

# POLO PARK

12525 Hwy. 27 N. • Davenport, Florida 33837 • Located at intersection of U.S. 192 and U.S. 27  
Phone 813-424-1195 • Toll-Free 1-800-537-6694

March 21, 1996

Ms. Blanca S. Bayo, Director  
Division of Records & Reporting  
Florida Public Service Commission  
2540 Shumard Oak Blvd.  
Tallahassee, Florida 32399-0850

RE: Prehearing Statement-Docket No. 930173-TL


Dear Ms. Bayo:

We are enclosing our prehearing statement on above docket with the original and fifteen (15) copies of Polo Park's response, as required.

We would appreciate acknowledgement of receipt of this material, as we understand this is to be received by you on March 25, 1996, as outlined in the scheduling record.

We would also appreciate being notified of the next official response or participation we must meet in order to satisfy the scheduling procedure.

Sincerely,



John B. Hilkin  
President  
Polo Park Homeowners Assn.

ACK \_\_\_\_\_

AFA \_\_\_\_\_

APP \_\_\_\_\_

CAF \_\_\_\_\_

CMH \_\_\_\_\_

CYS \_\_\_\_\_

EAG \_\_\_\_\_

LFC 1 \_\_\_\_\_

LIN 5 \_\_\_\_\_

OPC \_\_\_\_\_

RCN \_\_\_\_\_

SEC 1 \_\_\_\_\_

WAS \_\_\_\_\_

OTH \_\_\_\_\_

Mailing Address:  
235 Jackson Park Avenue.  
Davenport, FL. 33837  
Phone: 941-424-1787  
FAX: 941-424-1688

cc: Miss D. Canzano  
Bell South; GTE; United: Vista United

RECEIVED  
EPSC-BUREAU DE RECORDS

DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE

03471 MAR 22 96

FPSC-RECORDS/REPORTING

Docket No.930173-TL

Filed: March 21,1996

POLO PARK HOMEOWNERS ASSOCIATION AND SURROUNDING COMMUNITIES  
PREHEARING STATEMENT

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25

Polo Park Homeowners Association and surrounding communities hereby submits it's prehearing statement:

A. Witnesses

Polo Park intends to call the following witness to offer testimony on the issues indicated below:

<u>Witness</u>	<u>Issues Addressed</u>
John B. Hilkin	All issues

B. Exhibits

<u>Witness</u>	<u>Exhibit Indicator</u>	<u>Exhibit Title</u>
John B. Hilkin	"A"	Four Counties area
" "	"B"	Distances from major metro areas
" "	"C"	33 residential communities
" "	"C-2"	Northeast growth
" "	"D"	Area codes affected
" "	"E"	Patterns of usage to each route

Polo Park reserves the right to add exhibits,if necessary.

C. Statement of Basic Position

We feel that because of our unique four county convergence area, with a burgeoning growth rate, that special consideration should be given to relieve us from each call being billed on a long distance basis when we call across county lines just a few miles away. We are in a no man's land category.

DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE  
03471 MAR 22 88

D.Polo Park's Position on the Issues

1 Issue 1: Is there a sufficient community of interest on the  
2 routes listed in Table A to justify surveying for  
3 nonoptional extended area service as currently  
4 defined in the Commission rules, or implementing an  
5 alternative interLATA toll plan?

6 Position: Because of the unique location of Polo Park and the  
7 surrounding 33 residential communities, we feel this  
8 sufficient community of interest.

9 Issue 2: What other community of interest factors should be  
10 considered in determining if either an optional  
11 or nonoptional toll alternative should be implemented  
12 on these routes.

13 Position: Since no calling volume records are available from  
14 any of the telephone companies involved, we have  
15 submitted in our February 19, 1996 letter with  
16 testimony & exhibits the exhibit marked Exhibit "A"  
17 which shows, in a short period of time, the pattern  
18 of usage from a small cross section of phone users  
19 the typical usage to the routes in question.

20 Issue 3: If a sufficient community of interest is found on  
21 any of these routes, what is the economic impact  
22 of each plan on the company?

- 23 a) EAS with 25/25 plan and regrouping;
- 24 b) Alternative InterLATA toll plan; and
- 25 c) Other (Specify)

Position: This economic issue, it would appear to us, can only  
be addressed by the telephone companies represented  
in this docket.

Issue 4: Should subscribers be required to pay an additive as a  
prerequisite to surveying for extended area service or  
an alternative interLATA toll plan? If so, how much of  
a payment is required and how long should it last?

Position: We do not feel an additive is in order under any of  
the plans.

1 Issue 5: If a sufficient community of interest is found, what  
2 are the appropriate rates and charges for the plan  
to be implemented on these routes?

3 Position: Polo Park and surrounding communities are not in  
4 a position to address this issue.

5 Issue 6: If extended area service or an alternative inter-  
6 LATA toll plan is determined to be appropriate,  
should the customers be surveyed

7 Position: Polo Park is not in a position to answer this  
8 question.

8 E. Question of Law

9 We are not aware of any question of law at this time.

10 F. Policy Question

11 We reserve the right to address any and all policy questions  
12 on each issue at the Prehearing conference.

13 G. Issues stipulated

14 We are not aware of any stipulations between the parties in  
15 this proceeding.

16 H. Pending Motions


17 To our knowledge, there are no pending motions or other  
18 matters filed by Polo Park.

19 I. Requirements Set Forth

20 We know of no requirements set forth in the order that  
21 cannot be complied with.

22 Respectfully submitted this 21st day of March, 1996.

23 POLO PARK HOMEOWNERS ASSN

24 

25 John B. Hilkin, President