

990001

**DECLASSIFIED**

**ATTACHMENT A**

DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE

06405 JUL-68

FPSC-RECORDS/REPORTING

**TABLE OF CONTENTS  
1994 AUDIT REPORTS**

UNBILLED REVENUE AND NET ENERGY FOR LOAD AUDIT	APR. 11, 1994
CENTRAL CUSTOMER SERVICE LAN REVIEW	APR. 21, 1994
NUCLEAR FUEL PROCUREMENT AND INVENTORY AUDIT	APR. 25, 1994
HURRICANE ANDREW RESTORATION COST PROCESS REVIEW	APR. 27, 1994
TURKEY POINT FOSSIL AND CUTLER PLANTS	
LOCAL DISBURSEMENT SYSTEM (LDS) CORPORATE AUDIT	APR. 29, 1994
EMPLOYEE INFORMATION SYSTEMS (EIS) AUDIT	MAY 9, 1994
FINANCE DEPARTMENT AUDIT	MAY 9, 1994
COST OF CONTRACT GAS REVIEW	MAY 27, 1994
CUSTOMER INFORMATION SYSTEM (CIS II)	MAY 27, 1994
EMPLOYEE SPECIAL AUDIT	
VOLUNTARY RETIREMENT PLAN (VRP) AND SPECIAL SEVERANCE PLAN (SSP) AUDIT	JUNE 9, 1994
TURKEY POINT / CUTLER PLANTS - FOSSIL REVIEW	JUNE 22, 1994
LAND MANAGEMENT CONTRACT ADMINISTRATION AUDIT	JUNE 28, 1994
RIVIERA PLANT ADMINISTRATIVE AUDIT	JUNE 30, 1994
AUTOMATED PAYSTATION NETWORK (APN) AUDIT	JUNE 30, 1994
POWER DELIVERY STOREROOMS AUDIT	JUNE 30, 1994
GENERAL CONTROLS REVIEW - COMPUTER OPERATIONS (CPO)	JULY 13, 1994
MARTIN PLANT ADMINISTRATION AUDIT	JULY 13, 1994
TERMINAL AND PIPELINE OPERATIONS AUDIT	JULY 15, 1994
TURKEY PT. NUCLEAR (PTN) REVIEW	AUG. 2, 1994
INVESTMENT RECOVERY SALVAGE PROCESS AUDIT	AUG. 3, 1994
DISTRIBUTION RESOURCES	
REVIEW OF SECURITY BUREAU INC'S. (SBI'S) PROPOSED PAYROLL TAX CREDITS	JUNE 23, 1994

LAND UTILIZATION - MARTIN SITE AUDIT	AUG. 17, 1994
BANK RECONCILIATIONS AUDIT	AUG. 19, 1994
FAST DUMP & RESTORE (FDR) AUDIT	SEPT. 21, 1994
POWER DELIVERY LAN/UNIX AUDIT	SEPT. 30, 1994
PT. EVERGLADES PLANT, LAUDERDALE PLANT, AND GAS TURBINE POWER PARK AUDIT	SEPT. 30, 1994
LOCAL DISBURSEMENT SYSTEM (LDS) FIELD AUDIT	SEPT. 30, 1994
REIMBURSABLE SYSTEM POST IMPLEMENTATION REVIEW	SEPT. 30, 1994
AUTOMATIC FUNDS TRANSFER (AFT) AUDIT	SEPT. 30, 1994
REVIEW OF MULTITRADE PROJECT COSTS	OCT. 5, 1994
SPECIAL AUDIT-ANONYMOUS COMPLAINT PERTAINING TO MR. J. J. KARETSKI	OCT. 6, 1994
EXTERNAL AFFAIRS SOUTH AREA - SPECIAL AUDIT	OCT. 7, 1994
END-USER COMPUTING - NUCLEAR INFORMATION SERVICES FOLLOW-UP AUDIT	OCT. 11, 1994
LIBERTY CITY OFFICE REVIEW OF EMPLOYEE CHECK CASHING	OCT. 24, 1994
DEPOSIT REFUND ACCOUNT SPECIAL	OCT. 24, 1994
MEDICAL PLAN AUDIT	NOV. 16, 1994
AFFIRMATIVE ACTION PROGRAM AUDIT	NOV. 30, 1994
AUTOMOTIVE MAINTENANCE CENTER SPECIAL	DEC. 5, 1994
NUCLEAR PROPERTY	DEC. 19, 1994
ST. LUCIE PLANT PROCUREMENT AUDIT	DEC. 19, 1994
COGENERATION BILLING AUDIT	DEC. 19, 1994
ST. LUCIE PLANT PROCUREMENT	DEC. 19, 1994
LAUDERDALE REPOWERING 1994 WESTINGHOUSE EXPENDITURES	DEC. 22, 1994
PROCUREMENT MANAGEMENT SYSTEMS	DEC. 22, 1994

FPC

WJ 1795  
51

list to internal audit

RC  
3/26/95

T4E 3/31/95

PBC

PAGE 3 OF 3

MARTIN PLANT FUEL OIL INVENTORY

DEC. 22, 1994 ✓

DEPOSITS

DEC. 30, 1994

FIELD COLLECTIONS REVIEW

JAN. 6, 1995

**1995 AUDIT REPORTS**

NUCLEAR DECOMMISSIONING COST PROCESS AUDIT

JAN. 19, 1995 ✓

LITIGATION CREDITS AUDIT

FEB. 1, 1995

PAYMENT PROCESSING CENTER FOLLOW-UP REVIEW

FEB. 9, 1995

FIELD REPRESENTATIVE MANAGEMENT SYSTEM AUDIT

FEB. 15, 1995 ✓

41

42

43

Soviet Request No 1 WR ID

9-1

Feb 11/95  
PL

2-9-95  
PG

## Review I/A Nuclear Procurement + Inventory Audit Audit Period 1/93 - 1/94

### Audit Objective

Evaluate the effectiveness of the controls for the nuclear fuel procurement and inventory activities and

Determine if the nuclear fuel transactions were properly authorized; in compliance with contract terms; accurately recorded and classified; and, periodically substantiated and evaluated.

The audit scope included the confirmation of nuclear fuel inventory balances maintained at vendor facilities at 10-28-93. Samples of purchases of nuclear fuel materials and services during the audit period of 1/93 - 1/94 were reviewed.

### Audit Results

- I/A reviewed the following and found no exceptions:
  - Nuclear Fuel Material Accountability Report.
  - Payments to Westinghouse Electric Corporation for engine charges related to the Westinghouse-owned fuel assembly settlement agreement.
  - Payments to the US Department of Energy for spent fuel.
  - Payments to the following vendors for nuclear materials (#308) and services (conversions, enrichment, irradiation, 3rd engineering).

ABB Combustion Engineers

BWS Fuel Fuel Co.

ConverDyn (Allied-Signal cross-sequoyah fast Act)

Energy Resources of Australia.

Nuexco Trading Corporation.

Continued from P 1

Review of I/A Nuclear Program - TNU Audit

Audit Period 1/93 - 1/94

Nuexco Trading Corporation.

Siemens Power Corporation.

United States Enrichment Corporation

Urenco Exploration and Mining Limited

Westinghouse Electric Corporation

FPL engineering costs charged to Fuel Recovery  
Class.

## Review of Martin Power Plant - Fuel Oil Inventory Audit Period

### Objective:

To access the soundness and adequacy of internal controls associated with fossil fuel inventories at the plant and the terminal.

### Scope:

Review of records and transactions related to the acquisition and maintenance of fossil fuel inventories.

### 1. Inventory Substantiation:

Fuel inventory should be substantiated with any discrepancies investigated by plant management.

Adjustments should be made if significant.

Discrepancies are noted. Audit measurements of Burner C and Distillate # 2 fuel oil taken on Nov 29, 1994 were agreed to 11/94 B/L balanced.

No exceptions noted.

### 2 Physical Safeguard of Inventory:

Access to fuel inventory should be restricted to authorized personnel in accordance with management criteria.

No exceptions were noted.

### 3 Authorization:

The use of fuel should be approved by the appropriate level of management. A review of fuel requests determined that requests are properly approved and authorized by management.

Review of Martin Power Plant Fuel Oil Inv Audit

Audit Period 11-28-94 - 12-22-94

Transaction Processing

The consumption of fuel should be reported accurately and on a timely basis. Fuel consumption should also be properly reflected in the fuel accounting records. The I/A reviewed the records of fuel transactions as posted in the Corporate Fuel Information System (CFIS).

No exceptions noted.

During the inventory readings performed during the audit, it was noted that one tank measurement showed a difference of  $3\frac{1}{16}$ " between the matched dip tape (top) reading and the automatic tank gauge (side) reading. The top reading was  $33' 6\frac{9}{16}"$ . The side reading was  $33' 6\frac{9}{16}"$ . The difference converts to approximately 167 barrels of fuel. All calibrations by the CFIS system. Plant personnel were made aware of the discrepancy and established a work order to re-calibrate the tank's tank gauge.

## Terminal + Pipeline Operations Audit

### Scope + Objective =

The scope of audit work included contract PO management review of the Terminaling and Pipeline O+M Contract with TransAlaska Industrial Pipeline Systems Inc. (TIPS) selected fuel tank inspection and cleaning purchase orders (POs) and a review of the disbursement processing errors related to the equipment.

### Terminaling and Pipeline O+M Contract

The contract is a five year (11/1/93 - 12/21/97) England Master class contract with TWI (the contractor). The contractor provides for the operation and maintenance of the company's several terminals (five) and fuel pipeline (four).

### Invoice Processing Review:

Based on a review performed on the monthly invoices to ensure that amounts are in compliance with compensation provisions per the contract, the following were noted:

1. The project cost of ± 3M represents 73% of the contract total cost of 1.7M exclusive of incentive payments of \$46K. Payroll is the primary contract cost. Straight time and overtime hours listed are not always shown to appear on time sheet and a non-random sample of payroll need to be taken monthly for review (Terminal reviews 3-5 emp time sheets, null,

**(PR)**  
Thermaelius Management advised to implement  
a specific proposal to enhance their cost and financial  
control, as the current one is not fit for the current project.

#### Workers Compensation

The contractor declined Audit's request to provide documentation supporting his 1993 WC1 premium =  
plus even though he is required to provide such  
documentation as prescribed in the contract provisions.

- \* Based on the audit interpretation of the related provision  
it appears that the contractor has been paid \$20K to  
40K in excess of his actual WC1 cost incurred.

Thermaelius Management advised that their interpretation  
and understanding of the intent of the provision may  
allow the contractor to collect more money for these  
SRC (Salary Related Cost) legal benefits that he  
actually pays out. It is unclear whether SRC's  
were to be reimbursed on a cost plus basis  
and whether the audit of actual costs was intended.  
Thermaelius Management is currently working with the  
contractor to negotiate a settlement of this issue.

## Review of Nuclear Decommissioning Cost Process

1/1/92 - 7/31/84

An audit of the nuclear decommissioning cost process resulted in the annual decommissioning accrual and funding requirements.

In 1982, FPSC required that electric utilities which operate nuclear units in the state establish funded decommissioning reserves (separate from depreciation) for accumulating the estimated cost of decommissioning each nuclear unit. The company's current annual decommissioning accrual is \$1.8M based on the reserve account of \$8.1M prior to the increase by the FPSC effective 1/89 and is required to be reviewed in 1995. Current funding of the reserve is \$18M.

### I Nuclear Regulatory Requirements

A review of the company's compliance with US Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) regulatory requirements related to decommissioning costs was done. There were no findings.

### II Nuclear Decommissioning Cost Process

Internal Auditor reviewed current processes to coordinate, quantify and prepare the decommissioning costs data for filing with the FPSC. I/A noted that vendor contracts will be prepared to insure accurate and timely filing.

9-1 87  
T.

## 1 Trust Funds' Investment Function

The audit of the trust funds' investment department (TFI) responsibilities relating to the non-qualified and qualified sections Decemberan Fund (NNIDTF and SNTSF) has done a review of the activities and processes up to 31/3/94.

- During the review it was found that TFI could not provide documentation to support the investment committee review - until documentation prepared in 1993, and no documentation was provided comparing FPLC & CDBA funds for 1994. Also no evidence of funds were being received by TFI. This had to be addressed to prevent further complications in the future.

### Investment Manager Service Contracts + Related Fees

#### Investment Management Service Contract

Neither the service contract nor the investment guidelines prohibit any actions of self dealing on the part of the investment manager. Acts of self dealing are prohibited by FRS 204 1988 A, which is maintained by FPLC and the board of directors does not prohibit investment manager from acting as self dealing on the part of the investment manager.

Management Comments : TFI is working with an outside law firm in drafting an Investment Manager Agreement and a non disclosure letter is issued to be submitted for review.

In a position, I/A recommended that the fee for the audit be \$14,500 per year. However, the Auditor General Nuclear Audit Department (ANGAD) paid an annual amount of \$20,000. No support for the fee amount or the fee period was provided. The condition still exists. The Director of the Trust Fund Investment (TFI) Department, Mr. Ross Peacock, stated it was felt well justified to, but never formally documented. Ms. Marcella Hoban, the agreed upon performance audit fee was \$20,000 per year.

### Accounting For Nuclear Decommissioning Costs

#### Decommissioning Nuclear Funding

I/A verified the total contribution to both the QUNDTF and UNDTF for the 92 - 94 audit period were in agreement with regulatory amounts allowed as determined by Finance and Regulatory Accounting and provided to Financial Accounting. No exceptions were noted.

### Trustee and Investment Manager Fees

No verification is performed by Accounting to monitor the amount of Trustee and Investment Management fees debited to the funds by the Trustee and reflected in the Trustee's Reports, agreed with the amounts authorized by the TFI Director.

Management concurred with recommendation and has approved the audit action price!

### Budget Review

Review the current decommissioning cost projections in place  
to determine if they are consistent with the information  
available with the FCR, and update the projections  
as necessary based on the new information.

Review the most recent FPC Order to 2 PSC issued 9/21/87  
which was supplied by TEC's decommissioning  
and financial Analysis Team. It quantitative  
analysis Verify that the quantitative analysis incorporated  
recommendations related to such cost and  
funding issues as possible.

Verify the company's current annual decommissioning accruals  
and funding requirements are based the approved 1987  
FPC Order.

Review Regulatory Accounting decommissioning accruals and  
any accrued and funded requirements are based on approved  
FPC Order.

Review Regulatory Accounting decommissioning accruals and  
any accrued and funded requirements are based on approved  
FPC Order process to verify the accuracy of the  
accrued decommissioning recorded in the company's books  
is appropriate.

not applicable

9-1 p10  
T

J P Y  
Journal of Pipeline Operations  
for Years Ending 193 - 3/94

### Salary Related Costs (SRC)

- Contractor has been paid \$20k - work in excess of his actual WC<sub>T</sub> costs incurred.
- Unable to confirm the excessment due to contractor's declination to provide supporting documentation.

### Service Processing Review

- The processing of documentation for compensation provisions of the contract is not cost effective.

Payroll - 73% of Contract's cost

Labor hours - 68% are related to terminal operations.  
No verification of straight time and over time hours on employee time sheets.

### Materials and Expenses (M&E)

- Poor copy quality
- Did not declare where purchase was made
- Did not reflect that Granite was the purchaser of M&E items
- Receipts with voluminous small dollar, "non-FPL chargeable items" is time consuming & not cost beneficial to voucher.

### TRW Authorization

- No date of authorization
- Authorization ranging from not authorized to entirely authorized two months after the work commenced.

### Utilities & Vehicle Use / Maintenance Costs

Budget performance incentive w.r.t. operating costs non-budgeted.

### Out-of-Scope Work Cost Estimation vs. Actual

- Reimbursement Contractor even when actual cost exceeded the estimated cost.