APPEARANCES:

2

3

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

ROBERT G. BEATTY, BellSouth

Telecommunications, Inc., Museum Tower Building, Suite 1910, 150 West Flagler Street, Miami, Florida 33130, Telephone No. (305) 347-5555, appearing on behalf of BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc.

JACK SEREVE and CHARLES J. BECK, Office of Public Counsel, 111 West Madison Street, Room 812, Tallahassee, Florida 32399-1400, Telephone No. (904) 488-9330, appearing on behalf of the Citisens of the State of Florida.

CHARLIE PELLEGRINI, Florida Public Service
Commission, Division of Legal Services, 2540 Shumard
Oak Boulevard, Tallahassee, Florida 32399-0870,
Telephone No. (904) 413-6199, appearing on behalf of
the Commission Staff.

17

16

18

19

21

22

ALSO PRESENT:

20 RALPH WIDELL

WAYNE TUBAUGH

DANIEL BAEZA

23

24

PROCEEDINGS

(Mearing convened at 6:30 p.11.)

CHAIRMAN CLARK: I'll call the hearing to order. Mr. Pellegrini, would you please read the notice.

MR. PELLEGRIMI: Yes, Chairman. Pursuant to notice dated October 22nd, 1996, this time and place have been set for a service hearing in Docket

No. 961153-TL, petition for numbering plan area relief for 904 area code by BellSouth Telecommunications,

Inc.

CHAIRMAN CLARK: We'll take appearances starting with you, Mr. Beatty.

MR. BEATTY: Yes. I'm Robert Beatty,
counsel for BellSouth. With me also is Daniel Baeza,
also representing BellSouth. My address is 150 West
Flagler Street, Suite 1910, Miami 33130.

CHAIRMAN CLARK: Mr. Shreve.

MR. SHREVE: Jack Shreve and Charlie Beck,
Office of the Public Counsel, representing the
Citizens of the State of Florida, Claude Pepper
Building, Tallahassee, Florida.

CHAIRMAN CLARK: I'm sorry. Did you also introduce Mr. Beck?

MR. BECK: (Nodding head.)

CHAIRMAN CLARE: I was writing something.

I'm sorry.

MR. PELLEGRINI: I'm Charles Pellegrini

appearing on behalf of Staff. With me this evening is

Will Cox and Ralph Widell.

CHAIRMAN CLARK: Okay. This is a hearing on the proceeding involving the proposed 904 area code change. I'd like to indicate while there are a lot of people out in the audience, I have been informed that there is only one person signed up to speak in this matter.

I know a lot of the people here are Staff.

I see people from Public Counsel's Office and I see
people from the Company. Is there anyone besides

Mr. Cunningham who has signed up to speak? (No
response.)

Okay. I will go through a brief explanation of why we're here and also about the other hearings we will be conducting in this matter.

COMMISSIONER GARCIA: It might be easier for Mr. Cunningham to interrogate us.

CHAIRMAN CLARK: That's true. I will just be brief, that we are here on the petition of Southern Bell -- excuse me; I'll get it right one day -- BellSouth requesting the Commission to determine the

area code relief method to implement a change in the 904 area code.

The petition was filed because the telecommunications industry could not reach a consensus by itself, so they have asked us to make a determination of how the change should take place.

For the information of everyone here, we have held already three public hearings; one in Pensacola, one in Panama City, this one this evening, and then we will hold one in Daytona and one in Jacksonville. We will also have the technical proceedings here in Tallahassee.

The people, the various parties who are in this docket have already made appearances, so I will not indicate who they are. Also, there are a number of people with the Staff and with the Company out in the audience, but I'm not at this point going to name them, because we only have one person signed up to testify at this time.

What I'd like to do, Mr. Shreve, is go ahead and have Mr. Cunningham come up. We will swear him in and we will hear what he has to say about the changes in the area code. While he's coming up -Mr. Cunningham, if you would come up to the second seat over there -- we do have information about the

proceedings. The blue sheet is "Why Do Area Codes

Have To Be Changed." This is a report concerning this
particular proceeding, and then the yellow sheet is an
explanation of how to formally participate in

Commission hearings.

Mr. Cunningham, in order for us to rely on any information you give us, we have to swear you in and make your testimony part of the record, so at this point if you would stand and be sworn in.

DAVID CUMMINGHAM

appeared as a witness and, having been duly sworn, testified as follows:

CHAIRMAN CLARE: Would you go ahead and give us your name and then tell us what you would like to tell us about the area code.

DIRECT STATEMENT

name is David Cunningham. I'm the owner of

D.C. Communications, which is a local interconnect
here in Tallahassee. And to -- just on the lighter
side, the reason why I guess nobody from the public
showed up is they all send me the letters. I kind of
got drafted into this. Basically --

COMMISSIONER GARCIA: Mr. Cunningham, excuse

me. What was the name of your company?

WITHESS CUMMINGHAM: D.C. Communications,

sir.

COMMISSIONER GARCIA: Thank you.

withess cummingers: Yes, sir. I'm going to be brief in my comments here, because it's very indicative of the comments that I've gotten from my customers as well as me as a small business owner here feel the same way. We can't afford to change the area code of Tallahassee for several reasons.

First and foremost is, this is the capital city. Not only would it affect state offices here in Tallahassee, but it would also affect offices throughout the state who use their local number as well as the headquarters of whatever agency it is here in Tallahassee. So this is of interest to, I think, a lot of people in the central and southern part of the state as well.

And then what I'm leading to is, is that if
we as the capital of the state are forced to change
our area code, taxpayers, we feel, will bear the
burden of having to have all of the stationery,
business cards, brochures and so forth reprinted; and
we feel that this is unfair to the taxpayers.

We propose, as in the green sheets here on

the special report, that the Commission adopt the rate -- the area code relief plan number 1, which is basically dividing the area code from the Suwannee River east forcing Jacksonville, Daytona Beach and those counties to assume the new 850 area code.

Not only from the taxpayers' standpoint and state government -- of course local business owners should be of interest -- interested in this also, because they also will be forced to change their stationery, business cards. And if I may for just a minute, I mentioned that we received quite a few letters.

About a month and a half ago we did an article in the Democrat and were also on Channel 27 and Channel 6 asking people to either write us in care of the Public Service Commission or send the letters directly to the Public Service Commission concerning their not wanting to see the area code here in Tallahassee changed.

This letter that I'm showing here is just one that I picked out here, but it pretty well says it all, and I don't think they'll mind me using their name; it's Flint Equipment, which is one of our big businesses out on west Tennessee here in Tallahassee, and this is written by Hal Slade who is vice-president

and general manager.

2 He goes on to say: "Public Service

3 Commission, Attention Committee; Flint Equipment is

4 very much opposed to changing our area code in the

5 Tallahassee area. We, as all businesses, have enough

6 expense without having to endure the expense that will

7 be involved if this area code change is made. We will

8 have to reprint stationery, literature, business

9 cards, et cetera.

As all of the state offices are located in Tallahassee, there will be the expense of the state, which we the people will have to pay for, to convert this change. We feel this will be a bad decision on your part if you approve this change.

Thank you for allowing us to voice our opinion. We ask that you consider all pros and cons before making this decision. Respectfully, again, Hal Slade, Vice-president, General Manager of Flint Equipment.*

And that's indicative of pretty much the letters that we have gotten from business owners here.

CHAIRMAN CLARK: Thank you.

COMMISSIONER GARCIA: Mr. Cunningham, you stated that you were favor of Option 1?

WITHESS CUMMINGHAM: Yes, sir.

commissioner GARCIA: I think you probably meant Option 3, just in case you're asking people to write, from letters; at least the ones that we're looking at on this green sheet, which would mean no change for Leon County.

WITHESS CUMMINGHAM: Yes, sir, Option 3.

Did I say 1? I'm sorry. I meant Option 3.

commissioner GARCIA: That's all right. Let me just ask you a quick question, a hypothetical. As a general rule, we in Tallahassee get blamed of doing whatever suits Tallahassee best as opposed to thinking of the greater body of taxpayers and contributors of the state. And I can assume or imagine that the people sitting in Daytona or Jacksonville or Pensacola -- in fact, yesterday in Panama City Beach one gentleman stood up and said, I'll bet you what; in the end the government is going to keep the number to themselves like they always do and abuse their power, and so on and so forth. What would you answer to that?

withess cummingham: Well, I would answer to him as I would anyone else; that he's a taxpayer of the state, and logically and economically -- well, first of all, let me go back to a point that I'd make. Somebody has to change. It's inevitable. As

mentioned before, the explosion in cellular phones,

pagers, this being the largest area code in the state,

spans roughly two states, if you consider Georgia and

Alabama, it's got to be broken up.

The question is where. And logically and emotionally and economically, I would answer this guy -- to this gentleman that we as taxpayers are going to have to pay the expense of reprinting all the state offices' letterhead, business cards and brochures. So given that, this is the logical choice, is to keep the area code here and force Jacksonville and Daytona Beach to change.

I don't have the call amount, but I would guesstimate that if you take in all of the calls on a given day from the other part of the state,

Tallahassee far outweighs calls coming in from the rest of the state than either Jacksonville or Daytona Beach simply because the state offices are here.

COMMISSIONER GARCIA: Thank you.

CHAIRMAM CLARK: Other questions,

Commissioners? Staff or BellSouth or Public Counsel,
any questions? (No response.)

Thank you, Mr. Cunningham.

WITHESS CUMMINGEAM: Thank you.

(Witness Cunningham excused.)

PLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

1	CHAIRMAN CLARK: I have not seen anyone else
2	who has come in to testify or signed up. What we'll
3	do is we'll wait another five minutes, see if somebody
4	else comes in, and if not, we will adjourn the
5	hearing.
6	COMMISSIONER GARCIA: Madam Chair, do we
7	have a date certain for the hearings that we'll hold
8	here for this? It's a curiosity question more than
9	anything.
10	COMMISSIONER KIESLING: December 9th.
11	COMMISSIONER GARCIA: Thank you.
12	CHAIRMAN CLARK: Is it scheduled for one
13	day?
14	MR. PELLEGRIMI: The hearing is scheduled
15	for one day.
16	CHAIRMAN CLARK: All right. We'll stand
17	adjourned until 10 minutes 'til.
18	(Brief recess.)
19	
20	CHAIRMAN CLARK: We'll go back on the
21	record. Mr. Shreve has, anyone else signed up to
22	speak?
23	MR. SHREVE: No one.
24	CHAIRMAN CLARK: All right. I think we have
26	united long arough to see if there are any more

STATE OF PLORIDA) CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER COUNTY OF LEON 3 I, H. RUTHE POTAMI, CSR, RPR Official Commission Reporter, DO HEREBY CERTIFY that the TALLAHASSEE SERVICE MEARING was heard by the Florida Public Service Commission at the time and place herein stated; it is further CERTIFIED that I stenographically reported 7 the said proceedings; that the same has been transcribed under my direct supervision; and that this transcript, consisting of 15 pages, constitutes a true transcription of my notes of said proceedings. 9 10 DATED this 8th day of November, 1996. 11 12 M. RUTHE POTANT, CSR, RPR 13 Official Commission Reporter 14 (904) 413-6734 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23

24

```
interested parties to speak, and there being none, we
    will now adjourn this hearing.
               (Thereupon, the hearing concluded at 6:50
 3
 5
 6
 7
 9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```