

M E M O R A N D U M

APRIL 26, 1995

ORIGINAL
FILE COPY

TO: ALL PARTIES IN DOCKET 950307
FROM: BETH CULPEPPER, DIVISION OF LEGAL SERVICES
RE: PRELIMINARY ISSUES AND REVISED CASR

Please note that the following dates will appear on the revised CASR schedule for this docket:

Testimony - Petitioner -- June 7, 1995
Testimony - Respondent -- June 27, 1995
Rebuttal Testimony -- July 14, 1995
Prehearing Statements -- August 24, 1995
Prehearing Conference -- September 21, 1995
Hearing -- September 27, 1995

ACK _____
AFA _____
APP _____
CAF _____
CMU _____
CTR _____
EAG _____
LEG _____
LIN _____
OPC _____
RCH _____
SEC 1 _____
WAS _____
OTH _____

DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE
04178 APR 27 88
FPSC-RECORDS/REPORTING

STAFF'S PRELIMINARY LIST OF ISSUES
DOCKET NO. 950307

- ISSUE 1: What is the geographical description of the disputed area?
- ISSUE 2: What is the nature of the disputed area, including population, type of utilities seeking to serve it, degree of urbanization and proximity to other urban areas, and the present and reasonably foreseeable future requirements of the area for other utility services?
- ISSUE 3: Which utility has historically served in the vicinity of the disputed area?
- ISSUE 4: What is the expected customer load and energy growth in the disputed area?
- ISSUE 5: Has unnecessary and uneconomic duplication of electric facilities taken place in the vicinity of the disputed area or in other areas of potential dispute between the parties?
- ISSUE 6: Is each utility capable of providing adequate and reliable electric service to the disputed area?
- ISSUE 7: What is the present location, purpose, type, and capacity of each utility's existing facilities as of the filing date of the petition to resolve the territorial dispute?
- ISSUE 8: What additional facilities would each party have to construct to provide service to the disputed area?
- ISSUE 9: How long would it take for each party to provide service to the disputed area?
- ISSUE 10: What would be the cost to each utility to provide electric service to the disputed area?
- ISSUE 11: What would be the cost to each utility if it were not permitted to serve the area in dispute?
- ISSUE 12: What would be the effect on each utility's ratepayers if it is not awarded the disputed area?
- ISSUE 13: All other things being equal, what is the customer preference in the disputed area?
- ISSUE 14a: Does the 1979 Commission-approved Territorial Agreement between the parties contemplate interim service by one party in the other

party's territory?

ISSUE 14b: If not, does the 1979 Agreement contemplate the provision of permanent extraterritorial service?

ISSUE 14c: If the 1979 Agreement does contemplate permanent extraterritorial service, were the parties required to return to the Commission to redraw the boundary?

ISSUE 16: Which party should be awarded the disputed area?

ISSUE 17: Should this docket be closed?