## BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In Re: Conservation Cost

Recovery Clause

DOCKET NG. 960002-EG

PROCEEDINGS:

PREHEARING CONFERENCE

BEFORE:

J. TERRY DEASON

PLACE:

Betty Easley Conference Center 4075 Esplanade Way Tallahassee, Florida

TIME:

Commenced at 9:40 a.m. Concluded at 10:05 a.m.

DATE:

Monday, February 12, 1996

REPORTED BY:

SARAH B. GILROY, CP, RPR Notary Public, State of Florida at Large.

BUREAU OF REPORTING RECEIVED 2-15-96

DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE

## APPEARANCES:

WAYNE L. SCHIEFELBEIN, ESQUIRE, Gatlin, Woods & Carlson, 1709-D Mahan Drive, Tallahassee, Florida 32308, appearing on behalf of Chesapeake Utilities Corporation.

JAMES D. BEASLEY, ESQUIRE, MacFarlane, Ausley, Ferguson & McMullen, 227 South Calhoun Street, Tallahassee, Florida 32301, appearing on behalf of Tampa Electric Company.

VICKI GORDON KAUFMAN, ESQUIRE, McWhirter, Reeves, McGlothlin, Davison, Rief & Bakas, 117 South Gadsden Street, Tallahassee, Florida 32301, appearing on behalf of Florida Industrial Power Users Group.

MICHAEL A. PALECKI, ESQUIRE, 955 East 25th Street, Hialeah, Florida 33013, appearing on behalf of City Gas Company of Florida.

JEFFREY A. STONE, ESQUIRE, Beggs & Lane, 700 Blount Building, 3 West Garden Street, Post Office Box 12950, Pensacola, Florida 32576, appearing on behalf of Gulf Power Company.

NORMAN H. HORTON, JR., ESQUIRE, Messer, Caparello, Madsen, Goldman & Metz, Post Office Box 1876, Tallahassee, Florida 32302, appearing on behalf of Florida Public Utilities Company and West Florida Gas.

DEBRA A. SWIM, ESQUIRE, 1323 Diamond Street, Tallahassee, Florida 32301, appearing on behalf of LEAF.

MATTHEW M. CHILDS, ESQUIRE, Steel, Hector & Davis, 215 South Monroe Street, Suite 601, Tallahassee, Florida 32301, appearing on behalf of Florida Power & Light Company.

ROBERT SCHEFFEL WRIGHT, ESQUIRE, Landers & Parsons,
310 West College Avenue, Post Office Box 271, Tallahassee,
Florida 32302, representing Peoples Gas System, Inc.

MATTHEW R. COSTA, ESQUIRE, MacFarlane, Ausley, Ferguson & McMullen, Post Office Box 1531, Tampa, Florida, 33601, appearing on behalf of Peoples Gas System, Inc.

CHARLES A. GUYTON, ESQUIRE, Steel, Hector & Davis, 215 South Monroe Street, Suite 601, Tallahassee, Florida 32301, appearing on behalf of Florida Power & Light Company.

JOHN ROGER HOWE, ESQUIRE, Deputy Public Counsel,
Office of Public Counsel, c/o The Florida Legislature, 111 West
Madison Street, Room 812, Tallahassee, Florida 32399-1400,
appearing on behalf of the Citizens of the State of Florida.

VICKI D. JOHNSON, ESQUIRE, Florida Public Service Commission, 2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard, Tallahassee, Florida, 32399-0850, appearing on behalf of the Commission Staff.

SHEILA L. ERSTLING, ESQUIRE, Florida Public Service Commission, 2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard, Tallahassee, Florida 32399-0850, appearing on behalf of the Commission Staff.

## PROCEEDINGS

(The prehearing conference was commenced at 9:35 a.m.)

COMMISSIONER DEASON: Call the prehearing conference to order. Can we have the notice read please?

MS. JOHNSON: By notice issued -- by notice issued

January 18th, 1996, a prehearing conference was set in

Docket Nos. 960001, fuel and purchase power cost

recovery clause and generating performance incentive

factor; 960002-EG, conservation costs recovery clause;

Docket No. 960003-GU, purchased gas adjustment; and

Docket No. 960007-EI, environmental cost recovery

clause. The purpose of the prehearing conference is set

out in notes.

COMMISSIONER DEASON: Thank you. Take appearances.

MR. SCHIEFELBEIN: Wayne Schiefelbein, with the firm Gatlin, Woods and Carlson on behalf of Chesapeake Utilities in the 02 and 03 dockets only.

MR. BEASLEY: Mr. Chairman, I'm James D. Beasley of the MacFarlane, Ausley Law Firm, Post Office Box 391, Tallahassee, Florida, 32302, representing Tampa Electric Company in the fuel and conservation cost recovery dockets.

MS. KAUFMAN: Vicki Gordon Kaufman of the law firm McWhirter, Reeves, McGlothlin, Davidson, Rief and Bakas on behalf of the Florida Industrial Power Users Group in the 01 and 02 dockets.

MR. PALECKI: Michael Palecki, representing City
Gas Company of Florida in the 02, 01 and 03 dockets.

MR. STONE: Jeffrey A. Stone, and with me is
Russell A. Badders, with the law firm of Beggs & Lane,
representing Gulf Power Company in the 01, 02 and 07
dockets.

MR. HORTON: Norman H. Horton, Jr., Messer,
Caparello Law Firm, representing Florida Public
Utilities in the 01, 02 and 03 dockets; West Florida
Natural Gas in the 02 and 03 dockets; and South Florida
Natural Gas and Sebring Gas System, Inc. in the 03
docket.

MS. SWIM: Debra Swim with the Legal Environmental Assistance Foundation in Tallahassee. I am in the 02 docket.

MR. CHILES: Matthew Chiles with the firm of Steel,
Hector & Davis, representing Florida Power & Light
Company in the 07 and 01 dockets.

MR. WRIGHT: Robert Scheffel Wright, law firm of Landers & Parsons, 310 West College Avenue, Tallahassee, Florida, 32301, appearing on behalf of Peoples Gas

System, Incorporated in the 960002 docket. 1 MR. COSTA: Matthew Costa, MacFarlane, Ausley Law 2 Firm, P. O. Box 1531, Tampa, Florida, 33601, here on 3 behalf of Peoples Gas in the 03 docket. MR. HOWE: I'm Roger Howe, deputy public counsel 5 appearing on behalf of the Citizens of the State of 6 Florida in the 01, 02, 03 and 07 dockets. 7 MR. GUYTON: Charles A. Guyton with the law firm of 8 Steel, Hector & Davis, appearing on behalf of Florida 9 Power & Light Company in the 02 docket. 10 MS. JOHNSON: Vickie D. Johnson, appearing on 11 behalf of Commission Staff in the 01 and 07. 12 MS. ERSTLING: Sheila L. Erstling and Mary Beth 13 Culpepper appearing for Commission Staff in the 02 and 14 15 03 dockets. MS. KAUFMAN: Mr. Chairman, I neglected to mention 16 that FIPUG is a party in the 07 docket as well. 17 COMMISSIONER DEASON: Very well. 18 MS. ERSTLING: Commissioner, I just want to point 19 out in the order establishing procedure it showed that 20 the 03 would have a prehearing today at 1:30, but all of 21 22 them were properly noticed for 9:30 this morning. COMMISSIONER DEASON: Very well. So there is no 23 deficiency in that? 24 25 MS. ERSTLING: No, sir.

COMMISSIONER DEASON: Very well. I've had a 1 request to take up the 02 docket first. That's what I propose to do unless --3 MS. ERSTLING: 03, sir, purchased gas. COMMISSIONER DEASON: I had another request 5 subsequent to your request --6 7 MS. ERSTLING: Oh, okay. Sorry about that. COMMISSIONER DEASON: -- to take up the 02 docket 8 first. Is there any objection? Does Staff have a 9 problem doing 02 first? 10 MS. JOHNSON: Staff doesn't have a problem. 11 COMMISSIONER DEASON: Does any party have a problem 12 doing 02 first? 13 MS. KAUFMAN: No problem. 14 COMMISSIONER DEASON: Let's go ahead then and do 15 the 02 docket. Persons need to find a place. There may 16 need to be some accommodations made. 17 I've been asked to remind everyone to speak 18 directly into the microphone when you speak so that it 19 will be sure and be picked up. 20 Are there any preliminary matters in the 02 21 docket? 22 MS. ERSTLING: I want to point out that there are 23 some typographical corrections that need to be made. On 24 page six under Chesapeake's, under the rate class, on 25

line three it reads, GS, commercial large. The word "volume" should appear after that. And then the next two factors are GS industrial, 0.382, and firm transportation, 0.369.

Also if we go to page 12 on the St. Joe Natural
Gas, the ECCR factors under that should be residential
.494, commercial .790. Commercial large volume is the
same at .418. That's all the corrections. Thank you.

COMMISSIONER DEASON: Could you repeat the correction for Chesapeake please?

MS. ERSTLING: Yes. On Chesapeake it's just a matter of lines. The word "volume" should appear at GS commercial large. And then the actual factors are GS industrial, 0.382, and firm transportation, 0.369.

COMMISSIONER DEASON: Thank you. Any other preliminary matters in the 02 docket?

MR. STONE: Mr. Chairman, on the appearances, my middle initial has been changed. It should be A instead of S.

COMMISSIONER DEASON: That correction will be noted. Any other preliminary matters? Then we will proceed then into the draft prehearing order.

Beginning with section one, the case background, any changes, corrections? Section two, confidential information. Section three, testimony and exhibits.

Section four, order of witnesses. Mr. Stone.

MR. STONE: Yes, sir. On Witness Neyman, the issues that she will be testifying on will be issue one, two, six, seven and eight. Issue nine apparently is a company-specific issue for one of the other utilities.

COMMISSIONER DEASON: Very well. Any other corrections or questions concerning the order of witnesses? Yes.

MR. PALECKI: City Gas Company of Florida's witness is not indicated on the list. Carl Smith should be indicated appearing for City Gas Company of Florida on issues one and two.

MR. WRIGHT: Mr. Chairman?

COMMISSIONER DEASON: Mr. Wright.

MR. WRIGHT: Thank you. Just a minor point. It appears that the numeration of issues changed and that the Peoples' company-specific issues are now issues nine through 11, so that next to Mr. Krutsinger's name in the order of witnesses section it should say issues one, two, and then nine through 11 instead of 10 through 12.

COMMISSIONER DEASON: Very well. Staff has those changes?

MS. ERSTLING: Yes.

COMMISSIONER DEASON: Section five, basic positions. Changes or corrections? We've already had

some corrections made. Any other changes?

MR. STONE: On page seven for Gulf, it should read, period April 1996 through March 1997.

COMMISSIONER DEASON: Other changes?

MS. SWIM: Commissioner, I just wanted to state for the record that on issue five as identified in Staff's prehearing statement, LEAF's position is stated in our joint motion to approve stipulation with Florida Power Corp. And I wanted to make sure that if that was not ruled on at this time, we go ahead and state our position here on the record.

COMMISSIONER DEASON: Very well. It's my intention that that stipulation will be presented to the full panel for its consideration. And your position will be so stated. And it will be noted that that -- that stipulation is pending for approval.

MS. SWIM: Okay. Thank you.

COMMISSIONER DEASON: Okay. Let's go to issue one. Changes or corrections to issue one?

MR. SCHIEFELBEIN: Chesapeake's -- Chesapeake's witness there is a Nettles.

COMMISSIONER DEASON: Very well. It appears to me that -- unless I'm misreading something, that there seems to be an agreement with Staff for all situations -- all companies other than Gulf and Peoples;

is that correct?

MS. ERSTLING: It's Florida Power Corp., Gulf and Peoples are the three.

COMMISSIONER DEASON: There is disagreement with Florida Power Corp.?

MS. ERSTLING: No. Actually the only situation is the decoupling issue with Florida Power Corp., but the factors are okay.

COMMISSIONER DEASON: Okay. And let me go ahead and ask my standard question to Public Counsel and to FIPUG. FIPUG is not a party -- you are a party in this docket; are you not?

MS. KAUFMAN: Yes, we are, Mr. Deason.

COMMISSIONER DEASON: When you have no position, is it your intention to keep that position, and that -- let me ask, how do you proceed to address these issues when you have no position stated in your prehearing statement? Do you plan to keep no position at this time as well, unless you state otherwise?

MR. HOWE: No, sir. I would -- for the Public Counsel's part we would change generally to no position at all and let any stipulation between the Staff and the companies then go forward.

COMMISSIONER DEASON: Okay. So basically unless you speak otherwise, when you have no position at this

time, we can change that to no position?

MR. HOWE: That's correct.

MS. KAUFMAN: Mr. Deason, the same would be true for FIPUG on these general issues. But on some of the specific ones we may have a position. But for this one, yes, it's correct to say no position.

COMMISSIONER DEASON: Very well. Issue two, changes or corrections? With the changes that Staff made earlier then, there is agreement between Staff and St. Joe Natural Gas; is that correct?

MS. ERSTLING: Yes. Yes, sir, there is.

COMMISSIONER DEASON: Any other changes or corrections? Issue three. Issue four. Issue five. We preliminarily discussed issue five a moment ago. Issue six.

MS. KAUFMAN: Excuse me, Mr. Deason. I'm a little bit unclear on issue five, and Ms. Erstling and I had discussed it before the prehearing conference.

COMMISSIONER DEASON: Yes.

MS. KAUFMAN: I want to be sure I'm understanding what's going to happen with issue five, and that is that there is not going to be any approval or disapproval now of Florida Power Corporation's proposal, but we're going to take this up at another time?

COMMISSIONER DEASON: That is my intent, yes.

MS. KAUFMAN: With that understanding we would agree just to defer it to a later time.

COMMISSIONER DEASON: When I say "deferral," I mean I'm not going to rule on it today. It will be presented to the panel at the time of the hearing.

MS. KAUFMAN: I understand. I just wanted to be sure that my position on this does not indicate any acquiescence with Florida Power Corporation's proposal.

COMMISSIONER DEASON: Very well. Oftentimes when you use "deferral," that refers to the next six-month or year period. I didn't want to give that impression.

MS. KAUFMAN: I understand.

COMMISSIONER DEASON: Issue six. There is a revised Staff position; is that correct?

MS. ERSTLING: Yes, but we have a new revised Staff position. We would like to put in there that this be delayed. It reads, customarily new conservation programs are approved by proposed agency action. The company's projection filing includes the cost-effectiveness analysis for the business edge program.

This filing was submitted on January 19th, 1996.

The Staff has not had sufficient time to evaluate the program or issue discovery; therefore, Staff requests that a separate docket be opened to evaluate the

business edge conservation program.

If the program is approved, Gulf can either ask for a mid course correction, if necessary, or wait until the next true-up filing to recover program costs.

COMMISSIONER DEASON: Mr. Stone, do you have a response to that revised position?

MR. STONE: I have a question. I take it from their position that Staff would request that we back the projection numbers out of the -- our projection filing and revise our factors if necessary?

MS. ERSTLING: Yes. That is our position.

MR. STONE: We're not opposed to spinning the docket off at this time. We're not able to determine what effect that has on our factors, but we can determine that. We do --

COMMISSIONER DEASON: What time period would it take to get those calculations?

MR. STONE: We may be able to have it before the end of the day. If not, we certainly would have it before tomorrow, before the end of business tomorrow.

MS. ERSTLING: That would be satisfactory.

MR. STONE: I'm curious as to the timetable Staff would expect on this program. Given the fact that we only have one hearing a year in the 02 docket, that was our concern, which is why we presented it at the

projection filing.

COMMISSIONER DEASON: Mr. Stone has a question about the time frame.

MS. ERSTLING: Well I think it would take the normal process. We have the filing in here now, but we haven't had a chance -- Staff hasn't had a chance to review it. So I would say it's going to take the usual 60 to 90 days in order to review it, get discovery out and bring it before the Commission as a PAA.

COMMISSIONER DEASON: Does that answer your question?

MR. STONE: It does.

COMMISSIONER DEASON: I take it then there is no objection to spinning this off, and therefore issue six can be deleted for purposes of this proceeding at this time. And I guess it would simply be appropriate to show that issue six has been deleted; there is no need to renumber the issues, and that Gulf will be providing the revised calculations for incorporation into this order as a result?

MS. ERSTLING: We would include them.

COMMISSIONER DEASON: Staff, it's your intent to open a separate docket and to have that processed as a PAA?

MS. ERSTLING: Yes, sir, it is. Staff will go

forward with the filing and do that. Thank you.

COMMISSIONER DEASON: Issue number seven. Changes or corrections? Issue eight. Issue nine.

MR. GUYTON: Mr. Deason, while this is not an issue that involves Florida Power & Light Company, on the surface we are a bit concerned about the generic wording of this issue. And we're wondering if, as a source of some comfort, if we might be able to make it a bit more company-specific, perhaps by identifying the particular programs at issue, as well as the competitive utility, so it's clear that it's not meant to be a policy issue to be decided by the Commission, but instead a company-specific issue.

COMMISSIONER DEASON: It appears to be fairly company-specific. But if you have some suggested language to make it more clear, I would be willing to entertain that.

MR. GUYTON: I don't know the programs, but if we could just add the two companies -- or more company-specific programs that are involved here, it probably would suffice.

COMMISSIONER DEASON: Does Staff have that information?

MS. ERSTLING: It's okay to add the home builder program in there. That would make it very specific.

COMMISSIONER DEASON: Is that the only program 1 involved? 2 MS. ERSTLING: Yes, sir. That's the only program 3 involved. COMMISSIONER DEASON: All right. Well just include 5 that more specific wording, unless there is an 6 objection? Hearing no objection, just include that. 7 Further questions on issue nine? Is Staff's intent 8 not to take a position until after the hearing; is that 9 correct? 10 MS. ERSTLING: That is correct, sir. 11 COMMISSIONER DEASON: Issue ten? Is there a 12 stipulation? 13 MS. ERSTLING: There is a stipulation, sir. If 14 still agreeable with Peoples, then we will stipulate to 15 the 50-50 on issue ten? 16 COMMISSIONER DEASON: Is that acceptable? 17 MR. WRIGHT: Yes, Mr. Chairman. Thank you. 18 COMMISSIONER DEASON: So that can be shown then as 19 a proposed stipulation. That will be presented to the 20 21 full panel as well. Issue 11. Section -- that concludes all of the 22 issues that I have; is that correct? 23 MS. ERSTLING: Yes, sir. 24 COMMISSIONER DEASON: Section seven addresses 25

exhibit lists. Changes or corrections to exhibits? 1 MR. STONE: Mr. Deason, we have an additional 2 exhibit we would like to add for Ms. Neyman. We would 3 for identification purposes label it as MDN-5. It's a document previously filed with the Commission in another 5 proceeding. It is the results of the pilot residential advanced 7 energy management or AEM system. It's a document dated 8 November 28, 1994. 9 COMMISSIONER DEASON: Does Staff have that 10 document? 11 MS. ERSTLING: No. If they can give us --12 MR. STONE: It has been filed with the clerk's 13 office, but we will make sure that you get a copy. 14 MS. ERSTLING: Thank you. I think, sir, that under 15 Gulf, since we have removed the business edge program, 16 17 they can remove their exhibit MDN-3 if that's okay with them. That's the business edge program summary. 18 COMMISSIONER DEASON: Any objection? 19 MR. STONE: No objection. 20 COMMISSIONER DEASON: Show that deleted. Okay. 21 Section eight addresses proposed stipulations. I 22 believe we would have two proposed stipulations at this 23 time; is that correct? 24 MS. ERSTLING: In actuality we have the stipulation 25

that will be addressed, the Florida Power Corporation, 1 Legal Environmental Assistance. Gulf has proposed that 2 the testimony of witnesses on issues that have -- will 3 not need to be cross-examined. They can be inserted into the record as read, and cross-exam waived and that 5 the witnesses' attendance at the hearing being excused. 6 We have no opposition to that in the case of all 7 but Peoples, Gulf and FPC. 8 COMMISSIONER DEASON: And the other proposed stipulation involving the Peoples' position concerning 10 11 the 50-percent split? MS. ERSTLING: Yes. 12 COMMISSIONER DEASON: Okay. Then the only 13 witnesses that will need to appear are those on behalf 14 of Florida Power, Gulf Power and whom else? 15 MS. ERSTLING: And Peoples, sir. 16 17 18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

COMMISSIONER DEASON: And all other witnesses' testimony will simply be inserted into the record and cross-examination waived; is that correct?

MS. ERSTLING: That is correct, sir.

COMMISSIONER DEASON: All parties understand that? Any objection?

MR. GUYTON: And the exhibits admitted? COMMISSIONER DEASON: Yes. The testimony and the accompanying exhibits would also be admitted.

MS. KAUFMAN: Mr. Deason, I'm still a little confused about issue five. Is that testimony going into the record, or are we going to hold that out when we come back to that issue?

COMMISSIONER DEASON: It's my understanding it will be -- that person sponsoring that will be -- will be here at the hearing and will sponsor that testimony live, if I'm mistaken. Correct me --

MS. ERSTLING: Yes. That is so. I think it will be at that point where the panel can decide if we go forward with that or defer that or put it into a separate docket. But I think that is how the issue is stated, basically whether it's going to be spun out at that time.

MS. KAUFMAN: I might ask Ms. Erstling a question?
COMMISSIONER DEASON: Yes.

MS. KAUFMAN: I'm still confused. You're talking about spinning out issue number five when the whole panel is present to address it?

MS. ERSTLING: Yes, that is correct.

MS. KAUFMAN: Thank you.

COMMISSIONER DEASON: Now it may not be necessary to actually have that testimony presented, depending on the panel's disposition of the stipulation; is that correct?

MS. ERSTLING: That is correct. In addition to the stipulation it is possible that we will have a stipulated position on that by all the parties up to that time in agreement as to what to do. But we don't have that at this moment.

COMMISSIONER DEASON: So what I'm saying, if necessary that witness will be here, will be available and if necessary will take the stand to sponsor that testimony.

MS. KAUFMAN: I'm operating somewhat at a loss because I don't know what the stipulation is that's referred to under proposed stipulations.

MS. ERSTLING: We have copies out there. There was a stipulation between LEAF and Florida Power Corporation that they presented to us, and there are copies of that out there. That is not what I'm talking about about stipulating to issues. It's a separate stipulation.

MS. KAUFMAN: I understand that, but it goes to the same issue?

MS. ERSTLING: Yes, it does go to the same issue.

MS. KAUFMAN: I just haven't had an opportunity to review that. Thank you.

COMMISSIONER DEASON: Okay. Any other questions or comments concerning the proposed stipulations?

MR. STONE: Commissioner Deason, Gulf has two

outstanding issues that are not stipulated to, and it is a result of Staff's position on those two issues. I was wondering if we might have a moment to speak with Staff to see if perhaps we might reach an accommodation on those issues that would eliminate the need for a hearing with regard to Gulf's witnesses -- witness.

COMMISSIONER DEASON: I don't have an objection to doing that. How much time do you anticipate that taking?

MR. STONE: Unless Staff says summarily no to our proposition, it probably wouldn't take very -- it won't take long either way, but --

COMMISSIONER DEASON: Well let's go ahead and take a recess at this point. And we will take a ten-minute recess for that purpose. And then we will see where we stand after that. Ten-minute recess.

(short recess).

COMMISSIONER DEASON: Call the prehearing back to order. Mr. Stone, what do you have to report?

MR. STONE: It's my understanding that Staff wants to confer upstairs, so we don't have a result as yet.

COMMISSIONER DEASON: Well at this point we're going to leave things as they are. If there is a change in status before we conclude today, we will take the matter up at that time.

MR. STONE: That will be fine.

COMMISSIONER DEASON: Section nine addresses
pending motions. We've already addressed the motion
concerning the proposed stipulation. That will be
presented to the Commission at the time of the hearing
in this matter.

Section 10 addresses rulings. Anything else in the 02 docket at this time?

MS. ERSTLING: No, sir, nothing further.

COMMISSIONER DEASON: We will conclude 02 at least temporarily, depending on whether there is anything else concerning Gulf's issues.

(The proceedings were concluded at 10:05 a.m.)

1	STATE OF FLORIDA)
2	CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER
3	COUNTY OF LEON )
4	
5	I, SARAH B. GILROY, CP, RPR
6	
7	DO HEREBY CERTIFY that the Prehearing Conference on
8	Docket No. 960002-EG was heard by the Florida Public Service
9	Commission at the time and place herein stated; it is further
10	
11	CERTIFIED that I stenographically reported the said
12	proceedings; that the same has been transcribed under my direct
13	supervision; and that this transcript, consisting of 23 pages,
14	constitutes a true transcription of my notes of said
15	proceedings.
16	
17	DATED this 15th day of February, 1996.
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	Sarah B. Gilray
24	Registered Professional Reporter
5,000	