that would be
15	the same for Issue 16? Issue 16 being the total
16	true-up.
17	MS. BROWN: Yes, Commissioner.
18	COMMISSIONER DEASON: Any other changes or
19	corrections to Issue 15 and 16? Was there a number
20	transposition for Florida Power & Light in Issue 15?
21	MR. CHILDS: I'm not sure on that one,
22	MS. BROWN: Yes. I think Florida Power &
23	Light made those corrections with me this morning.
24	COMMISSIONER DEASON: The correction has
25	alwards been wade Okas Mary wall

1 for TECO? 2 MS. BROWN: Except for TECO, we have to resolve a couple of company-specific capacity cost 3 4 issues. 5 COMMISSIONER DEASON: Very well. But then you can show a proposed stipulation for all companies other 6 7 than TECO? 8 MS. BROWN: Yes. 9 COMMISSIONER DEASON: Issue No. 19. 10 MR. McGEE: Commissioner Deason, I would like to modify Florida Power's position, if I may? 11 12 COMMISSIONER DEASON: Very well. 13 MR. McGEE: Instead of 9,851,617, I'd like for it to read 10,515,204 underrecovery. 14 15 MS. BROWN: That gives us, I think, a stipulated issue for everyone but TECO. And Staff will 16 agree with Florida Power Corporation's number, 17 18 10,515,204. 19 COMMISSIONER DEASON: Okay. So then there would be a stipulation for all companies other than 20 21 TECO? 22 MS. BROWN: 23 COMMISSIONER DEASON: Is that the same for Issue 20?

MS. BROWN: I'm sorry, what?

1	COMMISSIONER DEASON: Would there also be a
2	stipulation for all companies other than TECO for Issue
3	No. 20?
4	MR. McGEE: I think that will be the case. If
5	I can follow through on that correction that was made on
6	Issue 19 into 20, we would change 2,908,435 to 3,572,022
7	underrecovery.
8	COMMISSIONER DEASON: Staff agree with that
9	number?
10	MS. BROWN: Staff agrees with that number.
11	COMMISSIONER DEASON: Okay, very well.
12	MR. BADDERS: And, Commissioner Deason, also
13	on that, Gulf's position should state that it is an
14	overrecovery? It looks like a typo that that was left
15	off.
16	MS. BROWN: Okay.
17	COMMISSIONER DEASON: Very well. Show that
18	correction for Gulf's position on Issue 20.
19	Issue 21.
20	MR. McGEE: Once again, Commissioner, carrying
21	through that previous adjustment into our position on 21
22	would result in figure of \$116,445,839.
23	COMMISSIONER DEASON: Could you repeat that
24	again, please?
25	MR. McGEE: 116,445,839.

1	
1	MS. BROWN: Commissioner, it appears that we
2	agree with Power Corp's numbers on that, and we have a
3	stipulation except for TECO.
4	COMMISSIONER DEASON: Okay. Issue No. 22. I
5	take it that these rates for Power Corp would change
6	consistent with the change in the other numbers?
7	MR. McGEE: Yes, that's correct. And I think
8	this also reflects an allocation issue, but the bottom
9	line is that Florida Power's position is that we agree
10	with Staff.
11	MS. BROWN: We can fix this fallout issue
12	after we determine the company-specific issues.
13	COMMISSIONER DEASON: Very well.
14	MS. BROWN: Though now that I look again, I
15	see Florida Steel with a position here that we, I think,
16	need to address. But we can do that when we get to your
17	specific issues. Correct? But until we do, do you wish
18	to keep your position the same?
19	MR. KAUFMANN: Unless we break it up, yes.
20	MS. BROWN: Well, I think we can probably talk
21	about this before the final Prehearing Order is issued,
22	depending on what's decided about your proposed issue.
23	COMMISSIONER DEASON: This position relates to
24	issues which follow?

MS. BROWN: Yes. Hold on just a minute, and

1	I'll see if I can find it.
2	COMMISSIONER DEASON: We'll just leave Florida
3	Steel's position as it is; and then when we get to the
4	subsequent issues where this is more directly addressed
5	to the extent it would affect what happens here, we'll
6	come back and address it then.
7	MS. BROWN: Yes. All right.
8	COMMISSIONER DEASON: At least I'll give you
9	the latitude of doing that in the final Prehearing
10	Order.
11	MS. BROWN: Okay.
12	MR. CHILDS: I'm sorry, are we talking about
13	Issue No. 22?
14	MS. BROWN: Yes.
15	COMMISSIONER DEASON: 22, yes.
16	MS. BROWN: We are talking about Florida
17	Steel's position in Issue 22.
18	MR. CHILDS: Right. And what are we going to
19	do about addressing that position on 22 for Florida
20	Steel?
21	MS. BROWN: We are going to when we get to
22	their company proposed issues.
23	MR. CHILDS: Thank you. I'm sorry. I
24	apologize.
25	COMMISSIONER DEASON: Okay. Issue No. 23.

1	Can this issue be stipulated?
2	MR. McGEE: I think with the modification of
3	Florida Power's position, it can be, Commissioner. I
4	would like Florida Power's position to read that FPC
5	withdraws its proposal to combine capacity cost
6	responsibility for its RS and GS nondemand rate classes.
7	COMMISSIONER DEASON: Well, then, do we even
8	have an issue?
9	MS. BROWN: It's fine with us to have the
10	issue withdrawn.
11	COMMISSIONER DEASON: Any objection to Issue
12	23 being withdrawn? Very well, I show that Issue 23 is
13	withdrawn.
14	Issue 24A.
15	MS. BROWN: We don't have a position for FIPUG
16	or OPC.
17	COMMISSIONER DEASON: Either FIPUG or OPC wish
18	to take a position on Issue 24A?
19	MR. HOWE: I would like to ask a question, if
20	I could, Commissioner Deason. First of all, who is
21	raising this issue?
22	MS. BROWN: Staff.
23	MR. HOWE: What is Staff's position?
24	MS. BROWN: Do you not have the recent draft
25	Prehearing Order?

1	MR. HOWE: Oh, I'm sorry. I'm looking at the
2	one I had marked up, so it's on
3	MS. BROWN: There were some back there.
4	Shall I read it? (Pause)
5	MR. HOWE: Public Counsel would agree with
6	Staff.
7	COMMISSIONER DEASON: Does FIPUG wish to take
8	a position on Issue 24A?
9	MS. KAUFMAN: Yes. FIPUG would agree with
10	Staff.
11	COMMISSIONER DEASON: Okay. Issue 24B. Any
12	changes or corrections to positions on Issue 24B?
13	MS. KAUFMAN: Commissioner Deason, FIPUG would
14	take no position on this issue.
15	COMMISSIONER DEASON: And OPC takes no
16	position?
17	MR. HOWE: Yes. Public Counsel takes no
18	position.
19	MS. BROWN: Then I think we have a stipulation
20	here with Staff and TECO.
21	COMMISSIONER DEASON: Then show Issue 24B as a
22	proposed stipulation.
23	Okay. We are to Public Counsel's generic
24	issue.
25	MS. BROWN: Yes. Commissioner, this is an

issue that appeared in OPC's preliminary list of issues and in their prehearing statement not very long ago. It's an issue that Staff hasn't really had time to think about very much, and would like the time to think about it and to do some research and perhaps either defer consideration of the issue to August or spin it off into a 120.57(2) paper hearing where everyone would brief the matter and the Commission could decide it in a separate docket. 

Those are possible ways of dealing with it.

I'm not sure that we've completely agreed that it could be handled as a paper hearing, and it might be better for to us explore that.

But for the purposes of this prehearing, Staff recommends that it not be considered now.

COMMISSIONER DEASON: Mr. Howe?

MR. HOWE: Mr. Deason, we would not object to this issue be deferred. I have talked with Ms. Brown about the issue. I guess it would be our preference that it not to be deferred to the next fuel adjustment hearing, that's -- two things. That's too far off, and perhaps this is a significant enough policy that should be determined by the full Commission.

Perhaps to clarify the issue and to put it properly into issue, our office could draft a formal

petition asking for this relief; lay out exactly what our position is; the parties could respond to it; we could then see whether it require fact finding or just a 120.57(2) brief oral argument type of hearing.

MS. BROWN: That, I like that a lot better, actually.

COMMISSIONER DEASON: Very well.

MS. BROWN: I don't know how the parties feel about it, but it sounds good to me.

commissioner DEASON: Well, is there any objection to the withdrawal of this generic issue with the understanding that Public Counsel will be filing a separate petition with the Commission to address this issue, and then the Commission will dispose of it at that time in whatever the Commission deems is the appropriate manner?

MR. BEASLEY: That would be the issue as Public Counsel has stated it in this docket, sir?

commissioner DEASON: Right. The issue would just go away for purposes of this docket in this upcoming hearing. And I understand that it would be Public Counsel's intention of filing a separate petition, and it would probably go before the full Commission in some type of a form or fashion.

MR. BEASLEY: Just to clarify, that would be

raising the same issue that is stated in the draft 1 Prehearing Order, only in a separate proceeding? 2 COMMISSIONER DEASON: That is my 3 understanding. 4 MR. HOWE: Yes, I agree with that. I can't 5 say that I wouldn't restate what I consider exactly the 6 same issue in different words in a petition, but not to 7 challenge any other issues but this one. 3 MR. BEASLEY: But it would be essentially a 9 jurisdictional challenge? 10 MR. HOWE: Yes. 11 MR. BEASLEY: Thank you. 12 COMMISSIONER DEASON: I think there's no 13 objection to withdrawing this generic issue. 14 MS. BROWN: All right. 15 COMMISSIONER DEASON: Issue (d), this is 16 Florida Steel's issue concerning Florida Power and 17 Light. 18 MS. BROWN: Yes. Commissioner, this issue, 19 Staff recommends that it should not be considered in 20 this proceeding. This was the subject of a rather 21 22 lengthy and extensive generic proceeding a couple of years ago, and Staff doesn't think that it needs to be 23 24 considered here again.

I spoke to Florida Steel about this at a

deposition that we had and suggested to them that the Commission could revisit the decision that it had made on this matter again if circumstances had changed or there was some reason to. The best way for Florida Steel to proceed would be to file a petition and open a separate docket.

Whether the Commission would want to consider that, since it did just recently decide this question, I don't know. But for purposes of this docket, I don't think it's appropriate to have it as an issue.

MR. KAUFMANN: Commissioner, I think this would also go for Issue (e). I believe Staff's response is the same. And, as Staff indicated, we did discuss this. It's Florida Steel's position that, without in any way being precluded from raising this issue again out of issues having to do with res judicata or collateral estoppel, we will withdraw Issues (d) and (e) for purposes of this hearing.

commissioner deason: Very well. With that understanding, Issues (d) and (e) will be withdrawn for purposes of this fuel adjustment hearing.

That concludes all specific issues that I have in my draft Prehearing Order. Are there any other issues to be raised by any party at this time?

MS. BROWN: None to my knowledge,

Commissioner.

none. Very well. We'll proceed then into Section VII, which is the Exhibit list.

MR. CHILDS: Could I ask?

COMMISSIONER DEASON: Yes.

MR. CHILDS: If we were going to stipulate and we had certain issues, Commissioner, that we discussed that were subject to potential stipulation, if that would, in fact, permit a witness not to appear here, could it be understood that once the Prehearing Order is done that witnesses would be excused if, in fact, all of their issues ultimately turned out to be stipulated?

MS. BROWN: Staff has no problem with that.

COMMISSIONER DEASON: That would be the Commission's intention. And I'll ask any party if there is any objection to witnesses whose issues are fully stipulated being excused from appearing at the hearing?

I assume that all cross examination would be waived and their testimony would simply be inserted into the record.

MR. CHILDS: Right.

COMMISSIONER DEASON: With that understanding.

Okay. Section VII addresses the exhibit list.

Any changes or corrections or modifications to the exhibit list? I think there's been some slight

numbering changes and corrections from the original 1 draft; is that correct? 2 MS. BROWN: Yes, that's correct. I don't 3 expect to have the Prehearing Order put all together for 41 another week or so, so if the parties come across any 5 additional corrections that need to be made, they can 6 contact me. 7 COMMISSIONER DEASON: Very well. That's the 8 normal procedure. 9 10 Section VIII addresses proposed stipulations. We've addressed several here today, and those will be 11 reflected accordingly. 12 MS. BROWN: Yes, Commissioner. 13 COMMISSIONER DEASON: Sections IX and X 14 addresses pending motions and rulings. I assume there 15 have been none at this point. 16 17 MS. BROWN: There have been none, although we do have some discovery still outstanding. 18 COMMISSIONER DEASON: Are there any pending 19 discovery disputes? 20 21 MS. BROWN: No, not yet. (Laughter) Florida Power & Light has filed an objection to some of the 22 discovery requests of Florida Steel. Florida Steel has 23

been working with Florida Power & Light to try to

resolve those between themselves. No motion to compel

24

has been filed at this time.

commissioner DEASON: Very well. Well, given the brief time between now and the hearing, if there is any type of discovery dispute I assume you will bring it to my attention if it's possible.

MS. BROWN: Yes, I will. And if there is going to be one and the parties can identify it, I would like to know about it very soon.

addresses other matters, and that being Gulf raising the possibility of additional testimony if there are additional issues. Since there are no additional issues, I assume there will be no additional testimony.

MR. BEASLEY: Commissioner, Tampa Electric
will be resubmitting its true-up and projection
schedules to change the report format but not the
content of it. The numbers will all stay the same and
nothing will impact what has been discussed here today
and I think the Staff has talked to the Company about that.

COMMISSIONER DEASON: Very well. Any other final comments or questions concerning the 01 docket?

Hearing none, that disposes of 01.

(Thereupon, the proceedings in the 95001-EI docket concluded at 3:00 p.m.)

1	STATE OF FLORIDA)
	: CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER
2	COUNTY OF LEON )
3	I, ROWENA NASH HACKNEY, Commission Reporter,
4	DO HEREBY CERTIFY that the Prehearing Conference in Docket No. 95001-EI was heard by the
5	Florida Public Service Commission at the time and place herein stated; it is further
6	CERTIFIED that I stenographically reported the
7	said proceedings; that the same has been transcribed under my direct supervision; and that this transcript,
8	consisting of 62 pages, constitutes a true transcription of my notes of said proceedings.
9	
10	DATED this 27th day of February, 1995.
11	Jour De Blake
12	ROWENA NASH HACKNEY
13	Official Commission Reporter (904) 488-5981
-	
14	STATE OF FLORIDA)
15	:
	COUNTY OF LEON )
16	The foregoing certificate was acknowledged
17	before me this 27th day of February, 1995, by Rowena Nash Hackney, who is personally known to me.
18	1
19	Patricia a. Church
20	Notary Public - State of Florida
21	My Commission No. CC-90785 Notary Public, State of Florida My Commissions Expires April 20, 1995
22	Banded They fain - bear ance led,
23	
24	
25	