

VOTE SHEET

DATE: September 12, 1995

RE: DOCKET NO. 921193-TL - Resolution by the Palm Beach County Board of County Commissioners for extended area service between all exchanges in Palm Beach County.

DOCKET NO. 930173-TL - Petition by the residents of Polo Park requesting extended area service (EAS) between the Haines City exchange and the Orlando, West Kissimmee, Lake Buena Vista, Windermere, Reedy Creek, Winter Park, Clermont, Winter Garden, and St. Cloud exchanges.

DOCKET NO. 930234-TL - Resolution by the Lake County Board of Commissioners for extended area service (EAS) between the Mt. Dora exchange and the Sanford, Geneva, and Oviedo exchanges.

DOCKET NO. 930235-TL - Resolution by the Taylor County Board of Commissioners for countywide extended area service (EAS) within Taylor County.

DOCKET NO. 930978-TL - Resolution by City of Fort Meade requesting extended area service (EAS) from Fort Meade to the Lakeland, Winter Haven, Wauchula, Zolfo Springs, and Mulberry exchanges.

DOCKET NO. 940026-TL - Resolution by Putnam County Board of Commissioners for extended area service (EAS) between all exchanges in Putnam County, and petition by residents of the Florahome 659 exchange for EAS to the Keystone Heights exchange in Putnam County.

DOCKET NO. 940406-TL - Resolution by Lake Wales City Commission requesting extended area service throughout Polk County.

COMMISSIONERS ASSIGNED: Full Commission

COMMISSIONERS' SIGNATURES

MAJORITY

DISSENTING

Joe Garcia

[Signature]

Susan J. Clark

[Signature]

[Signature]

REMARKS/DISSENTING COMMENTS:

PSC/RAR33 (5/90)

DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE
 08986 SEP 13 85
 FPSC-RECORDS/REPORTING

Vote Sheet

Dockets Nos. 921193-TL, 930173-TL, 930234-TL, 930234-TL, 930978-TL, 940026-TL, and 940406-TL

September 12, 1995

Issue 1: Recommendation that the traffic studies on the Southern Bell interLATA routes (Docket No. 921193-TL) listed in Table A of staff's memorandum dated August 31, 1995 should not be required. Traffic information was available on all of the Palm Beach County routes except from the Southern Bell exchanges into the pocket portion of the Clewiston exchange. Traffic data was available from the Clewiston exchange and pocket area, which is served by United, into the Palm Beach County exchanges. In past dockets, traffic studies have shown that the community of interest is from the smaller exchange into the larger exchange. Additional interLATA traffic information would not change the outcome of this docket, and therefore should not be required.

APPROVED

Issue 2: Recommendation that the traffic studies on the GTEFL and Southern Bell interLATA routes (Docket No. 930173-TL) listed in Table B of staff's memorandum should not be required. Because GTEFL was unable to provide traffic data in the format required by the EAS rules from the requesting exchanges and Southern Bell cannot provide the required data in the reverse direction, there is not sufficient information to make a recommendation regarding whether routes in Table B qualify to be balloted for EAS. Since this EAS request involves a pocket, this docket should be evaluated in the forthcoming recommendation on "pocket" areas.

APPROVED

Issue 3: Recommendation that the traffic studies on the Southern Bell interLATA routes (Docket No. 930234-TL) listed in Table C of staff's memorandum should not be required. Traffic information was provided by United from the requesting exchange, Mount Dora, into the Geneva, Oviedo, and Sanford exchanges. The Southern Bell interLATA routes are in the reverse direction, which historically have shown very little community of interest. The additional interLATA traffic information will not change the outcome of this docket; therefore, no further traffic data should be required.

APPROVED

Vote Sheet

**Dockets Nos. 921193-TL, 90173-TL, 930234-TL, 93023 FL, 930978-TL, 940026-TL, and 940406-TL
September 12, 1995**

Issue 4: Recommendation that the traffic studies on the Southern Bell interLATA routes (Docket No. 930235-TL) listed in Table D of staff's memorandum should not be required. Because Southern Bell could not provide traffic data from the requesting exchange or pocket area in the format required by the EAS rules, there is not sufficient information to make a recommendation regarding whether the routes in Table D qualify to be balloted for EAS. Since this EAS request primarily involves a pocket situation, this docket should be evaluated in the forthcoming recommendation on "pocket" areas.

APPROVED

Issue 5: Recommendation that the traffic studies on the GTEFL interLATA routes (Docket No. 930978-TL) listed in Table E of staff's memorandum should not be required. Traffic information was provided by United from the requesting exchange, Fort Meade, into the Lakeland, Winter Haven, and Mulberry exchanges. The GTEFL interLATA routes are in the reverse direction, which historically have shown very little community of interest. Additional interLATA traffic information will not change the outcome of this docket; therefore, no further traffic data should be required.

APPROVED

Issue 6: Recommendation that the traffic studies on the Southern Bell interLATA routes (Docket No. 940026-TL) listed in Table F of staff's memorandum should not be required. Traffic information was provided by ALLTEL and Southern Bell on 66 of the 84 requested routes. With the exception of three pocket areas, Keystone Heights, Melrose, and Orange Springs, the remaining Putnam County exchanges have either EAS or the \$.25 plan to the county seat, Palatka. The \$.25 plan was ordered on these three interLATA routes in an earlier Putnam County EAS docket (910528-TL), but was subsequently denied by Judge Greene. The interLATA traffic information on the remaining interLATA routes will not change the outcome of this docket; therefore, no further traffic data should be required in this docket. The three interLATA routes that were denied by Judge Greene should be addressed in the forthcoming "interLATA toll alternative" recommendation, along with the other pending dockets with similar concerns.

APPROVED

Vote Sheet

Dockets Nos. 921193-TL, 930173-TL, 930234-TL, 930235-TL, 930978-TL, 940026-TL, and 940406-TL

September 12, 1995

Issue 7: Recommendation that the traffic studies on the GTEFL interLATA routes (Docket No. 940406-TL) listed in Table G of staff's memorandum should not be required. Traffic information was provided by GTEFL and United for most of the requesting exchanges. The traffic information that was provided from the Lake Wales exchange indicated no community of interest. The remaining interLATA routes involving the Lake Wales exchange should have similar calling patterns; therefore, no further traffic data should be required in this docket.

APPROVED

Issue 8: Recommendation that, with the approval of Issues 3, 5, 6, and 7, Dockets 930234-TL, 930978-TL, 940026-TL, and 940406-TL should be closed if no protests are filed within 21 days of issuance of the order. A protest regarding one route should not keep the action regarding other routes from becoming final. Dockets 921193-TL, 930173-TL, and 930235-TL should remain open pending resolution of other issues.

APPROVED