

Alan N. Berg Senior Attorney

United Telephone Company of Florida Box 165000 Altamonte Springs, Florida 32716-5000 Telephone: 407-889-6018 Fax: 407-889-1211

September 5, 1995

Mrs. Blanca S. Bayo Director, Division of Records and Reporting Florida Public Service Commission 101 East Gaines Street Tallahassee, Florida 32301-8153

RE: Docket Nos. 921074-TP, 930955-TL, 940014-TL, 940020-TL, 931196-TL and 940190-TL-- In re: Expanded Interconnection and Local Transport Restructure--Required Tariff Filings

Dear Mrs. Bayo:

Enclosed for filing in the above-referenced docket are the original and 4 copies of United Telephone Company of Florida's Request for Confidential Classification concerning the cost data supporting the local transport restructure tariff filed in the above-captioned Dockets.

Thank you for your attention to this matter.

Sincerely,

Alan N. Berg

ANB:tbm Enclosure DOCUMENT NUMBER 08678 SEP-6#

FPSC-RECORDS/REPORTING

BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In re: Expanded Interconnection)	Docket No. 921074-TP
and Local Transport Restructure.)	Docket No. 930955-TL
)	Docket No. 940014-TL
)	Docket No. 940020-TL
)	Docket No. 931196-TL
)	Docket No. 940190-TL
)	Filed: September 4, 1995
)	

REQUEST FOR CONFIDENTIAL CLASSIFICATION BY UNITED TELEPHONE COMPANY OF FLORIDA

United Telephone Company of Florida (United) pursuant to the provisions of Florida Public Service Commission (FPSC) Rule 25-22.006, Florida Administrative Code, requests that an order be entered declaring that the information described below is confidential information as that term is defined in Rule 25-22.006(1)(a), F.A.C., and as such is exempt from Section 119.07, Florida Statutes (1993). In support of this Request, United states that:

- This Request covers cost data of United which supports the local transport tariff required to be filed by FPSC Order No. PSC-94-153-NOR-TL, issued November
 1994 in the above-captioned dockets.
- Rule 25-22.006(4), F.A.C., requires that confidential information be highlighted, and identified with the page and line at which the confidential material is found, and that the utility correlate the page and line identified as confidential with the

specific justification proffered in support of the confidential classification of such material.

- 3. Copies of the documents identified in paragraph 1 above are enclosed with the confidential information highlighted as required by the Rule. The documents with the confidential material highlighted are attached to the original only of this Request as Exhibit "A". Copies of the documents containing the confidential material with the confidential material blocked out with an opaque marker or other masking device are attached to this Request as Exhibit "B". Copies of this Request served on the parties in this matter do not have a copy of Exhibit "A" attached, but do have a copy of Exhibit "B" attached.
- 4. A listing of the pages and lines at which the confidential materials can be found is attached to this Request as Exhibit "C". A listing correlating the pages and lines of the confidential material with the specific justification proffered in support of the confidential classification of the material is attached to this Request as Exhibit "D".
- 5. The material for which confidential classification is sought is intended to be and is treated by United as confidential and has not been disclosed without being subject to an agreement to maintain its confidential status.
- 6. The confidential material consists of cost data for switched access service for United, and will remain confidential through the entire course of this docket and thereafter.
- Upon conclusion of this docket, United request that the confidential information described above be returned to United.

Wherefore, United Telephone Company of Florida requests that an order be entered declaring the information described herein as falling within the specified confidential classification.

Respectfully submitted,

Alan N. Berg

Senior Attorney

United Telephone Company of Florida

P.O. Box 165000

Altamonte Springs, Florida 32716-5000

(407) 889-6018

EXHIBIT "A"

Docket Nos. 921074-TP, 930955-TL, 940014-TL, 940020-TL, 931196-TL and 940190-TL—In re: Expanded Interconnection and Local Transport Restructure.

REQUEST FOR CONFIDENTIAL CLASSIFICATION BY UNITED TELEPHONE COMPANY OF FLORIDA

Copy of Documents with Confidential Information Highlighted

EXHIBIT "B"

Docket Nos. 921074-TP, 930955-TL, 940014-TL, 940020-TL, 931196-TL and 940190-TL-- In re: Expanded Interconnection and Local Transport Restructure.

REQUEST FOR CONFIDENTIAL CLASSIFICATION BY UNITED TELEPHONE COMPANY OF FLORIDA

Copy of Documents with Confidential Information Marked Out

LOCAL TRANSPORT RESTRUCTURE COST STUDY

for

UNITED TELEPHONE COMPANY OF FLORIDA and CENTRAL TELEPHONE COMPANY OF FLORIDA

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

A Long Run Incremental Cost (LRIC) study was completed to determine the costs associated with the Local Transport Restructure (LTR) tariff. The elements for which cost support is provided are: Entrance Facility (DS1, DS3), Direct Trunked Fixed (DS1, DS3), Direct Trunked Per Mile (DS1, DS3), Tandem Switched Termination, Tandem Switched Facility, Tandem Switching (1st minute, additional minute), and Multiplexing.

The cost model used to determine the Tandem Switching cost was the Switching Cost Information System / Model Office (SCIS/MO). All cost information is proprietary. Bellcore prohibits the disclosure of any information regarding the SCIS model including the inputs and cost calculations within the model.

SCIS is a PC-based model developed by Bellcore which estimates switching system investment caused by switch usage. SCIS computes investment using the marginal cost and average cost method. S/UTF and S/CF use the marginal cost method.

The SCIS model run for this cost study used the combination model office (Version 6.3). This uses a composite of our existing in-service switches with actual inputs obtained yearly from engineering. All assumptions are based on actual forecasts.

Arthur Anderson audited the Bellcore SCIS model and released a report in July 1992 which states that the costing principles inherent in SCIS are appropriate for estimating long run incremental investment attributable to switching system usage, and the methods for implementing the principles are reasonable.

The costs of the Entrance Facility, Direct Trunked Fixed and per mile and the Tandem Switched Termination and Facility costs were determined via use of a methodology established by USTA for incremental cost studies. These costs were developed as Total Service Long Run Incremental Costs (TSLRIC).

This study used the forward looking technologies currently being planned at S/UTF and S/CF. Statistically valid samples were used to form the basis for the assumptions used in the study.

SPRINT/UNITED TELEPHONE - FLORIDA LOCAL TRANSPORT RESTRUCTURE ATTACHMENT 6 PAGE 1 OF 2

	A	В	С
	Proposed Rate Elements	Incremental Cost	Proposed Rates
1	Interconnection -Premium		\$0.013568
2	Interconnection-Transitional		\$0.013518
3	Entr. Facility - Voice		00.08
4	Entr. Facility - DS1		\$210.00
5	Entr. Facility - DS3 (0 Miles)		\$924
6	Entr. Facility - DS3 (1-3 Miles)		\$1,626
7	Entr. Facility - DS3 (3+ Miles)		\$2,863
8	Direct Trunked Fixed - Voice		\$72.00
9	Direct Trunked Per Mile - Voice		\$2.50
10	Direct Trunked Fixed - DS1		\$93.00
11	Direct Trunked Per Mile - DS1		\$20.00
12	Direct Trunked Fixed - DS3		\$472.00
13	Direct Trunked Per Mile - DS3		\$243.00
14	Tandem Switched Termination -Premium	- (1111)	\$0.000279
15	Tandem Switched Termination-Transitional	712	\$0.000278
16	Tandem Switched Facility - Premium		\$0.000064
17	Tandem Switched Facility-Transitional	100 P	\$0.000064
18	Tandem Switching -Premium (1st Minute)		\$0.000898
19	Tandem Switching-Transitional (1st Minute)		\$0.000895
20	Tandem Switching -Premium (Additional Minute)		\$0.000898
21	Tandem Switching-Transitional (Additional Minu	ite)	\$0.000895
22	Multiplexing - DS1 to Voice	Titus Person	\$300.00
23	Multiplexing - DS3 to DS1		\$600.00

EXHIBIT "C"

Docket Nos. 921074-TP, 930955-TL, 940014-TL, 940020-TL, 931196-TL and 940190-TL-- In re: Expanded Interconnection and Local Transport Restructure.

REQUEST FOR CONFIDENTIAL CLASSIFICATION BY UNITED TELEPHONE COMPANY OF FLORIDA

Description of Confidential Information

The following information has be identified as confidential.

Local Transport Restructure Cost Study

Attachment 6, Page 1 of 2, Column B, Lines 1-23, Incremental Costs

EXHIBIT "D"

Docket Nos. 921074-TP, 930955-TL, 940014-TL, 940020-TL, 931196-TL and 940190-TL—In re: Expanded Interconnection and Local Transport Restructure.

REQUEST FOR CONFIDENTIAL CLASSIFICATION BY UNITED TELEPHONE COMPANY OF FLORIDA

Specific Justification to Support Confidential Classification

The following justification is offered for the information listed below:

Local Transport Restructure Cost Study

Attachment 6, Page 1 of 2, Column B, Lines 1-23, Incremental Costs

The information claimed as confidential contained in the above-referenced page and lines consists of incremental costs for zone density pricing for local transport.

This service is currently or soon will be subject to competition at various locations in the United service territory. Competition for this service will increase as more competitors enter the local service market under the recently enacted legislation at the State level and the pending legislation at the Federal level. Competitors are present and waiting to provide this service.

Section 364.183(3)(e), Florida Statutes (1993), describes the term "proprietary confidential business information" to include "information relating to competitive interests, the disclosure of which would impair the competitive business of the provider of the information."

The incremental costing information provided on local transport is information relating to the competitive interests of United. Disclosure of the information would allow other providers of service to determine the minimum price at which United could sell the service and enable other providers of the service a competitive advantage in pricing their service. Such an advantage would impair United's ability to compete in the market for the service, and give its competitors an unfair competitive advantage. The revealing of the competitive information would lessen United's ability to compete in the marketplace and deprive consumers of services of a viable competitor for the service.