BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In the Matter of

Resolution of petition(s) to establish nondiscriminatory rates, terms, and conditions for interconnection involving local exchange companies and alternative local exchange companies pursuant to Section 364.162, F.S.

DOCKET NO. 950985-TP

PROCEEDINGS:

PREHEARING CONFERENCE

BEFORE:

COMMISSIONER J. TERRY DEASON

DATE:

Friday, December 22, 1995

TIME:

Commenced at 9:00 a.m. Terminated at 10:23 a.m.

PLACE:

Betty Easley Conference Center

4075 Esplanade Way Tallahassee, Florida

REPORTED BY:

SARAH B. GILROY, CP, RPR Notary Public in and for the State of Florida at

Large

SOUTHERN REPORTING SERVICES 2700 Blair Stone Road, Suite E Post Office Box 608 Tallahassee, Florida 32302 (904) 222-6061

DOCUMENT NUMBER - DATE

SOUTHERN REPORTING SERVICES 12977 DEC 26 to

1	PARTICIPATING:
2	DONNA CANZANO, ROBERT ELIAS, TRACY HATCH, SCOTT EDMONDS, FPSC Division of Legal Services.
3	PRENTICE P. PRUITT, FPSC Office of General Counsel
4	KENNETH A. HOFFMAN, Teleport Communications Group, Inc.
5	
6	DONALD L. CROSBY, Continental Cablevision, Inc.
7	RICHARD M. RINDLER, Metropolitan Fiber Systems of Florida, Inc.
9	RICHARD D. MELSON, MCI Metro Access Transmission Services, Inc.
10	CHARLES W. MURPHY, Time Warner AXS of Florida Limited Partnership and Digital Media Partners
11	R. DOUGLAS LACKEY, NANCY B. WHITE, BellSouth
12	Telecommunications, Inc. d/b/a Southern Bell Telephone and Telegraph Company
13	MICHAEL W. TYE, AT&T Communications of the Southern
14	States, Inc.
15 16	LAURA L. WILSON, Florida Cable Telecommunications Association, Inc.
	FLOYD R. SELF, NORMAN H. HORTON, JR., McCaw
17	Communications of Florida, Inc. and its regional affiliates
18	C. EVERETT BOYD, JR., Sprint Communications Company Limited Partnership
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1 (Hearing convened at 9:00 a.m.) COMMISSIONER DEASON: Call the prehearing 2 conference to order. We will begin by having notice 3 read please. MS. CANZANO: Pursuant to notice issued November 5 22nd, 1995 a prehearing conference has been set for this 7 time and place in Docket 950985-TP. 8 COMMISSIONER DEASON: We're doing 985 first? MS. CANZANO: Yes, sir. Because of the statute we 9 10 need to have separate proceedings. So we will start and 11 finish 985 and begin with 984. 12 COMMISSIONER DEASON: Very well. We will take 13 appearances. 14 MR. MURPHY: Charles Murphy, Pennington, Haben law 15 firm on behalf of Time Warner AXS of Florida Limited 16 Partnership and Digital Media Partners. 17 MS. WILSON: Laura Wilson on behalf of the Florida 18 Cable Telecommunications Association, 310 North Monroe 19 Street, Tallahassee, Florida, 32301. 20 MR. RINDLER: Richard Rindler on behalf of 21 Metropolitan Fiber Systems of Florida, Inc., 3000 K. 22 Street, Northwest, Washington, D.C. 23 MS. WHITE: Nancy White and Doug Lackey on behalf 24 of BellSouth Telecommunications, 675 West Peachtree 25 Street, Suite 4300, Atlanta, Georgia, 30375.

MR. MELSON: Richard Melson of the law firm 1 Hopping, Green, Sams & Smith, P. O. Box 6526, 2 Tallahassee, on behalf of MCI Metro Access Transmission Services, Inc. With me at the hearing will be Mr. Michael J. Henry of MCI in Atlanta. 5 MR. TYE: Michael W. Tye, 101 North Monroe Street, 6 Suite 700, Tallahassee, Florida, 32301, appearing on behalf of AT&T Communications of Southern States, Inc. 8 Appearing with me at the hearing will be Robin D. Dunson 9 10 of the AT&T legal department in Atlanta. MR. HORTON: Norman H. Horton Jr. and Floyd R. Self 11 of Messer, Caparello, Madsen, Goldman & Metz, 12 P. O. Box 1876, Tallahassee, on behalf of McCaw 13 Communications of Florida, Inc. and it's Florida 14 regional affiliates. 15 16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. BOYD: Everett Boyd of the Ervin, Varn, Jacobs, Odom & Ervin law firm, Post Office Box 1170, Tallahassee, Florida. I'm appearing on behalf of Sprint Communications Company Limited Partnership.

MR. CROSBY: Donald Crosby, regulatory counsel for Continental Cablevision, 7800 Belfort Parkway, Suite 270, Jacksonville, Florida.

MS. CANZANO: Donna Canzano, Robert Elias, Tracy Hatch and Scott Edmonds appearing on behalf of the Commission staff at 2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard,

1 Tallahassee, Florida. 2 MR. PRUITT: Prentice Pruitt, same address, counsel to the Commissioners. 3 COMMISSIONER DEASON: Do we have any preliminary matters? 5 MS. CANZANO: None that I'm aware of. COMMISSION DEASON: Do the parties have any 7 preliminary matters? 8 9 MR. MURPHY: Yes. Time Warner would like to 10 withdraw its petition that was filed in this document 11 before the Commission to establish nondiscriminatory 12 rates, terms and conditions for interconnection with 13 There are actually two petitions: One for 14 Digital Media Partners and one for Time Warner. 15 COMMISSIONER DEASON: You're withdrawing both 16 petitions? 17 MR. MURPHY: Yes, sir. 18 COMMISSIONER DEASON: Very well. Any other 19 preliminary matters? Mr. Crosby. 20 MR. CROSBY: Commissioner, Continental Cablevision 21 is in the process this morning of filing four documents 22 with the Commission. One is the voluntary dismissal of 23 BellSouth from its petition -- from Continental's 24 petition in this docket. The other is a withdrawal of 25 all of Continental's witness, Mr. Schleiden's --

Schleiden's testimony in the docket and the four subdockets.

We're filing an amended prehearing statement in this docket. And we're submitting amended direct testimony of Schleiden, which reflects the fact that

petition.

We are not, however, dismissing our petition, because we have continuing issues regarding United and Centel. Thank you.

COMMISSIONER DEASON: Thank you, Mr. Crosby. Staff, did you get all of that?

we've dismissed both GTE and BellSouth from our

MS. CANZANO: Yes, I did.

MR. MELSON: Chairman Deason, could I ask a question for clarification about the amended testimony that Mr. Crosby referred to?

COMMISSION DEASON: Yes.

MR. MELSON: My question is whether that amended testimony is intended to be offered at the hearings in January, or whether it's intended to be offered at the later hearings with respect to United and Centel, I believe in March.

COMMISSION DEASON: Mr. Crosby.

MR. CROSBY: Commissioner, it's intended for the March hearing.

COMMISSIONER DEASON: Very well. Preliminary 1 2 matters from other parties? (no response). Very well. Ms. Canzano, are we prepared now to 3 proceed into the draft prehearing order? MS. CANZANO: Yes, we are. 5 COMMISSIONER DEASON: Very well. Are there any 6 parties that were included in the draft prehearing order 7 but were not present here today, do you know? 8 Teleport and Intermedia. 9 MS. CANZANO: Yes. COMMISSIONER DEASON: Teleport and Intermedia. 10 What is their status as a result of the fax that we sent 11 out earlier this week? 12 MS. CANZANO: Just one moment. Intermedia said 13 they were going to withdraw. And Tel -- and Teleport 14 also indicated that they would file a notice of 15 voluntary dismissal. 16 COMMISSIONER DEASON: So we're to assume by that 17 indication and their absence here today that they will 18 not be participating in these dockets as parties? 19 MS. CANZANO: As far as I know. My only question 20 is, I don't know if this is dismissal just of their 21 22 23 petitions. 24

25

petitions or as their status as intervenors in the other petitions.

COMMISSIONER DEASON: What did our fax indicate concerning attendance at today's prehearing conference?

1 MS. CANZANO: For Teleport it indicates that they 2 have filed a notice of voluntary dismissal of its 3 petition and that it intends to maintain a party status in the remaining -- in the remaining petitions. 5 COMMISSIONER DEASON: They want to maintain their 6 party status, but they're not here today, so they waive 7 all positions and issues? That's my understanding, yes. MS. CANZANO: 8 COMMISSIONER DEASON: But they will be allowed to 9 10 participate at the hearing? MS. CANZANO: 11 Yes. COMMISSIONER DEASON: Okay. That was for 12 13 Teleport? 14 MS. CANZANO: Teleport. MR. RINDLER: Excuse me, Your Honor. What does 15 that mean with respect to the testimony that they 16 filed? 17 COMMISSIONER DEASON: The testimony these parties 18 filed? 19 MR. RINDLER: 20 Yes. It would be my assumption 21 COMMISSIONER DEASON: 22 that that testimony would be withdrawn. But that may 23 not be what staff is interpreting, and we need to get 24 that clarified. MS. CANZANO: Teleport in particular only filed 25

1 testimony within its own petition. So that's not an 2 issue. Thank you, Your Honor. 3 MR. RINDLER: COMMISSION DEASON: What about Intermedia? 4 MS. CANZANO: They're not a petitioner. 5 Intermedia has indicated it will withdraw as a party 6 from the above proceedings in the interconnection and 7 unbundling documents. And all it asks is that it remain 8 on the service list. 9 COMMISSIONER DEASON: So Intermedia will not be 10 participating in hearings in these dockets? Ms. Wilson, 11 do you have a question? 12 MS. WILSON: Pardon? 1.3 COMMISSIONER DEASON: Did you have a question? 14 MS. WILSON: No, I was just nodding my head in 15 agreement. 16 MS. CANZANO: Commissioner Deason? 17 COMMISSION DEASON: Yes. 18 MS. CANZANO: The fax says that they intend to 19 withdraw -- Intermedia intends to withdraw at the 20 prehearing conference today. So it seems to indicate 21 that they might have indicated that they would actually 22 be present at the prehearing conference. So for 23 whatever that means. 24

25

COMMISSIONER DEASON: Is there anyone here on

behalf of Intermedia? (no response).

I'm just assuming since given the events of this week and the fax that we sent out and the preliminary indication from them, that their absence today is to be indicated that they are just withdrawing from this proceeding. Okay.

MR. RINDLER: Your Honor, one other question on testimony. To the extent that testimony has been withdrawn from these proceedings and had been relied upon in other witnesses' testimony in the same proceeding, is there an opportunity to file supplemental testimony?

COMMISSIONER DEASON: I don't anticipate any. Staff, have you contemplated this question before?

MS. CANZANO: Well specifically what do you mean by "supplemental testimony"? I anticipated that perhaps some parties might want to adopt certain pages of testimony filed in another petition. Perhaps you might want to adopt a couple of pages of your witness's testimony in the Teleport position, but since Teleport withdrew. But more than that I don't know.

COMMISSIONER DEASON: Perhaps you can clarify your question. Exactly what is it you're asking?

MR. RINDLER: I'm asking just what counsel said.

In connection with the -- our petition, the witness

relied in part upon the statements of others in another proceeding. He was talking to a chart that was prepared in that proceeding, the Teleport chart, and that's been withdrawn as I understand.

MS. CANZANO: That's right.

MR. RINDLER: The question now is, for the purposes of the record can we supplement the testimony so that, one, it's clear, and, two, it's on the record?

COMMISSIONER DEASON: It seems to me you're placing your own self in jeopardy. Anytime you rely upon testimony of other parties in other proceedings there is no guarantee that any prefiled testimony is ever going to be inserted into the record. It can be withdrawn. It can be objected to. There are numerous things that can happen.

So just because that testimony has been prefiled does not give you assurance that it's going to be in the record for you to rely upon. And I'm reluctant at this point to be authorizing the filing of supplemental testimony.

But I'm willing to hear from staff and other parties if there is a concern one way or the other before I make a ruling.

Any parties have any comments on the suggestion of allowing supplemental testimony in the case of witnesses

who have relied upon testimony of witnesses whose testimony is being withdrawn?

MR. LACKEY: Mr. Chairman?

COMMISSION DEASON: Yes, Mr. Lackey.

MR. LACKEY: Doug Lackey. We certainly would have no objection where a witness -- let me use my own witnesses as an example so I don't get the names mixed up. For Mr. Scheye, perhaps final testimony in the Teleport case and addressing MFS's position he simply adopted the testimony he put in the Teleport case, I would expect that we and any of the parties would be able to use that testimony that he had incorporated and referred to -- his own testimony in another proceeding -- in the remaining dockets here. I think --

COMMISSIONER DEASON: You're talking about a situation where a witness adopts his own testimony?

MR. LACKEY: Yes, sir. That's right.

COMMISSION DEASON: Not another witness' --

MR. LACKEY: That's right. I think we would have difficulty with adopting either another wholly unrelated witness' testimony or adding supplemental testimony to sort of cover the situation that, gee, I wish I had said that. I think it's a little late in the ball game for that.

But the first instance, which is what I think the

1 staff was addressing, we have no objection to. 2 MR. RINDLER: Your Honor, it's not a question of, 3 it would be nice if we said that. We just talked about this exhibit in the testimony. So it's not as if it's another idea. It's just 5 that now that it's not in the record through that 6 witness, we would like to make sure the record is clear 7 by putting information with -- simply with respect to 8 that exhibit into the record. 9 MR. LACKEY: May I supplement, Mr. Chairman? 10 don't want to cause a problem here. To the extent that 11 we're talking about a TCG exhibit, that chart that I 12 think was in TCG's testimony, we would not object to 13 incorporating that -- that chart, provided that, you 14 know, the MFS witnesses can properly explain it and 15 provide a basis for it during cross-examination. We 16 don't want to be difficult about this. 17 COMMISSIONER DEASON: Is that what you're referring 18 19 to, Mr. Rindler? 20 MR. RINDLER: Yes, Your Honor. That's the only instance COMMISSIONER DEASON: 21 22 where you need to --MR. RINDLER: Yes, Your Honor. 23

24

25

COMMISSIONER DEASON: Any other comments or concerns? Mr. Hoffman, you need to make an appearance?

MR. HOFFMAN: Commissioner Deason, my apologies for being late. I'm Kenneth A. Hoffman. I would like to enter an appearance on behalf of myself and William B. Willingham, as well as Jodie Donovan-May, on behalf Teleport Communications, Group, Inc. and their Florida affiliate, TCG South Florida.

COMMISSIONER DEASON: Earlier we were discussing the status of Teleport in this proceeding. And we did note that there was a notice of dismissal, but it was your intention to participate in proceedings; is that correct?

MR. HOFFMAN: Yes, sir.

COMMISSIONER DEASON: Okay. Okay. In regards to Mr. Rindler's question, Staff, do you have any final comments?

MS. CANZANO: I think that's fine.

COMMISSIONER DEASON: I'm assuming since there is no objection to doing that, Mr. Rindler, we will allow you that latitude.

MR. RINDLER: Thank you, Your Honor.

COMMISSIONER DEASON: I was under the assumption we were talking about massive introductions of supplemental testimony at this late date.

We're now ready to proceed into the draft prehearing order. We will begin with Section 1, which

1 is the case background. Are there any corrections or 2 modifications to the case background? 3 Section 2 is the procedure for confidential information, which is standard. Any questions or concerns? Section 3 addresses the prefiled testimony and 7 exhibits. Questions and concerns on Section 3? Section 4 addresses the order of witnesses. 8 9 MS. WILSON: Commissioner? 10 COMMISSION DEASON: Yes. 11 MS. WILSON: On behalf of FCTA, we are withdrawing 12 our witnesses. Our witnesses only filed testimony in 13 the Continental, Time Warner and Teleport proceedings. 14 So on page seven we would withdraw the testimony of 15 Jeffrey Smith and Joe Cresse for direct testimony, and 16 also the rebuttal testimony of John Kern and Joe 17 Cresse. 18 MR. MURPHY: Similarly, Commissioner Deason, Time 19 Warner is withdrawing all testimony filed in this 20 proceeding. And that would be Joan McGrath's testimony, 21 direct, on page seven, and the rebuttal also referenced 22 on page seven. 23 COMMISSIONER DEASON: Very well. Mr. Crosby? 24 MR. CROSBY: Commissioner, Continental is 25 withdrawing the testimony of A.R. "Dick" Schleiden. So

the draft prehearing statement at pages six and seven 1 2 should be amended to remove the reference to 3 Mr. Schleiden as a witness in this case. COMMISSIONER DEASON: Very well. 5 MS. CANZANO: And also --COMMISSIONER DEASON: Mr. Lackey, did you have a 6 comment? 7 MR. LACKEY: I can't get used to the switches, 8 Mr. Chairman. We don't have any witnesses in this 9 docket to withdraw, but I do want to talk about the 10 order of witnesses when it's appropriate to do that. 11 12 COMMISSIONER DEASON: Okay. MR. LACKEY: I'm sorry. I'm sorry. I made a 13 mistake. I got my dockets confused. We do need to 14 withdraw Mr. Varner on page seven, because he was only 15 filed and only addressed the TCG petition, which has now 16 been withdrawn. 17 COMMISSIONER DEASON: Very well. 18 MR. TACKEY: I would like to talk about the order 19 of witnesses when we get further. 20 COMMISSIONER DEASON: Before we conclude this 21 section we will address the order. Mr. Melson? 22 MR. MELSON: No changes at this time, although I 23 would also like to discuss the order of witnesses. 24

25

COMMISSIONER DEASON: Very well. Any other

1 concerns before we address the order of witnesses? 2 MS. CANZANO: Yes. 3 COMMISSION DEASON: Mr. Wiggins? 4 MR. WIGGINS: Yes, sir, I would like to make an appearance if I could, after making an apology. I 5 apologize for being late. I misread the notice. 6 Patrick Wiggins, law firm of Wiggins & Villacorta, 7 P. O. Box 1657, Tallahassee, Florida, appearing on 8 behalf of Intermedia Communications of Florida, Inc. 9 Thank you. 10 COMMISSIONER DEASON: We discussed your status 11 earlier in this prehearing conference when you were 12 absent, not knowing you were going to be coming later. 13 MR. WIGGINS: So where am I? 14 COMMISSIONER DEASON: I'm going to have to ask you 15 to leave. I'm kidding. 16 MR. WIGGINS: You wouldn't be the first. 17 COMMISSIONER DEASON: Perhaps -- we were 18 19 speculating as to what your status was to be. Can you enlighten us? 20 MR. WIGGINS: Boy, I wish I knew. I don't want to 21 complicate things, but I will say that in my own mind 22 I'm not sure what standing we have to stay in the 23 proceeding. 24 On the other hand it seems to me this is so 25

important that it would be -- probably be wiser for us to remain in the docket as a party, although we would take no position on the various positions. And I simply want to do that because we are a party, we've been granted party status.

And with the importance of this proceeding and with what all is going to be coming down in the next six months it seems to me appropriate for us to stay in the docket in case there is something we need to bring to the Commission's attention. So that would be my preference, notwithstanding the letter I sent to Ms. Canzano saying that we would be withdrawing.

COMMISSIONER DEASON: Okay. Ms. Canzano, do you understand the status of Intermedia?

MS. CANZANO: Yes, I do.

COMMISSION DEASON: Very well.

MR. WIGGINS: Thank you.

MR. HOFFMAN: Commissioner Deason, if I may, I've handed out an amended prehearing statement to all of the parties which we have filed this morning on behalf of Teleport Communications Group.

Also with respect to the order of witnesses, inasmuch as Teleport has filed a voluntary dismissal of its petition, and I would note that the testimony that Teleport filed was filed only in Docket No. 950985 and

1 2

was not rolled over or adopted into the subdockets in this proceeding, the point being that the direct testimony of Mr. Kouroupas and the rebuttal testimony of Mr. Kouroupas should be withdrawn consistent with the dismissal of our petition.

COMMISSIONER DEASON: So you're -- on page six and page seven of the draft prehearing order, you're wanting the testimony of Mr. Kouroupas shown to -- to be withdrawn so there would not be a listing there?

MR. HOFFMAN: Yes, sir.

COMMISSIONER DEASON: Very well. Now we can discuss the order of the remaining witnesses. I believe Mr. Melson.

MR. MELSON: Chairman Deason, Dr. Cornell will not be available on Monday or Tuesday of the week scheduled for hearing, which is the day that the unbundling docket, which we haven't got to yet, is scheduled. We would ask permission to take her testimony in the unbundling docket on Wednesday.

Given the number of witnesses that have been eliminated, I wonder if it might make sense to forego hearings at all on Monday and begin on Tuesday with the notion that we would spend two days on each docket. I think given the -- I believe we're going to see the same sort of reduction in witnesses in the other docket.

24

25

And that would both save the Commission some time and help me at least partially deal with my scheduling issue.

COMMISSIONER DEASON: There has been a suggestion -- first of all let me clarify that I do not have the authority to change the hearing date. That would have to come from the Chairman. I can make a recommendation to her to change those hearing dates, but that's strictly her prerogative.

But I would be inclined to hear any comments from any of the parties as to the suggestion of eliminating Monday's hearing and having hearings just four days on the two dockets.

MR. MURPHY: Time Warner has no objection.

MS. WILSON: FCTA has no objection.

MR. RINDLER: MFS has no objection.

MR. CROSBY: Continental has no objection.

MR. LACKEY: BellSouth has no objection either to the four days or to setting Dr. Cornell at a special date.

COMMISSION DEASON: Mr. Tye?

MR. TYE: AT&T has no objection.

MR. HORTON: McCaw has no objection.

MR. BOYD: No objection.

MR. HOFFMAN: No objection.

1 MR. WIGGINS: No objection.

COMMISSIONER DEASON: Staff?

MS. CANZANO: We have no objections -- I just have one clarification. The unbundling docket was scheduled only for Monday and Tuesday, and Wednesday through Saturday for interconnection. So if we start on Tuesday, would we also -- would we take two days for unbundling beginning on Tuesday and then just push everything back?

COMMISSIONER DEASON: Let me ask this. How did staff envision these hearings to run? Did you -- was there a date certain for the end and the beginning?

MS. CANZANO: Yes.

COMMISSION DEASON: Or were they just to run one after the other regardless of when one terminated?

MS. CANZANO: I think the latter. But that's really a function of by the time the petitions were filed, because the January 10th hearing date was established first, and that was established from the 10th through the 13th.

And then subsequent to that we received the petitions in the unbundling docket. So we set those for that Monday and Tuesday.

So I think that it would be okay to start, you know, pushing back by one day, so long as we have a

clear start and stop.

COMMISSIONER DEASON: If the dates were pushed back one day, what would be your suggestion for the starting -- start dates of the second proceeding?

MS. CANZANO: On January 9th, Tuesday, January 9th.

COMMISSIONER DEASON: Right now the 8th and 9th is set aside for resale; is that correct?

MS. CANZANO: That's correct.

COMMISSIONER DEASON: And interconnection is scheduled to start at nine o'clock on Wednesday, the 10th?

MS. CANZANO: That's right.

COMMISSIONER DEASON: Okay. Now with this suggested modification to the schedule it would be your understanding then that resale would be on the 9th and the 10th, and interconnection would begin at nine o'clock on Thursday the 11th?

MS. CANZANO: Yes.

COMMISSIONER DEASON: Mr. Melson, that is your suggestion?

MR. MELSON: Chairman Deason, let me -- let me make two comments. First, since the witnesses are the same, I think we could start one hearing after the other, or even potentially combine the hearings, although I think

there may be a statutory issue about that.

In terms of presentation to the Commission, I frankly think it makes more sense to do the local interconnection docket first and unbundling second. The bulk of the testimony in terms of volume is filed in the interconnection docket, and I think there are some things that are said in that docket that will help the Commission's understanding when they get to unbundling.

My suggestion would be to commence the local interconnection docket on Tuesday morning, and upon completion of that docket, whenever that is, to -- to move in immediately to unbundling. And that would give us four days of -- to complete both hearings. And I think that's very realistic.

COMMISSIONER DEASON: Okay. So the suggestion then is to take interconnection first beginning on Tuesday the 9th. And at the conclusion of that -- of interconnection, then to proceed directly into the unbundling under the resale docket?

MR. MELSON: (nodding head affirmatively).

COMMISSIONER DEASON: Any other comments, concerns about that suggestion?

MR. ELIAS: I'm not so certain that this might not create a notice problem. I think we've noticed the interconnection hearing to start on the 10th. I'm a lot

SOUTHERN REPORTING SERVICES

more comfortable with pushing a date backwards than I am advancing it a day.

COMMISSIONER DEASON: If all of this has been noticed, what --

MR. ELIAS: There were two --

COMMISSIONER DEASON: I'm at a little bit of a loss. First of all, this is the Chairman's call as to the specific hearing dates. It's really outside of my control. I guess if it was something that was extremely important, I could make a recommendation to her, and she could take that recommendation, and she could consider that.

But then that's usually in a situation where there is time to -- either notice has not been made, or there is time to change the notice. I certainly don't want to run into a notice problem.

Then that raises the question, if all of the parties are here, does that constitute adequate notice as a discussion at a prehearing conference? I'm not really sure. I need some guidance. Mr. Pruitt, can you help us out?

MR. PRUITT: Mr. Chairman, it's my opinion that if the parties are here and agree to it, it's -- if the parties are here and agree to it, it's a stipulation, and they would be bound by it.

COMMISSIONER DEASON: So there is no official notice problem?

MR. PRUITT: I don't think you have a notice problem.

COMMISSIONER DEASON: Okay. Staff, my only concern is, I want to get these hearings concluded. Right now we've got a Saturday set aside. And that doesn't bother me either. I'm certainly available on Saturday.

I just don't want to see ourselves in a situation where we're going to be facing a time crunch. But I do agree with Mr. Melson. It does appear that with the elimination of a number of witnesses and with similar action in the docket in the -- in the docket to follow here today, that there may be ample time.

So, Mr. Melson, why -- first of all, is it the fact that your witness is not available on Monday is the reason that you would desire to begin the hearings on Tuesday?

MR. MELSON: Frankly I just prefer to spend four days in hearing rather than five. I think if we -- I think we will finish in four days. I prefer it to be Tuesday through Friday than Monday through Thursday.

My witness is not available until Wednesday. She is returning from a vacation that she's just left on and will be in the air about 30 hours on Monday. And I'm

hoping to have her same by Wednesday morning.

COMMISSION DEASON: Okay. Any other -- before we make any decisions, are there any other concerns with the schedule and the scheduled appearance of witnesses? Get everything out on the table at one time.

MR. LACKEY: I have one order of witness I would like to discuss. I would like to begin by saying BellSouth concurs with Mr. Melson's suggestion regarding starting on Tuesday and simply running the hearings together. We would support that.

With regard to the order of witnesses, the only problem that I have with the order of witnesses is, as I understand it now, the way it reads, Mr. Devine will go first, followed by Mr. Price, followed by Dr. Cornell, assuming we reach her on Wednesday or whatever.

Then you've got the BellSouth witnesses, Scheye and Banerjee, followed by Mr. Guedel. We believe that Mr. Guedel's testimony ought to be presented before our testimony, since he is clearly adverse to our position and in support of MCI Metro and MFS's position.

We think it would make more sense to present all of that testimony together than sandwiching us by breaking it up.

MR. TYE: We will agree to that change, Commissioner Deason.

SOUTHERN REPORTING SERVICES

1 COMMISSIONER DEASON: Any other comments? Any objection to changing the order of appearance? Hearing 2 none, Mr. Guedel will be before the BellSouth witnesses. 3 THE REPORTER: Please turn on your mic. 5 COMMISSIONER DEASON: Thank you. I'm sorry. MR. TYE: He will go after Dr. Cornell; is that 6 7 correct, Commissioner? COMMISSIONER DEASON: I thought the concern was 8 9 that he just appear before the appearance of the BellSouth witnesses. 10 11 MR. TYE: It's okay --COMMISSIONER DEASON: I have no problem if you want 12 Mr. Guedel to appear before Dr. Cornell, I have no 13 objection. 14 MR. TYE: You mean after Dr. Cornell. Since we're 15 the intervenor, I assume it would be better if MCI and 16 MFS put their witnesses on first and then Mr. Guedel and 17 18 then BellSouth's. COMMISSIONER DEASON: I think that would be the 19 20 most logical sequence. Mr. Guedel then would be appearing after Dr. Cornell and before the BellSouth 21 witnesses. 22 Okay. Any other concerns with the order of witness 23 24 appearances? That brings us back to Mr. Melson's suggestion 25

concerning the actual hearing dates and which proceeding would be heard first. Staff, do you have a concern with having the interconnection proceeding heard before the resale proceeding?

MR. ELIAS: Only from the perspective of making sure that the notice that's given is consistent with the requirements of Chapter 120 and the Sunshine Law.

COMMISSIONER DEASON: Given that Mr. Pruitt's opinion is that all parties are present here today -- and if anyone objects -- in a moment I'm going to ask all parties if there is any objection. If there is any objection we probably will not do -- make this change, because there could be a notice problem.

But if all parties accept, agree, then staff has no logistical concern?

MR. ELIAS: That's correct.

COMMISSIONER DEASON: Okay. BellSouth has already indicated they agree with the suggestion. I'm going to ask if there -- the proverbial, if you have a problem speak now or forever hold your peace type situation.

Are there any problems with the suggestion by Mr. Melson that first of all we hear interconnection first and begin at 9:00 a.m. on Tuesday, and at the conclusion of interconnection we would proceed directly into the resell docket? Is there any objection by any

1 party concerning that schedule? Mr. Tye. 2 MR. TYE: Commissioner Deason, I hate to be the 3 I don't know Mr. Gillan's schedule. He -- I know he has set aside the 8th and the 9th for unbundling and resale in which he is a witness. 5 That's the only problem that I have with agreeing 6 to put interconnection first. And I don't know that I can reach him today to resolve that problem. 8 sorry. If we went -- if unbundling went first, I know 9 he is scheduled to be here on the 8th and the 9th. 10 11 And to the extent he's not available after the 9th, I quess we would get him on the stand early if need be. 12 COMMISSIONER DEASON: Now you're saying he is 13 available. What days do you know for sure he is 14 available? 15 MR. TYE: He is available on the 8th and the 9th. 16 I asked him to be available both of those days, because 17 those were the originally-scheduled days for Docket 18 950984. 19 COMMISSIONER DEASON: Right. The resale. 20 21 we're discussing the interconnection docket now. MR. TYE: He's not a witness in the interconnection 22 23 The problem I've got is if we put the interconnection docket first --24 COMMISSIONER DEASON: Mr. Guedel is not a witness 25

in the interconnection --

MR. TYE: No, I'm sorry, Mr. Gillan testifying for AT&T in the unbundling and resale. I know it's complicated. I get headaches over it myself. But the problem is that he has committed to me to be available on the 8th and the 9th for the unbundling and resale docket.

If we start with the interconnection docket, I don't know if he's available later in the week. That's the problem that I have.

COMMISSIONER DEASON: He is scheduled to be the last witness.

MR. TYE: He is scheduled to be the last witness in that docket. That's why I told him to be available both days. If we could take a 10-minute recess I will go try to call him and see if he is available and see if we can agree to the rescheduling.

COMMISSIONER DEASON: Okay. Well we will do that.

And if you're unable to reach him, well then I'm kind of reluctant to make this change. But if it can be accommodated we will see what we can do.

MR. TYE: I think we can agree to Mr. Melson's suggestion to the extent that the hearings would begin on Tuesday. I think what the -- as long as we began with the unbundling and resale case rather than the

interconnection case, and with the agreement of the parties that if Mr. Gillan is not available after Tuesday, we would get him on the stand on Tuesday.

But I can run and see if I can track him down.

He's real hard to catch, but I will see if we can track
him down if we can take about a ten-minute recess.

COMMISSIONER DEASON: We will go ahead and take a recess at this time then and reconvene at 9:55.

MR. TYE: Thank you, sir.

(short recess).

COMMISSIONER DEASON: Call the prehearing conference back to order. Mr. Tye?

MR. TYE: Yes. Commissioner Deason, I was able to get in touch with Mr. Gillan. He will be available on Thursday and Friday of the week in question, which I think is the 11th and 12th of January, to deliver his testimony in the unbundling and resale docket.

So we can agree to the schedule change with the understanding that if for some odd reason Mr. Gillan came up before Thursday, we would need the cooperation of the Commission and the parties to get him on on Thursday or Friday.

COMMISSIONER DEASON: Okay. Is that understood by all parties? (no response). Okay. So just to make sure that everyone understands -- by the way, we have

been in contact with the Chairman's office, and there is no objection on their part to making this change.

Their concern was that all five commissioners be available for a block of time, and we're not altering that. This hearing would be during that block of time when all five commissioners are available. So they have no concern with changing the order and the start time within that block of time.

So what I'm going to announce then is that the -according to Mr. Melson's suggestion -- and this is with
the concurrence of staff. Please don't be shy. If
there is any problem, let me know -- the interconnection
docket will take place before the resale docket.

The interconnection docket will begin at nine o'clock on Tuesday, January the 9th. And at its conclusion then we will proceed into the resale docket. And the intent is that both dockets will be completed by the close of business on Friday, the 12th.

However, since the Chairman's office has already set aside Saturday, the 13th, if that time is needed we will meet on Saturday, the 13th. But hopefully that time will not be needed.

That is the way we will proceed. And since no party has raised any objection to that, I assume that all parties have received adequate notice, and this is

the way we will proceed. Very well. 1 Continuing on in the draft prehearing order, we will address Section 5, basic positions. Are there 3 changes or corrections to the basic positions as stated? 5 MR. MURPHY: Commissioner, Time Warner has 6 distributed amended petitions in light of events in the docket. They've been given to staff. And the basic 8 position is changed as have individual petitions 9 throughout -- positions throughout. 10 COMMISSIONER DEASON: This has been given to all 11 12 parties here today? 13 MR. MURPHY: Unless they've come late. And we will make that available if they have. 14 COMMISSIONER DEASON: I'm not concerned about 15 people who come late. I'm just kidding. Staff, you 16 17 have this, and this can be incorporated into the order? MR. ELIAS: Yes, sir, we do. 18 COMMISSIONER DEASON: Very well. And you have your 19 basic position and all of the positions on all of the 20 21 remaining issues; is that correct? 22 Yes, sir. MR. MURPHY: COMMISSIONER DEASON: Very well. Basic positions, 23 24 any changes, corrections? Ms. Wilson. 25 MS. WILSON: Commissioner, likewise FCTA has also

revised its basic position and has amended positions on two issues. I've distributed this to the staff and the parties. I have not yet given you a copy for which I apologize for. We will also provide this to staff on a diskette.

COMMISSIONER DEASON: Very well. Mr. Rindler?

MR. RINDLER: I have no changes, Your Honor.

COMMISSIONER DEASON: Very well.

MR. CROSBY: Mr. Deason, Continental has similarly changed all of its positions, including on the basic position. And we have -- we are in the process of filing now an amended prehearing statement. And we've handed out to the parties that prehearing statement and to staff. And we have provided with our filing a diskette.

COMMISSIONER DEASON: Very well. Mr. Lackey?

MR. LACKEY: Mr. Chairman, we don't think -- we

don't have any changes in our prehearing statement. We

would like to just look over the draft. If we find

anything out of line, we would like to be able to submit

it to the staff.

COMMISSIONER DEASON: Obviously since we all have been operating under a short time schedule in these dockets, if there are minor changes which need to be made, please give those to staff. I'm sure they will be

happy to incorporate those. We don't have to utilize 1 time here today to make sure that all of the I's are 2 dotted, T's are crossed. Mr. Melson? 3 MR. MELSON: We've got no changes. 4 COMMISSION DEASON: Mr. Tye. 5 6 MR. TYE: I have no changes. COMMISSION DEASON: Mr. Horton. 7 MR. HORTON: No changes. 8 9 COMMISSION DEASON: Mr. Boyd. 10 MR. BOYD: No changes. COMMISSION DEASON: Mr. Hoffman. 11 MR. HOFFMAN: Commissioner, we've filed and served 12 13 to all of the parties this morning our amended prehearing statement which reflects revisions to our 14 basic position and our position on every issue except 15 16 Issues 2 and 12. COMMISSIONER DEASON: Very well. Mr. Wiggins? 17 MR. WIGGINS: Commissioner, Intermedia is a 18 19 signatory to the stipulation and agreement. And because of that we wish to change our position. I wish to take 20 21 no position on the basic position and every other 22 position at this point. 23 So it would be no position as to what the terms of

the agreement should be between the parties that are still in dispute.

24

COMMISSIONER DEASON: Staff has that for Intermedia?

MS. CANZANO: Yes.

COMMISSIONER DEASON: Okay. All right. We will proceed then into the specific issues and positions.

And what I want to do is, I understand that a number of parties have changed their positions consistent with previous actions that were taken this week and have filed or are in the process of filing those changed positions.

Given that's the situation, you do not have to advise me each and every time that you're changing your position. If it's already been incorporated into a filing, or you presently will be filing that and be giving it to staff, I want to try to get through this as quickly as possible.

So given that, we will proceed then to Issue 1. Changes or corrections, other than those that have already been indicated for Issue 1.

MS. CANZANO: Staff has a change in position. We just want to -- in our position, instead of saying at the end of the sentence, "other LEC's today," we would like to say "other local telecommunications providers" today.

COMMISSIONER DEASON: Oh, at the very end of your

1	position?
2	MS. CANZANO: Yes.
3	MS. WHITE: I'm sorry. Donna, would you repeat
4	that?
5	MS. CANZANO: Delete the words "LEC's today" at the
6	end of the sentence and substitute "local
7	telecommunications providers."
8	COMMISSIONER DEASON: Okay. Issue 2. Changes or
9	corrections other than those indicated for Issue 2?
10	Staff has no changes?
11	MS. CANZANO: No.
12	COMMISSIONER DEASON: Issue 3?
13	MR. TYE: I've got a minor one, Commissioner
14	Deason. I will get it to the staff in a separate memo.
15	COMMISSIONER DEASON: Very well. Issue 4? Issue
16	5A? Issue 5B? Issue 6? Is there a problem with Issue
17	6? Issue 7? Issue 8? Issue 9? Issue 10? Issue 11?
18	Issue 12? Issue 13? Issue 14? Ms. Canzano.
19	MS. CANZANO: For those parties who are going to
20	make changes, if they haven't already done so, I would
21	like to receive those changes by noon on Wednesday.
22	COMMISSIONER DEASON: Any problem with a noon
23	Wednesday deadline for incorporating last changes into
24	issue positions? Mr. Rindler?
25	MR. RINDLER: Your Honor, I have no problem with

The only thing I would say is that we were handed 1 that. a number of these changes just now. It is possible that 3 in light of that there may have to be a change in a position and withdrawal of all of the other parties. But I would certainly agree with that date. And 5 any changes I need to make I would make by then. 6 COMMISSIONER DEASON: Very well. Okay. Wednesday noon deadline for changes to be submitted to staff. 8 That concludes the issues. Any last concerns 9 10 concerning the issues before we proceed into Section 7? Hearing none, Section 7 is the exhibit list. 11 realize that a number of witnesses' testimony has been 12 withdrawn. Obviously exhibits attached to that 1.3 testimony likewise would be withdrawn. Staff will make 14 15 those changes. Are there any other concerns with the exhibit 16 list? Hearing none, we will proceed then into the 17 Section 8, proposed stipulations. Staff? 18 MS. CANZANO: That's just the proposed --19 20 COMMISSIONER DEASON: Just acknowledging that --21 the stipulation and the acceptance; is that correct? 22 MS. CANZANO: That's correct. 23 COMMISSIONER DEASON: I understand there are no 24 pending motions? MS. CANZANO: None that I'm aware.

COMMISSION DEASON: Mr. Lackey?

MR. LACKEY: Mr. Chairman, we don't have a pending motion. But MFS and BellSouth had a discovery issue that we believe the staff mentioned to you. We think we've worked it out.

And I would like just to put it on the record what that is since we won't have another opportunity to meet before the --

COMMISSIONER DEASON: Okay. Please proceed.

MR. LACKEY: MFS contacted us on Wednesday and asked to be able to depose Dr. Banerjee and Mr. Scheye, our two witnesses in this proceeding. After some discussions then and this morning BellSouth is agreeable and will not object -- our issue was that Mr. Scheye has been deposed twice already.

But what we've agreed to with MFS is that we will depose Mr. Banerjee and Mr. Scheye on the 5th of January, that the depositions will be limited to two hours apiece, and that we will be -- that BellSouth will be allowed to depose Mr. Devine on that same day, subject to that same time restriction.

We will -- I don't think we talked about noticing them. We will notice them for the appropriate dates.

And we agreed that Mr. Devine's and Mr. Scheye's deposition would be limited to matters that they had

already not -- that they had not already been asked about in the earlier depositions.

I think I got that right; didn't I, Mr. Rindler?
MR. RINDLER: Yes, Your Honor, that's correct.

MR. MELSON: Chairman Deason, if Dr. Banerjee will be deposed, we will participate in that deposition. We would try to live by that total two-hour time limit. But I believe we've got a right to participate, and we likely will have some questions for Dr. Banerjee.

COMMISSIONER DEASON: Mr. Lackey?

MR. LACKEY: The other thing is that we will probably like to try to do these by telephone, since this these witnesses are scattered all over the place.

COMMISSIONER DEASON: Obviously this is a noticed deposition by Mr. Rindler. And, Mr. Melson, you're free to participate. But I do understand there is a time constraint. I will just leave it to the parties to work within those constraints.

MR. MELSON: I think we will be able to work things out.

COMMISSIONER DEASON: Okay. Any other matters to come before the prehearing officer? Mr. Melson?

MR. MELSON: Chairman Deason, now that we have a number of parties in local interconnection that my understanding have settled their local interconnection

issues with Southern Bell in a settlement that's been approved by the Commission, they have withdrawn their testimony from this proceeding.

I have a concern as to whether they are any longer proper participants in the proceeding. They were granted intervention at a time when the Commission's action in this docket could have affected their substantial interests.

Now that that situation has changed, I have not completely analyzed it, but it seems unlikely to me there is anything the Commission could do in this docket that would affect their substantial interests. And I was hoping to get perhaps some clarification from those parties as to what they thought the nature and scope of their participation might be, frankly to help me decide whether to file a motion to dismiss them for lack of standing.

MR. RINDLER: I would concur in that, Your Honor.

COMMISSIONER DEASON: Very well. There has been an inquiry as to the extended participation by those parties who have reached agreement. And I will leave it to those parties to offer us that explanation at this time.

MR. MURPHY: Commissioner Deason, as you know, these proceedings sometimes take unforeseen turns. And

you can tell from our positions that we are here merely to preserve and protect our rights in the event that such an eventuality occurs and that something does happen that affects us in a competitive or discriminatory -- something that could perhaps be beyond the scope of the agreement that could affect us.

COMMISSIONER DEASON: Ms. Wilson?

MS. WILSON: I would concur on behalf of FCTA in those comments. We would like to reserve basically our right to file a posthearing brief. The record is not closed in this case. We would like to support the terms of the stipulation and agreement.

And also we would like to make sure that the terms and conditions that are established are nondiscriminatory.

MR. CROSBY: Continental would concur in those remarks and would vigorously oppose any motions to dismiss Continental from this proceeding. We still have the belief that our substantial interests will be affected by the outcome of this in ways that we are not now able to foresee.

And that is the assistance that we wish to provide to the Commission in determining how that might or might not occur. Thank you.

COMMISSIONER DEASON: Mr. Lackey?

MR. LACKEY: Mr. Chairman, I was looking forward to having the cable people on my side for a change, and I would like to support their position that they be allowed to remain in the case.

COMMISSIONER DEASON: Mr. Melson?

MR. MELSON: Based on what I've heard so far,
Mr. Chairman, at this point I don't believe Southern
Bell has offered any testimony or exhibits that bring in
the scope of that stipulation. I'm not sure they would
have that ability.

My concern frankly is, we will see some what I will call friendly cross of Southern Bell's witness at attempts to get into the record matters that might not be appropriate if the participation in this proceeding were limited to those whose substantial interests are affected.

That's part of my concern. I'm still trying to understand at this point so that I can make an intelligent decision on whether we should file something.

COMMISSION DEASON: Any other comments with regard to this?

MR. HOFFMAN: Commissioner Deason, I would adopt
the statements of Mr. Murphy and Ms. Wilson with respect
to Teleport's substantial interests. In terms of really

the issue at hand, let me advise you that my intent would be to request to be excused once appearances are taken during the interconnection hearing and to reserve our right to participate in the remainder of the process for the purpose of filing a posthearing brief on any motion for reconsideration or on any appeal which may arise as a result of the final order.

COMMISSIONER DEASON: Mr. Wiggins?

MR. WIGGINS: It's so difficult agreeing with no one and always having my own perspective on this. I actually agree with Mr. Melson, which is why if this were a classic case, if this was a classic APA type case -- I think when I started this morning I said I had concerns about what standing I had to stay in this case. But if this is anything but a classic APA case, because of the new statute, the complexity of the issues, the unforeseeability of events and the potential need for Intermedia to brief the Commission in an appropriate way without having to reintervene if something should come up where the Commission needs that information from Intermedia.

Having said that my -- we've taken no position on what the interconnection arrangement should be between Mr. Melson's client and Southern Bell. And like Mr. Hoffman, my intention at this point is to make an

appearance at the hearing and then ask to be excused. 1 MR. RINDLER: Your Honor? I'm sorry. 2 COMMISSIONER DEASON: Staff, do you have any 3 4 comments? 5 MR. ELIAS: We take no position at this time pending whatever arguments might be forthcoming 6 concerning any party's standing to participate in either 8 of these proceedings. 9 COMMISSIONER DEASON: Mr. Rindler? MR. RINDLER: Your Honor, with respect to the 10 stipulation in other cases, I'm not sure -- maybe 11 Mr. Melson can speak to it -- that there has been any 12 13 testimony on that in these cases that are left. And there has been no discovery with respect to that. 14 If that's going to be part of the positions of the 15 parties who have settled and withdrawn their cases, we 16 17 may need to do further discovery. 18 I would agree with Mr. Melson and Mr. Wiggins actually, except I would say this is a classic case in 19 the sense that the APA is the APA. It doesn't matter 20 21 what --22 COMMISSIONER DEASON: Let me say this. We're not 23 going to be trying the stipulation at this hearing. 24 That's not the subject of this hearing. That 25 stipulation has been filed, and it's been accepted by

this Commission.

So I don't think there is going to be any need for there to be any supplemental testimony addressing the stipulation. I assume that's the position of the parties. If I'm incorrect, somebody needs to tell me right now, because we've got serious discussions to do. Very well.

Mr. Melson, obviously you're free to file a motion to have parties dismissed at any time. If you file that obviously it will be entertained.

I just put you on notice I'm hesitant at this time to eliminate participation of any party which has already been granted intervenor status. I think that would be fairly unprecedented in a Commission action.

However, I do want to put parties on notice that the Commission probably would frown upon utilization of your intervenor status to incur in classic examples of friendly cross-examination.

I've gotten some preliminary indications that some parties may even be asked to be excused from the proceeding, but they want to retain their right to file a brief and to participate in appeals and reconsideration and other matters which may be coming before the Commission.

I also would be extremely reluctant to dismiss any

party, given the fact that this is a new statute. The legislature intended for parties to negotiate these issues.

And it could be considered by having a party enter into a negotiation and then being thrown out of a Commission proceeding, that that would have a severe effect upon future attempts to enter into some type of a negotiated settlement. And I certainly do not want to be in a position of limiting or hindering future negotiations as the need may arise.

Given that situation, that's where we're going -we're going to proceed. And hopefully we will not be
having this hearing -- hearing time taken up by
unnecessary cross-examination, particularly by parties
whose interest may have been limited by actions taken
previous this week.

MR. LACKEY: Mr. Chairman, I'm sorry, I don't want to raise the issue again. I do need to point something out to you.

The stipulation that we were discussing a moment ago is an exhibit in this proceeding. And I didn't know if you were aware of that. But I didn't want to let this matter go without at least mentioning that to you.

COMMISSIONER DEASON: I wasn't aware of it as an exhibit. I quess my comments were to the fact that I

would be concerned that we're going to try at the last minute to, on a wholesale basis, try to retry the stipulation.

And the stipulation doesn't need to be tried, because it's already been filed and been approved. And it will apply to those parties which have agreed to it. It will not apply to those parties who have not agreed to it.

MR. LACKEY: We did not offer it for the purpose of retrying it. It was simply mentioned in the testimony that -- attached to the testimony and mentioned in the testimony to demonstrate our compliance or attempt to comply with the statute regarding negotiations, if I recall correctly.

MR. MELSON: Chairman Deason, my recollection was that the only stipulation that's been filed as an exhibit to testimony was the earlier TCG stipulation which has been superceded.

COMMISSION DEASON: That was my understanding too.

MR. MELSON: I believe -- I could be wrong about this. But I thought that the prehearing order shows that BellSouth's Exhibit RCS-7 is the FCTA, Time Warner stipulation and agreement. I'm sorry, it's page 54 of the prehearing order.

COMMISSIONER DEASON: That is what is listed

there. This was prefiled; is that correct?

MR. LACKEY: Yes, sir. I mean I don't have the testimony right here in front of me, but that's what the prehearing statement says.

And I think indeed that both the TCG stipulation and this stipulation have been filed. I think the TCG stipulation was filed as an earlier exhibit before we got the second -- just a moment, we will take a look and confirm that.

MR. HOFFMAN: Commissioner Deason, subject to check, my recollection is that the most recent stipulation approved by the Commission was attached as an exhibit to Mr. Scheye's rebuttal testimony in the subdocket assigned to, excuse me, MCI Metro.

MR. LACKEY: And, Mr. Chairman, the other stipulation is Mr. Scheye's Exhibit RCS-3. So both of them are exhibits attached to testimony at this instant anyway.

COMMISSIONER DEASON: I appreciate you advising me of that. And obviously it's there. And we will deal with it at an appropriate time. To the extent any party has an objection to the introduction of those stipulations, it will be addressed by the Commission at that time. Okay. Anything further in Docket 85?

MS. WHITE: Mr. Chairman, one more thing.

BellSouth has issued discovery and has discovery 1. outstanding to all of the parties in Docket 985. With regard to the parties who have withdrawn from the case, 3 Time Warner, Continental Cablevision, Intermedia and Teleport, BellSouth will withdraw that discovery in this 5 docket. 6 COMMISSIONER DEASON: Very well. Show that 7 discovery withdrawn. 8 MS. CANZANO: Staff has the same problem with 9 outstanding discovery with regards to parties that 10 signed the stipulation. 11 COMMISSIONER DEASON: Staff is withdrawing that 12 discovery? 13 MS. CANZANO: Yes, with regard to those parties. 14 COMMISSIONER DEASON: Very well. Does this 15 conclude the 985 docket? Anything further? Hearing 16 nothing then this prehearing conference for 985 is 17 concluded. 18 Do we need to take a recess before we begin the 85 19 docket or are you ready --20 Only if you want to. MS. CANZANO: 21 (The proceedings were concluded at 10:23 a.m.) 22 23 24

1	STATE OF FLORIDA) CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER
2	COUNTY OF LEON)
3	I, SARAH B. GILROY, CP, RPR,
4	DO HEREBY CERTIFY that the Prehearing conference in Docket No. 950985-TP was heard by Commissioner P. Terry Deason at the time and place herein stated; it is further
_	CERTIFIED that I stenographically reported the said
6 7	proceedings; that the same has been transcribed under my direct supervision; and that this transcript, consisting of 51 pages constitutes a true transcription of my notes of said proceedings.
8	
9	DATED this 26th day of December, 1995
10	Sarah B. GILROY
11	SARAH B. GILROY
12	
13	CMAME OF ELORIDA)
14	STATE OF FLORIDA)
15	COUNTY OF LEON)
16	The foregoing certificate was acknowledged before me this 26th day of December, 1995, by SARAH B. GILROY, who produced a Florida driver's license as identification.
17	produced a riorida driver b illocated do racalitation
18	
19	Notary Public - State of Florida
20	My Commission No.
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	