BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION DOCKET NO. 970001-EI CONTINUING SURVEILLANCE AND REVIEW OF FUEL COST RECOVERY CLAUSES OF ELECTRIC UTILITIES



Direct Testimony of George M. Bachman On Behalf of Florida Public Utilities Company

Please state your name and business address.

1

Q.

2	A.	George M. Bachman, 401 South Dixie Highway, West Palm Beach, FL
3		33401.
4	Q.	By whom are you employed?
5	A.	I am employed by Florida Public Utilities Company.
6	Ω.	Have you previously testified in this Docket?
7	A.	Yes.
8	Q.	What is the purpose of your testimony at this time?
9	A.	I will briefly describe the basis for the computations that were
10		made in the preparation of the various Schedules that we have
11		submitted in support of the April 1997 - September 1997 fuel cost
12		recovery adjustments for our two electric divisions. In addition,
13		I will advise the Commission of the projected differences between
14		the revenues collected under the levelized fuel adjustment and the
15		purchased power costs allowed in developing the levelized fuel
16		adjustment for the period October 1996 - March 1997 and to
17		establish a "true-up" amount to be collected or refunded during
18		April 1997 - September 1997
19	Q.	Were the schedules filed by your Company completed under your
20		direction?
21	A.	Yes.
22	Q.	Which of the Staff's set of schedules has your company completed
23		and filed?

DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE

We have filed Schedules E1, E1A, E1-B, E1B-1, E2, E7, E8 and E10 1 A. for Marianna and Fernandina Beach. They are included in Composite 2 Prehearing Identification Number GMB-3. 3 These schedules support the calculation of the levelized fuel 4 adjustment factor for April 1997 - September 1997. Schedule E1-B 5 shows the Calculation of Purchased Power Costs and Calculation of True-Up and Interest Provision for the period October 1996 - March 7 1997 based on 2 Months Actual and 4 Months Estimated data. 8 In derivation of the projected cost factor for the April 1997 -9 Q. September 1997 period, did you follow the same procedures that were 10

used in the prior period filings?

A. Yes.

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

- Q Why has the GSLD rate class for Fernandina Beach been excluded from these computations?
- A. Demand and other purchased power costs are assigned to the GSLD rate class directly based on their actual CP KW and their actual KWH consumption. That procedure for the GSLD class has been in use for several years and has not been changed herein. Costs to be recovered from all other classes is determined after deducting from total purchased power costs those costs directly assigned to GSLD.
- Q. How will the demand cost recovery factors for the other rate classes be used?
- A. The demand cost recovery factors for each of the RS, GS, GSD and OL-SL rate classes will become one element of the total cost recovery factor for those classes. All other costs of purchased power will be recovered by the use of the levelized factor that is the same for all those rate classes. Thus the total factor for each class will be the sum of the respective demand cost factor and the levelized factor for all other costs.

Q. Please address the calculation of the total true-up amount to be collected or refunded during the April 1997 - September 1997 period.

- A. We have determined that at the end of March 1997 based on two months actual and four months estimated, we will have over-recovered \$491,914 in purchased power costs in our Marianna division. Based on estimated sales for the period April 1997 September 1997, it will be necessary to subtract .33106¢ per KWH to refund this over-recovery.

 In Fernandina Beach we will have over-recovered \$191,913 in purchased power costs. This amount will be refunded at .14153¢ per KWH during the April 1997 September 1997 period. Page 3 and 12 of Composite Prehearing Identification Number GMB-3 provides a detail of the calculation of the true-up amounts.
- Q. Looking back upon the April 1996 September 1996 period, what were the actual End of Period True-Up amounts for Marianna and Fernandina Beach, and their significance, if any?
- A. The Marianna Division experienced an over-recovery of \$8,729 and Fernandina Beach Division under-recovered \$307,510. The amounts both represent fluctuations of less than 10% from the total fuel charges for the period and are not considered significant variances from projections.
- Q. What are the final remaining true-up amounts for the period April 1996 through September 1996 for both divisions?
- A. In Marianna the final remaining true-up amount was an over-recovery of \$459,638. The final remaining true-up amount for Fernandina Beach was an under-recovery of \$56,002.
- Q. What are the estimated true-up amounts for the period of October
 1996 through March 1997?

1	A.	In Marianna, there is an estimated over-recovery of \$32,276.
2		Fernandina Beach has an estimated over-recovery of \$247,915.
3	Q.	What will the total fuel adjustment factor, excluding demand cost
4		recovery, be for both divisions for the period
5		April 1997 - September 1997?
6	λ.	In Marianna the total fuel adjustment factor as shown on Line 33,
7		Schedule E1, is 2.179¢ per KWH. In Fernandina Beach the total fuel
8		adjustment factor for "other classes", as shown on Line 43,
9		Schedule E1, amounts to 2.8590 per KWH.
10	Q.	Please advise what a residential customer using 1,000 KWH will pay
11		for the period April 1997 - September 1997 including base rates
12		(which include revised conservation cost recovery factors) and fuel
13		adjustment factor and after application of a line loss multiplier.
14	λ.	In Marianna a residential customer using 1,000 KWH will pay \$64.70,
15		a decrease of \$7.38 from the previous period. In Fernandina Beach
16		a customer will pay \$65.35, an decrease of \$6.28 from the previous
17		period.
18	Q.	Does this conclude your testimony?
19	A.	Yes.
20	Disk	Fuel 1/97 Doc 970001-ei
21	9700	01-ei-test-gb
22		