FILE COPY

Steel Hector & Davis

Tallahassee, Florida

Jonathan E. Sjostrom (904) 222 - 2300

February 20, 1996

BY HAND DELIVERY

Ms. Blanca S. Bayó, Director Division of Records and Reporting Florida Public Service Commission 4075 Esplanade Way, Room 110 Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850

RE: DOCKET NO. 960001-EI

Dear Ms. Bayó:

In accordance with Rule 25-22.006 and the Minimum Filing Requirements set forth in Commission Directive dated April 24, 1980, and as revised by Commission Memorandum issued by the Division of Electric and Gas dated December 13, 1994, Florida Power & Light Company ("FPL") hereby provides the following documents for filing in this docket:

20 Copies of Florida Power & Light Company's Request for Confidential Classification Regarding January, 1996 A Schedules including Exhibit "A" a redacted copy of Schedules A4, A6, A6a and A9; and Exhibit "B" a copy of the Affidavit of Rene Silva (we did not receive the signed, original Affidavit in time to include with this filing, but will forward it to you shortly);

1 copy of Schedules A4, A6, A6a and A9 for the month of January, 1996 with each page marked "CONFIDENTIAL" and submitted in a sealed envelope, also marked "CONFIDENTIAL." The specific information asserted to be confidential has been highlighted in this copy of Schedules A4, A6, A6a and A9; and

20 copies of Commission Schedules Al through A9 for the month of January, 1996, including the redacted Schedules A4, A6, A6a and A9.

www

RECEIVED & FILED

FPSC-BUREAU OF RECORDS

Blanca S. Bayó, Director February 20, 1996 Page 2

At the request of Staff we have reviewed the results of the fuel adjustment particularly for the month of January 1996 and determined the impact of the lengthy cold weather on the fuel cost recovery. Our review shows that the January 1996 total underrecovery is approximately \$7 million. FPL does not believe that this variance is sufficient for there to be a change to the projected fuel adjustment factor.

Respectfully submitted,

Jonathan Sjogeton

Enclosures cc: All Parties of Record

BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

IN RE: Fuel and Purchased Power Cost Recovery Clause and Generating Performance Incentive Factor DOCKET NO. 960001-EI

FILED: FEBRUARY 20, 1996

REQUEST FOR CONFIDENTIAL CLASSIFICATION

Pursuant to Commission Rule 25-22.006(4), Florida Power & Light Company ("FPL") requests confidential classification of certain information contained in Schedules A4, A6, A6a and A9 filed for the month of January, 1996 (the "A Schedules") required to be filed in this docket pursuant to Minimum Filing Requirements set forth in Commission Directive dated April 24, 1980, and as revised by Commission Memorandum issued by the Division of Electric and Gas dated December 13, 1994.

Highlighted Copy of Schedules A4, A6, A6a and A9 Filed Herewith

Pursuant to Rule 25-22.006(4)(a), Exhibit "A" consists of one copy of A Schedules A4, A6, A6a and A9. The specific information asserted to be confidential has been highlighted in Exhibit "A." Each page of Exhibit "A" has been marked "Confidential" and Exhibit "A" is being submitted for filing in a separate, sealed envelope, likewise marked "Confidential."

OCCUMENT NUMBER-DATE

01995 FEB 20 %

FPSC-RECORDS/REPORTING

20 Redacted Copies of Schedules A4, A6, A6a and A9 Filed Herewith

Pursuant to Rule 25-22.006(4)(a), FPL is filing herewith 20 edited copies of A Schedules A4, A6, A6a and A9 on which the specific information asserted to be confidential has been blocked out by the use of an opaque marker or other masking device.

General Statement of FPL's Concerns Regarding Competitive Harm from Publication of Information in A Schedules

The information FPL seeks to classify as confidential concerns transactions in the wholesale power market and information concerning FPL's fuel costs for each of FPL's generating plants/units. The information sought to be protected here is only the highly detailed information — information at the level of the individual customer, unit, plant or supplier. FPL does not here seek confidentiality for aggregations of this information. FPL's concern regarding the disclosure of information in A Schedules stems from FPL's competitors' ability to obtain and use price and cost information to undercut FPL's wholesale prices, out-bid FPL for energy sources and reduce the benefit to FPL of buying rather than generating power. See Affidavit of Rene Silva ¶13 (Attached as Exhibit "B").

From the portions of the A4, A6 and A6a schedules sought to be protected, FPL's competitors can determine and use the names of FPL's customers and suppliers correlated with the amounts purchased or sold, the price and the cost of wholesale transactions.

Moreover, FPL's competitors can determine the economics of FPL's generating facilities and thereby undercut FPL's pricing or out bid FPL for energy sources. Suppliers of economy energy could use the information in the A9 Schedule to determine the point at which it is more economical for FPL to purchase rather than generate power and price their service nearer this margin. Thus, this information could also be used to reduce the savings FPL realizes from purchasing rather than generating power. Affidavit of Rene Silva TT. 14,15.

Competition exists now in the wholesale power market. For example, FPL recently lost a long term contract with the City of New Smyrna Beach for the sale of wholesale power. New Smyrna Beach has replaced FPL with Enron Power Marketing. A spokesman for New Smyrna Beach is reported as stating "the prices were better" and "the fuel charges from Enron are lower" as justification for canceling the contract with FPL. Additionally, FPL anticipates increasing competition in other aspects of its business especially the retail market with respect to commercial and industrial customers. Affidavit of Rene Silva ¶11.

Information from the A Schedules is also appearing in publications widely available to FPL's competitors. For example, a recent edition of Power Markets Week, published by McGraw-Hill reported detailed information on FPL's wholesale power transactions for the month of July, reporting the names of customers, total

amounts purchased, average price and total price. This same story reported extensive information regarding FPL's power purchases for the same period. This information is found in the sections of the A Schedules sought to be protected here and, to FPL's knowledge, nowhere else. FPL knows of no source similar to the A Schedules from which FPL can derive similar information with regard to its competitors such as Enron Power Marketing. Affidavit of Rene Silva ¶ 11.

The competitive harm worked by the disclosure of this information is visited directly and, in most cases totally, upon FPL's customers. Virtually all of the "profit" realized from wholesale power sales and "savings" from wholesale purchases is passed directly through to the customer as reduced fuel cost. Because competition exists now and will continue to increase, FPL must eliminate disclosure of information that could be used by its competitors to put FPL at a competitive disadvantage and harm both FPL and its customers. Affidavit of Rene Silva ¶ 16.

Page and Line Identification of Confidential Information and Justification in Support of Confidential Classification

Pursuant to Rule 25-22.006(4)(a) and (c), FPL hereby identifies the pages and lines at which confidential material is found in the subject A Schedules correlated with the specific

^{100%} of the profit and savings from OS transactions is passed through to the customers. In Schedule C and X transactions, 80% of the profit or savings is passed to the customers and 20% is retained as profit by FPL. Affidavit of Rene Silva ¶ 16.

justification proffered in support of the classification of such material.

Identification of Confidential Material in Schedule A4.

FPL identifies the following information in Schedule A4 for which FPL requests confidential classification:

Schedule A4 January 1996, Page 1, Lines 1-28, Columns (1) As Burned Fuel Cost, (m) Fuel Cost per KWH, and (n) Cost of Fuel \$/Unit; Schedule A4 January 1996, Page 2, Lines 1-25, Columns (1) As Burned Fuel Cost, (m) Fuel Cost per KWH, and (n) Cost of Fuel \$/Unit; Schedule A4 January 1996, Page 3, Lines 1-6 and 11-16, Columns (1) As Burned Fuel Cost, (m) Fuel Cost per KWH, and (n) Cost of Fuel \$/Unit.

Correlation and Justification of Confidential Classification of Material Identified in Schedule A4.

The information identified as confidential by FPL in Schedule A4 is intended to be and is treated by FPL as private in that the disclosure of the information could cause harm to FPL's business operations and has not been disclosed. See Fla. Stat. § 366.093(3). See also F.A.C. § 25-22.006(4)(c) & (d). The information relates to FPL's competitive interests and disclosure would impair FPL's competitive business. See Fla. Stat. § 366.093(3)(e). Additionally, the information concerns bids or other contractual data the disclosure of which would impair FPL's efforts to contract for goods or services on favorable terms. See Fla. Stat. § 366.093(3)(d). FPL has strictly limited access to this confidential material and has instituted strict controls to

insure that the information remains private. Affidavit of Rene Silva ¶12.

The information identified as confidential in Schedule A4 consists of fuel cost data for each plant or unit operated by FPL. The publication of this information at the level of the plant or unit is harmful to FPL's competitive interest because it gives FPL's competitors the advantage of determining and predicting FPL's generating efficiencies and marginal costs with extreme precision. This extreme precision allows potential competitors an unfair advantage in pricing their own service and in making decisions as to whether to target FPL's customers. Additionally, this information permits suppliers of energy to predict the point at which it is more economical for FPL to purchase rather than generate power and therefore price closer to FPL's break even point, thereby reducing the benefit of purchasing rather than generating power. Affidavit of Rene Silva ¶¶ 14,15.

Schedule A4 January 1996, Page 1, Lines 1-28, Page 2, Lines 1-25, Page 3, Lines 1-6 and 11-16, Column (1) As Burned Fuel Cost.

Column (1) states the total cost of the fuel burned in each of FPL's generating plants/units for the relevant period. The unit cost of fuel, column (n) is an algebraic function of columns (1) and (i). In other words, given columns (1) and (i), a competitor

could determine FPL's cost of fuel for each of FPL's generating plants.

By revealing fuel cost information for each of FPL's generating plants, Schedule A4 permits FPL's competitors in the wholesale power market to learn the price at which FPL can economically sell power and thus undercut FPL's prices. significance of the per plant figures is that these figures would permit the competitor to more accurately estimate FPL's pricing. This is so because of FPL's well known policy of economic dispatch. Barring unusual circumstances, FPL dispatches its most economical units first -- initially to satisfy its retail demand and then to sell surplus energy on the wholesale market. With knowledge of FPL's dispatch and the fuel costs and efficiencies of FPL's remaining generating units available to supply wholesale energy, FPL's competitors are enabled to pinpoint and undercut FPL's pricing. Therefore, the information relates to FPL's competitive interests and disclosure would impair FPL's competitive business. See Fla. Stat. § 366.093(3)(e). Affidavit of Rene Silva ¶ 14, 15.

Additionally, by disclosing in detail the efficiencies of FPL's generating units and plants, the potential suppliers of power to FPL can more accurately predict the point at which it becomes economical to purchase power rather than generate power. Precise prediction of this break-even point would permit suppliers to price wholesale power so as to maximize profit and minimize the benefit

to FPL of purchasing rather than generating power. Thus, column (1) of Schedule A4 concerns bids or other contractual data the disclosure of which would impair FPL's efforts to contract for goods or services on favorable terms. See Fla. Stat. § 366.093 (3) (d). Affidavit of Rene Silva ¶¶ 14,15.

FPL requests that the information remain confidential for a period of 18 months. See Fla. Stat. \$ 366.093(4); F.A.C. \$ 25-22.006(4)(8)(a).

Schedule A4 January 1996, Page 1, Lines 1-28, Page 2, Lines 1-25, Page 3, Lines 1-6 and 11-16, Column (m) Fuel Cost per KWH.

Column (m) states the fuel cost per KWH incurred for each of FPL's generating plants/units. By revealing fuel cost information for each of FPL's generating plants, Schedule A4 permits FPL's competitors in the wholesale power market to learn the price at which FPL can economically sell power and thus undercut FPL's prices. The significance of the per plant figures is that these figures would permit the competitor to more accurately estimate FPL's pricing. This is so because of FPL's well known policy of economic dispatch. Barring unusual circumstances, FPL dispatches its most economical units first -- initially to satisfy its retail demand and then to sell surplus energy on the wholesale market. With knowledge of FPL's dispatch and the fuel costs and efficiencies of FPL's remaining generating units available to

supply wholesale energy, FPL's competitors are enabled to pinpoint and undercut FPL's pricing. Therefore, the information relates to FPL's competitive interests and disclosure would impair FPL's competitive business. See Fla. Stat. § 366.093(3)(e). Affidavit of Rene Silva ¶¶ 14,15.

Additionally, by disclosing in detail the efficiencies of FPL's generating units and plants, the potential suppliers of power to FPL can more accurately predict the point at which it becomes economical to purchase power rather than generate power. Precise prediction of this break-even point would permit suppliers to price wholesale power so as to maximize profit and minimize the benefit to FPL of purchasing rather than generating power. Thus, column (m) of Schedule A4 concerns bids or other contractual data the disclosure of which would impair FPL's efforts to contract for goods or services on favorable terms. See Fla. Stat. § 366.093 (3) (d). Affidavit of Rene Silva ¶¶ 14,15.

FPL requests that the information remain confidential for a period of 18 months. See Fla. Stat. § 366.093(4); F.A.C. § 25-22.006(4)(8)(a).

Schedule A4 January 1996, Page 1, Lines 1-28, Page 2, Lines 1-25, Page 3, Lines 1-6 and 11-16, Column (n) Cost of Fuel \$/Unit.

Column (n) states the cost of fuel per unit for each of FPL's generating plants/units. By revealing fuel cost information for

each of FPL's generating plants, Schedule A4 permits FPL's competitors in the wholesale power market to learn the price at which FPL can economically sell power and thus undercut FPL's prices. The significance of the per plant figures is that these figures would permit the competitor to more accurately estimate FPL's pricing. This is so because of FPL's well known policy of economic dispatch. Barring unusual circumstances, FPL dispatches its most economical units first -- initially to satisfy its retail demand and then to sell surplus energy on the wholesale market. With knowledge of FPL's dispatch and the fuel costs and efficiencies of FPL's remaining generating units available to supply wholesale energy, FPL's competitors are enabled to pinpoint and undercut FPL's pricing. Therefore, the information relates to FPL's competitive interests and disclosure would impair FPL's competitive business. See Fla. Stat. § 366.093(3)(e). Affidavit of Rene Silva 99 14,15.

Additionally, by disclosing in detail the efficiencies of FPL's generating units and plants, the potential suppliers of power to FPL can more accurately predict the point at which it becomes economical to purchase power rather than generate power. Precise prediction of this break-even point would permit suppliers to price wholesale power so as to maximize profit and minimize the benefit to FPL of purchasing rather than generating power. Thus, column (n) of Schedule A4 concerns bids or other contractual data the

disclosure of which would impair FPL's efforts to contract for goods or services on favorable terms. See Fla. Stat. \$ 366.093 (3) (d). Affidavit of Rene Silva ¶¶ 14,15.

FPL requests that the information remain confidential for a period of 18 months. See Fla. Stat. § 366.093(4); F.A.C. § 25-22.006(4)(8)(a).

FPL requests that the information remain confidential for a period of 18 months. See Fla. Stat. \$ 366.093(4); F.A.C. \$ 25-22.006(4)(8)(a).

Identification of Confidential Material in Schedule A6.

FPL identifies the following information in Schedule A6 for which FPL requests confidential classification:

Schedule A6 for the Month of January 1996, Lines 9-13 and 15-23, (3) Total KWH Sold, (5) KWH from Own Generation, (6a) Fuel Cost, (6b) Total Cost, (7) Total \$ for Fuel Adj., and (8) Total Cost.

Correlation and Justification of Confidential Classification of Material Identified in Schedule A6.

The information identified as confidential by FPL in Schedule A6 is intended to be and is treated by FPL as private in that the disclosure of the information could cause harm to FPL's business operations and has not been disclosed. See Fla. Stat. \$ 366.093(3). See also F.A.C. \$ 25-22.006(4)(c) & (d). The information relates to FPL's competitive interests and disclosure would impair FPL's competitive business. See Fla. Stat. \$

366.093(3)(e). FPL has strictly limited access to this confidential material and has instituted strict controls to insure that the information remains private. Affidavit of Rene Silva ¶12.

The information identified as confidential by FPL in Schedule A6 consists of, sales figures for each of FPL's wholesale power customers and the pricing of the power sold to each customer. Disclosure of this information allows FPL's potential competitors to precisely target FPL's wholesale power customers because Schedule A6 discloses the name of the customer, each customer's energy needs and current pricing for each customer. There is very little else that a competitor needs to target FPL's wholesale power sales customers. Affidavit of Rene Silva ¶¶ 14,15.

FPL requests that the information remain confidential for a period of 18 months. See Fla. Stat. § 366.093(4); F.A.C. § 25-22.006(4)(8)(a).

Schedule A6 for the Month of January 1996, Lines 9-13 and 15-23, Column (3) Total KWH Sold.

column (3) of Schedule A6 discloses the total KWH of wholesale power sold to each of FPL's wholesale power customers. Disclosure of the volume of purchases made by individual customers would permit FPL's competitors to target FPL's customers. This targeting together with pricing information available elsewhere in the A Schedules would permit FPL's competitors to cherry-pick FPL's wholesale power customers. Therefore, the information relates to FPL's competitive interests and disclosure would impair FPL's

competitive business. See Fla. Stat. § 366.093(3)(e). Affidavit of Rene Silva ¶¶ 14,15.

FPL requests that the information remain confidential for a period of 18 months. See Fla. Stat. § 366.093(4); F.A.C. § 25-22.006(4)(8)(a).

Schedule A6 for the Month of January 1996, Lines 9-13 and 15-23, Column (5) KWH from Own Generation.

Column (5) of Schedule A6 states the amount of power sold from FPL's own generation as opposed to energy wheeled from other systems. Since FPL does not currently wheel power from other systems for resale on the wholesale market, the numbers in column (5) are the same as the numbers in column (3) and the same justification for confidentiality applies. Therefore, the information relates to FPL's competitive interests and disclosure would impair FPL's competitive business. See Fla. Stat. § 366.093(3)(e). Affidavit of Rene Silva TT 14,15.

Schedule A6 for the Month of January 1996, Lines 9-13 and 15-23, Column (6a) Fuel Cost.

Column (6a) of Schedule A6 states the fuel cost of power sales to each of FPL's wholesale customers aggregated on a monthly basis. Disclosure of the cost of the fuel component of wholesale transactions, Column (6a) provides competitors the means to precisely target the FPL wholesale customers vulnerable to price-

cutting. Therefore, the information relates to FPL's competitive interests and disclosure would impair FPL's competitive business.

See Fla. Stat. § 366.093(3)(e). Affidavit of Rene Silva ¶¶ 14,15.

FPL requests that the information remain confidential for a period of 18 months. See Fla. Stat. § 366.093(4); F.A.C. § 25-22.006(4)(8)(a).

Schedule A6 for the Month of January 1996, Lines 9-13 and 15-23, Columns (6b) Total Cost.

Column (6b) of Schedule A6 shows the total cost of the energy sold to each of FPL's wholesale power customers on a per KWH basis. Disclosure of the total price of FPL's sales to each customer invites FPL's competitors to target FPL's wholesale customers by pricing power to undercut FPL's price. Therefore, the information relates to FPL's competitive interests and disclosure would impair FPL's competitive business. See Fla. Stat. § 366.093(3)(e). Affidavit of Rene Silva ¶¶ 14,15.

FPL requests that the information remain confidential for a period of 18 months. See Fla. Stat. \$ 366.093(4); F.A.C. \$ 25-22.006(4)(8)(a).

Schedule A6 for the Month of January 1996, Lines 9-13 and 15-23, Column (7) Total \$ for Fuel Adj.

Column (7) is simply the product of columns (5) total KWH sold from own generation and (6a) fuel cost. This figure gives the

by each of the FPL's wholesale customers. Disclosure of this information would permit FPL's competitors to target FPL's wholesale customers and undercut FPL's pricing of wholesale power. Therefore, the information relates to FPL's competitive interests and disclosure would impair FPL's competitive business. See Fla. Stat. § 366.093(3)(e). Affidavit of Rene Silva ¶¶ 14, 15.

FPL requests that the information remain confidential for a period of 18 months. See Fla. Stat. § 366.093(4); F.A.C. § 25-22.006(4)(8)(a).

Schedule A6 for the Month of January 1996, Lines 9-13 and 15-23, Column (8) Total Cost.

Column (8) of Schedule A6 is simply the aggregate total paid by each of FPL's wholesale customers for all purchases from FPL during the month. Providing FPL's competitors with this information permits the competitors to project the pricing necessary to undersell FPL. Therefore, the information relates to FPL's competitive interests and disclosure would impair FPL's competitive business. See Fla. Stat. § 366.093(3)(e). Affidavit of Rene Silva ¶¶ 14,15.

FPL requests that the information remain confidential for a period of 18 months. See Fla. Stat. \$ 366.093(4); F.A.C. \$ 25-22.006(4)(8)(a).

Identification of Confidential Material in Schedule A6a.

FPL identifies the following information in Schedule A6a, Gain on Economy Energy Sales, for which FPL requests confidential classification:

Schedule A6a for the Month of January 1996, Lines 6, 8-19, and 21, (4a) Fuel Cost, (4b) Total Cost, (5a) Fuel Cost cents/KWH, (5b) Total Cost cents/KWH, (6) Gain on Economy Energy Sales.

Correlation and Justification of Confidential Classification of Material Identified in Schedule A6a.

The information identified as confidential by FPL in Schedule A6a is intended to be and is treated by FPL as private in that the disclosure of the information could cause harm to FPL's business operations and has not been disclosed. See Fla. Stat. § 366.093(3). See also F.A.C. § 25-22.006(4)(c) & (d). The information relates to FPL's competitive interests and disclosure would impair FPL's competitive business. See Fla. Stat. § 366.093(3)(e). FPL has strictly limited access to this confidential material and has instituted strict controls to insure that the information remains private. Affidavit of Rene Silva ¶12.

The information identified as confidential by FPL in Schedule A6a consists of total sales figures for each of FPL's economy sales customers and the pricing and fuel costs for the power sold to each customer. The information and significance of the information in Schedule A6a is essentially similar to that in Schedule A6 except the transactions reported in Schedule A6a are made via the Florida Broker system rather than through long-term contracts. The

Disclosure of this information allows FPL's potential competitors to precisely target FPL's wholesale power customers because Schedule A6a discloses each customer's energy needs and the pricing FPL is able to offer. There is very little else that a competitor needs to target FPL's economy energy customers.

Schedule A6a for the Month of January 1996, Lines 6, 8-19 and 21, Column (4a) Fuel Cost.

Column (4a) of Schedule A6a states the fuel cost of power sales to each of FPL's wholesale customers aggregated on a monthly basis. Disclosure of the cost of the fuel component of wholesale transactions, Column (4a) provides competitors the means to precisely target the FPL economy energy customers vulnerable to price-cutting and to undercut FPL's pricing generally. Therefore, the information relates to FPL's competitive interests and disclosure would impair FPL's competitive business. See Fla. Stat. § 366.093(3)(e). Affidavit of Rene Silva ¶¶ 14,15.

FPL requests that the information remain confidential for a period of 18 months. See Fla. Stat. § 366.093(4); F.A.C. § 25-22.006(4)(8)(a).

Schedule A6a for the Month of January 1996, Lines 6, 8-19, and 21, Columns (4b) Total Cost.

Column (4b) of Schedule A6a shows the total cost of the energy sold to each of FPL's wholesale power customers on a per KWH basis. Disclosure of the total price of FPL's sales to each customer

invites FPL's competitors to target FPL's wholesale customers by pricing power to undercut FPL's price. Therefore, the information relates to FPL's competitive interests and disclosure would impair FPL's competitive business. See Fla. Stat. § 366.093(3)(e). Affidavit of Rene Silva ¶¶ 14,15.

FPL requests that the information remain confidential for a period of 18 months. See Fla. Stat. § 366.093(4); F.A.C. § 25-22.006(4)(8)(a).

Schedule A6a for the Month of January 1996, Lines 6, 8-19 and 21, Column (5a) Fuel Cost cents/KWH.

Column (5a) reports the average total fuel cost of all transactions with each of FPL's economy energy customers on a per KWH basis. Disclosure of this information would permit FPL's competitors to estimate the price at which FPL can economically sell economy energy and thereby under-cut FPL's price. Therefore, the information relates to FPL's competitive interests and disclosure would impair FPL's competitive business. See Fla. Stat. \$ 366.093(3)(e). Affidavit of Rene Silva ¶¶ 14,15.

FPL requests that the information remain confidential for a period of 18 months. See Fla. Stat. § 366.093(4); F.A.C. § 25-22.006(4)(8)(a).

Schedule A6a for the Month of January 1996, Lines 6, 8-19 and 22 Column (5b) Total Cost.

Column (5b) reports the average total cost of all transactions with each of FPL's economy energy customers on a per KWH basis—essentially the price of each sale. Disclosure of FPL's pricing for economy energy sales would permit FPL's competitors to undercut FPL's pricing. Therefore the information relates to FPL's competitive interests and disclosure would impair FPL's competitive business. See Fla. Stat. § 366.093(3)(e). Affidavit of Rene Silva II 14,15.

FPL requests that the information remain confidential for a period of 18 months. See Fla. Stat. \$ 366.093(4); F.A.C. \$ 25-22.006(4)(8)(a).

Schedule A6a for the Month of January 1996, Lines 6, 8-19 and 21, Column (6) Gain on Economy Energy Sales.

Column (6) of Schedule A6a reports the gain on economy energy sales made to each of FPL's wholesale power customers. Column (6) essentially discloses FPL's profit margin on wholesale power transactions. Disclosure of FPL's profit margin permits FPL's competitors to undercut FPL's pricing for wholesale power. Therefore, the information relates to FPL's competitive interests and disclosure would impair FPL's competitive business. See Fla. Stat. § 366.093(3)(e). Affidavit of Rene Silva ¶¶ 14,15.

FPL requests that the information remain confidential for a period of 18 months. See Fla. Stat. § 366.093(4); F.A.C. § 25-22.006(4)(8)(a).

Identification of Confidential Material in Schedule A9.

FPL identifies the following information in Schedule A9 for which FPL requests confidential classification:

Schedule A9 for the Month of January 1996, Lines 7-13 and 15-21, Columns (4) Trans. Cost,(5) Total \$ for Fuel Adj.,(6a) Cost if Generated cents/KWH, (6b) Cost if Generated \$, and (7) Fuel Savings, and Lines 17-21, Column (3) Total KWH Purchased.

Correlation and Justification of Confidential Classification of Material Identified in Schedule A9.

The information identified as confidential by FPL in Schedule A9 is intended to be and is treated by FPL as private in that the disclosure of the information could cause harm to FPL's business operations and has not been disclosed. See Fla. Stat. § 366.093(3). See also F.A.C. § 25-22.006(4)(c) & (d). The information relates to FPL's competitive interests and disclosure would impair FPL's competitive business. See Fla. Stat. § 366.093(3)(e). Additionally, information in Schedule A9 details the terms of FPL's purchases of economy energy with individual suppliers. Therefore, the information concerns bids or other contractual data the disclosure of which would impair FPL's efforts to contract for goods or services on favorable terms. See Fla. Stat. § 366.093(3)(d). FPL has strictly limited access to this confidential material and has instituted strict controls to insure

that the information remains private. Affidavit of Rene Silva TT

The information identified as confidential in Schedule A9 consists of detailed information on economy energy purchases from each of FPL's supplier's for the stated periods including the total volume of the purchases, pricing and fuel savings realized from purchase rather than generation of the power.2 This information provides FPL's potential competitors with knowledge of the volume purchased from each specific source (column (3)), price (column (4)), and information from which it can be ascertained at what point it becomes economic for FPL to purchase rather than generate power under prevailing market conditions. From the information provided in Schedule A9, a competitor could outbid FPL for a potential energy source otherwise available to FPL on advantageous terms and cause FPL to replace the lost energy at a higher price on the market or dispatch otherwise uneconomic generating resources. Similarly, the information provided in Schedule A9 could permit FPL's suppliers of economy energy to price their power toward FPL's margin with greater precision thus minimizing FPL's savings

The purchases must be broken down into two broad categories, sales made using the Florida Broker System and opportunity sales, for the purpose of this Request. The reason for this distinction is that certain of the information that would otherwise be claimed as confidential for the Florida Broker contracts is currently disseminated to all members of the broker, thus precluding a claim of confidentiality as to column (3) Total KWH Purchased for transactions made using the Broker.

realized from purchasing economy energy. Affidavit of Rene Silva TT 14,15.

Schedule A9 for the Month of January 1996, Lines 7-13 and 15-21 Column (4) Trans. Cost cents/KWH.

column (4) of Schedule A9 reports the total average price of economy energy purchases for each of FPL's suppliers for the month of September on a per KWH basis. By reporting the price FPL paid, FPL's competitors and suppliers can more precisely price their service towards FPL's generating cost, in the case of suppliers, or narrowly outbid FPL for energy sources, in the case of competitors. The information relates to FPL's competitive interests and disclosure would impair FPL's competitive business. See Fla. Stat. \$ 366.093(3)(e). Additionally, information in Schedule A details the terms of FPL's purchases of economy energy with individual suppliers. Therefore, the information concerns bids or other contractual data the disclosure of which would impair FPL's efforts to contract for goods or services on favorable terms. See Fla. Stat. \$ 366.093(3)(d). Affidavit of Rene Silva ¶¶ 14,15.

Schedule A9 for the Month of January 1996, Lines 7-13 and 15-21, Column (5) Total \$ for Fuel Adj.

Column (5) of Schedule A9 reports the total cost of all of FPL's economy energy purchases from each vendor for the month of September. Column (5) with the total purchased figures in column (3) provides FPL's competitors and suppliers with the price FPL

paid each of its suppliers for economy energy. By reporting the price FPL paid, FPL's competitors and suppliers can more precisely price their service towards FPL's margin, in the case of suppliers, or narrowly outbid FPL for energy sources, in the case of competitors. The information relates to FPL's competitive interests and disclosure would impair FPL's competitive business.

See Fla. Stat. § 366.093(3)(e). Additionally, information in Schedule A details the terms of FPL's purchases of economy energy with individual suppliers. Therefore, the information concerns bids or other contractual data the disclosure of which would impair FPL's efforts to contract for goods or services on favorable terms.

See Fla. Stat. § 366.093(3)(d). Affidavit of Rene Silva TT 14,15.

FPL requests that the information remain confidential for a period of 18 months. See Fla. Stat. § 366.093(4); F.A.C. § 25-22.006(4)(8)(a).

Schedule A9 for the Month of January 1996, Lines 7-13 and 15-21, Columns (6a) Cost if Generated cents/KWH.

Column (6a) reports the cost of generation that would have been necessary but for the subject purchase from each of FPL's economy energy suppliers on a cents per KWH basis. Publication of this information permits FPL's competitors to predict when FPL will enter the market for wholesale power and outbid FPL for sources. Knowledge of the precise point at which economy energy purchases become economical would also permit potential suppliers to price

their energy closer to FPL's margin, thus reducing savings realized from purchasing rather than generating power. The information relates to FPL's competitive interests and disclosure would impair FPL's competitive business. See Fla. Stat. § 366.093(3)(e). Additionally, information in Schedule A details the terms of FPL's purchases of economy energy with individual suppliers. Therefore, the information concerns bids or other contractual data the disclosure of which would impair FPL's efforts to contract for goods or services on favorable terms. See Fla. Stat. § 366.093(3)(d). Affidavit of Rene Silva ¶¶ 14,15.

FPL requests that the information remain confidential for a period of 18 months. See Fla. Stat. \$ 366.093(4); F.A.C. \$ 25-22.006(4)(8)(a).

Schedule A9 for the Month of January 1996, Lines 7-13 and 15-21, Column (6b) Cost if Generated \$.

Column (6b) reports the total cost FPL would incur if it had generated rather than purchased the power purchased from each of FPL's economy energy suppliers. Publication of this information permits FPL's competitors to predict when FPL will enter the market for wholesale power and outbid FPL for sources. Knowledge of the precise point at which economy energy purchases become economical would also permit potential suppliers to price their energy closer to FPL's margin, thus reducing savings realized from purchasing rather than generating power. The information relates to FPL's

competitive interests and disclosure would impair FPL's competitive business. See Fla. Stat. § 366.093(3)(e). Additionally, information in Schedule A details the terms of FPL's purchases of economy energy with individual suppliers. Therefore, the information concerns bids or other contractual data the disclosure of which would impair FPL's efforts to contract for goods or services on favorable terms. See Fla. Stat. § 366.093(3)(d). Affidavit of Rene Silva ¶¶ 14,15.

FPL requests that the information remain confidential for a period of 18 months. See Fla. Stat. \$ 366.093(4); F.A.C. \$ 25-22.006(4)(8)(a).

Schedule A9 for the Month of January 1996, Lines 7-13 and 15-21, Column (7) Fuel Savings.

Column (7) of Schedule A9 reports the total dollar amount of fuel savings realized from purchasing rather than generating power for each of FPL's economy energy suppliers. Publication of this information permits FPL's competitors to predict when FPL will enter the market for wholesale power and outbid FPL for sources. Knowledge of the precise point at which economy energy purchases become economical would also permit potential suppliers to price their energy closer to FPL's margin, thus reducing savings realized from purchasing rather than generating power. The information relates to FPL's competitive interests and disclosure would impair FPL's competitive business. See Fla. Stat. § 366.093(3)(e).

Additionally, information in Schedule A details the terms of FPL's purchases of economy energy with individual suppliers. Therefore, the information concerns bids or other contractual data the disclosure of which would impair FPL's efforts to contract for goods or services on favorable terms. See Fla. Stat. S 366.093(3)(d). Affidavit of Rene Silva ¶¶ 14,15.

FPL requests that the information remain confidential for a period of 18 months. See Fla. Stat. § 366.093(4); F.A.C. § 25-22.006(4)(8)(a).

Schedule A9 for the Month of January 1996, Lines 17-21, Column (3) Total KWH Purchased.

Column (3) for the referenced lines reports the total KWH purchased by FPL pursuant to long term contracts rather than opportunity sales under the Florida Broker system. By disclosing FPL's energy needs under contracts, the terms of which are matters of public record, FPL's competitors and suppliers can predict FPL's economy energy demand and more precisely price their service towards FPL's margin, in the case of suppliers, or narrowly outbid FPL for energy sources, in the case of competitors. The information relates to FPL's competitive interests and disclosure would impair FPL's competitive business. See Fla. Stat. § 366.093(3)(e). Additionally, information in Schedule A details the terms of FPL's purchases of economy energy with individual suppliers. Therefore, the information concerns bids or other

contractual data the disclosure of which would impair FPL's efforts to contract for goods or services on favorable terms. See Fla. Stat. § 366.093(3)(d). Affidavit of Rene Silva ¶¶ 14,15.

FPL requests that the information remain confidential for a period of 18 months. See Fla. Stat. § 366.093(4); F.A.C. § 25-22.006(4)(8)(a).

DATED this 20th day of February, 1996.

Respectfully submitted,

STEEL HECTOR & DAVIS
215 South Monroe Street
Suite 601
Tallahassee, Florida 32301
Attorneys for Florida Power
& Light_Company

Bv:

Johnthan Sjystrom

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE DOCKET NO. 960001-EI

I HEREBY CERTIFY that a true and correct copy of Florida Power & Light Company's Request for Confidential Classification Regarding A Schedules for the month of January have been furnished by Hand Delivery, ** or U.S. Mail this 20th day of February, 1996, to the following:

Vicki D. Johnson, Esq.** Division of Legal Services FPSC 2540 Shumard Oak Blvd. Rm.370 Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850

Joseph A. McGlothlin, Esq. Vicki Gordon Kaufman, Esq. McWhirter, Reeves, McGlothlin, Davidson, Rief & Bakas, P.A. 117 South Gadsden Street Tallahassee, FL 32301

G. Edison Holland, Esq. Jeffrey A. Stone, Esq. Beggs and Lane P. O. Box 12950 Pensacola, FL 32576

Floyd R. Self, Esq. Messer, Caparello, Madsen, Goldman & Metz P. O. Box 1876 Tallahassee, FL 32302-1876

Peter J.P. Brickfield, Esq. James Brew, Esq. Brickfield, Burchette & Ritts 1025 Thomas Jefferson St. NW Eighth Floor, West Tower Washington, D.C. 20007

Stephen R. Yurek Dahlen, Berg & Co. 2150 Dain Bosworth Plaza 60 South Sixth Street Minneapolis, MN 55402 John Roger Howe, Esq. Office of Public Counsel 111 West Madison Street Room 812 Tallahassee, FL 32399

Lee L. Willis, Esq.
James D. Beasley, Esq.
Macfarlane Ausley Ferguson
& McMullen
P. O. Box 391
Tallahassee, FL 32302

James A. McGee, Esq. Florida Power Corporation P. O. Box 14042 St. Petersburg, FL 33733

John W. McWhirter, Jr., Esq. McWhirter, Reeves, McGlothlin, Davidson, Rief & Bakas, P.A. Post Office Box 3350 Tampa, Florida 33601-3350

Richard J. Salem, Esq. Marian B. Rush, Esq. Salem, Saxon & Nielsen 101 East Kennedy Blvd. Suite 3200 Post Office Box 3399 Tampa, Florida 33601

Jonathan Syostrom

SCHEDULE A4

Florida Power & Light Company SYSTEM NET GENERATION AND FUEL COST

ACTUAL FOR THE PERIOD/MONTH OF:

JANUARY 1996

Page I of 3

(a)		(9)	(c)	(d)	(e)	(f)	(g)	(h)	(0)		(I)	(k)	(0)	(m)	(n)
PLANT/UNIT		NET CAPABILITY (MW)	NET GENERATION (MWH)	CAPACITY FACTOR (%)	BOUTVALENT AVAILABILITY FACTOR (%)	NET OUTPUT PACTOR (%) (1)	AVERAGE NET HEAT BATE (BTUKWH)	PUEL TYPE	PUEL BUILNED (UNITS)	nede:	PUEL HEAT VALUE (MMBTUAINT)	PUEL BURNED (MMSTU)	AS BUIENED PUEL COST (S)	PUBL COST PER KWII (#XXVI)	COST OF PUBL (DANT)
CAPE CANAVERAL		367	39,246	15.1	100.0	51.2	10,185	#6 OIL	61,407	BBLS	6.355	390,241			
			8,788	3 1 1 1 1 1 1	Name (ed.)	0.4		GAS	98,978	MCF	1.000	98,978			
	# :	367	102,153	56.4	99.2	61.5	9,753	#6 OIL	152,999	BBLS	6.355	972,309			
	# 7	2	73,539				1.000	GAS	741,273	MCF	1.000	741,273			
FT MYERS		137	13,654	12.8	98.8	48.2	11,415	#6 OIL	24,564	BBLS	6.345	155,859			
	# :	367	67,078	21.5	89.7	44.3	10,255	#6 OIL	108,418	BBLS	6.345	687,912			
LAUDERDALE		430	0	83.0	100.0	91.3	7,835	#2 OIL	0	BBLS	0.000	. 0			
			269,371					GAS	2,110,594	MCF	1 000	2,110,594			
	#	391	0	84.5	99.5	92.9	7,814	#2 OIL	0	BBLS	0 000	0			
	#	\$	273,788				205	GAS	2,139,285	MCF	1.000	2,139,285			
MANATEE		783	81,726	13.8	100.0	38.9	10,604	#6 OIL	135,892	BBLS	6.377	866,583			
		783	136,268	21.5	98.1	41.3	10,640	#6 OIL	227,361	BBLS	6.377	1,449,881			
MARTIN		783	85,385	24.6	85.7	47.0	10,616	#6 OIL	138,695	BBLS	6.332	878,217			
			46,183		Se Piur			GAS	518,446	MCF	1.000	518,446			
	# :	783	152,580	39.3	98.9	48.1	10,248	#6 OIL	242,512	BBLS	6.332	1,535,586			
	# :		68,138			GIV.	100	GAS	726,233	MCF	1.000	726,233			
	# I	430	0	96.3	100.0	963	7,321	#2 OIL	0	BBLS	0.000	0			
		1	312,365		Listing			GAS	2,286,783	MCF	1.000	2,286,783			
		430	0	86.1	88.3	96.7	7,178	#2 OIL	0	BBLS	0.000	0			
		4	279,476					GAS	2,005,993	MCF	1 000	2,005,993			
PTEVERGLADES	#	204	12,858	9.0	99.4	49.9	11,732	#6 OIL	21,942	BBLS	6.400	140,429			
		1	1,099					GAS	23,319	MCF	1.000	23,319			
	#	2 204	15,213	9.6	100.0	52.0	11,566	#6 OIL	26,312	BBLS	6.400	168,397			
	# 2	2	(169)					GAS	5,598	MCF	1.000	5,598			
		3 367	54,749	21 2	100.0	50.9	10,711	#6 OIL	87,095	BBLS	6.400	557,408			
		3	11,077					GAS	147,635	MCF	1.000	147,635			
		367	63,613	26.7	83.9	44.4	10,476	#6 OIL	102,291	BBLS	6.400	654,662			
		4	8,496					GAS	100,729	MCF	1.000	100,729			

Florida Power & Light Company SYSTEM NET GENERATION AND FUEL COST ACTUAL FOR THE PERIOD/MONTH OF: JA

JANUARY 1996

SCHEDULE A4

Page 2 of 3

(a)		(b)	(c)	(d)	(e)	(f)	(g)	(h)	(6)		ω	(k)	(I)	(m)	(a)
PLANTANIT		NET CAPABILITY (MW)	NET GENERATION (MWH)	CAPACITY PACTOR (%)	EQUIVALENT AVAILABILITY FACTOR (%) (1)	NET OUTPUT FACTOR (%)	NET HEAT BATE (STUKWH)	FUEL TYPE	PUEL BURNED (UNITS)		PUEL HEAT VALUE (MMBTUUNIT)	PUEL BURNED (MHETU)	AS BURNED FUEL COST (S)	PLEL COST PER KWII (p/KWII)	COST O
RIVIERA	# 3	272	68,978	36.7	99.8	54.9	10,256	#6 OIL	108,420	BBLS	6.391	692,912			
	# 3		8,160		CILILLE			GAS	98,220	MCF	1.000	98,220			
		275	49,919	28.0	100.0	51.0	10,717	#6 OIL	82,006	BBLS	6.391	524,100			
			4,532					GAS	59,437	MCF	1 000	59,437			
SANFORD	# 3	137	8,016	7.4	100.0	63.5	12,035	#6 OIL	14,426	BBLS	6.324	91,230			
	# 3		(100)					GAS	4,037	MCF	1 000	4,037			
		362	27,560	9.7	98.9	46.0	11,476	#6 OIL	48,411	BBLS	6.324	306,151			
			1,857					GAS	31,433	MCF	1 000	31,433			
	# 5		12,926					GAS	140,477	MCF	1.000	140,477			
	# 5	362	35,340	14.9	83.1	48.8	10,787	#6 OIL	60,112	BBLS	6.324	380,148			
TURKEY POINT		387	71,616	33.6	100.0	53.8	10,027	#6 OIL	109,118	BBLS	6.360	693,990			
	# 1		34,810					GAS	373,125	MCF	1.000	373,125			
			62,094	28.5	99.8	52.8	10,235	#5 OIL	96,606	BBLS	6 360	614,414			
	# 2		28,734					GAS	315,248	MCF	1.000	315,248			
CUTLER			0	0.9	100.0	61.0	0	#6 OIL	0	BBLS	-	0			
	# 5		589	N.			100-6	GAS	0	MCF		0			
	# 6		0	3.2	92.3	29.0	3,163	#6 OIL	0	BBLS		0			
	# 6		3,498					GAS	11,065	MCF	1.000	11,065			
FT MYERS	1-12	565	2,221	0.9	97.7	52.6	25,210	#2 OIL	9,558	BBLS	5.858	55,991			
LAUDERDALE	1-12	364	896	0.4	95.2	102.0	16,707	#2 OIL	2,558	BBLS	5.710	14,606			
	1-12		90					GAS	1,867	MCF	1.000	1,867			
	13-24	364	936	0.5	88.0	68.3	17,175	#2 OIL	2,665	BBLS	5710	15,217			
	13-26		359					GAS	7,024	MCF	1 000	7,024			
EVERGLADES	1-12	364	1,411	0.7	80.0	73.6	16,532	#2 OIL	3,926	BBLS	5.822	22,857			
	1-12	ž .	390					GAS	6,918	MCF	1 000	6,918			

INCLUDES CRANKING DIESELS

^{**} EXCLUDES CRANKING DIESELS

FIGHAL POWER & Light Company
SYSTEM NET GENERATION AND FUEL COST
ACTUAL FOR THE PERIOD MONTH OF:

J

JANUARY 1996

Page 3 of 3

SCHEDULE A4

(a)		(6)	(5)	(4)	(0)	(5)	(g)	(6)	0		0	(k)	Э	(m)	(n)
		N I	N	CANACITY	AVAEABELTY AVAEABELTY	TOUTHO	BOYERAY		TEN		PUEL HEAT	MIL	CENTERS SV	MATT COSE	COST OF
PLANTAINT	0	CAPABILITY	OENERATION	FACTOR .	FACTOR	FACTOR	HEAT BATE	Nat.	BURNED		BUTVA	GENERA	FUEL COST?	NAT EM	TILV
		10001	(man)	3	9	3	(maxwa)		(econo)		(resource county)	The comment	100	- Comment	francis
I PUINAM	0 1	239	0	32.8	99.5	72.5	9,938	#6 OIL	0	BBLS	0.000	0		100000	
2	:		-					#2 O(I_	32	881.5	5.816	951			
3	8 1		60,209					GAS	598,184	MCF	1 000	598,184			
•	# 2	239	0	26.0	940	64.7	10,003	11O 9#	0	BBLS	0.000	0			000000000000000000000000000000000000000
5	# 2		67					#2 OIL	146	BBLS	5.816	849			
6	# 2		52,061					GAS	520,613	MCR	1 000	520,613		West of State of the	
		٤	(8)				(m)								
7 ST JOHNS (1)	**	125	86,772	94.2	0.001	943	9,509	COAL	33,964	TONS	24.294	825,121	1,394,196	1 6067	41.05
98	10		89					#2 OIL	147	BBLS	5.747	845	3,460	3 8969	23.54
		ŝ	(10)				CBD								
\$	22	125	86,169	93.7	998	93.7	9,481	COAL	32,702	SNOT	24 982	816,961	1,342,384	1.5578	41.05
10	8 22		156	E				#2 OIL	357	BBLS	5 747	1,477	6,053	3.8848	23 55
		3							B						
11 SCHERER	te.	646	404,498	87.4	0.001	87.4	9,993	COAL	4,042,117	MMBTU	***	4,042,117			
12	u h.		57					#2 OIL	97	BBLS	5.817	25			
13 TURKEY POINT	E 2	666	517,360	103.9	99.8	103.9	10,780	7	5,576,971	NWBTU	***	5,576,971			
14	n A	566	518,611	104.5	100.0	104.5	10,751	NUCLEAR	5,575,748	NUBBON	and .	5,575,748			
15 ST LUCIE	8 1	839	617,170	98.8	99.6	98.8	11,052	NUCLEAR	6,821,130	NUBUN	1	6,821,130			
		1	ı	I		1			ı	•					
16	ta bi	714	338,806	741	768	92.7	11,110	NUCLEAR	3,764,264	NUBRA	1	3,764,264			
17	1														
10 SVSTEM TOTALS	-	357.31	4 707 470				0013			ant c		43 167 947			
	1	417704	April Adding				21210		13 077 514 M	MCE					
21										NUBITU MMBTU	COAL (C)				
22 *** EXCLUDES PARTICIPANTS										TONS	COAL (C)				
23 **** INCLUDES PARTICIPANTS									0.1	TONS	ORIMULSION				
24 (1) CALCALATED ON CALENDAR MONTH PERIOD, OTHER DATA IS PISICAL	MONTH	ENIOD OTHER	DATA IS PISCAL						21,738,113 M	MMBTU	NUCLEAR				No all the second

(A) FPL SHARE (B) CALCULATED ON GENERATION RECEIVED NET OF LINE LOSSES (C) SCHERER COAL IS REPORTED IN MMBTU'S ONLY. SCHERER COAL IS NOT INCLUDED IN TONS.

2.00							3	
2	led.	dat	179	fed	int		LA.	9
\$01.0.10	34VI	TATOT	KWH WHEELED FROM OTHER	KWH FROM OWN	PANOLESINS (NO.	SE HAND	TOTAL \$ FOR	TOTAL COST
	SCHEDULE	5000	SYSTEMS (DOD)	GENERATION (GOO)	COST COST	COST	(1) x (1)(8)	MVQP X GD
ESTIMATED.								
	n	24.063	. 0	24,063	2 181	2,600	111753	\$48,813
	an S	0 10	0 6	0	2000	0,000 0	264,730	000,850
S 90% OF GAIN ON ECCHONY SALES		44,730	0	44,730	0.636	0.495	221,414	221.414
6 TOTAL		80,931	0	80.931	1,249	1.476	1,100,557	F 194,400
7 ACTUAL						70		
# FLIPA (SL. T) 18 FLIPA (SL. T) 19 FLIPA (SL. T) 10 SEMINICIE ELECTRIC COOPETATIVE, INC. (LINSCHEDIALED) 11 SEMINICIE ELECTRIC COOPETATIVE, INC. (LINSCHEDIALED) 12 UTILITIES COMMISSION, CITY OF NEW SIATEMA BEACH	81	ESPWII	00000	THE ST	2121	2112	Tours	moute
## FLORIGA POWER MANDETHING ## FLORIGA POWER CORPORATION ## CITY OF CANKESVILLE ## UTILITY SOANES WILE ## CITY OF KEY WEST ## CITY OF LACE WORTH UTILITIES	222222	DIT	000000	ži (in in	Tip!	100,000	111
#1 CHANCO OFFICIES COMMISSION #1 CHY OF VERD BEACH #3 FLORIDA NEYS ELECTIC COOPERATIVE	22		000					
SM ECOHOMY SUB-TOTAL		514,672	0	116,672	2121	2.866	2,003	nere
AS ST. LUCIE PARTICIPATION SUB-TOTAL AS SALES EXCLUSIVE OF ECONOMY AND ST. LUCIE PARTICIPATION SUB-TOTAL	SUB-TOTAL	25, 192	00	48,065 25,192	2.068	2,663	222,573 110,454	222,373 745,631
#1 80% OF GAIN ON ECONOMY SALES (SEE SCHED ATM)		187,829	o	187,929	1711	2 288	3,907,000	4.298,800
AR CURRENT MONTH 30 DIFFERENCE \$1 DIFFERENCE (%)		27.55 200.994	00	101.398	0.462	0.812	2742.538	3,106,763
34 PERIOD TO DATE:		291.751	0	291.751	1 670	2 191	\$ 774 341	a 950
BY DIFFERENCE (N)		194, 753 106, 998	000	104,993	0.451	242	2.961,703 2.762,638 93.7	1,100,363 1,100,363

GAIN ON ECONOMY ENERGY SALES COMPANY: FLORIDA POWER & LIGHT COMPANY FOR THE MONTH OF JANUARY, 1996

SCHEDULE A6a

(1)	Ø	(3)	(4)		(5)	(6)
		Locatoe pr	\$		certs/Y	WH	
50LD TO	TYPE 4 SCHEDULE	KWH SOLD (000)	(A) FUEL COST	(b) TOTAL COST	(a) FUEL COST	(b) TOTAL COST	GAIN ON ECONOMY ENERGY SALES (4)(b) - (4)(a)
I ESTIMATED;							
2 80% OF GAIN ON ECONOMY SALES	С	24,083	524,814	646,813	2.181	2.688	121,899
TOTAL		24,063	524,814	648,813	2,181	2.668	x.85 97,500
S ACTUAL:							
FLORIDA MUNICIPAL POWER AGENCY	c	5,546		40000	9	9000	SING
7 FLORIDA POWER CORPORATION	C	20,782	482,238	665,757	2.224	3.204	203,519
8 FT. PIERCE UTILITIES AUTHORITY 9 CITY OF GAINESVILLE	c	60	Allegina	CONTRACTOR OF THE PARTY OF		(E)	ASSES
IP CITY OF HOMESTEAD	c	3,893	E CHANG	13 HZ 38	100,633,374	VX 3 100	(S) (N)
II JACKSONVILLE ELECTRIC AUTHORITY	c	376	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1		Sec. 1		
IZ CITY OF LAKE WORTH UTILITIES	c	7,047	E 18 U		115-280 (1.05)	1000	110000
TE CITY OF LAKELAND	č	1,208	R 3 1833				A STATE OF
# UTILITIES COMMISSION, CITY OF NEW SMYRNA BEACH	c	43			1	1-24	100 m
& ORLANDO UTILITIES COMMISSION	c	13,058			UNIT SERVICE	MASS ST	
16 REEDY CREEK IMPROVEMENT DISTRICT	C	34				The state of the s	000000
17 SEMINOLE ELECTRIC COOPERATIVE, INC.	C	5,154		- W. S. S.			1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
8 SOUTHERN COMPANIES	c	51,711	開放	1980		13312	100000000000000000000000000000000000000
A CITY OF TALLAHASSEE	C	760		CAMBELLA S	STEELS!	ALC: N	
25 TAMPA ELECTRIC COMPANY	C	1,030	23,476	36,246	2.279	3.519	12,770
21 CITY OF VERO BEACH	c	1,442		(QUA.
				×			
2. SUB-TOTAL		116,672	2,474,718	3,331,665	2.121	2.856	856,937
23 80% OF GAIN ON ECONOMY SALES							x 80
TOTAL		118,672	2,474,718	3,331,655	2.121	2.856	685,550
S CURRENT MONTH:							
26 DIFFERENCE (%)		92,609 384.9	1,949,904 371.5	2,684,842 415.1	(0.060)	0.168	587,951 602.4
8 PERIOD TO DATE:							
L4 ACTUAL		156,541	3,320,720	4,448,734	2.121	2.842	902,412
So ESTIMATED		63,932	1,370,816	1,763,892	2.144	2.759	314,481
BI DIFFERENCE (%)		92,809	1,949,904	2,684,842	(0.023)	0.083	587,951
See ou Leveline (a)		144.9	142.2	152.2	(1.1)	3.0	187.0

ECONOMY ENERGY PURCHASES INCLUDING LONG TERM PURCHASES COMPANY: FLORIDA POWER & LIGHT COMPANY FOR THE MONTH OF JANUARY, 1996

(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)		(6)	(7)
					COST IF G	ENERATED	
PURCHASED FROM	TYPE & SCHEDULE	TOTAL KWH PURCHASED (000)	COST cents/KWH	FUEL ADJ. (3) x (4)	(a) cents/KWH	(D) \$	FUEL SAVINGS (6)(b) - (5) \$
ESTIMATED:							
FLORIDA NON-FLORIDA	c	413,600 2,829	1.804 2.042	7,461,350 57,760	2.009 2.247	8,309,230 63,559	847,880 5,799
TOTAL		416,429	1.806	7,519,110	2.011	8,372,789	853,679
ACTUAL:							
FLORIDA POWER CORPORATION FT. PIERCE UTILITIES AUTHORITY CITY OF CAMESVILLE JACKSONVILLE ELECTRIC AUTHORITY OTH OF LANE WORTH UTILITIES ORLANDO UTILITIES COMMISSION ESEMINOLE ELECTRIC COOPERATIVE, INC. CITY OF TALLAHASSEE TAMPA ELECTRIC COMPANY CITY OF VERO BEACH SOUTHERN COMPANIES ENRON POWER MARKETING CITY OF HOMESTEAD KOCH POWER SERVICES, INC. L G & E POWER MARKETING OGLETHORPE POWER CORPORATION	C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C	16,214 5 812 2,127 2 381 8,672 21 67,693 10 938	1.712	1,146,990	1.992	1,349,676	201,688
L FLORIDA ECONOMY/OS PURCHASES SUB-TOTAL NON-FLORIDA ECONOMY/OS PURCHASES SUB-TO	DTAL	95,937 53,469	1.736 2.236	1,665,848 1,195,692	2.020 3.101	1,937,556 1,657,979	271,708 462,287
TOTAL		149,406	1.915	2,861,540	2.407	3,595,535	733.995
CURRENT MONTH: DIFFERENCE DIFFERENCE (%)		(267,023) (64.1)	0.110 6.1	(4,657,570) (61.9)	0.396 19.7	(4,777,254) (57.1)	(119,684) (14.0)
PPRIOD TO DATE: A ACTUAL O ESTIMATED I DIFFERENCE L DIFFERENCE (%)		345,267 612,290 (267,023) (43.6)	1.820 1.787 0.033 1.8	6,282,710 10,940,280 (4,657,570) (42.6)	2.196 2.018 0.177 8.8	7,581,025 12,358,279 (4,777,254) (38.7)	1,298,315 1,417,999 (119,684) (8.4)

AFFIDAVIT

STATE	OF	FLORIDA	1
COUNT	70	F DADE	

BEFORE ME, the undersigned authority, personally appeared Rene Silva, who being first duly sworn deposes and says:

- My name is Rene Silva; My business address is Florida Power & Light Company, 9250 West Flagler, Miami, Florida.
- 2) I graduated from the University of Michigan in 1974 with a Bachelor of Science degree in Engineering Science, with a major in Nuclear Engineering. In 1978 I earned a Master of Science Degree in Mechanical Engineering from San Jose State University. In 1985 I earned a Master of Science Degree in Business Administration with a major in Finance, from the University of Miami.
- From 1974 to 1978, I was employed by the General Electric Company, Nuclear Energy Division, where I performed design and engineering analyses related to nuclear fuel assemblies.
- 4) In 1978, I joined FPL as Nuclear Fuel Engineer and was responsible for negotiating contracts for the tabrication of nuclear fuel assemblies for FPL's nuclear generating plants. In 1980, I was named Supervisor of Nuclear Fuel Supply, with the responsibility for the procurement of all materials and services related to nuclear fuel.
- 5) In 1982, I was named Supervisor of Special Projects. In that capacity, I was involved in litigation and settlement negotiations of fuel-related disputes, development of fuel procurement and utilization strategies and strategic evaluations of generation capacity alternatives.
- 6) In 1986, I was named Acting Manager of Fossil Fuels and was responsible for the procurement of fuel oil, natural gas and coal for FPL's generating plants, as well as the operation and maintenance of FPL's fuel oil receiving/storage facilities.
- 7) In 1987, I was named Manager of Fuel Services. In that capacity I directed the development of fossil fuel price forecasts used in fuel procurement decisions, generation capacity evaluations, regulatory filings and financial planning. I participated in the development of FPL's generation

capacity strategies, the evaluation of power supply alternatives, and the investigations regarding the feasibility of alternate fossil fuels for use at FPL's plants.

- 8) In October of 1993, I was named Manager, Forecasting and Regulatory Response, my present position. I am responsible for fossil fuel price forecasts and regulatory filings related to fossil fuel and fossil plants. In addition, I participate in interdisciplinary team efforts to develop and implement strategies to purchase and utilize fuel more economically, now and in the future.
- 9) Pursuant to Commission Rule 25-22.008(4), FPL is requesting confidential classification of certain information contained in schedules A4, A6, A6a and A9 pertaining to the month of January 1996 (the "A Schedules") required to be filled in this docket pursuant to Minimum Filing Requirements set forth in Commission Directive dated April 24, 1980, and as revised by Commission Memorendum issued by the Division of Electric and Gas dated December 13, 1994.
- 10) FPL believes it is at a competitive disadvantage since the disclosure of certain information in the A Schedules provides FPL's competitors with the ability to obtain price and cost information. FPL believes that the disclosure of this information is reasonably likely to impair FPL's ability to contract for goods and services since the information on these schedules allows a competitor to undercut FPL's sales price to a potential customer or to outbid FPL for a potential energy source.
- 11) FPL believes the importance of this information to competitors is demonstrated by the biossoming of publications which provide utility-reported data from the A Schedules. The disclosure of the information sought to be protected herein is creating an industry of publishers ready to serve a developing competitive market. For example, the September 18, 1995 edition of Power Markets Week, published by McGraw-Hill reported detailed information on FPL's wholesale power transactions for the month of July, reporting the names of customers, total amounts purchased, average and total price. This same story reported extensive information regarding FPL's power purchases for the same period. This information is found in the sections of the A Schedules sought to be protected here and, to FPL's knowledge, nowhere else. FPL knows of no other source similar to the A Schedules from which FPL can derive similar information with regard to its competitors. One such competitor is Enron Power Marketing who recently replaced FPL in a long term contract with New Smyrna Beach. The October 23, 1995 edition of Power Markets Week reports a spokesman for New Smyrna Beach as stating "the prices were better" and "the fuel charges from Enron are lower" as justification for canceling the

Silva Affidavit Page 3

contract with FPI. True and correct copies of these articles are attached to this affidavit as Attachment I.

- 12) The information which FPL seeks to protect from disclosure is data that is being treated by FPL as proprietary confidential business information. Access within the company to this information is restricted. Each of the copies of Schedules A4, A5, A6a and A9 have been marked "CONFIDENTIAL". Employees have been instructed to not make any copies of the schedules. This information has not, to the best of my knowledge, been disclosed elsewhere.
- 13) While FPL must protect itself from the competitive disadventage of the disclosure of this information, FPL is also acutely sensitive to the obligation to maintain public access to information to the extent that such information does not harm competitive interests. For this reason, the information sought to be protected is only highly detailed information information at the level of the individual customer, unit, plant or supplier that would permit or encourage a competitor to target and undercut FPL's pricing or out-bid FPL for a power source available to FPL on advantageous terms. FPL does not seek protection for cumulations of the detailed, specific information.
- 14) Specifically, FPL is requesting confidential classification of certain information on Schedule A4 System Net Generation and Fuel Cost, Schedule A6 Power Sold, Schedule A6a Gain on Economy Energy Sales, and Schedule A9 Purchase Power. From the portions of the A4, A6 and A6a Schedules sought to be protected, FPL's competitors can determine and use the names of FPL's customers and suppliers correlated with the amounts purchased or sold, the price and the cost of wholesale transactions. Moreover, FPL's competitors can determine the economics of FPL's generating facilities and thereby undercut FPL's pricing or out bid FPL for energy sources. Suppliers of economy energy could use the information in the A9 Schedule to determine the point at which it is more economical for FPL to purchase rather than generate power and price their service nearer this margin. Thus, this information could also be used to reduce the savings FPL realizes from purchasing rather than generating power.
- 15) By revealing fuel cost information for each of FPL's generating plants, Schedule A4 permits FPL's competitors in the wholesale power market to learn the price at which FPL can economically sell power and thus undercut FPL's prices. The significance of the per plant figures is that these figures would permit competitors to more accurately estimate FPL's pricing. This is

Silva Affidavit Page 4

so because of FPL's well known policy of economic dispatch. Barring unusual circumstances, FPL dispatches its most economical units first — initially to estiety its retail demand and then to sell surplus energy on the wholesale market. With the knowledge of FPL's dispatch and the fuel costs and efficiencies of FPL's remaining generating units available to supply wholesale energy, FPL's competitors are enabled to pinpoint and undercut FPL's pricing.

16) The competitive harm worked by the disclosure of this information is visited directly and, in most cases totally, upon FPL's customers. Virtually all of the "profit" realized from wholesale power seles and "savings" from wholesale purchases is passed directly through to the customer as reduced fuel cost. (100% of the profit and savings from OS transactions is passed through to the customers. In schedule C and X transactions, 80% of the profit or savings is passed to the customer and 20% is retained as profit by FPL.) Because competition exists now and will continue to increase, FPL must eliminate disclosure of information that could be used by its competitors to put FPL at a competitive disadvantage and harm both FPL and its customers.

RENE	SILVA	

Swom to (or affirmed) and subscribed before me this _____ day of February , 1996 by Rene Silva who is personally known to me. In witness whereof, I have hereunto set my hand and seal in the State and County aforesaid.

Notary Public State of Florida My Commission Expires:

Rene Silva Affidavit Attachment 1 Page 1 of 3

2/1

October 23.

Markets-East. Midwest. South

PEPCO OPENING UP SECOND DOOR TO PJM, SEEN GIVING APS 'A RUN FOR ITS MONEY'

Spot market prices for bulk power in the eastern U.S. continued their decline of the last few weeks, with little relief in sight until heating loads pick up, most sources said.

In market developments, several industry sources commented on a noticeable increase in marketing activity taking place on the Washington, D.C.-based Potomac Electric Power (PEPCO) system in recent weeks, opening a longclosed door for power to flow from the southern U.S. into the Mid-Atlantic region.

A more aggressive attitude at PEPCO, armed with a new sales tariff that went into effect this fall, apparently is coming at the expense of Allegheny Power System. Until now. (connamed on page 7)

PRICES OF SPOT ELECTRICITY WEEK ENDING OCTOBER 20

(per MWh)

	Range	Index
Western Markets		
CalifOregon border	\$10.00 to \$14.75	\$14.00
Mid-Columbia	\$12.00 to \$14.00	\$13.75
Midway	\$15.00 to \$17.00	\$16.00
Mead	\$14.00 to \$16.50	\$15.00
Four Corners	\$13.00 to \$16.00	\$15.00
Palo Verde	\$13.25 to \$17.00	\$15.00
Northeastern Markets		
NEPOOL	\$18.00 to \$21.00	\$19.50
NYPP	\$18.00 to \$22.00	\$20.25
РЛМ	\$20.00 to \$23.50	\$21.25
Midwestern, Southern M	larkets	
ECAR	\$16.00 to \$20.00	\$18.50
SERC	\$14.00 to \$22.00	\$18.75
SPP	\$14.00 to \$18.00	\$16.25

NOTE: Ranges and index prices for on-peak non-firm electricity are based on prices of actual transactions obtained in confidential surveys of buyers and sollars.

The Californio-Ovegen border, Mid-Colombia, Midway, Pelo Verde, Mend and Four Corners represent prices for delity prescheduled on-peak non-firm transactions at those posits. Prions for NEPOOL. NYPP, PIM, ECAR, PIM, SERC and SPP are for delity non-firm transactions within those market areas.

The index proces not Power Markets Week's assessment of when the built of designation occurred. The assessments are based on a varyery of statistical measures of the transactions gastered, including averages, mediate, modes (most frequently occurring prices), and, where possible, volume-weighted averages.

ENRON TO REPLACE FP&L AS SUPPLIER FOR FLA. MUNI; 'PRICES WERE BETTER'

Enron Power Marketing has signed an agreement to vide firm power to the Utilities Commission of New Str Beach, which canceled a similar contract with Florida P & Light, according to Ron Vaden, the municipal utility pervising engineer of power supply and planning.

Vaden said the muni exercised an option in its fourpower sales contract with FP&L and canceled the agree on June 1, which means it will cease taking power from Fi as of June 1 next year, when the new deal with Enron will

With the exception of price, which was the motivati factor for the change, the amount of power and schedule delivery were essentially the same for both contracts.

"We did a four-month contract (with Enron during t summer for 5 MW) to get our feet wet with power mark ers." Vaden explained. "We were satisfied. The prices v better." He added. "For a small utility, (power marketer (contained on p-

VA. SCC RULING AGAINST SIEMENS SHO' PROBLEMS FACED BY MERCHANT PLANT

The Virginia State Corporation Commission, in a ruthat shows the difficulties faced by merchant plant devers, last week rejected Siemens Power Ventures' plan for 185-MW, gas-fired project in Loudoun County because the commission found no identified need for its capacity and commission found no identified need for its capacity and commission found no identified need for its capacity and commission found no identified need for its capacity and commission found no identified need for its capacity and commission found no identified need for its capacity and commission found no identified need for its capacity and commission.

New York City-based SPV, the non-utility power dopment unit of Siemens AG, proposed development of \$70-million plant in June, asserting it would operate the project as a demonstration facility for Siemens's new V combustion turbine for 18 months, then run it as a mere plant selling capacity and energy to a variety of buyers Mid-Atlantic and Southeast regions (PMW, 26 June, 1)

In the weeks after its announcement, however, the coper downplayed the merchant-plant part of its proposa suggested it would operate the project in a demonstration mode for several years.

The SCC's eight-page ruling (Case No. PUE91008 jected arguments by SPV that the commission has no jution over the proposed plant since it was not a "public used, alternatively, that the SCC should refrain from assits jurisdiction on the grounds that SPV's operation of plant would not affect the public interest.

The commission said state statutes define an entity

formia Cities Consortium, which comprises 11 cities (PMW, 28 Aug. 7). The cities last summer hired New Energy Ventures of Pasadana to develop a purchasing pool that will put together portfolios for both natural gas and electricity in an effort similar to that announced in July by the Association of Bay Area Governments (PMW, 31 July, 6).

NEV intends to have the electricity portfolio ready for consortium members to take advantage of cheaper power if the California Public Utilities Commission approves a restructuring plan that would give the cities direct access to wholesale suppliers.

"If you can't get excited about something like that, you have to be brain dead. It is a window of opportunity...and those of you in the industry, we ask for your help." Boulgarides said. "We want direct access, bilateral contracts, aggregation without limits, no stranded costs, and cost-based wheeling."

Sponsored by NewsData Corporation, the conference explored a wide range of issues pertaining to transmission access and "the new electric marketplace," stemming from FERC's notice of proposed rulemaking on open access.

"There isn't a lot of sympathy for the electric industry in the rest of the country because they've already gone through" the pain of deregulation and layoffs. Hesse said. She dismissed the California PUC's pooleo restructuring proposal as "just another form of monopoly regulation."

Indeed, the new electric marketplace may well become a world of bilateral contracts with no need for a central power pool like poolco, predicted Mike Burke, senior vice president of New Energy Ventures. Nor will there be any need for an independent system operator, as generators hook up with power marketers to sell their power.

Buyers' agents will play a significant role in the new market, and successful power sellers will interface with retail customers and aggregators as well as wholesale brokers, Burke said.

Meanwhile, the breakup of utilities' information monopoly will pose an even greater challenge than structural changes in the industry, he predicted.

The Northwest, surprisingly, has become a leader in the development of a competitive power market because of the Bonneville Power Administration, which has 200 wholesale contracts, most of them due to expire in 2001. "BPA is seeing flerce competition for its 2.5-cent wholesale power," said Walt Pollock, BPA's vice president of marketing, conservation, and production.

In fact, BPA is trading with five times more customers today than five years ago, and the number of transactions and trading partners on the California-Oregon intertie has does led in the past year with the removal of technical barriers, he said.

ENRON TO REPLACE FP&L AS SUPPLIER ... begins on page 1

have opened up a competitive market and we are not as much a captured customer as we were.

Under the terms of the agreement, the muni will buy intermediate and peaking power from Enron during eight months of the year, as follows: 10 MW from June through September: 10 MW in December: 25 MW in January and February: and 10 MW in March. "This is a rea! wood a tage for us." Vaden said. "We can step our purchases a down for our extra residential customers in the winter. still follows our load and maintains our reserve margin.

New Smyrna will pay Enron a capacity charge of !
per MW/month during the periods it is scheduled to re
power, plus an energy or fuel charge for the power it a
accepts. Vaden said that represents a saving of about 1
from what it was paying FP&L, which had a demand of
\$4,700 per MW/month.

"Not only that." Vaden said. "but the fuel charges Enron are lower."

Vaden said the city is in the process of negotiating er power sales agreement with Enron, but declined to any details until the deal is completed.

An FP&L spokesman confirmed the must had excits option to cancel the contract but had no comment or on's power sales activities in the state. Earon did not spond to request for a comment.

DERIVATIVES

FERC'S SANTA QUESTIONS IF COMMISS CAN, SHOULD REGULATE RISK MANAGE

Commissioner Donald Santa hinted last week that skeptical the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission properly regulate derivatives or enforce companies of pline in participating in price-visk management marks

Speaking to a Houston conference on integrated a electric power marketing. Santa said he has not yet ic any staff analysis or pleadings opposing the New Yor cantile Exchange's petition for a declaratory order th has no jurisdiction over electricity futures contracts (Oct. 6).

But beyond the question of the commission's autunder the Federal Power Act is the issue of whether I should regulate risk management services when they fered by marketers. Santa said.

"Obviously, we cannot ignore the financial debac have occurred in other sectors of the global economy nection with reckless speculation in financial derivas asserted, but then cautioned that the commission sho fine its concerns and assess how much it can do abox

"Is our concern that some 'snake oil salesman' p marketer will induce a poor defenseless wholesale p to buy a risk-management contract?" Same queried, that being a FERC-approved power marketer gives a tives seller as air of legitimacy that may facilitate th tion of unsuspecting customers?"

Even if the concerns are well founded, however, much of the market can we reach with our regulation

A danger with derivatives is in purchasers cross: line between hedging and speculation, according to but he questioned whether regulating marketers will thing to discipline the buyers of derivatives.

Additionally, he suggested, the Securities & Exc Commission and the Commodity Futures Trading C er, as Houston Lighting & Power, in particular, suffered from outages. HL&P lost the 580-MW, coal-fired Parish Unit 8 and the 770-MW Cedar Bayou Unit 1 in the middle of the week. Texas Utilities Electric was making up most of the difference, but sources said TU was apparently keeping its prices down to make sure it kept the business.

The flow of power to HL&P was adding a few dollars to the price of hourly, non-firm energy, according to one source, and keeping north-to-south transfer facilities heavily loaded.

ERCOT also was beginning to see the effect of fall maintenance schedules, which left fewer options than usual for replacing the units that were down. HL&P, for example, already had its 780-MW Cedar Bayou Unit-3 on a scheduled outage.

An unofficial accounting of recent use of the new HVDC East Tie shows that marketers sent a total of about 52,000 MWh of power out of Texas across the tie between Aug. 11, when the first marketer deal was done, and the end of the month.

Only three marketers made use of the tie: Electric Clearinghouse moved about 26,000 MWh; LG&E Power Marketing, 13,900 MWh; and Enron Power Marketing, 12,400.

Sources reported that marketers had moved nothing across the tie since Sept. 2.

One utility source noted, however, that marketers were making some competitive offers to move power into Texas across the tie this week, as the situation in ERCOT tightened. "We're getting close to the point where it's possible." said one source.

HEAT WAVE ALLOWED FLA. IOUS TO TURN THE TABLES: BIG SALES AT HIGH PRICES

The heat wave that blanketed the Southeast U.S. in July allowed Florida's two largest investor-owned utilities, which frequently import energy from the rest of the Southeast in the summer, to sell almost \$8-million worth of power out of state, according to various reports filed with the state Public Service Commission.

During July, temperatures were actually lower in Florida than the rest of the Southeast, where the mercury frequently hit 100 degrees. With some excess generation, Florida Power & Light and Florida Power took advantage of higher prices they could get to the north, selling to players that frequently export power into Florida.

FP&L, the state's largest utility, sold the most economy power to Southern Company, a total of 131,374 MWh at a very attractive average price of \$42,69/MWh, for a total of \$5,6-million. In addiction, it made off-system sales to Oglethorpe Power of 28,602 MWh at an average price of \$34.81/MWh for a total of \$995,720.

To put that inso perspective, in June. iP&L made no offsystem seles to Oglethorpe and its total economy seles amounted to only 31,469 MWh at an average price of \$28.93/MWh for a total of \$910,451, so its power sales income was nearly eight times higher in July.

During the same period, PP&L spent about the same amount to purchase power as it did in June, \$4.9-million for \$46.719 MWh at an average price of \$20.01/MWh. Tampa. Electric was its biggest provider.

In July. Florida Power, the state's second-largest utility.

sold roughly three times as much as it did in June— Oglethorpe and the Southeastern Power Authority. economy and off-system sales in July were 115,347 an average price of \$20.21/MWh for a total of \$2.3 A month earlier, it sold 44,085 MWh at an average \$17.66/MWh for a total of \$778,758.

Oglethorpe bought 34.805 MWh at an average: \$25.49 MWh for a total of \$887.024 from Florida F July. SEPA purchased 32.376 MWh but at an avera of only \$14.28/MWh for a total of \$462.302.

During July, Florida Power bought about twice as it did in June, 49.050 MWh at an average price of MWh for a total of \$1.5-million.

TECO, which sold only to utilities within the star more power, 97,783 MWh more than FP&LL, but at a erage price, \$20,24/MWh, for a total of \$4-million. T ous mouth it sold 133,287 MWh at an average of \$19 for a total of \$2.6-million. In July, TECO bought 1.3 an average of \$39,96/MWh for a total of \$52,383.

WESTERN PLAYERS SEE MORE COMP! ...begins on page 1

the previous week to \$17.25/MWh and at the Caligon border, the index fell 50 cents to \$18/MWh. It Southwest, which saw cooler temperatures and lovity, the PMW index fell three dollars to \$19/MWh in Southern California was the only index point in that did not move last week, reaying at \$21/MWh.

Most sources said the market should stay less MWh through the end of the month, but one source believed prices would be dropping soon because c of block offers" for October he has received price \$17/MWh.

"If (the players) thought it would do bener, we get block offers," he said. "Prices will probably d.

He alluded to "market influences" including f tion measures that were neither weather driven or driven that would affect Northwest utilities include the near term. But he would not eleborate on how fluences would impact the market.

BPA said it has remained in the market this ta year mostly because of the good water year that b hydro generation. A BPA source also said the mil west summer added to its surplus.

But a California buyer said BPA was keeping down below \$20/MWh in an effort to stay compe uncypical for Bonneville to be in this time or , set to be this low." the source said. "I can't remembe time they were in the market in September."

He said power marketers were forcing EPA and investor-owned utilities to be more competitive wit. BPA is now trying to best the marketers, who previous BPA power and sold it for a higher price, he

"BPA doesn't like the middle man coming in "They are getting more aggressive and trying to marketers."

He also pointed out that BPA was losing som tomers to other suppliers and probably would ha

COMPANISON OF ESTIGATED AND ACTUAL FUEL AND PURCHASSED POWER COST RECOVERY FACTOR MORTH OF: JANUARY 1996

			DOLLARS	Gi.			HWM			1	HONORA	3
				DIFFERENCE	m			DIFFERENCE				- 1
		ACTUAL	ESTIMATED	TNUOWY	a ²	1CTUML	ESTAMATED	TNUOMA	a ^p	ACTUAL	ESTIMATED	Ö
	F (with Committee of Edyptian Net Companyation (A3)	800	73,014,980	29.610,802	40 m	6,283,530	4.507.144	778,366	17.2	1902		1,8200
13	Nurlewill Healt Steposed Coets	1 555,000	1,944,415	(88.549)	(4.5)	1,991,947	2,087,975	(800,86)	(4.6)	0.0902		0.0931
	Conf. C. with Streetstiment.	QUE!	424,482	0	0.0	0	0	0	ś	0,0000		0,0000
K.	DOS CAMMINISTRANDA ENG DAMONTANIAMONTO OUT	00	0	0	×	0	0	0	×.	0.0000		0.0000
Я		atthe	314,580	B	(0.0)	0	0	٥	ž.	0,0000		0,0000
*	Artisphotomorphic on Funct Stands (AZZ-graphs 1)	OUNTRAL	(1,346,720)	(108,676]	125	0	0	0	š	0,0000		0,000
(m	Carling and Company of the Company o	NOT THE PARTY.	MLZBMC72	29,353,573	30.5	5,282,530	4,507,144	776,386	17.2	1,9628		1,5496
(D)	For Charles & Continues of East of Edition of Edition (A.C.)	90,700,464:	OPCORY;;	(897,886)	(8.1)	857.758	714,898	(87,138)	(8.0)	1,6393		1,6059
4	County Class on School C.S. X School Plants (Stewart (AD)	(MKC,008)	77,481,360	(5,796,502)	ξ	95,937	413,500	(317,663)	NA.	1,7364		1,8000
án:	Example Class of Cities Epon Purch (Non-Broker) (AD)	1,196,602	decis	1,137,932	ž	\$3,460	2,629	50,540	ķ	2,2362		K
0	Energy Class of School E Economy Purch (AD)	0		0	š	0	0	0	3	0,0000	0,0000	8
ó	Classify Cast of School E Economy Purchases	0	0	0	š	0	0	0	š	0.0000	0,0000	8
3	Energy Payments to Qualifying Facilities (AS)	9,308,714	9,866,792	(558,078)	(5.7)	468,039	510,845	(42,806)	(8.4)	1,9869	19315	0.1
13	TOTAL COST OF PURCHASED POWER	22,952,708	20,866,242	(5.913,534)	(20.5)	1,275,203	1,842,170	(366.967)	1223	1,7999		1,7578
ü	TOTAL AVAILABLE (LINE 8 + LINE 12)	126,656,015	103.215.976	23,440,039	227	6,558,733	6,149.314	409,419	6.7	1.5311	1.6785	걸
£	Fusi Cost of Economy and Other Power Sales (All?)	(2,993,172)	(789,544)	(2.203,626)	279.1	(141,864)	(36,201)	(106,863)	291.9	2 1099	2 1810	100
ä		(685,560)	(97,600)	(547,960)	602.4	(116,672)	(36,201)	(80,471)	2223	0.5876	0.2696	100
35		(222,373)	(221,414)	(959)	0.4	(46,065)	(44,730)	(1,335)	3.0	0.4627	0.4950	18
17										Г	Ī	
66	TOTAL FUEL COST AND GAINS OF POWER SMILES	(3,901,095)	(1,106,568)	(2,792,537)	251.9	(187,929)	(80,931)	(108,998)	122	2,0758		1,3008
药		0	0	0	NA.	0	0	0	×.			
8	TRANSACTIONS (LINE 5 + 12 + 18 + 19)	122,754,920	102,107,418	20,647,502	20.2	6,370,804	6,068,363	302.421	50	1528		1 6826
2	Net Unblied Sales	(9,567,150)*	(146,962)*	(9,420,167)	Z,	(496,531)	(0,736)	(487,795)	K	(0,5478)		(0.0026)
B	Company Use	258.815 *	238,266 *	20,369	Z.	13,422	14,160	(736)	ž	0.0040		0.0042
B		6,044,373 *	4,909,356 *	1,135,017	ž	313,700	291,772	21,928	N.	0.0934		0.0860
24	SYSTEM KWH SALES (EXCL FREC & CHW A2.01)	122,754,920	102,107,418	20,647,502	20.2	6,473,092,329	5,708,944,000	754,140,329	13.4	1.8964		1.7886
N		703,014	471,073	221,941	49.2	37,079,829	26,338,000	10,741,829	40.8	1,8964		1.7866
33	Jurisdictional KWH Saine	122.051.906	101,636,345	20,415,561	20.1	6,436,012,500	5,882,608,000	753,406,500	13.3	1,0064		1.7886
ğ										1,0007		1.0007
27		122,137,322	101,707,491	20,429,831	20.1	6,436,012,500	5,882,606,000	753,406,500	13.3	1.8977		1.7898
27	Line Losses											1
3	-	6,399,868	6,399,668	0	0.0	6,436,012,500	5,682,606,000	753,406,500	13.3	0.0004	0.1126	15
8	TOTAL JURISDICTIONAL FUEL COST	128,537,190	108,107,359	20,429,831	18.9	6,436,012,500	5,582,506,000	753,406,500	13.3	1,9971		1,9024
8	Revenue Tax Factor									1.01609	1.01609	8
4	Fuel Factor Adjusted for Taxas									2.0292		15
K		515,027	515,027	0	0.0	8,436,012,500	5,682,606,000	753,406,500	12.3	0.0080	0.0091	18
H	Fuel Factor Including GPIF									2.0372	1,9421	2
¥										2.037	1,942	K
						-			-			-1

For Informational Purposes Only

^{**} Calculation Based on Jurisdictional KWH Sales

COMPARISON OF ESTIMATED AND ACTUAL FUEL AND PURCHASED POWER COST RECOVERY MONTH OF: OCTOBER 1986 THRU JANUARY

GOST LYANDANE COST	2004 A23 E445 1427	BI HE COVERY FACT	
		CHK .	

SCHEDULE A1 -

			DOLLARS	G		-	HAMIT				HWOM		
		ACTUAL	ESTIMATED	DIFFERENCE	*	ACTUAL	ESTIMATED	DIFFERENCE	-	ACTUAL	ESTIMATED A	W LINDOWY	, X
100	Fuel Cost of System Net Generation (A3)	374,253,909	344,643,108	29,610,600	00 (III	21,484,166	20,707,784	776,362	3.7	17400		0.0777	4.7
14	Nuclear Fuel Disposal Costs (A13)	5,872,295	5,960,843	(38,548)	(1.5)	6,303,008	8,399,036	(96,028)	(1.5)	0.0902	0.0912	0.0000	0.0
E ₀ F	Coal Car Investment	1,709,209	1,709,209	0	0.0	0	0	0	×	0,0000	0.0000	0.0000	ž.
ĸ.	DOE Decontamination and Decommissio and Cost	5,082,817	5.082,817	0	0.0	0	0	0	×	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	š
¥	Gas Pipelina Enhancements	1,267,729	1,267,731	(2)	0.0	0	0	0	NA.	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	š
	Adjustments to Fuel Cost (AZ, page 1)	(6,547,772)	(6,379,094)	(168,678)	2.6	0	0	0	¥	0,0000	0.0000	0,0000	ξ
UN.	TOTAL COST OF GENERATED POWER	381,636,187	352.284,612	29,353,575	8.3	21,484,166	20,707,784	776,362	3.7	1.7764	1,7012	0.0752	:
٠	Fuel Cost of Purchased Power (Exclusive of Economy) (A7)	41,649,558	42,347,444	(897,895)	(1.6)	2,550,171	2,607,309	(57,136)	(2.2)	1.6332	1,6242	0.0090	0 8
7	Energy Cost of Sched C & X Econ Purch (Broker) (AB)	8,672,677	14,466,379	(5,795,502)	×	507,417	925,080	(20,00)	NA	5.7092	1,7536	(0.0444)	2.5
œ	Energy Cost of Other Econ Purch (Non-Broker) (AB)	5,767,346	4,629,414	1,137,532	×	274,414	223,774	30,640	NA.	2.1017	2.0688	0.0329	in
60	Energy Cost of Sched E Economy Purch (A9)	0	0	0	×	0	0	0	NA.	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	š
ö	Capacity Cost of Sched E Economy Purchases (A2)	0	0	0	×	0	0	0	×.	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	ζ
2	Energy Payments to Qualifying Facilities (All)	37.828.553	36,366,631	(558,078)	(1.5)	1 994,120	2,036,926	(42,808)	21	1,8970	1.8545	0.0125	0.7
ĸ	TOTAL COST OF PURCHASED POWER	63,918,334	99,831,868	(MS2,C19,8)	(5.9)	5,336,122	5,593,089	(366,967)	(6.4)	1.7634	1.7536	0.0098	0.6
S	TOTAL AVAILABLE (LINE 5 + LINE 12)	475,556,521	452,116,481	23,440,040	5.2	26,610,266	26,400,874	409,414	1.6	1.7738	1.7125	0.0613	3.6
ä	Fuel Cost of Economy and Other Power Sales (All)	(6,714,276)	(4,510,648)	(2,203,628)	48.9	(309,443)	(203,780)	(105,863)	51.9	2.1696	22135	(0.0437)	12.01
ä	Gain on Economy Sales (Adia)	(1,193,699)	(805,749)	(587,960)	97.1	(219,824)	(130,363)	(80,471)	57.7	0.5430	0.4347	0.1083	24.9
ä	Fuel Cost of Unit Power Sales (SL2 Partits) (A6)	(999,801)	(256,842)	(989)	0.1	(154,380)	(153,245)	(1,335)	0.9	0.6468	0.6618	(0.0000)	(0.8)
17													
i 0	TOTAL FUEL COST AND GAINS OF POWER SALES	(8,907,776)	(6,115,239)	(2,792,537)	45.7	(464,023)	(357,025)	(106,996)	30.0	1.9197	1.7120	0.2069	12.1
ø	Net tradverters interchange	0	9	0	NA.	0	9	0	š				
8	ADJUSTED TOTAL FUEL & NET POWER TRANSACTIONS (LINE 5 + 12 + 18 + 19)	466,646,743	445,001,241	20,647,502	4.6	26,346,266	SHI'CHO'BZ	302,417	12	13712	17128	0.0567	3.4
2	Net Urblied Sales	(8,794,557)	(6,647,645)*	[2,146,912]	223	(496,531)	(368, 160)	(108,351)	27.9	(0.0340)	(0.0265)	(0.0075)	NA.
N	Company Use	1,063,181 *	1,040,564 *	22.507	22	60,026	60,764	(736)	(12	0.0041	0.0041	0,0000	0.0
23	T & D Losses	10.237,133 *	16,020,369 *	(5,783,256)	(36.1	577.977	935,497	(357,520)	(38.2)	0.0395	0.0630	2000	(28.1)
ž	SYSTEM KWH SALES(EXCL FKEC & CKW A2.p1)	466,648,743	446,001,241	20,647,502	4.6	25,842,853,127	25,128,704,798	764,140,329	3.0	1.8022	1,7740	0.0274	1.5
N	Wholesale KWH Sales(EXCL FXEC & CKW A2.p1)	2,627,046	2,396,498	230,548	9.6	145,766,102	135,024,273	10,741,829	8.0	1.8072	1,7740	0.0274	1.5
8	Jurisdictional KWH Sales	464,021,697	443,604,743	20,416,954	4.5	25,747,087,025	24,993,680,525	753,406,500	3.0	1.8022	1.7749	0.0274	1.5
264	Jurisdictional Loss Multiplier									1,0007	1,0007	0.0000	
27	Jurisdictional KWH Sales Adjusted for Line Losses	464,347,064	443,915,646	20,431,408	4.6	25,747,087,025	24,993,680,525	753,406,500	3.0	1,8035	1,7761	0.0274	in
12	TRUE-UP	25,599,472	25,599,472	0	0.0	25,747,087,025	24,993,680,525	753,406,500	3.0	0.0994	0.1024	(0.0000)	29
8	TOTAL JURISDICTIONAL FUEL COST	469,946,526	469,515,116	20,431,408	4.4	25,747,087,025	24,993,580,525	753,406,500	3.0	1,9029	1.8785	0.0244	13
8	Revenue Tax Factor									1,01609	1,01609	0,0000	
Lil.	Fuel Factor Adjusted for Taxes									1 9335	1.9087	0.0248	13
K	GPJE **	2,060,108	2,060,108	0	0.0	25,747,087,025	24,993,680,525	753,406,500	3.0	0,0000	0.0082	(0.0002)	(2.4)
8	Fuel Factor Adjusted for Taxes									19415	19169	0.0246	13
¥	FUEL FAC ROUNDED TO NEAREST .001 CENTS/KWH									1942	1917	0.025	13

^{*} For Informational Purposes Only
** Calculation Blased on Jurisdictional XWH Sales

					CALCULATION (OF TRUE	UP AND I	NTEREST	PRO	VISION		SCHEDULE A2	
					Company: Florid	ta Power &	Light Co	mpany				Page 1 of 2	
					Month of:		January 1	996					
					CURRENT	MONTH					PERIOD TO I	DATE	
LIN	E				UPDATED		DIFFEREN	NCE				DIFFERENC	E
NO).			ACTUAL.	ESTIMATES (a)	AMO	UNT	%		ACTUAL	ESTIMATES (a)	AMOUNT	%
A		Fuel Costs & Net Power Transactions											
	1 a	Fuel Cost of System Net Generation	5	102,625,782	\$ 73,014,980	\$ 29,6	10,802	40.6	% S	374,253,909	\$ 344,643 107	\$ 29,610,802	8.6
	ь	Nuclear Fuel Disposal Costs		1,855,866	1,944,415		(88,549)	(4.6)	%	5,872,293	5,960,842	(88,549)	(1.5)
	c	Coal Cars Depreciation & Return		424,482	424,482		0	0.0	%	1,709,209	1,709,209	0	0.0
	d	Gas Pipelines Depreciation & Return		314,578	314,580		(2)	0.0	%	1,267,729	1,267,731	(2)	0.0
	¢	DOE D&D Fund Payment		0	0		0	N	/A	5,082,817	5,082,817	0	0.0
	2	Fuel Cost of Power Sold		(3,901,095)	(1,108,557)	(2,7	92,538)	251.9	%	(8,907,776)	(6,115,238)	(2,792,538)	45.7
	3 a	Fuel Cost of Purchased Power		10,782,454	11,480,340	(6	97,886)	(6.1)	%	41,649,558	42,347,444	(697,886)	(1.6)
	b	Energy Payments to Qualifying Facilities		9,308,714	9,866,792	(58,078)	(5.7)	%	37,828,552	38,386,630	(558,078)	(1.5)
	4	Energy Cost of Economy Purchases		2,861,540	7,519,110	(4,6	(57,570)	(61.9)	%	14,440,223	19,097,793	(4,657,570)	(24.4)
	5	Total Fuel Costs & Net Power Transactions	5	124,272,321	\$ 103,456,142	\$ 20,1	16,179	20.1	% \$	473,196,514	\$ 452,380,335	\$ 20,816,179	4.6
	6	Adjustments to Fuel Cost:										CONTRACT	
	3	Sales to Fla Keys Elect Coop (FKEC) & City of Key West (CKW)	\$	(1,529,557)	\$ (1,348,723)	\$ (80,834)	13.4	% 5	(6,584,936)	\$ (6,404,101)	\$ (180,835)	2.8
	b	Inventory Adjustments	-	12,156	0		12,156		/A	36,284	24,129	12,155	50.4
	c	Non Recoverable Oil/Tank Bottoms		0	0		0	N	/A	878	878	0	0.0
	d	Modifications to Generating Units		0	0		0	N	/A	0	0	0	N/
	7	Adjusted Total Fuel Costs & Net Power Transactions	\$	122,754,920	5 102,107,419	\$ 20,0	547,501	20.2	% 5	466,648,740	\$ 446,001,241	\$ 20,647,499	4.6
В	+	kWh Sales	-				-						
	1	Jurisdictional kWh Sales (RTP @ CBL) (b)	6.	,436,012,500	5,682,606,000	753,4	106,500	13.3	%	25,747,087,025	24,993,680,525	753,406,500	3.0
	2	Sale for Resale (excluding FKEC & CKW)		37,079,829	26,338,000	10,	741,829	40.8	%	145,766,102	135,024,273	10,741,829	8.0
	3	Sub-Total Sales (excluding FKEC & CKW)	6	,473,092,329	5,708,944,000	764,	148,329	13.4	%	25,892,853,127	25,128,704,798	764.148.329	3.0
	4	Sales to Fla Keys Elect Coop (FKEC) & City of Key West (CKW)		67,120,907	62,243,000	4,1	377,907	7.8	秀	311,939,733	276,657,000	35,282,733	12.8
	5	Total Sales (Excluding RTP Incremental)	6.	,540,213,236	5,771,187,000	769,0	226,236	13.3	%	26,204,792,860	25,405,361,798	799,431,062	3.1
	6	Jurisdictional % of Total kWh Sales (lines B1/B3)	- Designation of the last of t	99.42717 %	99.53865 %	(0.11	148) %	(0.1)	%	99.43704 %	99.46267 %	(0.02563) %	0.0
-	+	SEE FOOTNOTES ON PAGE 2	-			V			-				

.

		10	9	96	7	6	0	4	141	2	1	D	=	10		9	96	7	0	S					4	3			: 2	_	ñ	NO IN	170	Ŧ			
(b) GPIF REWARD OF \$3,090,162 / 6 Mos. x 98,4167% Revenue Tax Factor	(a) Per Estimated /Actual Schedule E-1b, filed January 22, 1996	Interest Provision (Line D4 x Line D9)	Monthly Average Interest Rate (Line D8 / 12)	Average Interest Rate (50% of Line D7)		Interest Rate - First Day Subsequent Business Month	Interest Rate - First Day Reporting Business Month	L		Ending True-up Amount Before Interest (C7+C9+C9a+C10)	Beginning True-up Amount (Lines C9 + C9a)	Interest Provision	End of Period Net True-up Amount Over/(Under) Recovery (Lines C7 through C10)	Prior Period True-up Collected/(Refunded) This Period	a Deferred True-up Beginning of Period - Over/(Under) Recovery	 True-up & Interest Provision Beg. of Period - Over/(Under) Recovery (\$33,729 added to beg bal for OBO) 		7 True-up Provision for the Month - Over/(Under) Recovery (Line C3 - Line C6)	Jurisdictional Total Fuel Costs & Net Power Transactions x C5 x 1.0007(c)) + (Lines C4b, c, d)	Jurisdictional Sales % of Total kWh Sales (Line B-6)	e Adj Total Fuel Costs & Net Power Transactions - Excluding 100% Retail Items (C4a-C4b-C4c-C4d)	d D&D Fund Payments - 100 % Retail	c RTP Incremental Fuel -100% Retail	b Nuclear Fuel Expense - 100% Retail	4 a Adjusted Total Fuel Costs & Net Power Transactions (Line A-7)	Jurisdictional Fuel Revenues Applicable to Period	b Generation Performance Incentive Factor (GPIF), Net of Revenue Taxes (b)	a Prior Period True-up Provision	Puel Adjustment Revenues Not Applicable to Period:		True-up Calculation						
11	ě.	\$ (401,493)	0.46708 %	5.60500 %	11 21000 %	5,40000 %	5.81000 %	\$ (85,958,171)	-	\$ (91,303,021)	\$ (80,713,321)		5 (91,604,514) \$	6,399,868 \$	(33,181,566) \$	(47,531,755) \$	(401,493) \$	\$ (16,889,568) \$	\$ 122,137,414	99,42717 %	122,708,199	0	18,272	28,449	\$ 122,754,920	105,247,846	(506, 873)	(6,399,868)		\$ 112,154,587		ACTUAL					
\$506,873.		N/A	AIN	N/A		N/A					N/A		\$ (84,392,735) \$	\$ 6,399,868	\$ (33,181,366)	\$ (47,531,755)	\$ (398,732)	\$ (9,680,550)	\$ 101,707,492	99.53855 %	102,107,419	0	0	0	\$ 102,107,419	\$ 92,026,942	(506,873)	(6,399,868)		\$ 78,933,683		ESTIMATES (a)	UTANDE LETANOS	Cirppent	Month of:	Company Florx	
		N/N	N/N	A/N	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/N	N/N	N/A	N/A		5 (7.211.779)	0	0	0	(2.761)	\$ (7,209,018)	5 20,429,922	(11.14800) %	20,600,780	0	18,272	28,449	\$ 20,647,501	5 13,220,904	0	0		\$ 13,220,904		AMOUNT		MONTH	January 1996	Florada Power & Light Company	
		N/A	N/A	N/N	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A		8.5 %	0.0 %	0.0 %	0.0 %	0.7 %	74.5 % \$	20.1 % \$	(11.2) %	20.2 %	N/N	N/N	N/A	20.2 % \$	14.4 % \$	0.0 %	0.0 %		13.4 % \$		A INCE	and a		1996	ompany	
		N/N	N/A	N/A	A/N	N/A	A/N	N/A	N/N	N/A	N/A		(91,604,514) \$	25,599,473	(33,181,566)	(38,365,480)	(1,506,569)	(44,150,372) \$	464,378,141	N/A	461,411,425	5,082,817	44,676	109,822	466,648,740 \$	420,227,769 \$	(2,027,490)	(25,599,473)		447,854,732		ACTUAL					
		N/A	N/N	N/N	A/N	N/A	N/A	N/N	N/N	N/A	N/A		5 (84,392,735) \$	25,599,473	(33, 181, 566)	(38,365,480)	(1,503,808)	\$ (36,941,354) \$	S 342,240,727 S	N/A	440,810,647	5,082,817	26,404	81,373	\$ 446,001,241 \$	\$ 407,006,865 \$	(2,027,490)	(25,599,473)		\$ 434,633,828 \$		ESTIMATES (a)	and or dobtas	Of doland			
		N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	A/N	N/A	N/A	N/N		\$ (7.211.779)	0	0	0	(2,761)	\$ (7,209,018)	5 122,137,414	N/N	20,600,778	0	18,272	28,449	\$ 20,647,499	\$ 13,220,904	Q	0		\$ 13,220,904		WHOUNT	SING	7		Page 2 of 2	
		N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/N	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A		8 5 3F	0.0 %	0.0 %	0.0 %	0.2 %	19.5 %	35.7 %	N/N	4.7 %	0.0 %	69.2 %	35.0 %	4.6 %	3.2 %	0.0 %	0.0 %	1 1	3.0 %		Me p					

GENERATING SYSTEM COMPARATIVE DATA BY FUEL TYPE MONTH OF: JANUARY 1996

		CUBIRENT M	DUFFERI	nine:		PERIOD TO DATE	DIFFEREN	CH
	ACTUAL	ESTIMATED		56	ACTUAL	ESTIMATED	AMOUNT	96
FLEL COST OF SYSTEM NET GENERATION (5)	00.0006	DS HANGIES	08/05/1	-2	ALCON.	POTINGITIES	Nets/VIII	
HEAVY OIL	30,808,659	5,190,570	25,618,089	493.6	110,838,117	85,220,028	25,618,099	
LIGHT OIL	542,416	1,820	540,596	NA	689,050	148,465	540,595	
COAL	9,495,620	9,406,330	89,290	0.9	34,509,775	38,420,486	89,289	
** GAS	53,185,589	49,773,560	3,412,029	6.9	195,002,320	191,590,292	3,412,028	
NUCLEAR	8,593,499	8,942,700	(349,201)	(3.9)	29,214,636	29,563,837	(349,201)	
DRIMULSION	0	- 6	0	0.0	0	0	0	
(OTAL (\$)	102,625,782	73,314,990	29,310,802	40.0	374,253,909	344,943,109	29,310,801	
SYSTEM NET GENERATION (MWB)								
(EAVY OIL	1,148,044	225,562	922,482	409.0	4,519,902	3,597,419	922,483	
JOHT OIL	5,833	30	5,803	NA	8,256	2,452	5,804	
OAL	577,439	578,808	(1,369)	(0.2)	2,334,247	2,335,616	(1,369)	
ias	1,560,267	1,614,768	(54,501)	(3.4)	8,318,755	8,373,256	(54,501)	
TXLEAR	1,991,947	2,087,975	(96,028)	(4.6)	6,303,008	6,399,037	(96,029)	
RIMULSION	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	0	
				100	21.101.112	40 707 700	224 197	
DTAL (MWH)	5,283,530	4,507,143	776,387	17.2	21,484,167	20,707,780	776,397	
NITS OF FUEL BURNED		247.400	1 400 020	411.0	7 101 000	4 603 111	1.600.070	
HEAVY OIL (Bbl)	1,848,587	347,608	1,500,979	431.8 NA	7,194,090	5,693,111	1,500,979	-
LIGHT OIL (Bbl)	19,386	63	19,323	4.1	258,768	256,141	2,627	_
** COAL (TON)	66,666	64,039	2,627	0.6	and the second s	71,184,240	78,886	-
* GAS (MCF)	13,072,514	12,993,628	78,586	Accessed to the State of the Control	71,263,126	69,779,738	(571,675)	-
UCLEAR (MMBTU)	21,738,113	22,309,788	(571,775)	(2.6)	69,208,063	AND THE RESIDENCE OF THE PARTY	(371,613)	_
RIMILISION (TON)		. 0	. 0	0.0	0	0	- 0	
LUI-SENSE AND		-			-		-	-
TU BURNED (MMBTU)	11 940 450	2,180,351	9,580,078	439.4	45,753,901	36,173,823	9,580,078	
EAVY OIL	11,760,429	Committee of the latest the lates		NA NA	145,187	32,976	112,211	
IGHT OIL	112,592	381	112,211	and the latest terminal	and the second section of the section	23,253,782	94,819	
OAL.	5,684,199	5,589,380	94,819	1.7	23,348,601	71,184,240	78,886	
A5	13,072,514	12,993,678	78,886	0.6	71,263,126	The second secon	(571,675)	
UCLEAR	21,738,113	22,309,788	(571,675)	(2.6)	69,208,063	69,779,738	0	-
RIMULSION	0	0	0	0.0	- 0		-	-
OTAL (MMBTU)	52,367,847	43,073,528	9,294,319	21.6	209,718,878	200,424,559	9,294,319	_
ENERATION MIX (%MWH)		- SALLANDE			-			
IEAVY OIL	21.73	5.00	16.73	334.6	21.04	17.37	3.67	
JGHT OIL	0.11	0.00	0.11	NA	0.04	0.01	0.03	
OAL	10.93	12.84	(1.91)	(14.9)	10.86	11.28	(0.42)	
iAS	29.53	35.83	(6.30)	(17.6)	38.72	40.44	(1.72]	
RICLEAR	37.70	46.33	(8.63)	(18.6)	19.34	30.90	(1.56)	
RIMULSION	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.0	0.00	0.00	0.00	
2012010000								
OTAL (%)	100.00	100,00	0.00	0.0	199.99	100.00	0.00	_
UEL COST PER UNIT								
HEAVY OIL (\$78bl)	16.6661	14.9323	1.7538	11.6	15.4068	14.9690	0.4378	
LIGHT OIL (\$48b1)	27.9798	28.8889	(0.9091)	(3.1)	27,6088	26.3469	1.2619	
** COAL (FTON)	41.0491	40.3595	0.6896	1.7	41.2699	41.0997	0.1702	
* GAS (\$/MCF)	4.0685	3.8306	0.2379	6.2	2.7364	2.6915	0.0449	
UCLEAR (\$/MMBTU)	0.3953	0.4009	(0.0055)	(1.4)	0.4221	0.4237	(0.0016)	
RIMULSION (\$7TON)	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	
UEL COST PER MMBTU (\$4MMBTU)				-				-
HEAVY OIL	2.6197	2.3806	0.2391	10.0	2.4225	2.3558	0.0667	
LIGHT OIL	4.8175	4.7769	0.0406	0.6	4.7460	4.5022	0.2438	
OAL	1.6705	1.6829	(0.0124)	(0.7)	1.6493	1.6522	(0.0029)	
* GAS	4:0685	3.8306	0.2379	6.2	2.7364	2.6915	0.0449	
RICLEAR	0.3953	0.400%	(0.0055)	(1.4)	0.4221	0.4237	(0.0016)	
BUMULSION	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	
100001100	71757							
OTAL (\$'MMBTU)	1.9597	1.7921	0.2576	15.1	1.7846	1.7211	0.0635	
TU BURNED PER KWH (BTU/KWH)		- Uludia						
EAVY OIL	10,244	9,666	578	6.0	10,123	10,015	6.6	
IGHT OIL	19,302	12,700	6,602	52.0	17,586	13,449	4,137	
DAL.	9,844	9,657	187	1.9	10,003	9,956	47	
A5	8,378	8,047	331	4.1	1,567	8,501	66	
UCLEAR	10,913	10,685	228	2.1	10,980	10,905	75	
RIMULSION		- 0	. 0	0.0	. 0	0	0	
NOTAL PROPERTY.			145			0.680		
OTAL (BTUKWH) ENERATED FUEL COST PER KWH (F/KWH)	9,912	9,557	353	3.7	9,762	9,679	83	-
HEAVY OIL	37577	7.7077	0.3824	16.6	2 4422	2.242		_
LIGHT OIL	2.6836 9.2988	2.3012 6.0667	3.2321	53.3	2.4522 8.3466	2.3689 6.0549	0.0833	-
DAL COAL	1.6444	1.6251	0.0193	1.2	1.6498	1.6450	0.0048	
* GAS			0.0193	10.6	2.3441	2 2881	0.0160	
TICLEAR	3.4087 0.4314	3.0424	0.0031	0.7	0.4635	0.4620	0.0015	
TO A DOM	0.4314	0.4293			0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	_
DRIMULSION	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0				

*Distillars & Propuse (Bbls & S) used for firing, hot standby, ignition, preventancy, its: in Fossil Steam Plants is included in Heavy Oil and Light Oil. Values may not agree with Schedule A5.

** Includes gas used for Fossil Steam Plants start-up. Estimated values may not agree with Schedule A5.

*** Scherer coal is reported in MMBTL's only. Scherer coal is not included in TONS.

Florida Power & Light Company SYSTEM NET GENERATION AND FUEL COST

SCHEDULE A4

ACTUAL FOR THE PERIOD/MONTH OF

JANUARY 1996

Page 1 of 3

(a)		(b)	(c)	(d)	(e)	(1)	(g)	(h)	(1)		(1)	(k)	(1)	(10)	(n)
PLANT/LNIT		NET CAPABILITY (MW)	NET GENERATION (MWH)	CAPACITY FACTOR (N)	EQUIVALENT AVAILABILITY FACTOR (%) (1)	NET OUTPUT FACTOR (%) (1)	AVERAGE NET HEAT RATE (BTU/KWH)	PUEL TYPE	PUEL BURNED (UNITS)		PURE HEAT VALUE (MONETUUNIT)	PUEL BURNED (MORTU)	AS BURNED FUEL COST (S)	PUBL COST PER KWH (ACCWY)	COST C PURE CAUNTI
CAPE CANAVERAL		367	39,246	15.1	100.0	51.2	10,185	#6 OIL	61,407	BBLS	6.355	390,241			
			8,788					GAS	98,978	MCF	1 000	98,978			
	# 7	367	102,153	56.4	99.2	61.5	9,753	#6 OIL	152,999	BBLS	6.355	972,309			
	# 2	2	73,539					GAS	741,273	MCF	1 000	741,273			
FT. MYERS		137	13,654	12.8	98.8	48.2	11,415	#6 OIL	24,564	BBLS	6.345	155,859			
	# 2	367	67,078	21.5	89.7	44.3	10,255	#6 Oll.	108,418	BBLS	6.345	687,912			
LAUDERDALE	# 4	430	0	83.0	100.0	91.3	7,835	#2 OIL	0	BBLS	0.000	0			
			269,371		1			GAS	2,110,594	MCF	1.000	2,110,594			
	# :	391	- 0	84.5	99.5	92.9	7,814	#2 OIL	0	BBLS	0.000	0			
	# :	\$	273,788				31	GAS	2,139,285	MCF	1.000	2,139,285			
MANATEE		783	81,726	13.8	100.0	38.9	10,604	#6 OIL	135,892	BBLS	6.377	866,583			
	# 2	783	136,268	21.5	98.1	41.3	10,640	#6 OIL	227,361	BBLS	6.377	1,449,881			
MARTIN	u	783	85,385	24.6	85.7	47.0	10,616	JIO 84	138,695	BBLS	6.332	878,217			
		1	46,183	100	2000			GAS	518,446	MCF	1.000	518,446			
	# 2	783	152,580	39.3	98.9	48.1	10,248	#6 OIL	242,512	BBLS	6.332	1,535,586			
		2	68,138					GAS	726,233	MCF	1.000	726,233			
		430	0	96.3	100.0	96.3	7,321	#2 OIL	0	BBLS	0.000	0			
	# 3	3	312,365				The second	GAS	2,286,783	MCF	1 000	2,286,783			
		430	0	86.1	88.3	96.7	7,178	#2 OIL	0	BBLS	0.000	0			
		4	279,476					GAS	2,005,993	MCF	1.000	2,005,993			
PT EVERGLADES		204	12,858	9.0	99.4	49.9	11,732	#6 OIL	21,942	BBLS	6.400	140,429			
		i	1,099					GAS	23,319	MCF	1.000	23,319			
		2 204	15,213	9.6	100.0	52.0	11,566	#6 OIL	26,312	BBLS	6.400	168,397			
		2	(169)					GAS	5,598	MCF	1.000	5,598			
		3 367	54,749	21.2	100.0	50.9	10,711	#6 OIL	87,095	BBLS	6.400	557,408			
		3	11,077					GAS	147,635	MCF	1 000	147,635			
	и	7.7.2.2.2	63,613	26.7	83.9	44.4	10,476	#6 OIL	102,291	BBLS		654,662			
		-	8,495					GAS	100,729	MCF		100.729			

Page 2 of 3

(a)		(b)	(c)	(d)	(e)	(f)	(g)	(h)	(1)		(i)	(k)	(1)	(m)	(n)
PLANTAINT		NET CAPABILITY (MW)	NET GENERATION (MWH)	CAPACITY FACTOR (%) (1)	EQUIVALENT AVAILABILITY FACTOR (%)	NET OUTPUT FACTOR (%)	AVERAGE NET HEAT RATE (ETURWH)	FUEL TYPE	FUEL BUILNED (UNITS)		PUBL HEAT VALUE (MMBTUUNIT)	PUEL BURNED (MMBTU)	AS BURNED FUEL COST (5)	PUBL COST PER KWH (#ICWH)	COST C PUEL (MUNE)
RIVIERA	#3	272	68,978	36.7	99.8	54.9	10,256	#6 OIL	108,420	BBLS	6.391	692,912			
	# 3		8,160					GAS	98,220	MCF	1 000	98,220			
	8.4	275	49,919	28.0	100.0	510	10,717	#6 OIL	82,006	BBLS	6.391	524,100			
	# 4		4,532					GAS	59,437	MCF	1.000	59,437			
SANFORD	#3	137	8,016	7.4	100.0	63.5	12,035	#6 OIL	14,426	BBLS	6.324	91,230			
	# 3		(100)					GAS	4,037	MCF	1.000	4,037			
	# 4	362	27,560	9.7	98.9	46.0	11,476	#6 OIL	48,411	BBLS	6.324	306,151			
	# 4		1,857					GAS	31,433	MCF	1.000	31,433			
	# 5	5	12,926					GAS	140,477	MCF	1.000	140,477			
	# 5	362	35,340	14.9	83.1	48.8	10,787	#6 OIL	60,112	BBLS	6.324	380,148			
		**		**											
TURKEY POINT	- #	387	71,616	33.6	100.0	53.8	10,027	#6 OIL	109,118	BBLS	6.360	693,990			
			34,810					GAS	373,125	MCF	1 000	373,125			
		367	62.094	28.5		52.8	10,235	#6 OIL	96,606	BBLS	6.360	614,414			
	# 7	-	28,734					GAS	315,248	MCF	1 000	315,248			
CUTLER			0	0.9	100.0	61.0	0	#6 OIL	0	BBLS	0.000	0			
K-Marinina and American		5	589					GAS	0	MCF	1 000	0			
	# 6	137	0	3.2	92.3	29.0	3,163	#6 OIL	0	BBLS		0			
	# (5	3,498					GAS	11,065	MCF	1 000	11,065			
FT MYERS	1-12			0.9	97.7	52.6	25,210	#2 OIL	9,558	BBLS		55,991			
LAUDERDALE	1-13		896	0.4		102.0		#2 OIL	2,558	BBLS		14,606			
	1-13		90					GAS	1,867	MCF		1,867			
	13-2-		936	0.5	88.0	683	17,175	#2 OIL	2.665	BBLS		15,217			
	13-2-	1	359					GAS	7,024	MCF		7,024			
EVERGLADES	1-13			0.7	80.0	73.6	16,532	#2 OIL	3,926	BBLS	-	22,857			
	1-1.		390					GAS	6,918	MCF		6,918			

INCLUDES CRANKING DIESELS

^{**} EXCLUDES CRANKING DIESELS

Florida Power & Light Company SYSTEM NET GENERATION AND FUEL COST ACTUAL FOR THE PERIOD MONTH OF

JANUARY 1996

SCHEDULE A4

Page 3 of 3

(a)		(b)	(c)	(d)	(e)	(f)	(g)	(h)	(i)		0	(k)	(1)	(m)	(n)
HANTUNIT		NET CAPABILITY (MW)	NET GENERATION (MWH)	CAPACITY FACTOR (%) (1)	EQUIVALENT AVAILABILITY FACTOR (%)	NET OUTPUT FACTOR (%)	AVERAGE NET HEAT RATE (BTUSKWH)	FLEL TYPE	PUEL BURNED (UNITE)		PUEL HEAT VALUE (MMSTUUNET)	PUEL BURNED (MMBTU)	AS BURNED PUBL COST (S)	PLEL COST PER KWH (#KWH)	COST OF
PUTNAM	# 1	239	0	32.8	99.5	72.5	9,938	JIO 84	0	BBLS	0.000	0		V USASS	Desc.
	# 1		1					#2 OIL	32	BBLS	5.816	186			
	# 1		60,209					GAS	598,184	MCF	1.000	598,184			
	# 2	239	0	26.0	94.0	64.7	10,003	#6 OIL	0	BBLS	0.000	0			
	# 2	2	67					#2 OIL	146	BBLS	5.816	849			
	# 2		52,061					GAS	520,613	MCF	1 000	520,613			612
ST JOHNS (1)	# 1	(A) 125	(B) 86,772	94.2	100.0	943	9,509	COAL	33,964	TONS	24.294	825,121	1,394,196	1.6067	41
	#1		89					#2 OIL	147	BBLS	5.747	845	3,460	3.8969	23
	# 2	(A) 125	2 - 67-51	93.7	99.8	93.7	(B) 9,481	COAL	32,702	TONS	24.982	816,961	1,342,384	1.5578	4
	# 2		156					#2 OIL	257	BBLS	5.747	1,477	6,053	3.8848	23
SCHERER	# 4	(A) 646	404,498	87.4	100.0	87.4	9,993	COAL	4,042,117	MMBTU	***	4,042,117			
	#4		57		0.00		D)	#2 OIL	97	BBLS	5.817	564			
TURKEY POINT	#3	666	517,360	103.9	99.8	103.9	10,780	NUCLEAR	5,576,971	MMBTU		5,576,971			
	# 4	566	518,611	104.5	100.0	104.5	10,751	NUCLEAR	5,575,748	MMBTU		5,575,748			
ST LUCIE	#1	839	617,170	98.8	99.6	98.8	11,052	NUCLEAR	6,821,130	MMBTU		6,821,130			
	# 2	714	338,806	74.1		92.7		NUCLEAR	3,764,264	MMBTU		3,764,264			
SYSTEM TOTALS		15,475	5,283,530				9,912	2000	1,867,973	BBLS		52,367,847			
									13,072,514	MCF					
									4,042,117		COAL (C)				
*** EXCLUDES PARTICIPANTS									66,666	TONS	COAL (C)				
**** DICLUDES PARTICIPANTS										TONS	OLIMULSION				
(1) CALCULATED ON CALENDAR)	MONTE	PERIOD OTHE	R DATA IS PISCAL						21,738,113	MMBTU	NUCLEAR				

(A) FPL SHARE. (B) CALCULATED ON GENERATION RECEIVED NET OF LINE LOSSES. (C) SCHERER COAL IS REPORTED IN MMBTUS ONLY. SCHERER COAL IS NOT INCLUDED IN TONS.

SYSTEM GENERATED FUEL COST INVESTORY ABALTETS

			INVESTORY ANAL	****				
1.			MONTH OF JAR	1996				
1.		CURRENT MONT	¥	1.		PERIOD TO I	************	
	ACTUAL	ESTIMATED		1	ACTUAL	ESTIMATED	*************	*******
PARCHASES			64641	* REAST O	IL >>>>>			
UNITS (RUL) UNIT COST (R/BBL) AMOUNT (R)	19,1442	17,3024	1,633,963 1,8418 31,662,336	100.0 + 16.6 100.0 +	6,440,764 16.1290 103,883,082	4,649,300 14.8602 69,090,847	1,2608	3.5
BLIENED							***********	
LMITS (BBL) LMIT COST (S/BGL) AMCLMIT (S)	16.6642	14.9522	1.7320	11.6	13,4013	15.0299	.3714	2.5
ENDING INVENTORY								
URITS (SBL) URIT COST (S/SBL) AMOUNT (S) OTHER URARE (S) DAYS SUPPLY	14.5403 51,375,405 2,784		\$70,005- 1.6088 3,521,105-	10.8	3,104,575 16.54£3 31,375,405 143,292	3,474,580 14,9395 54,896,510	1,4008	10.8
PARCHASES]			4444					
LMITE (BBL) LMIT COST (S/BBL) ANGLMIT (\$2	27,5385	0 0000 0	1,194 27,5345 32,934			58,914	164,635	100.0 • 4.2 100.0 •
BLESSES								
LHITE (BBL) LHIT CONT (R/BBL) APICUNT (R)	27,9486 549,441	28,9206	19,596 ,9729- 547,619	100.0 • 3.4- 55./9	27,553 27,2375 750,475	23.3648	3.8727 482,390	100.0 14.4 100.0
ENDING INVENTORY		*************						
UNITS (BBL) UNIT COST (\$/88L) AMEJET (\$) OTHER UNABE (\$)	29,5582	30.0044	-1462	1.5	206,162 29,5562 6,073,784	30,0044	.4462-	1.5-
			****	44 COAL B.	APF >>>>>			
UBITS (TON) UBIT CONT (B/TON)	47,241 41,7148 2,005,776	56,876 40,8001 2,321,900	10,385	2.2	34,2714	743,182 36.5986 27,199,448	1/15,832 2.3272- 1,828,666	14.0 6.4 6.7
UNITS (70H)	66,666 41,0691	44,039	2,627	1.7	31.1547	34,3911	3.2264	9.4-
ENDING INVENTORY			***************************************					
UNIT COST (S/TOR) AROUNT (S) OTHER USAGE (S)	3,147,488	40,3961	.6520	29.4 1.6 31.3	A1.0481	59,273 40,3061 2,304,309	17,405 .4520 753,000	1.6
	************		****	CONT. BCH	CRER >>>>>			
UNITE (HOSTU)	9,713,240 1,4666 16,304,254	4,718,013 1,6954 7,811,800		1.9 1.9 100.0 •	9,713,248 1,4866 14,384,234			1.9
**************	***********						40 991	1 1.7
U. COST (8/WWTV) AMOUNT (9)	6,750,030	1,4590 6,621,751	.0132	,8 ,9-	1,6722 6,759,039	1.4500 4,821,731	.0132 62,712	
UNITS CHRETU) U, COST (S/MMSTU) AMCLET (S) OTHER USAGE (S)	5,471,123 1.6690 9,465,014	6,423,124 1,6567 10,654,266	752,001 ,0103 1,109,252	11.7-	5,471,123 1.6690 9,465,014	1,6587	752,001 ,0103 1,109,252	11.7-
**************					********			*******
UNITS (NCF)	4.0605	12,917,619 3,8532 49,773,380	.2153	1.2 5.6 8.9	21,263,126 2.7564 195,002,520	71,281,054 2,6659 190,034,844	17,928- .0705 4,977,476	2.6
********	************		******	*****	**			
***************	21,738,113 .3953 6,993,499	22,309,788 .4008 8,942,700	571,675 .0095 349,201	1.4-	60,200,063 ,4221 29,214,636	73,733,192 ,4220 31,116,479	4,525,129- .0001 1,901,843-	6.1-
BANNED			4444	44 021MA	SIGN PARTY			******
LINITE (YOU)		0		100.8				100.0
AMOUNT (\$)		.0000	**********	100.0	0			100.0
BURNED			4444	ees PEGPA	ME ******			
LIMIT COST (S/SAL)	1,940 .8567 1,640	1,0000 1,0000 100	1,840 .1633- 1,540	100.0 + 16.3- 100.0 +	7,166 .8066 7,393	3,476	,9180	2.3
	PARCASES UNITS (REC.) UNITS (REC.) UNITS (REC.) UNITS (REC.) SLINEED LAITS (REC.)	PARCARSES UNITS (201) 1,008,447 UNITS (201) 19,1442 ANCART (8) 34,621,356 BURNED UNITS (201) 15,4642 ANCART (8) 34,621,356 BURNED UNITS (201) 16,4642 ANCART (8) 30,799,993 ENDING INVENTORY UNITS (201) 3,104,575 UNITE COST (2,700.) 3,104,575 ANCART (8) 31,575,403 OTHER UNAME (8) 2,734 ANCART (8) 31,575,403 OTHER UNAME (8) 2,734 ANCART (8) 27,744 BURITS (201) 47,744 BURITS (201) 41,645 ANCART (8) 3,147,448 BURITS (201) 1,646 ANCART (8) 1,646 ANCART (8) 1,646 ANCART (8) 1,646 ANCART (8) 1,646 A	ACTUAL ESTINATED	PARCHAERE	ACTUMAL STITUTED DIFFERENCE	RECEASE COLUMN COLUMN	ACTUAL STIRRITED STIRRIT	MACHANIST STATUS STATUS

LINES 9 & 23 EXCLIDE 0 BARRELS, 0 CURRENT MONTS AND 1,000 BARRELS, \$ 878 PERIOD-TO-DATE.

LINE SO EXCLIDES MICLEAR DISPOSAL COST OF \$ 1,855,866 CLIREST MONTS AND \$ 5,872,294 PERIOD-TO-DATE.

SCHEDULE A - NOTES Jan-96

HEAVY OIL		
UNITS	AMOUNT	ADJUSTMENTS EXPLANATION
	\$2,219.15	RIVIERA - FUELS RECEIVABLE - ARMS
	\$2,474.73	SANFORD - FUELS RECEIVABLE - ARMS
		FT. MYERS - FUELS RECEIVABLE - ARMS
		PORT EVERGLADES - FUELS RECEIVABLE - ARMS
(80)	(\$1,458.66)	CANAVERAL - FUELS RECEIVABLE - ARMS
(371)	(\$6,962.96)	TURKEY POINT FOSSIL - FUELS RECEIVABLE - ARMS
		MANATEE - FUELS RECEIVABLE - ARMS
		MARTIN - FUELS RECEIVABLE - ARMS
(110)	(\$1,710.06)	RIVIERA - TEMP/CAL ADJUSTMENT
(1,123)	(\$17,268.21)	SANFORD - TEMP/CAL ADJUSTMENT
500	\$7,740.91	FT. MYERS - TEMP/CAL ADJUSTMENT
		FT/ MYERS - INVENTORY ADJUSTMENT
58	\$990.28	PORT EVERGLADES - TEMP/CAL ADJUSTMENT
648	\$11,815.16	CANAVERAL - TEMP/CAL ADJUSTMENT
283	\$5,311.37	TURKEY POINT FOSSIL - TEMP/CAL ADJUSTMENT
(391)	(\$6,114.37)	MANATEE - TEMP/CAL ADJUSTMENT
343	\$5,746.99	MARTIN - PIPELINE HEATING
		MARTIN - TEMP/CAL ADJUSTMENT
(243)	\$2,764.33 [TO	WAL

COAL		
UNITS	AMOUNT	NOTES ON COAL
	\$160,181.15	SCHERER COAL CAR DEPRECIATION
- 1	\$22,026.63	SJRPP COAL CAR DEPRECIATION
		(INCLUDED IN PURCHASES BUT NOT ISSUES AND NOT
		INCLUDED IN THE ENDING INVENTORY)
		BEGINNING JAN. 1996, SCHERER 4 COAL INVENTORY WILL BE REPORTED IN MMBTUs INSTEAD OF TONS. THIS MONTH'S PURCHASES FOR SCHERER INCLUDE JANUARY'S PURCHASE OF 4,763,662 MMBTUs FOR \$7,850,608.84 IN ADDITION TO 12/95 ENDING BALANCE OF 4,949,578 EQUIVALENT MMBTUs FOR \$6,533,625.32.

COMPANY FLORIDA POWER & LIGHT COMPANY

SCHEDULE AS

		FOR	FOR THE MONTH OF JANSANTY, 1996	TAYATAYUY, 1998				
(1)	9	9	3	38	28	*	3	3
			HWX		Apueb	HANAGOGO		
	TYPE	TOTAL	WHEELED	HWDI		000	TOTAL \$ FOR	
\$0L0.70		HWD	FROM OTHER	FROM OWN	ík	9	FUEL ACLL	TOTAL COST
	SCHEDILE	SOLD	SWITTENS	GENERATION	FUEL	TVIOI		
		(000)	(000)	(000)	COST	COST	(8) (8) (8)	(5) X (8)(3)
ESTIMATED								
	o	24,043		24,063	2181	2.665	111753	646,813
,	05	12 130	0	12,138	2101	2.660	204,730	124.200
	Ça		0	0	0,000	0.000		
ST. LUCIE RELIABILITY ST. LUCIE RELIABILITY ST. LUCIE RELIABILITY		44,730	0	44,730	0.496	0.495	221,414	221,414
TOTAL		80.00		A0 044	1740	100	. 133 854 1	

C 34,095 0 24,095 2.181 2.688 534,814 0.05 0.5 12,198 0 12,198 0 24,770 0.000		当人の日かんと	2000	0000	COOR	COST	TSG0	(8) II (8)	10 (S) X (S)
OMY SALES C 34.003 C 34.	I ESTIMATED								
OMY SALES 0.5 12.134 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0		c	24,043	0	24,063	2181	2,688	111729	646,813
OMY SALES S 44,730 0 44,730 0,485 0,485 271,414 1719 0,485 0,485 171,414 1719 0,485 0,485 171,414 1719 1,100,857 1,	j=	05	12 130	0	12,138	2101	2.600	284,730	13H 200
OMY SALES 44,730 44,		Ça	0	0	0	0.000	0.000		
114.677 1.249 1.476 1.704.557 1.			44,730	0	44,730	0.495	0.495	221,414	271,414
1114.677 0 114.677 2.121 2.866 2.47.1/4 3. DOOPERATIVE SHC (ANNOCHEDILLED) 10 114.677 0 114.677 2.121 2.866 2.47.1/4 3. DOOPERATIVE SHC (ANNOCHEDILLED) 10 114.677 0 114.677 2.121 2.866 2.47.1/4 3. DOPATION 0 114.677 0 114.677 0 114.677 2.121 2.866 2.47.1/4 3. DOPATION 0 114.677 0 114.677 0 114.677 2.121 2.866 2.47.1/4 3. DOPATION 0 114.677 0 114.677 0 114.677 2.121 2.866 2.47.1/4 3. DOPATION 0 114.677 0 114.677 0 114.677 2.121 2.866 2.47.1/4 3. DOPATION 0 114.677 0 114.677 0 114.677 2.121 2.866 2.47.1/4 3.	& TOTAL		80,931	0	80,931	1249	1.6%	V. 108,807	1,194,496
TITION OS SATION SEACH OS STORESTE OS SATION OS SATION SEACH OS SATION O	7 actual								10888
	# FARMA (SL 1) 1 SEMMALE ELECTRIC COOPERATIVE, INC. (JANSCHEDILED) 1 SEMMALE ELECTRIC COOPERATIVE, INC. (JANSCHEDILED) 14 SEMMALE SELECTRIC COOPERATION 15 ENDIN POWER MANISTRIC 14 CITY OF QUANCENCLE 15 CITY OF QUANCENCLE 16 CITY OF LAZE WORTH UTLITIES 17 VIOLETHORSE POWER CORPORATION 21 OFLICTRIC COMMISSION, CITY OF NEW SAYPRIA BEACH 23 ORLANDO UTILITES COMMISSION 21 CITY OF VERD BEACH 21 CITY OF VERD BEACH	28888884	1100	0000000000000	and a second	2151	ig in it	190.00	

ONLY TOTAL \$ INCLUDES BOY OF DAIN ON ECONOMY SALES

34 PERIOD TO DATE
33 ACTUAL
34 ESTIMATED
35 DIFFERENCE (%)

291,751 104,763 104,763

291.751 194.753 108.998 57.9

1,219 0,451 37,0

2181

1,774,241 2,981,763 2,792,538 83,7

1,290,794 1,100,363 94.5

105,995

00

106,998

37.0

9.812

2,762,638

3,106,363

167, 929

187,929

2

2,758

3 901 096

4,299,893

34 CURRENT MONTH
DO DIFFERENCE (N)

TO TOTAL

BOYLOF GAIN ON ECONOMY SALES (SEE SCHED ATA)

\$4 ECONOMY SUB-TOTAL

\$5 ST. LUCIE PARTICIPATION SUB-TOTAL

\$6 SALES EXCLUSIVE OF ECONOMY AND ST. LUCIE PARTICIPATION SUB-TOTAL

114,672 46,065 23,162

114,672 44,088 24,192

2121 0,483 2068

2850

2017H

145.001 EACTES 9307457

GAIN ON ECONOMY ENERGY SALES

(1)	
В	
9	GAIN ON ECONOMIC COMPANY: FLORIDA PON FOR THE MONTH O
8	Y ENERGY SALES WER & LIGHT COMPANY OF JANUARY, 1998
3	
3	SCHEDULE ANA

27 DEFERENCE (%)	25 CURRENT MONTH:	23 BOW OF GAIN ON EDONOMY SALES	21 SUB-TOTAL	# FLORIDA MUNICIPAL POWITZ AGENCY 7 FLORIDA POWER CORPONATION 8 FT. PERCE UTILITES AUTHORITY 9 CITY OF CANESTEAD 11 JACKSONNULE ELECTRIC AUTHORITY 12 CITY OF LAGE WORTH UTILITES 13 CITY OF LAGE WORTH UTILITES 15 CITY OF LAGE MORTH UTILITES 16 CITY OF LAGE MORTH UTILITES 17 SELMOLE ELECTRIC COOPERATINE, INC. 18 SOUTHERN COMPANIES 19 CITY OF VERO BEACH 21 CITY OF VERO BEACH	S ACTUAL:	A SUB-CH GARACH ECCHOAT SALES		50,0 10	(0)
				00000000000000			o	SCHEDULE # 3AME	8
156,541	32,609	116,672	116,672	5,546 20,782 60 3,883 7,947 4,528 11,268 11,068 11,068 11,060 1,000		24,063	24,063	(000) OTOS HANN MAINT	9
3,320,720 1,370,816	1,949,904	2474718	2,474,718	22.0%		524.814	524,814	FUEL COST	(8)
1,763,962	2,584,842	3,331,655	1331,655	35,246 36,247		546,813	648,813	TOTAL COST	
2121	(0.080) (2.7)	2121	2121	rat Land		2181	2111	(a) FUEL COST	(2)
2,842	0.168	2.856	2.856	15 PER 1		2,666	2,666	(a) TOTAL COST	8
902,412 314,461 567,951	567,951 602.4	865,550	856,537	203,519		x 300 97,500	121,000	GAIN ON ECONOMY ENERGY SALES (4)(b) - (4)(d)	3

PURCHASED POWER (EXCLUSIVE OF ECONOMY ENERGY PURCHASE) COMPANY: FLORIDA POWER & LIGHT COMPANY FOR THE MONTH OF JANUARY, 1998

(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)
			22.00	KWH	Section	certs/K	WH	750 800000
PURCHASED FROM	TYPE A SCHEDULE	TOTAL KWH PURCHASED (000)	FOR OTHER UTILITIES (000)	FOR INTERRUP- TIBLE (000)	FOR FIRM (000)	(c) FUEL COST	(b) TOTAL COST	TOTAL \$ FOR FUEL ADJ. (5) x (7)(a) \$
ESTIMATED:								
SOUTHERN COMPANIES (UPS & R) ST. LUCIE RELIABILITY SURPP		421,262 44,807 248,827	0	0 0	421,262 44,607 248,827	1.758 0.419 1.562		7,406,340 187,800 3,886,200
TOTAL		714,896	0	0	714,896	1.606		11,480,340
ACTUAL:								
SOUTHERN COMPANES SOUTHERN COMPANES PRIOR MONTH ADJUSTMENT	UPS R	304,399 55,596 0 359,995	0 0	0 0	304,399 55,595 0 359,995	1.764 1.776 1.796		5,370,528 967,309 106,794 6,464,631
FMPA (SL 2) PRIOR MONTH ADJUSTMENT		17,779	0	0	17,779	0.588		104,625
		17,779	0	0	17,779	0.588		104,625
OUC (SL 2) PRIOR MONTH ADJUSTMENT		0 12,294	0	0	0 12,294	0.527		64,82
JACKSONVILLE ELECTRIC AUTHORITY PRIOR MONTH ADJUSTMENT	UPS	267,573	0	0	267,573	1.706		4,569,53 (423,32
EPROPERTY PROPERTY		267,573	0	0	267,573	1.550		4,140,20
SEMINOLE ELECTRIC COOPERATIVE, INC. (UNSCHEDULED)		117	0	0	117	1.848		2,160
ST. LUCIE PARTICIPATION SUB-TOTAL		30,073	0	0	30,073	0.563		189,45
TOTAL		657,758	0	0	657,758	1,639		10,782,45
CURRENT MONTH: DIFFERENCE DIFFERENCE (%)		(57,138) (8.0)	0	0	(57,138) (8.0)	0.033		(697,88 (6.
PERIOD TO DATE: ACTUAL ESTIMATED DIFFERENCE		1,249,141 1,306,279 (57,138)	0	0 0	1,249,141 1,306,279 (57,138)	1.687 1.666 0.020		21,067,24 21,765,12 (697,88
DIFFERENCE (%)		(4.4)	0.0	0.0	(4.4)	1.2		(3.2

NOTE: GAS RECEIVED UNDER GAS TOLLING AGREEMENTS HAS BEEN INCLUDED IN FUEL EXPENSE ON SCHEDULE A3.

ENERGY PAYMENT TO QUALIFYING FACILITIES COMPANY: FLORIDA POWER & LIGHT COMPANY FOR THE MONTH OF JANUARY, 1996

(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	. (7	7	(8)
			5955	KWH	Anna I	cents/K	WH	Decreased Section
PURCHASED FROM	TYPE & SCHEDULE	TOTAL KWH PURCHASED (000)	FOR OTHER UTLITIES (000)	FOR INTERRUP- TIBLE (000)	FOR FIRM (COO)	(a) FUEL COST	(b) TOTAL COST	TOTAL \$ FOR FUEL ADJ. (6) x (7)(b) \$
ESTIMATED:								
QUALIFYING FACILITIES		510,845	0	0	510,845	1.931	1.931	9,866,792
TOTAL		510,845	0	0	510,845	1.931	1.931	9,866,792
ACTUAL:			T					
ROYSTER COMPANY		5,787	0	0	5,787	1.562	1.562	90,391
INDIANTOWN COGENERATION		26,402	0	0	26,402	4.054	4.054	1,070,230
BIO-ENERGY PARTNERS, INC.		7,454	0	0	7,454	2.006	2.006	149,540
SOLID WASTE AUTHORITY OF PALM BEACH COUNTY		31,309	0	0	31,309	1.719	1.719	538,105
TROPICANA PRODUCTS, INC.		(108)	C	0	(108)	(1.822)	(1.822)	1,968
FLORIDA CRUSHED STONE		88,985	0	0	88,965	1.685	1.685	1,499,091
BROWARD COUNTY RESOURCE RECOVERY - SOUTH SITE		42,620	0	0	42,620	2.087	2.087	889,482
BROWARD COUNTY RESOURCE RECOVERY - NORTH SITE		40,232	0	0	40,232	2.049	2.049	824,379
U. S. SUGAR CORPORATION - BRYANT U. S. SUGAR CORPORATION - CLEWISTON		5,579 173	0	0	5,579 173	0.000	0.000	117,854
GEORGIA PACIFIC CORPORATION		370	0	0	370	1.968	1.968	7,280
CEDAR BAY GENERATING COMPANY		168,926	o	0	168,926	1.831	1.831	3.092.478
LEE COUNTY RESOURCE RECOVERY		18,448	0	0	18,448	2.009	2.009	370.605
OKEELANTA POWER L. P.		30,928	0	0	30,928	2.049	2.049	633,766
OSCEOLA POWER L. P.		934	0	0	934	2.119	2.119	19,792

TOTAL	468,039	0	0	468,039	1.989	1.989	9,308,714
CURRENT MONTH:							
DIFFERENCE	(42,806)	0	0	(42,806)	0.057	0.057	(558,078)
DIFFERENCE (%)	(8.4)	0.0	0.0	(8.4)	3.0	3.0	(5.7)
PERIOD TO DATE:							
ACTUAL	1,044,084	0	0	1,044,084	1.885	1.885	19.685,258
ESTIMATED	1,086,890	0	0	1.086,890	1.863	1.863	20,243,336
DIFFERENCE	(42,806)	0	0	(42,806)	0.023	0.023	(558,078)
DIFFERENCE (%)	(3.9)	0.0	0.0	(3.9)	1.2	1.2	(2.8)

ECONOMY ENERGY PURCHASES INCLUDING LONG TERM PURCHASES COMPANY: FLORIDA POWER & LIGHT COMPANY FOR THE MONTH OF JANUARY, 1996

(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)
					COST IF GE	NERATED	
PURCHASED FROM	TYPE & SCHEDULE	TOTAL KWH PURCHASED (000)	TRANS. COST cents/KWH	TOTAL \$ FOR FUEL ADJ. (3) x (4) \$	(a) cents/KWH	(b) \$	FUEL SAVINGS (6)(b) - (5)
ESTIMATED:							
FLORIDA NON-FLORIDA	C	413,600 2,829	1.804 2.042	7,461,350 57,760	2.009 2.247	8,309,230 63,559	847,88 5,79
TOTAL		416,429	1.806	7,519,110	2.011	8,372 789	853,67
ACTUAL:	702	- 8					
FLORIDA POWER CORPORATION FT. PIERCE UTILITIES AUTHORITY CITY OF GAINESVILLE JACKSONVILLE ELECTRIC AUTHORITY CITY OF LAKE WORTH UTILITIES ORLANDO UTILITIES COMMISSION SEMINOLE ELECTRIC COOPERATIVE, INC.	0000000	16,214 5 812 2,127 2 381 8,672	1.712	277,531	1.914	310,200	32.74
CITY OF TALLAHASSEE TAMPA ELECTRIC COMPANY CITY OF VERO BEACH SOUTHERN COMPANIES ENRON POWER MARKETING CITY OF HOMESTEAD KOCH POWER SERVICES, INC. L G & E POWER MARKETING OGLETHORPE POWER CORPORATION	C C C OS OS OS OS	21 67,693 10 936	1.694	1,148,990	1.992	1,348.976	201,686
2 FLORIDA ECONOMY/OS PURCHASES SUB-TOTAL		95,937	1.736	1,663,848	2.020	1,937,556	271,70
NON-FLORIDA ECONOMY/OS PURCHASES SUB-TOTAL		53,469	2.236	1,195,692	3.101	1,657,979	462,28
TOTAL		149,406	1.915	2,861,540	2.407	3,595,535	733,99
CURRENT MONTH: DIFFERENCE DIFFERENCE (%)		(267,023) (64.1)	0.110 6.1	(4,657,570) (61.9)	0.396 19.7	(4,777,254) (57.1)	(119,66 (14.
#8 PERIOD TO DATE: A ACTUAL O ESTIMATED I DIFFERENCE L DIFFERENCE (%)	,	345,267 612,290 (267,023) (43.6)	1.820 1.787 0.033 1.8	6,282,710 10,940,280 (4,657,570) (42,6)	2.196 2.018 0.177 8.8	7,581,025 12,358,279 (4,777,254) (38.7)	1,298,31 1,417,99 (119,68