1		BELLSOUTH TELECOMMUNICATIONS, INC.
2		DIRECT TESTIMONY OF HILDA GEER
3		BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION
4		DOCKET NOs. 930330-TP & 980658-TP
5		SEPTEMBER 3, 1996
6		
7		
8	Q.	Please state your name, address and position with BellSouth
9		Telecommunications, Inc. ("BellSouth" or "The Company").
10		
11	A.	My name is Hilda Geer. I am employed by BellSouth as Director -
12		Consumer Market Management-South Florida. My business address is
13		600 N.W. 79th Avenue, Miami, Florida.
14		
15	Q.	Please give a brief description of your background and experience.
16		
17	A.	I am a graduate of the University of Miami with a degree in
18		Mathematics and Psychology. I have 24 years of service with
19		BellSouth. My career with BellSouth began in Engineering and has
20		continued on to assignments in Network, Sales and Marketing, and
21		Customer Services organizations. The entirety of the last 17 years has
22		been spent in positions of increasing responsibility in the Customer
23		Services environment, Business and Residential Services.
24		
25	Q.	What is the purpose of your testimony?

1		
2	A.	The purpose of my testimony is to respond to certain issues (Issues 1,
3		2 and 4) raised by the Florida Interexchange Carriers Association, MCI
4		Telecommunications Corporation, and AT&T Communications of the
5		Southern States, Inc., hereinafter referred to as "petitioners", in their
6		joint complaints filed May 24, 1996 and June 11, 1996.
7		
8	Q.	To which of the petitioners' issues will you be responding?
9		
10	A.	I will address the issues raised by the petitioners relating to BellSouth's
11		current business office practices.
12		
13	Q.	What are BellSouth's current business office practices regarding
14		intraLATA toll for new customers? (Issue 1)
15		
16	A.	The Company's current practice is to inform new Florida consumers of
17		the alternatives available to them for intraLATA toll services in those
18		offices that have been converted to 1+ presubscription. The
19		information is presented in a fair, just and nondiscriminatory manner.
20		The foundation for this balanced approach are three guiding principles
21		for disclosure of information to customers. These principles ensure that
22		Company service representatives fairly and effectively communicate
23		the availability of a number of intraLATA service providers, including

BellSouth, to customers. These principles are:

1		Advise the customer that several carriers provide local toll
2		(intraLATA) service.
3		2. Inform the customer BellSouth is a carrier that can provide local
4		toll service.
5		3. Offer to read to the customer a list of available carriers.
6		
7	Q.	How are these principles incorporated in the Company's daily
8		practices?
9		
10	A.	The guiding principles appear in the service representatives "on line
11		documentation". On line documentation is a mechanized system that
12		provides the service representative with a number of enabling tools.
13		This system allows the employee to effectively and efficiently meet the
14		needs of the customer. Included in the on line documentation, are a
5		series of prompts and suggested phrases (shown below) that are
16		founded on the above stated principles. While not intended to be read
7		verbatim, these prompts ensure a balanced communication.
8		
9		PROMPTS:
20		*Mr./Mrs. Customer, due to the new competitive environment we
21		are entering, you are now required to select a long distance
22		carrier for the Local Toll calls, calls made within your calling
23		zone to nearby communities. I will be glad to advise you of the
		carriers available. BellSouth is one of these carriers."

25

		will all the select a company
2		to handle long distance calls made within your LATA, as well as
3		calls made outside your calling LATA. BellSouth would like to be
4		your Local To I provider for the calls within your calling LATA.
5		We have been handling these type calls for you in the past.
6		Other carriers do offer this service, I will be glad to advise you of
7		the carriers available, or I can make arrangements for BellSouth
8		to be your carrier of choice."
9		
10	Q.	Are these prompts effective in creating an awareness to the customer
11		that a number of alternative carriers are available to provide intraLATA
12		services?
13		
14	A.	Yes. The guiding principles and prompts facilitate an unbiased
15		exchange of information between the customer and the Company's
16		service representative.
17		
18	Q.	So far your testimony has addressed practices relative to contacts with
19		new customers. Do existing customers contact the Company's
20		business office for reasons other than establishing intraLATA toll
21		service? (Issue 2)
22		
23	Α.	Yes. Customers contact BellSouth's business office for a number of
24		different reasons. Examples of such contacts include, but are not
25		

1 .	limited to, discussing payment arrange	ements, adding vertical services
2	and termination of service.	
3		

Q. During such contacts with existing customers, do BellSouth's current business office practices require service representatives to discuss or "market" its intraLATA toll services?

No, with the exception of customer contacts involving requests for an additional line. Current practices do not encourage service representatives to discuss intraLATA toll services on all customer initiated contacts. However, as competition evolves, the Company should have the option to advise customers of its service offerings in the most efficient manner. Therefore, the Company should be allowed the opportunity to market its services during any customer initiated contact.

How is the additional line contact conducted?

When customers contact the business office to add an additional line, service representatives engage in explaining the various alternatives available to the customer for local, intraLATA toll and interLATA toll services. During the interaction concerning intraLATA toll, the Company's service representatives follow the same guiding principles as those developed for new customers. Therefore, customers adding an additional line receive the same balanced presentation.

1		
2	Q.	Is it necessary to discuss intraLATA toll services when customers call
3		to establish an additional line?
4		
5	A.	Yes. Customers who arrange for an additional line must be given the
6		opportunity to select carriers for their intra and interLATA needs. The
7		Company's practices ensure a consistent presentation.
8		
9	Q.	In its presentation to new or existing customers, does BellSouth favor
10		its intraLATA offering over that of an alternative provider?
11		
12	A.	No. BellSouth does not disparage the services of any carrier.
13		Following the guiding principles described earlier, the Company is
14		forthright in communicating the various alternatives to Florida
15		consumers. The Company's current practices enable the consumer to
16		make an informed decision for intraLATA toll services.
17		
18	Q.	Is it proper for the Company's representatives to discuss intraLATA toll
19		service when existing customers contact BellSouth for reasons other
20		than selecting their intraLATA carrier?
21		
22	A.	Yes. The Commission has determined that competition in the
23		intraLATA market is in the public interest. In addition, the parties to
24		Docket 930330-TP agreed that a "carrier marketing" approach rather
25		than balloting best served Florida customers. BellSouth is one of the

competing carriers and should be able to educate consumers about the services it offers. Customer initiated contacts are an appropriate, efficient channel for the Company to advise consumers of its service offerings. As a practical matter, consumers who pro-actively contact a business, any business, have reasonable expectations that the firm will take the time to educate and inform them of service offerings that can meet their needs.

Q. Do current business office practices fulfill this customer expectation?

11 A. Yes. The Company has developed methods and procedures that

12 present to the customer a <u>balanced communication</u> explaining the

13 various alternatives for intraLATA services, including BellSouth.

The petitioners allege that BellSouth enjoys a "gateway" status,
meaning all customers "must come through BellSouth" in order to be
made aware of their intraLATA services. They further allege that this
unfairly advantages BellSouth. How do you respond to these
allegations?

A.

The petitioners allegations are simply without merit. The joint complainants are not infant entrants into the telecommunications industry. These are multi-billion dollar giants with enormous advertising resources. Today, they spend millions of dollars nationally advertising their products and services through every media medium available.

1		They reach millions of readers, television viewers and radio listeners
2		each day with their campaigns. Their assertion that they should be
3		given what I call an "infant preference" is unfounded. Further,
4		BellSouth strives to be responsive to consumers who call its business
5		offices, and it should continue to do so.
6		
7	Q.	Is the Company's overall approach to explaining the new competitive
8		environment for intraLATA toll service reasonable and fair?
9		
10	A.	Yes. For the reasons stated earlier, the Company's approach offers a
11		fair and balanced communication of the alternatives available to Florida
12		consumers for local toll services. The Commission should not require
13		the Company to educate consumers on the availability of competing
14		carriers and market for those carriers, without being allowed to advise
15		the customer that BellSouth remains a provider of the service. The
16		Company's approach informs them that they must choose an intraLAT
17		carrier and in no way misleads them into thinking that only BellSouth
18		can provide the service.
19		
20	Q.	When an existing customer contacts BellSouth and requests a change
21		in their intraLATA service provider, how does the Company process the
22		request? (Issue 4)
23		
24	Δ	If a customer calls to request a change in their intral ATA service to a

25

company other than BellSouth, the Company's service representative

	asks the end user customer to contact the carrier directly. This
	minimizes redundancy for the customer during the ordering process
	since the customer must contact the other carrier anyway in order to
	establish an account. If a customer so requests, the Company will
	make the change. The Company, however, still recommends a direct
	contact between the new intraLATA carrier and the customer. A direct
	contact ensures that an account with the selected carrier is established
	in the most efficient manner.
Q.	What is the effect on the customer if the customer fails to contact the
	carrier directly?
A.	An account will not be initially established for the end user customer
	with the carrier. The carrier will receive notification to establish an
	account only when processing is completed. Further, the customer
	may not understand the range of services offered by the carrier nor the
	associated rates.
Q.	If required to take these orders for IXCs in Florida, what is the
	potential impact on the Company?

If BellSouth were required to take orders on behalf of all intraLATA service providers, it would essentially be performing the business office functions for the IXCs without benefit of any compensation for that function. BellSouth's costs associated with implementing

1		intraLATA presubscription would increase since service
2		representative time for obtaining all information necessary to take and
3		issue the order would increase. These additional costs are
4		unnecessary since the customer needs to contact the appropriate
5		carrier anyway. However, if required to handle these orders, such
6		costs should be borne by the IXCs.
7		
8	Q.	Would you please summarize your testimony?
9		
10	A.	Yes. The petitioners allege that BellSouth's current business office
11		practices are anti-competitive. It is my testimony that BellSouth's
12		practices and procedures are just and reasonable, offering a balanced
13		presentation of the alternatives available to Florida consumers. I have
14		demonstrated that BellSouth's procedures are not anti-competitive and
15		do not inhibit the development of intraLATA competition.
16		
17	Q.	Does this conclude your testimony?
18		
19	A.	Yes.
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		