

HOPPING GREEN SAMS & SMITH
 PROFESSIONAL ASSOCIATION
 ATTORNEYS AND COUNSELORS

JAMES S. ALVES
 BRIAN H. BIBEAU
 KATHLEEN BLIZZARD
 ELIZABETH C. BOWMAN
 RICHARD S. BRIGHTMAN
 PETER C. CUNNINGHAM
 RALPH A. DeNEO
 THOMAS M. DeROSE
 WILLIAM H. GREEN
 WADE L. HOPPING
 FRANK E. MATTHEWS
 RICHARD D. MELSON
 DAVID L. POWELL
 WILLIAM D. PRESTON
 CAROLYN S. RAEPPLE
 DOUGLAS S. ROBERTS
 GARY P. SAMS
 ROBERT P. SMITH
 CHERYL G. STUART

123 SOUTH CALHOUN STREET
 POST OFFICE BOX 6526
 TALLAHASSEE, FLORIDA 32314
 (904) 222-7500
 FAX (904) 224-8551
 FAX (904) 425-3415

JAMES C. GOODLETT
 GARY K. HUNTER, JR.
 JONATHAN T. JOHNSON
 ROBERT A. MANNING
 ANGELA R. MORRISON
 GARY V. PERKO
 KAREN M. PETERSON
 MICHAEL P. PETROVICH
 LISA K. RUSHTON
 R. SCOTT RUTH
 JULIE R. STEINMEYER
 T. KENT WETHERELL, II
 OF COUNSEL
 CARLOS ALVAREZ
 W. ROBERT FOXES

Writer's Direct Dial No.
 (904) 425-2313

June 17, 1996

Ms. Blanca S. Bayó
 Director, Records & Reporting
 Florida Public Service Commission
 2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard
 Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850

Re: Docket No. 960290-TP

Dear Ms. Bayó:

2 filings

On behalf of MCI Telecommunications Corporation and MCImetro Access Transmission Services I have enclosed for filing in the above docket the original and 15 copies of MCI's Petition to Intervene as well as the original and 15 copies of MCI's Request for Official Recognition.

By copy of this letter these documents have been provided to the parties on the attached service list.

Very truly yours,
Richard D. Melson

Richard D. Melson

- ACK _____
- AFA _____
- APP _____ RDM/cc
- CAF _____ Enclosures
- CAF _____ sc: Parties of Record
- CMU** _____
- CTR _____
- EAG _____
- LEG *Caruso* RECEIVED & FILED
- LIN _____
- OPC _____ *Ky* →
- RCH _____ FPSC-BUREAU OF RECORDS
- SEC 1 _____
- WAS _____
- OTH *orig Pet to Dom*

Intervention
 DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE
 06514 JUN 17 96
 FPSC-RECORDS/REPORTING

Request
 DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE
 06515 JUN 17 96
 FPSC-RECORDS/REPORTING

19

BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In re: Petition by AT&T Communications)
of the Southern States, Inc. to Require)
Carriers to file Interconnection)
Agreements in Compliance With §252(a))
of the Telecommunications Act of 1996)

Docket No. 960290-TP

Filed: June 17, 1996

ORIGINAL
FILE COPY

MCI'S REQUEST FOR OFFICIAL RECOGNITION

MCI Telecommunications Corporation (MCI) and MCImetro Access Transmission Services, Inc. (MCImetro) hereby request that the Commission take official recognition of the following documents:

1. Emergency Rules of the Colorado Public Utilities Commission relating to the submission for approval of interconnection agreements. (Exhibit A)

2. Letter Order of the Wisconsin Public Service Commission relating to the approval of interconnection agreements. (Exhibit B)

These documents show that other state commissions which have considered the scope of the filing requirement imposed by Section 252(a)(1) of the Telecommunications Act of 1996 (Act) have concluded that the Act requires the filing of all agreements between local exchange companies and other providers covering telecommunications services.

MCI believes that the conclusion reached by the Colorado and Wisconsin Commissions is consistent with the language and intent of the Act, and that the Commission should consider this precedent from other states when considering the recommendation on this issue filed by the Commission staff for consideration at the June 25, 1996 agenda conference.

DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE


06515 JUN 17 96

FPSC-RECORDS/REPORTING

RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED this 17th day of June, 1996.

HOPPING GREEN SAMS & SMITH, P.A.

By:


Richard D. Melson
Post Office Box 6526
123 South Calhoun Street
Tallahassee, FL 32314
904/222-7500

and

MARTHA MCMILLIN
MCI TELECOMMUNICATIONS CORP.
Suite 700
780 Johnson Ferry Road
Atlanta, GA 30342

Attorneys for MCI
Telecommunications Corporation and
MCI Metro Access Transmission
Services, Inc.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I HEREBY CERTIFY that a copy of the foregoing was furnished to the following by U.S. Mail this 17th day of June, 1996.

Donna Canzano
Division of Legal Services
Florida Public Service Commission
2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard
Tallahassee, FL 32399

Doris M. Franklin
AT&T Communications
101 N. Monroe St., Suite 700
Tallahassee, FL 32301-1549

Robin D. Dunson
1200 Peachtree St., N.E.
Promenade I, Room 4038
Atlanta, GA 30309

Nancy H. Sims
BellSouth Telecommunications
150 S. Monroe St., #400
Tallahassee, FL 32301-1556

C. Everett Boyd, Jr.
Ervin, Varn, Jacobs,
Odom & Ervin
300 S. Gadsden Street
Tallahassee, FL 32301

Floyd R. Self
Messer, Caparello, Madsen,
Goldman & Metz
P.O. Box 1876
Tallahassee, FL 32302-1876

Angela Green
Florida Public Telecommunications
125 S. Gadsden Street, #200
Tallahassee, FL 32301-1525

Beverly Y. Menard
c/o Ken N. Waters
GTE Florida Incorporated
106 E. College Ave, Ste. 1440
Tallahassee, FL 32301-7704

Patricia Kurlin
Intermedia Communications
3625 Queen Palm Drive
Tampa, FL 33432-7404

Patrick K. Wiggins
Wiggins & Villacorta
Post Office Drawer 1657
Tallahassee, FL 32302

Jill Butler
2773 Red Maple Ridge, #301
Tallahassee, FL 32301

Floyd R. Self
Messer, Caparello, Madsen,
Goldman & Metz, P.A.
P.O. Box 1876
Tallahassee, FL 32302

AMNEX
Regulatory Affairs
101 Park Avenue #2507
New York, NY 10178

Floyd R. Self

Attorney

Attachment A
Decision No. C96-358
Docket No. 96R-142
Page 1 of 10

**THE
PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION
OF THE
STATE OF COLORADO**

**EMERGENCY RULES
ESTABLISHING PROCEDURES
RELATING TO THE SUBMISSION FOR APPROVAL
OF INTERCONNECTION AGREEMENTS WITHIN COLORADO
NEGOTIATED BY TELECOMMUNICATIONS PROVIDERS
BEFORE FEBRUARY 8, 1996**

4 CCR 723-43

BASIS, PURPOSE, AND STATUTORY AUTHORITY

These rules are issued under the general authority of §§ 40-2-108 and 40-3-102 C.R.S., and are consistent with § 40-15-503 C.R.S. and 47 U.S.C. 252(a)(1). They establish the process to be used and the information required by the Commission to review a negotiated interconnection agreement submitted to the Commission for approval in accordance with 47 U.S.C. 252(a)(1), requiring that any interconnection agreement negotiated between telecommunications providers before the date of enactment of the Telecommunications Act of 1996, (February 8, 1996) shall be submitted to the State commission for consideration under 47 U.S.C. 252(a). The Commission is to act either to approve or to reject the interconnection agreement, with written findings as to any deficiencies, within 90 days after receipt of the submittal.

Attachment A
 Decision No. C96-358
 Docket No. 96R-142T
 Page 2 of 10

RULE 4 CCR 723-43-1. APPLICABILITY. Pursuant to 47 U.S.C. 252(a)(1), these rules apply to all interconnection agreement(s) between and among telecommunications carriers negotiated before February 8, 1996, the date of enactment of the Telecommunications Act of 1996. Pursuant to 47 U.S.C. 252(e)(1), any interconnection agreements adopted by negotiation or arbitration shall be submitted for approval to the State commission.

RULE 4 CCR 723-43-2. DEFINITIONS. The meaning of terms used in these rules shall be consistent with their general usage in the telecommunications industry unless specifically defined by the Colorado statute or this rule. In addition to the definitions in this section, the statutory definitions apply. In the event the general usage of terms in the telecommunications industry or the definitions in this rule conflict with the statutory definitions, the statutory definitions control. As used in these rules, unless the context indicates otherwise, the following definitions apply:

723-43-2.1 Commission. The Public Utilities Commission of the State of Colorado.

723-43-2.2 Negotiated Interconnection Agreement; or Agreement. An agreement entered into between or among Parties for the purpose of the electronic, optical or any other means of transmission of information between separate points by prearranged means.

723-43-2.3 Party(ies) to the Agreement; or Party(ies). Any telecommunications carrier providing telecommunications services in the State of Colorado.

723-43-2.4 Submittal. A filing made by a telecommunications provider with the Commission seeking approval of an Agreement pursuant to this Rule.

RULE 4 CCR 723-43-3. REQUIREMENT TO SUBMIT. Pursuant to 47 U.S.C. 252(a)(1), any telecommunications carrier that negotiated an interconnection agreement prior to February 8, 1996 shall submit such Agreement for review to the Colorado Public Utilities Commission (CPUC) on or before May 15, 1996. Fifteen paper copies of the Agreement and attachments shall be submitted to the Commission at its offices at 1580 Logan Street, Denver, Colorado. One additional copy shall be submitted in electronic format compatible with PC DOS TEXT, WordPerfect, or Microsoft Word. Upon submittal, the Commission will assign a docket number to the Submittal.

RULE 4 C.R 723-43-4. INFORMATION TO BE INCLUDED IN THE SUBMITTAL. The Submittal shall contain, in the following order and specifically identified, the following information, either in the Submittal or in appropriately identified, attached exhibits:

723-43-4.1 Identifying Information -

723-43-4.1.1 The name, address, and telephone number of the Parties to the Negotiated Interconnection Agreement;

723-43-4.1.2 The name under which the Parties will provide their services if different from that provided in the carriers' current tariffs on file with the Commission;

723-43-4.1.3 The name and addresses of the Parties to the Agreement's representatives, if any, to whom all inquiries should be made;

Attachment A
Decision No. C96-356
Docket No. 96R-142T
Page 4 of 10

723-43-4.1.4 If a Party to the Agreement is a corporation -

723-43-4.1.4.1 The state in which it is incorporated, and, if any out-of-state corporation, a copy of the authority qualifying it to do business in Colorado;

723-43-4.1.4.2 Location of its principal office;
and

723-43-4.1.4.3 A copy of its Articles of Incorporation (unless a current copy is already on file with the Commission);

723-43-4.1.5 If a Party is a partnership, the name, title, and business address of each partner, both general and limited, and a copy of the partnership agreement establishing the partnership and later amendments, if any (unless a current copy is already on file with the Commission);

723-43-4.2 A Copy of the Entire Negotiated Interconnection Agreement - The Agreement, in its entirety including any attachments, shall be submitted and, pursuant to 47 U.S.C. 252(a)(1), shall include a detailed schedule of itemized charges for interconnection and each service or network element included in the Agreement.

723-43-4.3 Supporting Information - The Submittal shall contain, either in the Agreement, or by attachment, the facts upon which the Parties will rely to demonstrate that:

723-43-4.3.1 Approval of the Agreement is in the public interest;

723-43-4.3.2 Approval of the Agreement does not discriminate against other telecommunications carriers who are interconnected with any of the Parties to the Agreement;

723-43-4.3.3 Approval of the Agreement will encourage and not inhibit competition;

Attachment A
Decision No. C96-388
Docket No. 96R-142
Page 5 of 10

723-43-4.3.4 A description of the services which the Parties to the Agreement are providing pursuant to the Agreement;

723-43-4.3.5 A statement of the means by which the Parties to the Agreement are providing the services pursuant to the Agreement;

723-43-4.3.6 The agreed upon price of the interconnection services is, pursuant to 47 U.S.C. 252(d)(1), just and reasonable for the interconnection of facilities and equipment for purposes of 47 U.S.C. 251(c)(2); just and reasonable for network elements for purposes of 47 U.S.C. 251(c)(3); based on the cost of providing the interconnection or network element; nondiscriminatory; and may include a reasonable profit. The provider(s) of the service(s) shall provide, as part of the Submittal, its cost studies conducted in accordance with the Commission's Rules Prescribing Principles for Costing and Pricing of Regulated Services of Telecommunications Service Providers, 4 CCR 723-30.

723-43-4.4 Affidavit - An affidavit signed by an officer, a partner, an owner, or an employee, as appropriate, who is authorized to act on behalf of the submitter, stating that the contents of the submittal and all attachments, are true, accurate, complete and correct.

RULE 4 CCR 723-43-5. INCOMPLETE SUBMITTAL. In the event a Submittal is made which the Commission determines does not include the above required submittal information, the Commission shall, by an order, reject the Submittal within ten days from the date of the submittal, with written findings as to the deficiencies in the information submitted. The Parties to the

Attachment A
Decision No. C96-358
Docket No. 96R-142T
Page 6 of 10

Agreement may then correct and resubmit the Agreement for approval.

RULE 4 CCR 723-43-6. CONFIDENTIALITY OF INFORMATION IN THE SUBMITTAL.

723-43-6.1 Information in Submittal Considered Non-Confidential. Pursuant to § 24-72-101 et seq. C.R.S., all information submitted to the Commission in a Submittal is public information and shall be considered and treated as non-confidential by the Commission.

In order to evaluate the public interest, non-discriminatory, and pro-competitive aspects of the Agreement, it is necessary that all information submitted to the Commission in a Submittal be available for inspection by entities other than the Commission and the Parties.

723-43-6.2 Any Party to the Agreement requesting confidentiality of some or all of the information in the submittal must seek a waiver under Rule 10 by submitting a Request for Confidentiality with the Submittal. In the Request for Confidentiality, the Party shall indicate the information which is claimed to be confidential and shall state the grounds with specificity and cite the specific provisions of the Colorado Public (Open) Records Act, § 24-72-101 et seq., C.R.S and other legal authority for the claim of confidentiality. If a Request for Confidentiality is not made with the Submittal, any claim of confidentiality of information included in the Submittal or supporting information shall be deemed waived.

723-43-6.3 If a Party to the Agreement contends any portion of the Submittal, except the Negotiated Interconnection Agreement, is confidential, it shall provide 15 copies of the Submittal without the asserted confidential information,

together with a Request for Confidentiality. This information will be available to the public immediately. The requestor also shall provide under seal six copies of the complete Submittal claimed to be confidential and the subject of a Request for Confidentiality. The Negotiated Interconnection Agreement including the detailed schedule of itemized charges for interconnection and each service or network element included in the Agreement shall not be considered confidential and shall, pursuant to Rule 9, be made available for public inspection.

723-43-6.4 The Request for Confidentiality will be decided by the Commission within ten (10) calendar days from the date of the Submittal. Any entity may respond to the Request within five calendar days after the notice of the Submittal is published. If the Request is granted, a protective order shall be issued by the Commission.

723-43-6.5 Under no circumstances shall a Party be permitted to label portions of a Submittal as "Confidential" with no further burden of filing a Request for Confidentiality or supporting the request with appropriate documentation.

723-43-6.6 Treatment of Information Requested to be Confidential Until Order Issued by Commission. Until an order is issued, and while in the custody of the Commission, the specified materials shall be marked "CONFIDENTIAL--SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER IN DOCKET NO. _____" and no person or entity, other than Staff of the Commission, shall have access to the specified confidential information without signing a non-disclosure Agreement.

723-43-6.7 If Request for Confidentiality Is Denied. In the event the Commission orders that the specified information is not confidential, the requesting Party may seek a stay or other relief. For this purpose, the specified

Attachment A
 Decision No. C96-358
 Docket No. 96R-142T
 Page 8 of 10

information shall not be disclosed or used in the public record for five business days after the Commission's order.

723-43-6.8 If Request for Confidentiality Is Approved.

In the event the Commission orders that the specified information is confidential, the materials will be used by the Commission in its approval or denial of the Negotiated Interconnection Agreement. The specified information shall then returned to the submitting Party, subject to Rule 9.

RULE 4 CCR 723-43-7. NOTICE TO THE PUBLIC AND OPPORTUNITY FOR PUBLIC COMMENT

723-43-7.1 On the day of its submittal, the Parties shall publish notice of the Submittal in a newspaper having general circulation. A copy of the legal notice shall be included in the Submittal to the Commission. Proof of publication of the notice shall be provided to the Commission by the Parties to the Agreement within three days after submittal.

723-43-7.2 Additional Notice Requirements. At the time the Submittal is made with the Commission, the Parties to the Agreement shall furnish a copy of the Submittal to: a) any public utility then known to be providing interconnected telecommunications service in the State of Colorado, as contained on a list maintained by the CPUC; and b) the Office of Consumer Counsel.

723-43-7.3 Public comment shall be provided within 20 days of the completion of the required notice.

RULE 4 CCR 723-43-8. REVIEW PROCESS

723-43-8.1 After a Submittal has been submitted, the Commission shall either approve or reject the Agreement, with written findings as to any deficiencies.

723-43-8.2 Grounds for Rejection. The Commission may only reject an Agreement, or any portion thereof, adopted by negotiation if it finds that:

723-43-8.2.1 the Agreement, or portion thereof, discriminates against a telecommunications carrier not a Party to the Agreement; or

723-43-8.2.2 the implementation of such Agreement, or portion thereof, is not consistent with the public interest, convenience, and necessity; or

723-43-8.2.3 an Agreement is not in compliance with intrastate telecommunications service quality standards or requirements.

723-43-8.3 Schedule for Decision. If the Commission does not act to approve or reject the Agreement within 90 days after a submission by the Parties, the Agreement shall be deemed approved.

RULE 4 CCR 723-43-9. PUBLIC FILING REQUIRED. Pursuant to 47 U.S.C. 252(h), the Commission shall make a copy of each Agreement approved under 47 U.S.C. 252(e) available for public inspection and copying within 10 days after the Agreement is approved.

RULE 4 CCR 723-43-10. AVAILABILITY TO OTHER TELECOMMUNICATIONS CARRIERS. Pursuant to 47 U.S.C. 252(i), a local exchange carrier shall make available any interconnection, service, or network element provided under a Commission-approved agreement to which it is a party to any other requesting telecommunications carrier upon the same terms and conditions as those provided in the agreement.

Attachment A
Decision No. C96-358
Docket No. 96R-142T
Page 10 of 10

RULE 4 CCR 723-43-11. WAIVER OF RULES. The Commission may permit variance from these rules. if not contrary to law, for good cause shown or it if finds compliance to be impossible, impracticable, or unreasonable.

O:\ADPT\CP231313



Public Service Commission of Wisconsin

Cheryl L. Parrino, Chairman
Scott A. Neitzel, Commissioner
Daniel J. Eastman, Commissioner

Jacqueline K. Reynolds, Executive Assistant
Lynda L. Dorr, Secretary to the Commission
Steven M. Schur, Chief Counsel

To: All Local Exchange Carriers

Re: Investigation of the Implementation of the Telecommunications
Act of 1996 in Wisconsin

05-TT-140

At its open meeting of May 16, 1996, the Commission determined that § 252(a)(1) of the Telecommunications Act of 1996 ("Act") requires that all incumbent local exchange carriers (LECs) obtain Public Service Commission (PSC) approval of all agreements with other providers covering telecommunications services. All approved agreements will then become generally available to other telecommunications providers. Such agreements must also be made available to the general public by the PSC for copying ten days after approval. Except for services purchased under generally available tariffs at tariffed rates, § 252 covers all agreements for telecommunications services provided to other telecommunications providers. Agreements requiring filing and approval include those under s. 196.194(1), Wis. Stats., and contracts or agreements associated with a tariff, per s. 196.19(2), Wis. Stats., if made with other telecommunications providers.

Contracts and agreements which had expired and had not been renewed and agreements which had been completely terminated and/or renegotiated prior to February 8, 1996, (the date on which the Act became effective) need not be filed. Likewise, contracts which have expired between February 8, 1996, and the date of this order, and have not been renewed or renegotiated, also need not be filed.

Agreements should be filed with the Commission according to the schedule listed below. Five copies are required of the agreement and cover letters. The agreements should be addressed to Lynda L. Dorr, Secretary to the Commission, Public Service Commission of Wisconsin, P.O. Box 7854, Madison, Wisconsin 53707-7854. If electronic copies of these agreements exist, the providers should also file an electronic version, in WordPerfect 5.1 format.

All agreements should be filed as joint filings, with both providers filing cover letters. The joint filings will prevent duplicate filings and problems due to an agreement being filed simultaneously as both confidential and nonconfidential. Each cover letter should state whether the signatory party recommends that the Commission approve or reject the agreement. If a party to the agreement recommends that the agreement not be approved, the party must provide a full explanation of why that agreement should not be approved.

610 North Whitney Way, P.O. Box 7854, Madison, WI 53707-7854
General Information: (608) 266-8481; (608) 267-1479 (TTY)
Fax: (608) 266-3987

To All Local Exchange Carriers
Docket 05-TT-140
Page 2

The providers should also jointly agree on whether the agreement will be filed under confidential cover. If the agreement is to be confidential, it must be accompanied by the appropriate form. All approved agreements must be made public ten days after Commission approval, as required by federal law. Therefore, confidentiality cannot be requested beyond ten days after the Commission approves the agreement. Given this situation, and because of the significant administrative burdens created by the confidentiality requirements, the Commission *strongly* recommends that such agreements *not* be filed confidentially.

Companies need only file those agreements that have not already been filed. For example, Wisconsin Bell, Inc. (Ameritech), will file all Extended Area Service (EAS) agreements between it and the independent companies by July 1, 1996. The independent companies (ICOs) are to file all their remaining EAS agreements by November 1, 1996. At that time the ICOs will not need to refile those agreements which were filed by Ameritech on July 1, 1996.

Where companies have a number of agreements that have the same rates, terms and/or conditions, the company should file five copies of a sample of the agreement or identical language, together with a list of all identical agreements or agreements using that language. If the terms and conditions of the agreements are the same, but the rates differ, the company can file a sample of the terms and conditions, together with copies of just the pages from each agreement showing the differing rates. Where a company has a number of similar agreements and is recommending that the Commission reject each of those agreements for the same reasons, the company can file five copies of the argument and rationale for rejection separately--rather than including the complete argument in each cover letter -- and simply cite those reasons in the cover letters accompanying each filing.

Many of the agreements to be filed will be between Ameritech and the ICOs (or GTE North Incorporated (GTE) and the ICOs). As a result, the Commission will be considering approval of agreements involving ICOs beginning July 1, 1996. The Act allows the Commission only 90 days to consider such agreements, therefore any ICOs wishing to obtain rural telephone company exemptions will need to file a request for such exemptions within 60 days of the date of filing. The Commission will hold a technical conference in early June to clarify the procedures for filing such exemptions.

For the purposes of this schedule, the various agreements which must be filed are divided into the following categories:

Direct Interconnection: This category includes agreements for the termination of local calls originated on one provider's network and terminated on that of the other provider that are not included in the EAS or Extended Community Calling (ECC) categories.

To All Local Exchange Carriers
Docket 05-TI-140
Page 3

EAS: EAS agreements are for the transport and termination of extended area service calls.

ECC: ECC agreements are for the transport and termination of extended community calling calls.

Toll transport: Toll transport agreements relate to the handling of, and compensation for, interexchange transport and routing.

Other toll services: These include agreements covering the handling of Feature Group B (FGB), revenue sharing for Feature Group A (FGA), and similar agreements covering toll services which are not filed in the toll transport or toll recording category.

911: This category covers contracts for 911 service, plus agreements over the routing of emergency calls and compensation for such emergency calls and associated networks.

DA: This category covers agreements and contracts for directory assistance.

Directory Listings: This category covers agreements for the sharing, sale, or use of directory listings, and for distribution of directories.

OS: This category covers agreements and contracts involving operator services (except for directory assistance). This also includes agreements for providing Traffic Service Position system (TSPS) service to Customer-Owned Coin-Operated Telephones (COCOTs).

Toll Recording: This category includes agreements and contracts for performing rating and/or recording of toll calls at another end office or tandem, when the end office does not have that capability.

SS7: This category includes agreements for providing Signalling System 7 services through the tandem or another remote office, for interconnection to signal transfer points (STPs) and other SS7 equipment and databases, and also includes agreements for 800 number translation and WATS serving offices.

Switcher Areas: This category covers agreements under which one LEC provides switching services for a portion of another LEC's exchange.

To All Local Exchange Carriers
 Docket 05-TI-140
 Page 4

Private Line: This category includes agreements for the channels used in providing private line services, Bell Channel services, and foreign exchange services.

Intercept: Intercept agreements provide intercept announcements for customers who have moved.

Internet: This category covers agreements with Internet service providers, including agreements with LEC subsidiaries providing Internet or Internet services provided by the LEC under nonutility merchandising.

Cellular: This category covers agreements with cellular, paging or RCC providers.

State Services: This category covers agreements covering links or "spurs" used by the State Telephone Service (STS) system or by the lottery network.

Other: This category covers any other agreements between providers not listed above.

Schedule

Agreements must be filed according to the following schedule. Early filing (more than 15 days before the listed date) is strongly discouraged.

By July 1, 1996

Ameritech and GTE file: any direct interconnection, cellular and EAS agreements, including agreements between Ameritech and the ICOs and between GTE and the ICOs.

ICOs File: none.

By August 1, 1996

Ameritech and GTE File: SS7, toll transport, toll recording and other toll services.

ICOs File: none.

By September 3, 1996

Ameritech and GTE File: 911, DA, OS and directory listing agreements.

ICOs File: none.

By October 1, 1996

Ameritech and GTE file: ECC.

ICOs File: ECC.

To All Local Exchange Carriers
 Docket 05-TI-140
 Page 5

By November 1, 1996
 Ameritech and GTE File: switcher areas, state services, internet, private line and other agreements.
 ICOs File: direct interconnection and EAS agreements.

By December 2, 1996
 Ameritech and GTE File: any remaining agreements.
 ICOs File: 557, toll transport, toll recording and other toll services.

By January 2, 1997
 Ameritech and GTE File: none.
 ICOs File: 911, DA, OS and directory listing agreements.


By February 3, 1997
 Ameritech and GTE File: none.
 ICOs file: switcher areas, state services, internet, private line and other agreements.

This letter order is issued under the Commission's jurisdiction in ss. 196.02, 196.19, 196.194(1), 196.196, 196.20, 196.25, 196.28, 196.37, 196.219, Stats., other provisions of chs. 196 and 227, Stats., as may be pertinent hereto, and the Telecommunications Act of 1996, 47 U.S.C. §§ 251 and 252.

If you should have any questions on this, please contact Peter Jahn of the Telecommunications Division staff at (608) 267-2338.

By the Commission.

Signed this 17th day of Nov 1996



 Lynda L. Dorr
 Secretary to the Commission

LLD:PRJ:reb:h:\as\lorder\140cbed.prj

cc: Service List 05-TI-140
 Records Management, PSCW

See attached Notice of Appeal Rights.

To All Local Exchange Carriers
Docket 05-TI-140
Page 6

Notice of Appeal Rights

Notice is hereby given that a person aggrieved by the foregoing decision has the right to file a petition for judicial review as provided in s. 227.53, Stats. The petition must be filed within 30 days after the date of mailing of this decision. That date is shown on the first page. If there is no date on the first page, the date of mailing is shown immediately above the signature line. The Public Service Commission of Wisconsin must be named as respondent in the petition for judicial review.

Notice is further given that, if the foregoing decision is an order following a proceeding which is a contested case as defined in s. 227.01(3), Stats., a person aggrieved by the order has the further right to file one petition for rehearing as provided in s. 227.49, Stats. The petition must be filed within 20 days of the date of mailing of this decision.

If this decision is an order after rehearing, a person aggrieved who wishes to appeal must seek judicial review rather than rehearing. A second petition for rehearing is not an option.

This general notice is for the purpose of ensuring compliance with s. 227.48(2), Stats., and does not constitute a conclusion or admission that any particular party or person is necessarily aggrieved or that any particular decision or order is final or judicially reviewable.

Revised 4/22/91