

1053  
*Jan*

**HOPPING GREEN SAMS & SMITH**  
PROFESSIONAL ASSOCIATION  
ATTORNEYS AND COUNSELORS

JAMES S. ALVES  
BRIAN H. BIBEAU  
KATHLEEN BLIZZARD  
ELIZABETH C. BOWMAN  
RICHARD S. BRIGHTMAN  
PETER C. CUNNINGHAM  
RALPH A. DeMEO  
THOMAS M. DeROSE  
WILLIAM H. GREEN  
WADE L. HOPPING  
FRANK E. MATTHEWS  
RICHARD D. MELSON  
DAVID L. POWELL  
WILLIAM D. PRESTON  
CAROLYN S. RAEPPE  
DOUGLAS S. ROBERTS  
GARY P. SAMS  
ROBERT P. SMITH  
CHERYL G. STUART

123 SOUTH CALHOUN STREET  
POST OFFICE BOX 6526  
TALLAHASSEE, FLORIDA 32314  
(904) 222-7500  
FAX (904) 224-8551  
FAX (904) 425-3415

CONNIE C. DURRENCE  
JAMES C. GOODLETT  
GARY K. HUNTER, JR.  
JONATHAN T. JOHNSON  
ROBERT A. MANNING  
ANGELA R. MORRISON  
GARY V. PERKO  
KAREN M. PETERSON  
MICHAEL P. PETROVICH  
LISA K. RUSHTON  
R. SCOTT RUTH  
JULIE R. STEINMEYER  
T. KENT WETHERELL, II  
  
OF COUNSEL  
CARLOS ALVAREZ  
W. ROBERT FOKES

Writer's Direct Dial No.  
(904) 425-2313

February 20, 1996

**ORIGINAL  
FILE COPY**

BY HAND DELIVERY

Ms. Blanca S. Bayó  
Director, Records & Reporting  
Florida Public Service Commission  
2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard  
Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850

Re: Docket No. 950985-TP (Sprint-GTEFL)  
(Local Interconnection)

Dear Ms. Bayó:

Enclosed for filing on behalf of MCI Metro Access  
Transmission Services, Inc. (MCImetro) in the above referenced  
docket are the original and 15 copies of MCImetro's Prehearing  
Statement, together with a WordPerfect 5.1 disk.

By copy of this letter this document has been provided to  
the parties on the attached service list.

Very truly yours,

*R.D.M.*

Richard D. Melson

- ACK
- AFA \_\_\_\_\_
- APP \_\_\_\_\_
- CAF  RDM/cc
- CMU  Enclosures
- CTR \_\_\_\_\_
- EAG \_\_\_\_\_
- LEG
- LIN
- OFC \_\_\_\_\_
- ROI \_\_\_\_\_
- SEC
- WAS \_\_\_\_\_
- OTH \_\_\_\_\_

RECEIVED & FILED  
*[Signature]*  
EPSC-BUREAU OF RECORDS

DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE  
01989 FEB 20 96  
FPSC-RECORDS/REPORTING

BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In re: Resolution of petition(s) )  
to establish nondiscriminatory rates,) Docket No. 950985-TP  
terms, and conditions for )  
interconnection involving local ) (Sprint/GTEFL Phase)  
exchange companies and alternative )  
local exchange companies pursuant to ) Filed: February 20, 1996  
Section 364.162, Florida Statutes. )  
\_\_\_\_\_)

MCI METRO ACCESS TRANSMISSION SERVICES, INC.'S  
PREHEARING STATEMENT

MCI Metro Access Transmission Services, Inc. (MCImetro) hereby submits its Prehearing Statement in the phase of the above-captioned docket relating to petitions filed against Sprint-United and Sprint-Centel ("Sprint" or "United/Centel") and GTE Florida Incorporated (GTEFL).

A. Known Witnesses. MCImetro has prefiled the testimony of the following witnesses:

<u>Witness</u>	<u>Testimony</u>	<u>Issues</u>
Don Price	Direct (2/6/96)	5b, 12, 13
Dr. Nina Cornell	Direct (2/6/96) Rebuttal (2/20/96) Rebuttal (1/26/96)	1-3, 11

B. Known Exhibits. MCImetro has the following direct exhibits. MCImetro reserves the right to use additional exhibits for the purpose of cross-examination.

Don Price	DGP-1	Academic and Professional Qualifications of Don Price
Dr. Nina Cornell	NWC-1	Academic and Professional Qualifications of Dr. Nina Cornell

C. Basic Position. The appropriate arrangement for the exchange of local traffic between United/Centel and GTEFL and the ALECs<sup>1</sup> is mutual traffic exchange in which the parties have co-carrier status and compensate each other "in kind" by terminating local traffic from the other party without explicit compensation. The appropriate arrangement for the exchange of toll traffic between United/Centel and GTEFL and any ALEC is the payment of terminating access charges by the carrier originating the traffic to the carrier terminating the traffic. All arrangements for termination of local traffic and other related matters should be tariffed. However, the tariffing of a specific arrangement negotiated by one set of parties should not preclude the tariffing of other arrangements that may be negotiated by other parties nor should it set a precedent for Commission action in the event another set of parties is unable to reach a negotiated agreement and petitions the Commission to resolve their dispute.

D-G. Issues. MCImetro's positions on the issues identified in Order No. PSC-96-0214-PCO-TP are as follows:

Issue 1. What are the appropriate interconnection rate structures, interconnection rates, or other compensation arrangements for the exchange of local and toll traffic between the respective ALECs and United/Centel and GTEFL?

---

<sup>1</sup> In accordance with the Order Establishing Preliminary List of Issues, ALECs refers to the parties who have filed petitions in this proceeding, namely Continental Cablevision, Inc., Time Warner AXS of Florida, L.P. and Digital Media Partners, and Metropolitan Fiber Systems of Florida, Inc.

MCImetro: The appropriate arrangement for exchange of local traffic between the ALECs and United/Centel and GTEFL is mutual traffic exchange in which the parties have co-carrier status and compensate each other "in kind" by terminating traffic from the other party without cash compensation. The appropriate basis for exchange of toll traffic is for the company originating the traffic to pay terminating access charges to the company terminating the traffic. The ALECs should be permitted to establish switched access charge rates by tariff or price list using a rate structure of their choosing, subject only to the limitation that any ALEC's total price for terminating an interexchange call does not exceed the price that would be charged by United/Centel and GTEFL for terminating an interexchange call.

Issue 2. If the Commission sets rates, terms, and conditions for interconnection between the respective ALECs and United/Centel and GTEFL, should United/Centel and GTEFL tariff the interconnection rate(s) or other arrangements?

MCImetro: Yes, interconnection rates or other arrangements established by the Commission should be tariffed and should be available on a non-discriminatory basis to all parties similarly situated.

Issue 3. What are the appropriate technical and financial arrangements which should govern interconnection between the respective ALECs and United/Centel and GTEFL for the delivery of calls originated and/or terminated from carriers not directly connected to the respective ALECs' network?

MCImetro: For local traffic originated to or terminated from other carriers through United/Centel and GTEFL's network, United/Centel and GTEFL should be required to provide the transit function to ALECs at a price equal to its direct economic cost (i.e. TSLRIC). For toll traffic originated to or terminated from other carriers through United/Centel and GTEFL's network, United/Centel and GTEFL should be required to provide the transit function to ALECs on the same basis that this function is provided to other LECs.

Issue 4. What are the appropriate technical and financial requirements for the exchange of intraLATA 800 traffic

which originates from the respective ALECs' customer and terminates to an 800 number served by or through United/Centel and GTEFL?

MCImetro: The appropriate financial arrangement for exchange of intraLATA 800 traffic is for the company terminating the traffic to pay terminating access charges to the company originating the traffic and for the company originating the traffic to pay originating access charges to the company terminating the traffic. The ALEC should be permitted to utilize United/Centel and GTEFL's tariffed 800 access features at nondiscriminatory, tariffed rates. The appropriate technical requirements are for the carrier receiving the traffic to designate how traffic is segregated (e.g., local vs. toll). Trunking and signalling that complies with industry standards should be provided for intraLATA 800 traffic, including CCS7 signalling on all trunk types that support it.

Issue 5a. What are the appropriate technical arrangements for the interconnection of the respective ALECs' network to United/Centel and GTEFL's 911 provisioning network such that respective ALECs' customers are ensured the same level of 911 service as they would receive as a customer of United/Centel and GTEFL?

MCImetro: United/Centel and GTEFL should be required to make trunking and network arrangements available so that an ALEC can route 911 calls through the existing 911 network. Such arrangements should be equal in type and quality to the arrangements United/Centel and GTEFL provides to itself. United/Centel and GTEFL should be required to provide the ALEC with advance notification of any scheduled testing on or maintenance of the 911 network and immediate notification of any unscheduled outage of the 911 network.

Issue 5b. What procedures should be in place for the timely exchange and updating of the respective ALECs' customer information for inclusion in appropriate E911 databases?

MCImetro: United/Centel and GTEFL should be required to provide ALECs with access to the "master street address guide" that is used to ensure that address information is in the correct format for inclusion in the 911 Automatic Location Identification (ALI) database. United/Centel and GTEFL should be required to provide ALECs with the

ability to make mechanized entries into the ALI database(s).

Issue 6. What are the appropriate technical and financial requirements for operator handled traffic flowing between the respective ALECs and United/Centel and GTEFL including busy line verification and emergency interrupt services?

MCImetro: United/Centel and GTEFL should be required to provide trunking and signalling that complies with industry standards for operator services, and should institute the procedures necessary to allow ALEC operators to perform busy line verification and operator interrupt for customers on United/Centel and GTEFL's network. United/Centel and GTEFL should provide operator services to ALECs on the same rates, terms, and conditions that such functions are made available to other LECs.

Issue 7. What are the appropriate arrangements for the provision of directory assistance services and data between the respective ALECs and United/Centel and GTEFL?

MCImetro: United/Centel and GTEFL should be required to list ALECs' customers in its directory assistance data bases at no charge and in exchange ALECs should provide United/Centel and GTEFL with the necessary customer information at no charge. United/Centel and GTEFL should be required to offer ALECs three options to support the ALECs' provision of directory assistance, including resale of United/Centel and GTEFL's DA service, access to United/Centel and GTEFL's database, and sale of United/Centel and GTEFL's database to the ALECs.

Issue 8. Under what terms and conditions should United/Centel and GTEFL be required to list the respective ALEC's customers in its white and yellow pages directories and to publish and distribute these directories to the respective ALECs' customers?

MCImetro: United/Centel and GTEFL should be required to list ALECs' customers in its white pages, and provide a plain business listing in its yellow pages, at no charge. In exchange, ALECs should provide their customer listings to United/Centel and GTEFL at no charge. United/Centel and GTEFL should distribute the

complete white page and yellow page directories to ALECs' customers at no charge at the same time directories are distributed to its own customers. United/Centel and GTEFL should include information on ALECs' services in the "informational" section of the white pages directory.

Issue 9. What are the appropriate arrangements for the provision of billing and collection services between the respective ALECs and United/Centel and GTEFL, including billing and clearing credit card, collect, third party calls and audiotext calls?

MCImetro: United/Centel and GTEFL should provide ALECs with access to the line information database in order to validate calls placed to United/Centel and GTEFL customers using third-party billed, collect, and credit card calls. United/Centel and GTEFL should be required to treat ALECs like any other LEC in the billing and clearing of fund transfers for credit card, collect calls, third-party and audiotext calls when the end user billed for the call is United/Centel and GTEFL's customer.

Issue 10. What arrangements are necessary to ensure the provision of CLASS/LASS services between the respective ALECs and United/Centel and GTEFL's networks?

MCImetro: United/Centel and GTEFL should deliver to ALECs, without limitation or modification, any and all CCS7 signalling information generated by the caller or by United/Centel and GTEFL on behalf of the caller.

Issue 11. What are the appropriate arrangements for physical interconnection between the respective ALECs and United/Centel and GTEFL, including trunking and signalling arrangements?

MCImetro: ALECs should be permitted to designate one point of interconnection (POI) in each local calling area. ALECs should have the option to establish the POI via collocation, mid-span meet, or an entrance arrangement. Each carrier should be responsible for providing its own facilities to route calls to and from the POI. If a mid-span meet or entrance arrangement is used, no collocation charges should apply to facilities provided to the POI. ALECs should have the option to use either one-way or two-way trunks. The carrier receiving the

traffic should be entitled to designate how traffic is segregated (e.g. local vs. toll). United/Centel and GTEFL should provide ALECs with common channel signalling on all trunk types that support CCS7 signalling. Trunking and signalling that complies with industry standards should be provided for directory assistance, local, interLATA toll, intraLATA toll, operator services, and 911/E911.

Issue 12. To the extent not addressed in the number portability docket, Docket No. 950737-TP, what are the appropriate financial and operational arrangements for interexchange calls terminated to a number that has been "ported" to the respective ALECs?

MCImetro: Since the ALEC is the carrier terminating the call, it is entitled to the terminating access charges. Any such charges collected by United/Centel and GTEFL with respect to such a call should be remitted to the ALEC. Unless requested otherwise by the ALEC, United/Centel and GTEFL should deliver traffic terminating to a number that has been "ported" to the ALEC to the ALEC's point of interconnection.

Issue 13. What arrangements, if any, are necessary to address other operational issues?

MCImetro: United/Centel and GTEFL must develop and offer mechanized intercompany procedures to support the ordering by ALECs of unbundled loops, interoffice facilities (POI arrangements and trunks), interim number portability mechanisms, customer listing databases, and any other service or function necessary for the interoperability of United/Centel and GTEFL's and the ALEC's networks. United/Centel and GTEFL must also develop mechanized intercompany procedures to support repair services, including referral of trouble tickets, trouble isolation in interconnection facilities, and trouble isolation on unbundled facilities.

Issue 14. What arrangements, if any, are appropriate for the assignment of NXX codes to the respective ALECs?

MCImetro: Until the NXX code administration function is moved to a neutral third party administrator, United/Centel and GTEFL should be required to provide nondiscriminatory NXX assignments to ALECs on the same basis that such



assignments are made to other LECs, including United/Centel and GTEFL.

H. Stipulations. MCImetro is not aware of any stipulations in this phase of the docket.

I. Pending Motions. MCImetro has no pending motions that require action by the Commission.

J. Requirements of Order. MCImetro believes this prehearing statement is fully responsive to the requirements of the Order on Prehearing Procedure.

RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED this 20th day of February, 1996.

HOPPING GREEN SAMS & SMITH, P.A.

By: Richard D. Melson  
Richard D. Melson  
Post Office Box 6526  
123 South Calhoun Street  
Tallahassee, FL 32314  
904/222-7500

and

MICHAEL J. HENRY  
MCI TELECOMMUNICATIONS CORP.  
Suite 700  
780 Johnson Ferry Road  
Atlanta, GA 30346  
404/843-6373

Attorneys for MCI Metro Access  
Transmission Services, Inc.

**CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I HEREBY CERTIFY that a copy of the foregoing was furnished to the following by hand delivery (\*\*) or next business day delivery by UPS (\*) this 20th day of February, 1996.

Lee L. Willis\*\*  
J. Jeffrey Wahlen  
Macfarlane, Ausley, Ferguson &  
McMullen  
227 S. Calhoun Street  
Tallahassee, FL 32301

Anthony P. Gillman\*\*  
Kimberly Caswell  
GTE Florida Incorporated  
c/o Richard M. Fletcher  
106 E. College Ave., Ste. 1440  
Tallahassee, FL 32301-7704

Leslie Carter\*  
Digital Media Partners  
1 Prestige Place, Ste. 255  
Clearwater, FL 34619-1098

James C. Falvey\*  
Swidler & Berlin, Chartered  
3000 K Street, N.W., Ste. 300  
Washington, DC 20007

David Erwin\*\*  
Young van Assenderp & Varnadoe  
225 S. Adams St., Suite 200  
Tallahassee, FL 32301

Richard A. Gerstemeier\*  
Time Warner AxS of Florida  
2251 Lucien Way, Ste. 320  
Maitland, FL 32751-7023

Patrick K. Wiggins\*\*  
Wiggins & Villacorta  
501 East Tennessee Street  
Tallahassee, FL 32301

Andrew D. Lippman\*  
Metropolitan Fiber Systems  
One Tower Lane, Suite 1600  
Oakbrook Terrace, IL 60181-4630

J. Phillip Carver\*\*  
c/o Nancy H. Sims  
Southern Bell Telephone  
150 S. Monroe St., Suite 400  
Tallahassee, FL 32301

Patricia Kurlin\*  
Intermedia Communications  
3625 Queen Palm Drive  
Tampa, FL 33619

Kenneth A. Hoffman\*\*  
Rutledge, Ecenia, Underwood,  
Purnell & Hoffman  
215 S. Monroe St., Suite 420  
Tallahassee, FL 32301-1841

Jodie Donovan-May\*  
Teleport Communications Group  
1133 21st Street, N.W., Ste. 400  
Washington, DC 20036

Michael W. Tye\*\*  
101 North Monroe Street, Ste. 700  
Tallahassee, FL 32301

Robin D. Dunson\*  
1200 Peachtree St., N.E.  
Pomenade I, Room 4038  
Atlanta, GA 30309

Laura Wilson\*\*  
Florida Cable  
Telecommunications Assoc. Inc.  
310 N. Monroe Street  
Tallahassee, FL 32301

Floyd R. Self\*\*  
Messer, Caparello, Madsen,  
Goldman & Metz, P.A.  
P.O. Box 1876  
Tallahassee, FL 32302

William H. Higgins\*  
AT&T Wireless Services  
250 S. Australian Ave., Suite  
900  
West Palm Beach, FL 33401

Donna Canzano\*\*  
Public Service Commission  
2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard  
Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850

Jill Butler\*\*  
Florida Regulation Director  
Time Warner Communications  
2773 Red Maple Ridge  
Tallahassee, FL 32301

Brian Sulmonetti\*  
LDDS Woldcom Communications  
1515 S. Federal Hwy., Suite 400  
Boca Raton, FL 33432

C. Everett Boyd, Jr.\*\*  
Ervin, Varn, Jacobs,  
Odom & Ervin  
305 S. Gadsden Street  
Tallahassee, FL 32301

Benjamin Fincher, Esq.\*  
Sprint Communications Co.  
Limited Partnership  
3065 Cumberland Circle  
Atlanta, GA 30339

Sue E. Weiske\*  
Senior Counsel  
Time Warner Communications  
160 Inverness Drive West  
Englewood, CO 80112

Peter M. Dunbar, Esq.\*\*  
Charles W. Murphy, Esq.  
Pennington, Culpepper, Moore,  
Wilkinson, Dunbar & Dunlap  
215 S. Monroe Street, 2nd Fl  
Tallahassee, FL 32301

Timothy Devine\*  
MFS Communications Company, Inc.  
Six Concourse Parkway, Ste. 2100  
Atlanta, GA 30328

Richard M. Rindler\*  
James C. Falvey  
Swidler & Berlin, Chartered  
3000 K Street, N.W.  
Suite 300  
Washington, D.C. 20007

Donald L. Crosby\*  
Continental Cablevision, Inc.,  
Southeastern Region  
7800 Belfort Parkway, Ste. 270  
Jacksonville, FL 32256-6925

A. R. Schleiden\*  
Continental Fiber Technologies  
d/b/a AlterNet  
4455 Baymeadows Road  
Jacksonville, FL 32217

Bill Wiginton\*  
Hyperion Telecommunications, Inc.  
Boyce Plaza III  
2570 Boyce Plaza Road  
Pittsburgh, PA 15241

*Richard D. Me*

---

Attorney