BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In Re: Petitions by AT&T Communications of the Southern States, Inc., MCI Telecommunications Corporation, MCI Metro Access Transmission Services, Inc., American Communications Services, Inc. and American Communications Services of Jacksonville, Inc. for arbitration of certain terms and conditions of a proposed agreement with BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc. concerning interconnection and resale under the Telecommunications Act of 1996.

) DOCKET NO. 960846-TP) DOCKET NO. 960816-TP

FILED: SEPTEMBER 20, 1996

STAFF'S PREHEARING STATEMENT

Pursuant to Orders Nos. PSC-96-0933-PCO-TP, issued July 17, 1996; PSC-96-1039-PCO-TP, issued August 9, 1996; and PSC-96-1138-PCO-TP, issued September 10, 1996, the Staff of the Florida Public Service Commission files its Prehearing Statement.

- A. <u>All Known Witnesses</u>: Staff does not intend to sponsor a witness at this time.
- B. <u>All Known Exhibits</u>: Staff has not yet identified a tentative list of exhibits which it intends to utilize in this proceeding. Staff will supply a tentative list of such exhibits at or prior to the Prehearing Conference.
- C. Staff's Statement of Basic Position:

None pending discovery.

CTR	
EAG	
LEG -	
LIN -5	
OPC	RECEIVED & FILED
RCH	PECCHAEN & LITER
SEC	
WAS	EPSC-BUREAU OF RECORDS
OTH	

ACK ——— AFA ——— APP ———

CAF ____

CMU _____

DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE

SEP 20 # 521

FPSC-RECORDS/REPORTING

D.- G. Staff's Position on the Issues:

Issues common to AT&T, MCI, ACSI, and BellSouth:

ISSUE 10a:

Are the following items considered to be network elements, capabilities, or functions? If so, is it technically feasible for BellSouth to provide AT&T, MCI, or ACSI with these elements?

Network Interface Device (AT&T, MCI) Unbundled Loops (AT&T, MCI, ACSI) Loop Distribution (AT&T, MCI) Loop Concentrator/Multiplexer (AT&T) Loop Feeder (AT&T) Local Switching (AT&T, MCI) Operator Systems (DA service/911 service) (AT&T, MCI) Multiplexing/Digital Cross-Connect/Channelization (AT&T, MCI, ACSI) Dedicated Transport (AT&T, MCI) Common Transport (AT&T, MCI) Tandem Switching (AT&T, MCI) AIN Capabilities (AT&T, MCI) Signaling Link Transport (AT&T, MCI) Signal Transfer Points (AT&T, MCI) Service Control Points/Database (AT&T, MCI)

STAFF: No position at this time.

ISSUE 10b:

What should be the price of each of the items considered to be network elements, capabilities, or functions?

STAFF: No position at this time.

Issues common to AT&T, MCI, and BellSouth:

ISSUE 12: Should AT&T and MCI be allowed to combine BellSouth's unbundled network elements in any manner they choose including recreating existing BellSouth services?

IBSUE 1: What services provided by BellSouth, if any, should be excluded from resale?

STAFF: No position at this time.

ISSUE 7: What are the appropriate wholesale rates for BellSouth to charge when AT&T or MCI purchases BellSouth's retail services for resale?

STAFF: No position at this time.

ISSUE 2: What terms and conditions, including use and user
 restrictions, if any, should be applied to resale of
 BellSouth's services?

STAFF: No position at this time.

ISSUE 5: Should BellSouth be required to provide notice to its wholesale customers of changes to BellSouth's services? If so, in what manner and in what time frame?

STAFF: No position at this time.

ISSUE 14: What are the appropriate standards, if any, for performance metrics, service restoration, and quality assurance related to services provided by BellSouth for resale and for network elements provided to AT&T or MCI by BellSouth?

STAFF: No position at this time.

ISSUE 3a: When AT&T or MCI resells BellSouth's services, is it technically feasible or otherwise appropriate for BellSouth to brand operator services and directory services calls that are initiated from those resold services?

ISSUE 3b: When BellSouth's employees or agents interact with AT&T's or MCI's customers with respect to a service provided by BellSouth on behalf of AT&T or MCI, what type of branding requirements are technically feasible or otherwise appropriate?

STAFF: No position at this time.

When AT&T or MCI resells BellSouth's local exchange service or purchases unbundled local switching, is it technically reasonable or otherwise appropriate to route 0+ and 0- calls to an operator other than BellSouth's, to route 411 and 555-1212 directory assistance calls to an operator other than BellSouth's, or to route 611 repair calls to a repair center other than BellSouth's?

STAFF: No position at this time.

ISSUE 8: What are the appropriate trunking arrangements between AT&T or MCI and BellSouth for local interconnection?

STAFF: No position at this time.

ISSUE 11: Do the provisions of Sections 251 and 252 apply to access to unused transmission media (e.g., dark fiber, copper coaxial cable, twisted pair)? If so, what are the appropriate rates, terms, and conditions?

STAFF: No position at this time.

ISSUE 13: Is it appropriate for BellSouth to provide copies of engineering records that include customer specific information with regard to BellSouth poles, ducts, and conduits? How much capacity is appropriate, if any, for BellSouth to reserve with regard to its poles, ducts, and conduits?

ISSUE 9: What should be the compensation mechanism for the exchange of local traffic between AT&T or MCI and BellSouth?

STAFF: No position at this time.

How should BellSouth treat a PIC change request received from an IXC other than AT&T or MCI for an AT&T or MCI local customer?

STAFF: No position at this time.

ISSUE 16: Should BellSouth be required to provide real-time and interactive access via electronic interfaces as requested by AT&T and MCI to perform the following:

Pre-Service Ordering
Service Trouble Reporting
Service Order Processing and Provisioning
Customer Usage Data Transfer
Local Account Maintenance

If the process requires the development of additional capabilities, in what time frame should they be deployed? What are the costs involved and how should these costs be recovered?

STAFF: No position at this time.

ISSUE 17a:

Should BellSouth be required to use the CDMS process for local and intraLATA calls in the same manner as used today for interLATA calls?

ISSUE 17b:

What are the appropriate rates, terms, and conditions, if any, for rating information services traffic between AT&T or MCI and BellSouth?

STAFF: No position at this time.

ISSUE 19: What billing system and what format should be used to render bills to AT&T or MCI for services and elements purchased from BellSouth?

STAFF: No position at this time.

ISSUE 20: Should BellSouth be required to provide Process and Data Quality Certification for carrier billing, data transfer, and account maintenance?

STAFF: No position at this time.

ISSUE 22: What are the appropriate general contractual terms and conditions that should govern the arbitration agreement (e.g. resolution of disputes, performance requirements, and treatment of confidential information)?

STAFF: No position at this time.

ISSUE 23: Should BellSouth be required to allow AT&T and MCI to have an appearance (e.g. logo or name) on the cover of the white and yellow page directories?

STAFF: No position at this time.

Issues specific to AT&T and BellSouth:

ISSUE 21: Should BellSouth be required to provide interim number portability solutions besides remote call forwarding? If so, what are the costs involved and how should they be recovered?

ISSUE 15: Do the provisions of Section 251 and 252 apply to the
 price of exchange access? If so, what is the appropriate
 price for exchange access?

STAFF: No position at this time.

Issues specific to MCI and BellSouth:

ISSUE 24: What are the appropriate arrangements to provide MCI nondiscriminatory access to white and yellow page directory listings?

STAFF: No position at this time.

IBSUE 25: What should be the cost recovery mechanism for remote call forwarding (RCF) used to provide interim local number portability in light of the FCC's recent order?

STAFF: No position at this time.

ISSUE 26: What intrastate access charges, if any, should be collected on a transitional basis from carriers who purchase BellSouth's unbundled local switching element? How long should any transitional period last?

STAFF: No position at this time.

ISSUE 27: What terms and conditions should apply to the provision of local interconnection to MCI?

STAFF: No position at this time.

ISSUE 28: What are the appropriate rates, terms, and conditions for collocation (both physical and virtual)?

ISSUE 29: What are the appropriate rates, terms, and conditions for access to code assignments and other numbering resources?

No position at this time. STAFF:

ISSUE 30: What are the appropriate rates, terms, and conditions related to the implementation of dialing parity for local traffic?

STAFF: No position at this time.

Stipulation H.

Staff is not aware of any issues that have been stipulated at this time.

I. Pending Motions:

Staff has no pending motions at this time.

RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED,

Staff Counsel

Florida Public Service Commission 2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard Tallahassee, Florida 32399-0850 (904) 413~6199

BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In Re: Petitions by AT&T Communications of the Southern States, Inc., MCI Telecommunications Corporation, MCI Metro Access Transmission Services, Inc., American Communications Services, Inc. and American Communications Services of Jacksonville, Inc. for arbitration of certain terms ; and conditions of a proposed agreement with BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc. concerning interconnection and resale under the Telecommunications Act of 1996.

) DOCKET NO. 960833-TP) DOCKET NO. 960846-TP DOCKET NO. 960916-TP

) FILED: SEPTEMBER 20, 1996

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I HEREBY CERTIFY that a true and correct copy of Staff's Prehearing Statement, in the above referenced dockets, have been furnished by U.S. Mail, this 20th day of September, 1996, to each of the following:

Charles J. Beck, Esquire Deputy Public Counsel Office of Public Counsel c/o The Florida Legislature 111 West Madison St. Room 812 Tallahassee, FL 32399-1400

Mr. Bill Tabor Utilities & Telecommunications Room 410, House Office Bldg. Tallahassee, FL

Mr. Greg Krasovsky Commerce & Economic Opportunities Room 426 Senate Office Bldg.

Tallahassee, FL 32399

Richard Melson, Esquire Hopping Law Firm 215 South Calhoun Street Tallahassee, FL 32301

Exe, Office of the Governor Office of Planing and Budget Bruce Topp The Capitol, Room 1502 Tallahassee, FL 32399

Martha McMillin MCI Telecommunications Corp. Suite 700 780 Johnson Ferry Road Atlanta, GA 30342

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE DOCKETS NOS. 960833-TP, 960846-TP, 960916-TP

BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc. Floyd R. Self, Esquire William J. Ellenberg, II. Nancy B. White Suite 4300 675 West Peachtree St. NE Atlanta, GA 30375

AT&T Communications of the Southern States, Inc. Tracy Hatch, Esquire Michael W. Tye, Esquire 101 North Monroe Street Suite 700 Tallahassee, FL 32301-1549

Riley M. Murphy Charles H. N. Kallenbach James Falvey American Communications Services, Inc. 131 National Business Parkway Suite 100 Annapolis Junction, MD 20701

Mark A. Logan, Esquire Brian D. Ballard, Esquire Bryant, Miller & Olive, P.A. 201 South Monroe Street Tallahassee, FL 32301

Norman H. Horton, Jr. Messer, Caparello, Madsen Goldman & Metz 215 S. Monroe Street Suite 701 Tallahassee, FL. 32301-1876

Robin Dunson, Esquire AT&T Communications of the Southern States, Inc. 1200 Peach tree Street, N.E. Promenade 1, Room 4038 Atlanta, GA 30309

Brad E. Mutschelknaus Edward A. Yorkgitis, Jr. Steven A. Augustino Kelley Drye & Warren, L.L.P. 1200 19th Street, N.W. Suite 500 Washington, D.C. 20036

Nancy B. White, Esquire J. Phillip Carver, Esquire c/o Nancy H. Sims 150 South Monroe Street Suite 400 Tallahassee, Florida 32301

Staff Counsel

Florida Public Service Commission Gerald L. Gunter Building 2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard Tallahassee, Florida 32399-0850 (904) 413-6199