

ORIGINAL
FILE COPY

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

GTE FLORIDA INCORPORATED

REBUTTAL TESTIMONY OF JOHN V. JERNIGAN

DOCKET NO. 960847-TP

Q. PLEASE STATE YOUR NAME AND BUSINESS ADDRESS.

A. My name is John V. Jernigan. My business address is 201 Franklin Street, Tampa, Florida 33601-0110.

Q. BY WHOM ARE YOU EMPLOYED AND WHAT IS YOUR POSITION?

A. I am employed by GTE Florida Telephone Operations as Project Manager - Infrastructure Provisioning.

Q. PLEASE DESCRIBE YOUR EDUCATION AND WORK EXPERIENCE.

A. I graduated from the University of South Florida in August 1986 with a Bachelor of Arts degree in the College of Social and Behavioral Sciences. I also have an Associate Technical Degree and an Associate Management Degree from GTE Telephone Operations. I joined GTE Florida in 1970 and have held management positions as Central Office Supervisor, Central Office Manager, General Office Administrator, Section Manager-Project Management, OPCEN Supervisor, Senior Administrator-Liaison Right-of-Way/Joint Use, and currently Project Manager-Infrastructure Provisioning.

DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE

10213 SEP 24 88

FPSC-RECORDS/REPORTING

1 Q. WHAT ARE THE RESPONSIBILITIES OF YOUR CURRENT
2 POSITION?

3 A. I am responsible for transitioning of the Liaison Right-of-Way/Joint
4 Use office duties and responsibilities to the new organization
5 entitled Infrastructure Provisioning. In this proceeding, I am
6 providing testimony on behalf of GTE Florida.

7

8 Q. DID YOU FILE DIRECT TESTIMONY IN THIS PROCEEDING?

9 A. No, I did not, but the purpose of this Rebuttal Testimony is to
10 adopt the Direct Testimony of Charles F. Bailey in this docket.
11 The GTE Operating Companies are involved in numerous
12 concurrent arbitration proceedings with various parties around the
13 country. Given this situation, it is inevitable that scheduling
14 conflicts will arise for the few witnesses that are available to
15 testify on any particular subject. For this reason, it is sometimes
16 necessary--as it is here--to substitute one witness for another
17 after testimony is prefiled.

18

19 Q. DO YOU HAVE ANY SUBSTANTIVE REBUTTAL TO AT&T AT
20 THIS POINT?

21 A. No. Mr. Bailey's Direct Testimony was based on AT&T's
22 arbitration petition and associated testimony. As such, that
23 Testimony effectively rebutted AT&T's positions on access to
24 GTE's poles, conduits, and rights of way.

25

1 Q. DOES THAT CONCLUDE YOUR TESTIMONY?

2 A. Yes, it does.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25