

VOTE SHEET

DATE: September 26, 1995

RE: DOCKET NO. 920199-WS - Application for rate increase in Brevard, Charlotte/Lee, Citrus, Clay, Duval, Highlands, Lake Marion, Martin, Nassau, Orange, Osceola, Pasco, Putnam, Seminole, Volusia, and Washington Counties by Southern States Utilities, Inc.; Collier County by Marco Shores Utilities (Deltona); Hernando County by Spring Hill Utilities (Deltona); and Volusia County by Deltona Lakes Utilities (Deltona). (Deferred from the September 12, 1995 Commission Conference)

Issue 4: Recommendation that, if the Commission approves the primary recommendation in Issue 2, SSU's final rates should be calculated based on a modified individual system basis, with the exception of Welaka and Sarasota Harbor, Silver Lake Estates and Western Shores, Park Manor and Interlachen Lakes, and Rosemont and Rolling Green, which are combined for water ratemaking purposes. All other existing uniform rates should be unbundled. The rates should be developed based on a water benchmark of \$30.00 and a wastewater benchmark of \$46.75 for a total bill of \$76.75. These benchmarks should be calculated at 10,000 gallons of water usage. Revenue deficiencies caused by the staff-recommended benchmark should be recovered from each industry's customers. The recommended rates, before any adjustments for subsequent indexes and pass-throughs, are shown on Attachment A of staff's memorandum dated August 31, 1995, which contains Schedules 1 and 2. Since this decision was rendered, SSU has had two indexes and one pass-through approved by the Commission for the 127 service areas. Therefore, SSU should make any necessary adjustments for indexes and pass-throughs and be required

COMMISSIONERS ASSIGNED: Full Commission

COMMISSIONERS' SIGNATURES

MAJORITY

DISSENTING

*[Handwritten signatures under MAJORITY: Robert Clark, Tom Dear, Jane K. Kresley]*

*[Handwritten signature under DISSENTING: Joe Garcia]*  
*[Handwritten signature under DISSENTING: Jane K. Kresley (as to bond issue only)]*

REMARKS/DISSENTING COMMENTS:

PSC/RAR33(5/90)

DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE

09555 SEP 27 95

FPSC-RECORDS/REPORTING

Issue 4: (Continued)

to recalculate and submit the recommended rates within 7 calendar days of the Agenda Conference. SSU should also be required to file the supporting documentation, as well as a computer disk in a format which may be converted to Lotus 1-2-3 by staff. The utility should be required to file revised tariff sheets and a proposed customer notice to reflect the appropriate rates. The approved rates should be effective for service rendered on or after the stamped approval date on the tariff sheets pursuant to Rule 25-30.475(1), F.A.C., provided the customers have received notice. The rates may not be implemented until proper notice has been received by the customers. The utility should provide proof of the date notice was given within 10 days after the date of the notice.

**MODIFIED** *The appropriate rates are those set forth in Schedules 2 and 3. Commissioner Garcia dissented.*

*Further, the company was directed to increase the amount of the bond to \$8 million. Commissioner Krisking dissented on the bond.*