1	BEFORE THE	
0	FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMIS	SSION
2		
3	:	
	In the Matter of : DOCKET NO. 950359- Petition to establish :	·EI
	mortization schedule for :	
	uclear generating units to:	
	ldress potential for :	
	tranded investment by :	
]	lorida Power & Light :	
7	Company. :	
-		
8		
9		
9		
10	PROCEEDINGS: HEARING	
11		
]	EFORE: CHAIRMAN SUSAN F. CLA	RK
12	COMMISSIONER J. TERRY DEA	ASON
	COMMISSIONER JULIA L. JOHN	ISON
13	COMMISSIONER DIANE K. KIE	SLING
	COMMISSIONER JOE GARCIA	
14		
15	DATE: Wednesday, March 13, 1996	
16	FIME: Commenced at 9:30 a.m.	
17	PLACE: Betty Easley Conference Cente	r
	Room 148	
18	4075 Esplanade Way	
	Tallahassee, Florida	
19		
20	EPORTED BY: JOY KELLY, CSR, RPF Chief, Bureau of Reporting	ί
ÆŪ	(904) 413-6732	
21	(001) 110 0100	
_		
22		
23		

#### 1 APPEARANCES:

MATTHEW M. CHILDS, Steel, Hector & Davis
3 215 South Monroe Street, Suite 601, Tallahassee,
4 Florida 32301, Telephone No. (904) 224-7595, appearin
5 on behalf of Florida Power & Light Company.
VICKI JOHNSON, Florida Public Service
Commission, Division of Legal Services, 2540 Shumard
8 Oak Boulevard, Tallahassee, Florida 32399-0870,
Telephone No. (904) 413-6199, appearing on behalf of
0 the Commission Staff.
1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
0
1
2
3

1	INDEX	
2	MISCELLANEOUS	
3	ITEM	PAGE NO.
4	CERTIFICATE OF REP	ORTER 132
5	WITNESSES	S
6	NAME	PAGE NO.
7	KEVIN MICHAEL DAV	7IS
8	Prefiled Direct Testime into the Record by Stipe	v
9	Prefiled Rebuttal Testi into the Record by Stipe	mony Inserted 37
10	WILLIAM D. STEINME	
11	Prefiled Direct Testimo	
12		pulation
13	into the Record by Stip	•
14	PATRICIA S. LEE	
15	Prefiled Direct Testiminto the Record by Stipe	•
16	EXHIBITS	шашоп
17	12211111113	
18 18	NUMBER	ID. ADMTD.
	1 (Davis) KMD-1	13 13
	2 (Davis) KMD-2	13 13
9	3 (Steinmeier) WDS-1	13 13
21 4 22	4 (Lee) PSL-1	13 13
	5 (Lee) PSL-2	13 13
	3 (Lee) PSL-3	13 13

1	$\mathbf{P} \mathbf{R} \mathbf{O}$	$C \to E$	DIN	GS.
	$\Gamma$ $\Gamma$ $\Gamma$	1 11 11	1/1/5	

- 2 (Hearing convened at 9:35 a.m.)
- 3 CHAIRMAN CLARK: We'll call the hearing to
- 4 order.
- 5 Ms. Johnson, would you please read the
- 6 notice.
- 7 MS. JOHNSON: By notice issued November 9,
- 8 1995, the hearing was set in Docket No. 950359-EI,
- 9 petition to establish amortization schedule for
- 10 nuclear generating units to address potential for
- 11 stranded investment by Florida Power and Light
- 12 Company. The purpose of the hearing is set out in the
- 13 notice.
- 14 CHAIRMAN CLARK: Thank you. We'll take
- 15 appearances. Mr. Child.
- 16 MR. CHILDS: My name is Matthew Childs. I
- 17 represent Florida Power & Light Company.
- 18 MS. JOHNSON: Vicki Johnson, representing
- 19 the Commission Staff.
- 20 CHAIRMAN CLARK: Ms. Johnson, are there some
- 21 preliminary matters we need to take up at this time?
- 22 MS. JOHNSON: Yes, Chairman Clark.
- 23 As noted in the Prehearing Order in Issue 1
- 24 there is a stipulation in this case. If the

- 1 remaining issues are moot. You may choose to take up
- 2 that issue at this time.
- 3 CHAIRMAN CLARK: Okay. Commissioners, I
- 4 hope you've all had an opportunity to review the
- 5 Prehearing Order, and I'll entertain a motion to
- 6 approve the stipulation on Issue 1.
- 7 COMMISSIONER KIESLING: Could I just ask one
- 8 question?
- 9 CHAIRMAN CLARK: Yes.
- 10 COMMISSIONER KIESLING: In the prehearing,
- 11 under "position", it indicates that if we adopt the
- 12 stipulation on Issue 1, that Issues 2 through 5, 7
- 13 through 9 and 11 and 12 will become moot, but that
- 14 doesn't tell me what to do with 10.
- 15 MS. JOHNSON: Thank you, Commissioner
- 16 Kiesling.
- 17 There was an error in the Prehearing Order
- 18 that should be "if this proposal is approved Issues 2
- 19 through 5 and 7 through 12 are moot."
- 20 COMMISSIONER KIESLING: Okay.
- 21 MS. JOHNSON: As noted in the second
- 22 sentence, Issue 6, which relates to the reserve
- 23 deficiency, is also stipulated. Both the Company and
- 24 the Staff are in agreement on that issue.

- 1 wasn't sure what to do with 10.
- 2 COMMISSIONER DEASON: I have a question.
- 3 Item 2 specifying the 30 million accrual -- and my
- 4 question is for what time period does that apply?
- 5 MS. JOHNSON: The time period that that
- 6 applies to --
- 7 COMMISSIONER DEASON: It says it will
- 8 commence in 1996. Is it indefinite?
- 9 MS. JOHNSON: It's indefinite as noted in
- 10 the position until the Commission makes some
- 11 determination in a future docket. And we noted that
- 12 one such docket could be a generic stranded cost
- 13 docket. But it's anticipated at this point that it
- 14 will be indefinite until the Commission decides how to
- 15 dispose of those dollars.
- 16 COMMISSIONER DEASON: Okay. Now, it refers
- 17 to -- the account to which it would be booked would be
- 18 at the discretion of the Commission, and it would be
- 19 deferred until some future determination. But it
- 20 doesn't specify -- until the Commission, for some
- 21 reason, would specify that annual amortization amount
- 22 should cease, FPL is agreeing to continue that
- 23 indefinitely?
- 24 MS. JOHNSON: That's correct.

- 1 question I had concerning Item 2 was that amount, the
- 2 booking of that is going to be at the discretion of
- 3 the Commission.
- 4 MS. JOHNSON: That's correct.
- 5 COMMISSIONER DEASON: Okay.
- 6 My concern is that if in some future
- 7 proceeding -- and who knows what the future is going
- 8 to hold -- but if we find ourselves in a position of
- 9 having to charge exit fees to customers who may choose
- 10 to leave the system if in the future they have that
- 11 opportunity, would we be able to charge those exit
- 12 fees even though there may be a huge sum of money
- 13 sitting out there that someone would argue that that's
- 14 supposed to be utilized to offset exit fees?
- 15 MR. JENKINS: Commissioner Deason, I don't
- 16 have a answer to that question. We've thought about
- 17 that question. I just don't know. I think we're
- 18 going to have to have that generic stranded cost
- 19 docket.
- The question you asked is somewhat in the
- 21 context of whether you're going to, as we recommended
- 22 for wholesale, charge the cost causer for switching
- 23 suppliers, or we're going to do like California and
- 24 some other states are doing, have this grandiose

- 1 give everybody the option for retail access. I surely
- 2 cannot answer that question now.
- 3 COMMISSIONER DEASON: Mr. Childs, does the
- 4 Company have any thought about that at all?
- 5 MR. CHILDS: If I understood your question
- 6 as it relates to the potential charging of exit fees,
- 7 the way I would understand that this recording of the
- 8 \$30 million each year would be, is it would simply
- 9 reduce the investment in the nuclear assets. I would
- 10 assume that if at a later time this Commission took up
- 11 the issue of exit fees it would address the amount of
- 12 exit fees on the basis of the investment, which was
- 13 associated with serving that customer. If in the
- 14 aggregate -- I mean, obviously, in the aggregate the
- 15 annual \$30 million expense is going to reduce the
- 16 investment. So I would assume that it would have some
- 17 impact on what the amount of the fee would be, the
- 18 exit fee. But I don't think that it would make the
- 19 exit fee go away, because we're talking about the
- 20 nuclear investment in the billions of dollars and this
- 21 is 30 million a year.
- 22 COMMISSIONER DEASON: Okay. Thank you.
- 23 And the last question I have pertains to
- 24 Item 3 in the prioritization of the utilization of the

- 1 of book tax timing differences. And I just need some
- 2 further clarification as to exactly what that is.
- 3 MS. SALAK: Basically, Commissioner, there
- 4 was a time when this Commission was not fully
- 5 normalized, that it was only partially normalized.
- 6 And because of that there's always a piece that's a
- 7 flow-through piece, if you will. It's a piece that's
- 8 been expensed for tax purposes a long time ago, and,
- 9 thus, it increases current income tax expense. But
- 10 because we weren't fully normalized, there's not that
- 11 deferred tax on the books that offsets the increase in
- 12 current income tax expense.
- 13 So what this does, the way this will work,
- 14 is that basically we're going to take care of that
- 15 problem by creating deferred income taxes and putting
- 16 them as if we were fully normalized always. So there
- 17 will be that current income tax expense that we now --
- 18 that now increases rates -- will be offset now by the
- 19 reversal of deferred income taxes over future periods.
- 20 COMMISSIONER DEASON: It was determined that
- 21 that should have a higher priority than the
- 22 unamortized loss and reacquired debt? It seems to me
- 23 that the tax situation is something that's been around
- 24 for an awful long time. The loss in the reacquired

 $25\,$  debt is something of a newer vintage, I would think.

- 1 Did Staff give any consideration as to why those
- 2 priorities were set the way they were?
- 3 MS. SALAK: It's not -- those are somewhat
- 4 equal. Loss on reacquired debt has been around a long
- 5 time also. It's never been an issue per se, like the
- 6 flow through versus full normalization was, but
- 7 there's always been a balance there.
- 8 COMMISSIONER DEASON: Obviously you're
- 9 comfortable with it.
- MS. SALAK: We're comfortable with it. But
- 11 if it were to flip it wouldn't be a heartbreaker for
- 12 us.
- 13 COMMISSIONER DEASON: Well, it just struck
- 14 me that -- now that you have clarified what the book
- 15 tax timing difference was, and it seems to me that has
- 16 really been around for a long time.
- 17 MS. SALAK: It has been. I'll just add one
- 18 more thing. There's a lesser dollar amount associated
- 19 with the book tax timing difference and it's a problem
- 20 that we might be able to get rid of totally as opposed
- 21 to just partially. So, as you get rid of things, you
- 22 know, you take care of the smaller things first, and
- 23 then you can start, you know, at least seeing that
- 24 you've accomplished something; getting rid of

- 1 COMMISSIONER DEASON: Now, what's the dollar
- 2 amount that it would take to totally reverse that, get
- 3 everything back in sync?
- 4 MS. SALAK: The book tax piece?
- 5 COMMISSIONER DEASON: Yes.
- 6 MS. SALAK: The revenue requirement
- 7 associated with that is approximately 125 million at
- 8 the end of '95.
- 9 COMMISSIONER DEASON: And what about the
- 10 loss on reacquired debt?
- 11 MS. SALAK: 295 million at the end of '95.
- 12 COMMISSIONER DEASON: Basically you'd be
- 13 putting deferred taxes back on the books as a result
- 14 of this adjustment.
- 15 MS. SALAK: Yes.
- 16 COMMISSIONER DEASON: And those would be
- 17 just like any other differed taxes, they would be
- 18 treated the same for --
- 19 MS. SALAK: We'd lose that identity of any
- 20 book tax timing difference -- they would lose the
- 21 identity of ever having been flow-through items and
- 22 they'd just fall into sync with everything else.
- 23 COMMISSIONER DEASON: Madam Chairman, that's
- 24 all questions I have.

- 1 COMMISSIONER KIESLING: Yes. I move the
- 2 Staff position, the stipulated position on Issue 1.
- 3 COMMISSIONER DEASON: Second.
- 4 CHAIRMAN CLARK: Without objection the
- 5 stipulation on Issue 1 is approved.
- 6 Issue 6. Is there a motion?
- 7 COMMISSIONER DEASON: I move Staff.
- 8 COMMISSIONER KIESLING: Yes.
- 9 CHAIRMAN CLARK: Without objection the
- 10 stipulation on Issue is approved.
- 11 Ms. Johnson, do we need to do anything
- 12 further?
- 13 MS. JOHNSON: Yes, Chairman Clark.
- 14 At the prehearing conference we indicated
- 15 that the witnesses' testimony and exhibits can be
- 16 stipulated into the record, and I so move at that
- 17 time.
- 18 CHAIRMAN CLARK: You would urge that we
- 19 stipulate -- that the parties' -- the parties have
- 20 stipulated the evidence into the record, the
- 21 testimony. Are there exhibits, too?
- 22 MS. JOHNSON: Yes, there are. There are six
- 23 exhibits, which are on Pages 15 and 16, starting with
- 24 KMD-1 through PSL-3.

1	Exhibit 1. KMD-2 will be Exhibit 2. WDS-1 will be
2	Exhibit 3. PSL-1 will be Exhibit 4. PSL-2 will be
3	Exhibit 5, and PSL-3 will be Exhibit 6. And they will
4	be admitted into the record without objection and the
5	prefiled testimony of Witness Davis is there
6	rebuttal testimony?
7	MS. JOHNSON: Yes, there is but there were
8	no rebuttal exhibits.
9	CHAIRMAN CLARK: Okay. The prefiled direct
10	and rebuttal testimony of Witness Davis, Steinmeier
11	and Lee will be stipulated into the record.
12	(Exhibit Nos. 1 through 6 marked for
13	identification and received in evidence.)
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	

1	MS. JOHNSON: One final item to address.
2 Given	the stipulation the Commission has approved it
3 at this	s time. Staff would just request that the
4 Comm	nission vote to close the docket.
5	CHAIRMAN CLARK: All right. Without
6 object	ion the docket will be closed upon the issuance
7 of the	order.
8	MS. JOHNSON: Okay. And I guess the time
9 for ap	peal has run. Whatever the appropriate time
10 frame	e, the docket to be closed.
11	Anything further?
12	CHAIRMAN CLARK: This hearing is adjourned.
13 Than	k you very much.
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	

1 STATE OF FLORIDA) : CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER 2 COUNTY OF LEON )
3 I, JOY KELLY, CSR, RPR, Chief, Bureau of Reporting, Official Commission Reporter, 4 DO HEREBY CERTIFY that the Hearing in Docket 5 No. 950359-EI was heard by the Florida Public Service Commission at the time and place herein stated; it is 6 further
7 CERTIFIED that I stenographically reported the said proceedings; that the same has been 8 transcribed under my direct supervision; and that this transcript, consisting of 131 pages, constitutes a 9 true transcription of my notes of said proceedings.
10 DATED this 18th day of March, 1996.
11
12
JOY KELLY, CSR, RPR Chief, Bureau of Reporting
Official Commission Reporter
14 (904) 413-6732
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23