



Public Service Commission

-M-E-M-O-R-A-N-D-U-M-

DATE: April 17, 1995
TO: Bruce Page, Esquire
 Kenneth A. Hoffman, Esquire and William B. Willingham, Esquire
 Wilton R. Miller, Esquire and Mark K. Logan, Esquire
 Edward Tancer, Esquire
FROM: Beth Culpepper *bc*
RE: Docket No. 950307-EU

VIA FACSIMILE

I apologize for inadvertently sending out a preliminary list of gas questions on Friday. I hope you were not too inconvenienced. Again, please note these are very preliminary in nature and only represent the general direction our discussions will take on Thursday, April 20. Thank you for your patience.

STAFF'S PRELIMINARY LIST OF ISSUES
DOCKET NO. 950307-EU

- ACK _____
- AFA ISSUE 1: What is the geographical description of the disputed area?
- APP _____
- CAF ISSUE 2: What is the nature of the disputed area, including population, type of utilities seeking to serve it, degree of urbanization and proximity to other urban areas, and the present and reasonably foreseeable future requirements of the area for other utility services?
- CMU _____
- CTR _____
- EAG _____
- LEG ISSUE 3: What is the expected customer electric usage and population growth in the disputed area?
- LIN _____
- OPC ISSUE 4: Does either utility presently have facilities capable of serving the disputed area?
- RGH _____
- SEC ISSUE 5: Which utility has historically served in the vicinity of the disputed area?
- WAS _____
- OTH ISSUE 6: What is the present location, purpose, type, and load capacity of each utility's existing facilities in or adjacent to the disputed area?
- ISSUE 7: Is each utility capable of providing adequate and reliable electric service to the disputed area?
- ISSUE 8: What additional facilities would each party have to construct to provide service to the disputed area?
- ISSUE 9: What would be the cost to each utility to provide electric service to the disputed area?

DOCUMENT NUMBER - DATE

03773 APR 17 95

FPSC-RECORDS/REPORTING

- ISSUE 10: According to each party's plan for the expansion of its facilities, when would each phase of its expansion be completed?
- ISSUE 11: Are the parties' projections of new customers, and the revenue to be derived therefrom, reasonable?
- ISSUE 12: What would be the effect on each utility's ratepayers if it is awarded the disputed area?
- ISSUE 13: What would be the effect on each utility's ratepayers if it is not awarded the disputed area?
- ISSUE 14: What is the customer preference in the disputed area?
- ISSUE 15: Has unnecessary and uneconomic duplication of electric facilities occurred in the vicinity of the disputed area or in other areas served by the parties?
- ISSUE 16: Are there other areas of potential conflict between the parties?
- ISSUE 17: Are the parties bound by a territorial agreement?
- ISSUE 18: How does each party propose to expand the provision of electric service to new and existing (a) residential, (b) commercial, and (c) industrial customers in the disputed area?
- ISSUE 19: Which party should be awarded the disputed area?
- ISSUE 20: Should this docket be closed?