DOCUMENT HUMBER-DATE

## BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION 2 3 5 DOCKET NO. 970001-EU 6 In the Matter of Fuel and purchased power cost recovery clause and generating performance incentive factor. 9 10 11 12 13 PROCEEDINGS: PREHEARING CONFERENCE 14 BEFORE: COMMISSIONER J. TERRY DEASON Prehearing Officer 16 Wednesday, February 5, 1997 17 DATE: Commenced at 11:00 a.m. 18 TIME: Concluded at 12:15 p.m. 19 Betty Easley Conference Center PLACE: 20 Room 148 4075 Esplanade Way Tallahassee, Florida 21 22 REPORTED BY: H. RUTHE POTAMI, CSR, RPR Official Commission Reporter 23 24

## APPEARANCES:

2

3

9

12

14

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

JAMES A. McGEE, Post Office Box 14042, 3201

34th Street South, St. Petersburg, Florida 33733-4042,
appearing on behalf of Florida Power Corporation.

MATTHEW M. CHILDS, Steel, Hector & Davis,
215 South Monroe Street, Suite 601, Tallahassee,
Florida 32301, appearing on behalf of Florida Power &
Light Company.

WILLIAM WILLINGHAM, Rutledge, Ecenia,
Underwood, Purnell and Hoffman, P.A, Post Office Box
551, 215 South Monroe Street, Suite 420, Tallahassee,
Florida 32302-0551, appearing on behalf of Florida
Public Utilities Company.

JEFFREY A. STONE, Beggs & Lane, 700 Blount Building, 3 West Garden Street, Post Office Box 12950, Pensacola, Florida 32576-2950, appearing on behalf of Gulf Power Company.

JAMES D. BEASLEY, Ausley & McMullen, Post
Office Box 391, Tallahassee, Florida 32302, appearing
on behalf of Tampa Electric Company.

WICKI GORDON KAUFMAN, McWhirter, Reeves,
McGlothlin, Davidson, Rief and Bakas, 315 South
Gadsden Street, Tallahassee, Florida 32301, appearing
on behalf of Florida Industrial Power Users Group.

## APPEARANCES CONTINUED:

JOHN ROGER HOWE, Deputy Public Counsel,

Office of Public Counsel, c/o The Florida Legislature,

111 West Madison Street, Room 812, Tallahassee,

Florida 32399-1400, appearing on behalf of the

Citizens of the State of Florida.

VICEI D. JOHNSON, Florida Public Service
Commission, Division of Legal Services, 2540 Shumard
Oak Boulevard, Tallahassee, Florida 32399-0870,
appearing on behalf of the Commission Staff.

## PROCEEDINGS

(Hearing convened at 11:00 a.m.)

commissioner DEASON: If I can have everyone's attention, please, we'll go ahead and call the prehearing conference order. I would note for the record that due to the heavy fog, that some participants are going to be a little bit late in their arrival. I think they are just arriving.

We will go ahead and begin. Given that all the parties, I believe, are here at this time, is there any preference to which docket we take first?

Staff?

MS. JOHNSON: Staff would like to proceed with the 07 docket first -- it only involves one party -- and probably dispose of that rather quickly.

COMMISSIONER DEASON: Okay. Well, let's go ahead and have the notice read, please.

MS. JOHNSON: By notice issued January 13, 1997, a prehearing conference was set in Docket
No. 970001-EI, Fuel and Purchase Power Cost Recovery
Clause and Generating Performance Incentive Factor,
Docket No. 970002-EG, Conservation Cost Recovery
Clause, Docket No. 970003-GU, Purchased Gas
Adjustment, and Docket No. 970007-EI, Environmental
Cost Recovery Clause.

COMMISSIONER DEASON: Thank you. We'll go ahead and take appearances for all of the docket today. MR. CHILDS: Commissioner, my name is Matthew Childs with the firm of Steel, Hector and Davis, appearing on behalf of Florida Power & Light Company. MR. BEASLEY: Commissioner, I'm James D. Beasley appearing with Lee L. Willis, both of the firm of Ausley & McMullen appearing on behalf of Tampa Electric Company in the 01, 02 and 07 dockets. MR. PALECKI: Michael Palecki, City Gas 12 Company of Florida, appearing on the 02 and 03 dockets. 14 MS. KRUFMAN: Vicki Gordon Kaufman, 15 McWhirter, Reeves, McGlothlin, Davidson, Rief, Bakas, on behalf of the Florida Industrial Power Users Group in the 01, 02 and 07 dockets. MR. HORTON: Norman H. Horton, Jr. of the 19 law firm of Messer, Caparello & Self, on behalf of 20 West Florida Natural Gas in the 02 docket, Sebring Gas 21 System, Inc., South Florida Natural Gas, and West 22 Florida "atural Gas in the 03 docket. 23

521

Power Corporation in both the 02 and the 01 dockets.

MR. McGEE: James McGee on behalf of Florida

2.00	Name (1)   10   10   10   10   10   10   10
1	MR. STONE: Jeffrey A. Stone, and with me is
2	Russell A. Badders of the law firm Beggs & Lane
3	representing Gulf Power Company in the 01 and 02.
4	MR. WILLINGHAM: Bill Willingham of the law
5	firm Rutledge, Ecenia, Underwood, Purnell & Hoffman on
6	behalf of Florida Public Utilities Company in the 01,
7	02 and 03 dockets.
8	MR. LaVIA: Jay LaVia with the law firm of
9	Landers & Parsons for People's Gas in the 02 docket.
10	MR. MICHOLSON: David Nicholson, law firm of
11	Macfarlane, Ferguson & McMullen, on behalf of People's
12	Gas in the 03 docket.
13	MR. SHIEFELBEIN: Wayne Shiefelbein, Gatlin,
14	Schiefelbein & Cowdery appearing on behalf of
15	Chesapeake Utilities Corporation in the 02 and 03
16	dockets.
17	MR. HOWE: I'm Roger Howe with the Office of
18	Public Counsel appearing on behalf of the Citizens of
19	the State of Florida in the 01, 02, 03 and 07 dockets.
20	MS. JOHNSON: Vicki Johnson on behalf of the
21	Commission Staff in the 01 and 07 lockets.
22	MR. KERTING: Cochran Keating on behalf of
23	the Commission Staff in the 03 docket.
24	MS. WAGHER: Lorna Wagner on behalf of
25	Commission Staff for the 02 docket.

\* \* \* \*

..

COMMISSIONER DEASON: Are there any preliminary matters in the 01 docket?

MS. JOHNSON: Staff is not aware of any.

MR. HOWE: Commissioner Deason, I want to point out that our office filed a motion in this docket against Florida Power Corporation.

We have not yet received a response. In fact, it is not yet time to file a response, but I wanted you to be aware of the pleading.

COMMISSIONER DEASON: Yes, I'm aware of the pleading, and let me ask Mr. McGee. Mr. McGee, I assume that you've been served with this motion; is that correct?

MR. McGEE: I received a copy of it by fax yesterday.

COMMISSIONER DEASON: Are you prepared to address it at this time, or how do you wish to proceed?

MR. McGEE: Well, this also relates to, I guess, Issue 14d in the draft prehearing order. The motion asks that we be precluded from filing additional testimony, and since we have no intention or plans to file additional testimony, I would submit that the motion is moot.

COMMISSIONER DEASON: Mr. Howe?

MR. HOWS: Well, it's our belief that the Company has an obligation to establish on a preliminary basis its entitlement to fuel cost recovery both for the final true-up period, the partial true-up period and the projected period. And we don't believe the Company has offered any direct testimony in its prefilings that would support recovery of such costs as it relates to the extended ongoing outage at the Crystal River 3 nuclear unit.

standpoint if the Company is going to concede that they haven't filed any testimony addressing this outage or a justification why customers should be burdened with the replacement fuel costs, we will certainly be satisfied with that record going forward.

commissioner DEASON: If it is Power Corp's intent not to file any supplemental testimony, do you agree, then, that your motion is moot? I'm just trying to ascertain if there's any action I need to take as a prehearing officer at this time or prior to the hearing so that the other Commissioners will be totally informed as to the status of this docket.

MR. HOWE: I understand. The reason I can't really respond directly is because I don't know what

the Company plans to do, for example, when it puts its witnesses on the stand; if they intend to try to at that time address this issue. And that was the reason why we stated in the motion that it looks to us like the stage is being set for the Company at some future date, either at the prehearing conference or at the hearing itself, to address the extended outage, and we don't think that would be appropriate. We've had no chance to address it.

commissioner DEASON: Very well. Well, I would note, then, that for purposes of the prehearing conference today, that the motion has been filed. The time for a formal response has not yet run. Power Corp may file a response if they are so inclined.

I am not going to address the motion today.

If it is not addressed prior to the hearing, Mr. Howe,
you may certainly renew your motion at the time if
there is an attempt to, in your opinion, to improperly
supplement testimony, which is the subject matter of
this motion; but I'm not going to make any ruling
today. Very well.

Are there any other preliminary matters in the 01 docket? Very well. We will proceed, then, through the draft prehearing order.

Section 1, Case Background; Section 2,

Confidential Information; Section 3, Prefiled Testimony and Exhibits; Section 4, Order of Witnesses. MR. CHILDS: Commissioner, I believe in the 3 order of witnesses, Florida Power & Light has a change here. We also have a change later on having to do with our exhibits that was inadvertently omitted. What we have done is typed up these changes 7 and given them to Staff. Rather than going through and reading them to you, I prefer to do it that way, if it's acceptable. COMMISSIONER DEASON: Very well. Does Staff 11 have that information? 12 MS. JOHNSON: Yes. 13 COMMISSIONER DEASON: If any other parties 14 wish that information, I'm sure that Mr. Childs will 15 provide that to you. Any other questions or concerns to the order of witnesses? Section 5. Basic Positions; changes or 18 corrections? Section 6, Issues and positions; Issue 19 1, changes or corrections? 20 MR. HOWE: Commissioner Deason, Public 21 Counsel would take the position with respect to Florida Power Corporation as follows: "Replacement fuel costs associated with the ongoing extended outage

at the Crystal River No. 3 nuclear unit should be

excluded for fuel cost recovery purposes during the April through September 1997 projection period." 2 That will be our position with respect to 3 Issues 1 through 4, and Issue 7. COMMISSIONER DEASON: Are you taking a 5 position in reference to any of the other utility companies for those issues? 7 MR. HOWE: No, sir. 8 COMMISSIONER DEASON: Ms. Kaufman? 9 MS. FAUFMAN: Commissioner Deason, FIPUG 10 agrees with Public Counsel on the issues that he 11 mentioned, and we are taking no position on the other generic fuel issues. 13 COMMISSIONER DEASON: Very well. Any 14 changes or corrections to Staff positions or company 15 positions within Issue 1? 17 MS. JOHNSON: No, none for Staff. COMMISSIONER DEASON: Issue 2? Issue 3? 18 MR. BEASLEY: Commissioner, Tampa Electric 19 Company's position on Issue 3, as shown in the middle of Page 8 of the prehearing order, has a typo in it. 21 The 1,580,623 should be \$1,590,623. We've discussed 22 23 that with the Staff. COMMISSIONER DEASON: And you are now in 24

agreement with Staff; is that correct?

MR. BEASLEY: Yes, sir. COMMISSIONER DEASON: Any other changes to positions for Issue 3? Issue 4? 3 ME. JOHNSON: Staff has a change with respect to Florida Power Corp. Our position should state: "2.385 cents per Kwh adjusted for 7 jurisdictional losses." COMMISSIONER DEASON: So you are now in 8 agreement with Power Corp? 9 10 MS. JOHNSON: That's correct. COMMISSIONER DEASON: Any other changes for 11 12 Issue 4? MR. CHILDS: Commissioner, I thought that 13 for Florida Power & Light, and maybe some of the other 15 companies, that there was no disagreement with the position Staff and FPL have taken by FIPUG and Office of Public Counsel, but I think their position has been left as "No position at this time" on Issues 2, 3 and 18 19 now 4. COMMISSIONER DEASON: I believe that they're 20 taking no position except for, as Mr. Howe just explained, as it pertains to Florida Power 22 23 Corporation. MR. EOWE: That's correct, Commissioner 24

Deason. I believe the position I stated for Florida

1	Power Corporation would apply to Issues 1 through 4
2	and Issue 7 for the generic issues and, otherwise, our
3	position would be "No position."
4	COMMISSIONER DEASON: And that is the same
5	for FIPUG?
6	MB. KAUPMAN: Yes, sir.
7	MR. CHILDS: Thank you.
8	COMMISSIONER DEASON: So for those issues
9	where the Company and Staff are in agreement, it
10	appears that there is a stipulation?
11	MS. JOHNSON: That's correct, and it will be
12	reflected in the prehearing order.
13	COMMISSIONER DEASON: Very well. Issue 5?
14	MS. JOHNSON: Issue 5, it appears it can be
15	shown as a stipulation if FIPUG is in agreement with
16	the positions that are shown.
17	MS. KAUFMAM: We'll take no position on that
18	issue.
19	COMMISSIONER DEASON: With that, then, I
20	believe Issue 5 can be shown as a stipulation. Issue
21	6?
22	MS. JOHNSON: Staff has a has
23	modifications to that issue. Our position currently
24	states for all the companies that we take no position
25	at this time. Staff's position is the same as the
	TO A STATE OF THE PARTY OF THE

12.0	7.
1	numbers that are reflected for the companies.
2	COMMISSIONER DEASON: Therefore Issue 6 car
3	be shown as a stipulation?
4	MS. JOHNSON: Yes.
5	COMMISSIONER DEASON: Very well. Issue 7?
6	MS. JOHNSON: On Issue 7, Staff would like
7	to modify our position with respect to Florida Power
8	Corp. Again, we are in agreement Staff and the
9	Company's numbers are in agreement as reflected for
10	Plorida Power Corp.
11	COMMISSIONER DEASON: Is there agreement
12	also for the other companies?
13	MS. JOHNSON: That's correct.
14	COMMISSIONER DEASON: Very well. That can
15	be shown as a stipulation.
16	Issue 8 there's agreement here also, is
17	there not?
18	MS. JOHNSON: Just so that the record is
19	clear, the stipulation on Issue 7 is with respect to
20	all companies except Florida Power Corp.
21	COMMISSIONER DEASON: Yes. I'm glad you
22	made that clarification, but that is the situation.
23	Okay.
24	Issue 8, it appears there is agreement; is

MS. JOHNSON: Yes, that is. COMMISSIONER DEASON: Very well. Issue 9? MS. JOHNSON: Issue 9, Staff has two minor 3 corrections to our position. On Page 19, paragraph -the paragraph that's No. 2, the last word should be changed from "bulletin" to "order". And in Paragraph 4, the third line down the word "that" should be 7 changed to "than". 8 MR. BEASLEY: What was that second one? 9 MS. JOHNSON: The second change? 10 MR. BEASLEY: Yes. 11 MS. JOHNSON: Third line in Paragraph 4, 12 first word "that" should be changed to "than," 14 T-H-A-N. COMMISSIONER DEASON: Mr. McGee, you've 15 issued a supplemental position sheet, and you show 16 that you're in agreement with Staff; is that correct? 17 MR. McGEE: That's correct with respect to 18 19 Issue 9, yes. COMMISSIONER DEASON: Are there any other 20 positions taken by other companies? MR. BEASLEY: Tampa Electric is in agreement 22 23 with the Staff's position on this issue. MR. CHILDS: Florida Power & light will 24

accept that position.

MR. STONE: Commissioner Deason, we've had some discussions with Staff, and we can agree with Staff's position. Our concerns were that in the event that there may be problems in actually accomplishing the booking of adjustments in the same calendar month due to the scheduling of the fly-over, we needed some assurance that we would be able to ask for some leeway there. Staff has assured us that we can ask for 9 leeway, that the fact that this is an order was not intended to preclude us from coming and discussing 11 individual problems as they may arise. And with that 12 understanding, we can agree with Staff. COMMISSIONER DEASON: Mr. Willingham, I 14 don't think you have any coal piles. 15 MR. WILLINGHAM: No, that's not relevant to 16 Florida Public Utilities. 17 COMMISSIONER DEASON: Does Public Counsel or 18 FIFUG have a position? 19 MS. KAUFMAN: We'll take no position on this 20 21 issue. 22

MR. HOWE: No position.

23

25

COMMISSIONER DEASON: With that, then, I think that Issue 9 can be shown as a stipulation.

MS. JOHNSON: That's correct.

1	COMMISSIONER DEASON: With the understanding
2	as expressed by Mr. Stone.
3	Issue 10?
4	MR. McGEE: Florida Power will agree with
5	Staff.
6	COMMISSIONER DEASON: To defer the issue?
7	MR. McGEE: Yes; and that would be the same
8	on Issues 10, 11, 12 and 13.
9	MR. BEASLEY: Tampa Electric agrees with the
10	Staff that this matter should be deferred.
11	MR. STONE: Gulf Power, likewise, agrees all
12	the issues that Mr. McGee enumerated, that they should
13	be deferred.
14	MR. WILLINGHAM: And Florida Public
15	Utilities also supports Mr. McGee's.
16	MR. CHILDS: Florida Power & Light agrees to
17	defer Issues 10 through 13.
18	COMMISSIONER DEASON: Mr. Howe?
19	MR. HOWE: We agree to a deferral.
20	COMMISSIONER DEASON: Ms. Kaufman?
21	MS. EAUFHAN: FIPUG agrees, as well.
22	COMMISSIONER DEASON: And obviously Staff
23	agrees.
24	ME. JOHNSON: Yes.
25	COMMISSIONER DEASON: Very well. Those

1	issues will be deferred. We will move then to company
2	specific issues, and we will begin with Issue 14a.
3	MS. KAUFMAN: FIPUG has no position on 14a.
4	MR. HOWE: Public Counsel will take no
5	position on 14a.
6	COMMISSIONER DEASON: Any other changes to
7	positions on 14a?
8	MS. JOHNSON: The Company and the Staff are
9	in agreement it can be shown as a stipulation.
10	COMMISSIONER DEASON: Mr. McGee, you're in
11	agreement with that?
12	MR. MoGEE: Yes.
13	COMMISSIONER DEASON: Very well. Show that
14	as a stipulation. Issue 14b?
15	MS. JOHNSON: Staff has a position on 14b.
16	It was handed out earlier. If there are no parties
17	that have copies of it, we have additional copies.
18	COMMISSIONER DEASON: Staff has distributed
19	their position on 14b. Mr. McGee, you, likewise, have
20	distributed a position; is that correct?
21	MR. McGEE: That's correct. It was on the
22	handout. I attempted to get it to all the parties.
23	If I missed anyone, I have some extras.
24	COMMISSIONER DEASON: Ms. Kaufman?
25	MS. EAUFHAN: Commissioner Deason, I've

discussed issues 14b and c and the related capacity issues with Staff and with Power Corp.

because these transactions have not yet been approved by the Commission. They're pending in another docket. I will provide FIPUG's position to Staff, but let me state my understanding on the record. And that is that these costs are allowed to be passed through. They will be subject to being revisited in August, whether or not the transaction is approved as well as to what type of allocation is appropriate; and if that understanding is agreeable, then we can provide our position to that effect.

COMMISSIONER DEASON: Is that Staff's understanding?

ME. JOHNSON: Yes.

COMMISSIONER DEASON: Mr. McGee, that is your understanding?

MR. McGEE: That is acceptable, yes.

COMMISSIONER DEASON: Mr. Howe?

MR. HOWE: Commissioner Deason, for both

issues 14b and 14c our position will be "no".

COMMISSIONER DEASON: Is it anticipated that these issues will be addressed during the hearing?

MR. McGEE: Yes.

25

3

11

12

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

COMMISSIONER DEASON: All right. I think that then addresses 14b and 14c. 14d?

MR. McGEE: Commissioner, on Florida Power's position, the draft prehearing order picked up our position on a generic -- I mean, on a company specific GPIF issue. The correct Florida Power position is reflected on the handout for 14d.

COMMISSIONER DEASON: Okay. And you have distributed that. Public Counsel's position is "no," and FIPUG is in agreement with that position. Staff's position is, the issue should be deferred; is that correct?

MS. JOHNSON: That's correct.

MR. McGEE: And Florida Power concurs with that position of Staff, as well.

COMMISSIONER DEASON: Mr. Howe, I take it that you are not in agreement that the issue should be deferred; is that correct?

MR. HOWE: That's correct; and if I might state my reasons. We feel that if a deferral is appropriate, it's incumbent upon the stility to make the appropriate showing.

As things now stand, as we understand it, the Company is asking to recover replacement fuel costs for the month of September, 1996 as well as

replacement fuel costs for the period October 1st,

1996 through March 1st, 1997 in their current filing;

and we think it's -- if a deferral is appropriate, the

Company should make some showing that they need a

deferral, that they are not prepared to put on their

case.

But as things now stand, we see no evidentiary presentation being offered to justify those costs, and we believe a deferral would be inappropriate since it would burden the customers with replacement fuel costs for those two periods.

COMMISSIONER DEASON: It's your position
that Florida Power Corporation has not offered
evidence in the form of prefiled testimony addressing
this issue; is that correct?

MR. HOWE: That's correct.

MR. McGEE: May I respond?

COMMISSIONER DEASON: Yes.

MR. McGEE: The question of
Crystal River 3's outage was put at issue by Public
Counsel in its preliminary statement of issues and
positions filed well after the prefiled testimony was
in.

Florida Power believes that the procedure that ought to be followed is the practice that's been

used in dealing with past outages at nuclear units, and that would be to allow the opportunity for that outage to be completed so as not to duplicate the efforts necessary to conduct a hearing now and then ongoing hearings in the future as the outage concludes; and that the appropriate procedure would be to set a date for this to be -- or a schedule, I should say, for this to be conducted on its own separate track, and that while that is pending, that fuel costs continue to be recovered with the understanding that they're subject to refund based on the outcome of that separate decision.

COMMISSIONER DEASON: Mr. Howe, you have no witness addressing this issue; is that correct?

MR. HOWE: No, sir. And our position is that we have no evidence offered by the Company to address.

On the point Mr. McGee made about us raising the issue, we raised it in both our preliminary list of issues and positions and in our prehearing statement. However, the Company filed, for example, final true-up testimony, I believe it was on November 19th of 1996, addressing the final true-up for the period April through September 1996.

The unit, as we understand it, came off line

on September 2nd of 1996, and that true-up filing doesn't even mention the outage, the cause of the outage, or attempt to establish that the responsibility of the outage does not rest with the Company and its stockholders.

I mean, this is the must-run unit, as we characterize it, on Florida Power Corporation's system. It's been off line now for what, six months, and they have offered nothing. They just said, let us have the replacement fuel costs that we've incurred since September 2nd to April 1st. And absent some showing on the Company's part, we cannot agree to a deferral at this time if it requires the customers to pay those replacement fuel costs.

ascertain the best procedural way to address this issue if it is not going to be deferred. Since there are no witnesses addressing this particular matter, it seems to me that if the issue itself is going to be addressed, perhaps can be addressed in the form of an oral argument before the panel at the time of the hearing. Is there any objection to that procedure?

MR. HOWE: I have no objection.

COMMISSIONER DEASON: Staff?

MS. JOHNSON: We have no objection. COMMISSIONER DEASON: What would be an 2 appropriate time limit for that? It appears that it 3 may be a little more complex than five minutes would cover. Would ten minutes be sufficient? 5 MR. HOWE: Ten or fifteen, I think, would be adequate. MR. McGEE: Ten would be fine. COMMISSIONER DEASON: Staff? Ten or fifteen 10 minutes? MS. JOHNSON: Yes; ten minutes I think is 11 sufficient. COMMISSIONER DEASON: I'm going to put a 13 fifteen-minute limitation just in an abundance of caution, but I would certainly encourage parties if they can conclude their argument in enough detail in less than fifteen minutes, it certainly would be appreciated by the panel. Issue 14e? 19 MR. HOWE: Commissioner Deason, Public 20 Counsel would take the same position we took with respect to Issues 1 through 4 and Issue 7. 22 MS. FAUFMAM: FIPUG would agree with that, 23 agree with Public Counsel. 24

25

COMMISSIONER DEASON: Does this issue relate

to the oral argument we're going to be anticipating for Issue 14d? MR. McGEE: Yes, it does. COMMISSIONER DEASON: I thought that it would. So Mr. Howe, do you agree with that? MR. HOWE: In a sense it does. As I understand it, I believe the Company's position -- and correct me if I'm mischaracterizing it -- would be that whether there's a deferral or not for hearings on the issue of the Crystal River 3 outage, the Company would want to recover the true-up costs over a 12-month period. COMMISSIONER DEASON: Well, to the extent 13 parties want to address this within their oral argument, they will be certainly free to do so. Okay. Are there any other company specific 16 issues for Florida Power Corporation? 17 Very well. We will proceed then to Issue 18 19 15a. MS. JOHNSON: Staff and the Company are in 20 agreement in our positions on Issue 15a. 21 COMMISSIONER DEASON: Ms. Kaufman? 22 MS. KRUFMAN: FIPUG has no position on that 23 issue. 24 MR. HOWE: The same for Public Counsel, "No 25

position." COMMISSIONER DEASON: Show then 15a as a 3 stipulation. Issue 15b? ME. JOHNSON: Staff and the Company again are in agreement. 5 MS. KAUFMAN: FIPUG has no position. COMMISSIONER DEASON: Mr. Howe? 7 MR. HOWE: No position. 8 COMMISSIONER DEASON: Very well. Show issue 15b as a stipulation. Issue 16? 10 11 MS. JOHNSON: Commissioner Deason, it's our intent to raise an additional issue for Tampa Electric 13 Company, so Issue 16 would become Issue 16a. COMMISSIONER DEASON: Are you suggesting, 14 15 then, that Issue 16, as it is presently presented, is not necessary? 17 MS. JOHNSON: No. It's my position that what's currently shown as Issue 16, in the final 18 19 prehearing order will be labeled 16a. I just wanted to make that note. 20 21 COMMISSIONER DEASON: Very well. Okay. Issue 16 will become Issue 16a. The text of the issue remains the same. I noticed that TECO and Staff are 23 in agreement. Ms. Kaufman?

MS. KAUFMAN: We have no position.

COMMISSIONER DEASON: Mr. Howe? MR. HOWE: We will agree with Staff. 2 COMMISSIONER DEASON: Very well. Show, 3 then, that Issue 16a can be shown as a stipulation. New Issue 16b has been distributed; is that correct? MS. JOHNSON: That's correct. The wording of the issue is "How should Tampa Electric Company reflect wholesale fuel revenues for the fuel cost recovery purposes." 9 COMMISSIONER DEASON: And, Staff, you have 10 distributed your position as well. 11 MS. JOHNSON: That's correct. 12 COMMISSIONER DEASON: Does TECO have a 13 position at this time? 14 MR. BEASLEY: Commissioner, we just received 15 16 this, of course, and I would like to have an opportunity to formulate a position on it. Just preliminarily, it appears it may be unnecessary, because the first portion of the Staff's position on this issue simply acknowledges the fact that the Commission will be voting a pending issue at its February 18th agenda conference, but we will submit a 22 formal position on this issue. 23 COMMISSIONER DEASON: Very well. 24

Ms. Kaufman, I guess you want the time to take a

1	position, as well, as you see fit?
2	MS. KAUFHAM: That's correct. Thank you,
3	Commissioner.
4	COMMISSIONER DEASON: Mr. Howe?
5	MR. HOWE: The same is true for our office.
6	COMMISSIONER DEASON: Very well. Staff,
7	when do you need these positions formulated and
8	presented to you?
9	MS. JOHNSON: The hearing is, I believe,
10	scheduled for the 19th; so one week prior to the
11	hearing, which would make it the 12th.
12	COMMISSIONER DEASON: Any objection to doing
13	that? Very well. Issue 17?
14	MS. JOHNSON: I'd just like to note that our
15	position refers to a Staff Attachment 1. It was
16	omitted from the copies that were made today. I think
17	that we've handed out copies of our attachment to all
18	of the parties. We have additional copies, if needed.
19	COMMISSIONER DEASON: There is agreement as
20	to Issue 17, is there not?
21	MS. JOHNSON: There is with respect to Staff
22	and Florida Power Corp, Gulf and TECO. FIPUG and OPC
23	have not taken positions at this time.
24	MS. KAUPHAN: FIPUG has no position on Issue

17 and 18.

1	COMMISSIONER DEASON: Very well. Mr. Howe?
2	MR. HOWE: We have no position on Issue 17.
3	Should I address 18 at this time?
4	COMMISSIONER DEASON: Yes, please.
5	MR. HOWE: On Issue 18 we believe the GPIF
6	targets and ranges for Florida Power Corporation for
7	the upcoming projection period should be those as
8	reflected in the originally filed testimony the
9	Company submitted on January 13th, 1997, not as
10	revised on January 31st, 1997.
11	COMMISSIONER DEASON: And you take no
12	position in regards to the other three companies?
13	MR. HOWE: That's correct.
14	COMMISSIONER DEASON: So with that, Issue 17
15	can be shown as a stipulation; is that correct?
16	MS. JOHNSON: Yes.
17	COMMISSIONER DEASON: And Issue 18?
18	MR. HOWE: And on Issue 18 I might ask for a
19	clarification. I realize I've already stated my
	position, but it's my understanding that the Company
21	has revised its testimony and it seeks to change its
22	GPIF targets and ranges for the upcoming projection
23	period over what was originally submitted.

25 prehearing statement we had included a company

specific GPIF issue which read "How should FPC's Crystal River 3 nuclear unit be treated in the GPIF for the April-September 1997 period in view of the unit's extended outage?" And then our position on that was inadvertently picked up and shown as our position for, I think, Issue 14d.

And, yes, we have revised the GPIF to exclude CR-3 from the GPIF in its entirety for that six-month period. This was done as a result of some discussions with the Staff to determine how past nuclear outages were treated for GPIF purposes.

just explaining that he had presented a company specific issue as it relates to GPIF and the CR-3 outage, and he presented a position on that. Is it Staff's intent to add that as an issue, or is it Staff's intent to address that within Issue 18?

MS. JOHNSON: May we have a moment to confer?

COMMISSIONER DEASON: Sure.

ws. JOHNSON: (Pause) It was our understanding that that company specific issue could be subsumed in Issue 18. However, if the Company wishes to raise it as a specific issue, then we're prepared to take a position.

COMMISSIONER DEASON: I think for clarity, it may be better to have it as a specific issue. And you're willing to have that language, as you presented it, incorporated, Mr. McGee?

MR. McGEE: Yes.

COMMISSIONER DEASON: Staff, you're going to incorporate that and you'll be formulating a position to that specific issue?

MS. JOHNSON: No. We can take the position that we're in agreement that Crystal River 3 should be removed from Florida Power Corp's calculation for the upcoming period.

COMMISSIONER DEASON: And, Mr. Howe, you disagree with that position?

MR. HOWE: That's correct. I believe the Company should be bound by its originally filed GPIF target and ranges testimony from, I believe, it was January 13th 1997.

And I would state further, I think we're developing somewhat of an inconsistency because, as I understand the Company's position on the fuel cost recovery in general -- I was looking at the handout that Mr. McGee gave us -- FPC has not revised its projections for the April-September, '97 period, which currently shows CR-3 in service throughout the summer

1	period.
2	So you're going to have the Company on the
3	one hand filing fuel cost recovery factors showing th
4	units on line throughout the summer period and GPIF
5	targets saying that it's not.
6	COMMISSIONER DEASON: Very well. I
7	understand. Can you just formulate a concise
8	statement of position and give that to Staff? Staff
9	will incorporate the issue.
10	MR. HOWE: Do I understand that that would
11	be a company specific GPIF issue, then?
12	COMMISSIONER DEASON: That's my
13	understanding.
14	MR. McGEE: The wording for that was shown
15	in our prehearing statement as Issue No. 13.
16	MR. HOWE: And what will the issue number
17	be? I can provide a position. Would that be 18a,
18	17a?
19	COMMISSIONER DEASON: Staff?
20	MS. JOHNSON: No. It probably will become
21	Issue 19, and issues that are currently labeled 19
22	through 26 would be changed.
23	COMMISSIONER DEASON: Let's don't do that.

Let's leave these numbered as they are. Let's give it

a designation within either 17 -- either Issue 17 as

	TO A TOTAL PROPERTY OF THE CONTROL O
1	"a" and then add this as 17b, or Issue 18 as 18a and
2	add this as 18b, whichever would be more appropriate.
3	MS. JOHNSON: We'll then call it 18b, and
4	Issue 18 will be Issue 18a.
5	COMMISSIONER DEASON: Very well. I take it
6	that this particular issue probably is amenable to
7	having it addressed within the oral argument we
8	contemplate for the other like issues?
9	MR. MoGEE: Yes, that would be our position.
10	MR. HOWE: Yes, sir.
11	COMMISSIONER DEASON: Likewise, this should
12	be done within the 15-minute allowance. Any other
13	questions or concerns with the generic GPIF issues?
14	Any other concerns with the Florida Power Corporation
15	specific GPIF issue?
16	We'll proceed then to Issue 19. Does Public
17	Counsel have a position?
18	MR. HOWE: We'll take no position.
19	COMMISSIONER DEASON: Ms. Kaufman?
20	MS. KRUPMAN: No position.
21	COMMISSIONER DEASON: Issue 19, then, can be
22	stipulated; is that correct?
23	MS. JOHNSON: Yes.
24	COMMISSIONER DEASON: Very well. Issue 20?
20	we comment. Staff has a nosition for

	### #################################
1	Florida Power Corp. Our position is "8,476,769
2	underrecovery."
3	COMMISSIONER DEASON: Did you say under?
4	MS. JOHNSON: Yes.
5	COMMISSIONER DEASON: Very well. So there
6	is agreement?
7	MS. JOHNSON: That's correct.
8	COMMISSIONER DEASON: Mr. Howe?
9	MR. HOWE: No position.
10	COMMISSIONER DEASON: Ms. Kaufman?
11	MS. KAUFMAN: No position.
12	COMMISSIONER DEASON: Very well. Issue 20
13	can be shown as a stipulation. Issue 21?
14	MS. JOHNSON: For Issue 21, Staff is
15	prepared to take a position. Our position is the same
16	as that shown for Florida Power Corp.
17	COMMISSIONER DEASON: Very well. Mr. Howe?
18	MR. HOWE: No position.
19	CONMISSIONER DEASON: Ms. Kaufman?
20	MS. KAUFMAN: No position.
21	COMMISSIONER DEASON: Very well. Issue 21
22	can be shown as a stipulation. Issue 22?
23	MS. JOHNSON: The same is true on Issue 22
24	with respect to Florida Power Corp, and that is Issue
20	22 and 22 streets nogition can be reflected to be

1	the same as Florida Power Corp.
2	COMMISSIONER DEASON: And there is agreement
3	for TECO as well. Public Counsel position on issues
4	22 and 23?
5	MR. HOWE: No position.
6	MS. KAUPMAN: No position.
7	COMMISSIONER DEASON: Very well, Issues 22
8	and 23 will be shown as stipulations. Issue 24a?
9	MS. JOHNSON: Staff has a position for Issue
10	24a. 24a and b, I'll note that our position is very
11	similar to the wording that we've handed out for Issue
12	14b and c. It's just the replacement of the words
13	"energy" with "capacity" and "fuel and purchased power
14	costs" with "capacity costs."
15	If you prefer, I can read it into the
16	record.
17	COMMISSIONER DEASON: No, that's not
18	necessary. That is for Issue 24a and b?
19	MS. JOHNSON: That's correct.
20	COMMISSIONER DEASON: Does Florida Power
21	Corporation have a position?
22	MR. McGEE: Yes. Would ask that our
23	positions under 14b and c be inserted in for 24a and
24	b, respectively.

COMMISSIONER DEASON: Very well.

1	Ms. Kaufman?
2	MS. KAUFMAN: We have a similar concern with
3	these two issues that we expressed earlier on 14b and
4	c, and we will be providing that language to Staff.
5	COMMISSIONER DEASON: Mr. Howe?
6	MR. HOWE: Our position would be "no" for
7	both issues.
8	COMMISSIONER DEASON: Issue 25?
9	MS. JOHNSON: On Issue 25, Staff and Florida
10	Power & Light are in agreement.
11	COMMISSIONER DEASON: Ms. Kautman?
12	MS. KAUFMAN: No position.
13	COMMISSIONER DEASON: Mr. Howe?
14	MR. HOWE: No position.
15	COMMISSIONER DEASON: Very well. Issue 25
16	can be shown as a stipulation. Issue 26, Staff,
17	you're recommending that this matter be deferred?
18	MS. JOHNSON: Yes, that's correct. It's my
19	understanding that the parties have entered into a
20	stipulation. We don't have any problem with a
21	stipulation. We've just indicated that we feel that
22	the issue should be heard as a separate in a
23	separate docket by the full Commission.
24	COMMISSIONER DEASON: Any objection to that

procedure?

Deason. I would point out that in discussions between myself and Mr. Beasley, I believe it's acceptable to all parties. We wanted to refer to the fact that what the Commission ultimately does with nonfuel revenue at a future date may affect how Tampa Electric reports its earnings on its surveillance reports, and it has agreed that -- and we have agreed that the surveillance reports will be adjusted as necessary going back to the time when Tampa Electric began receiving revenues under the two wholesale contracts in question, depending on how the Commission finally resolves the issue.

COMMISSIONER DEASON: Mr. Beasley?

MR. BEASLEY: That's correct, Commissioner.

COMMISSIONER DEASON: Is this a stipulation
that you wish presented to the fuel panel for
consideration or just -- since the issue itself is
going to be deferred?

MR. HOWE: I just wanted to clarify that it's our understanding that although it's being deferred in the fuel docket, that the future decision may have some effect on the way surveillance reports are reported to the Commission.

COMMISSIONER DEASON: And, Mr. Beasley

you're on record as in agreement with that? MR. BEASLEY: That's correct. 2 COMMISSIONER DEASON: But the issue itself 3 can be deferred for purposes of this proceeding? MR. BEASLEY: That's correct. 5 COMMISSIONER DEASON: Very well. MR. BERSLEY: Which in a way relates back to the earlier issue, the new issue that Staff had raised, 16b. 16b asks simply "How should Tampa Electric reflect wholesale fuel revenues for fuel cost recovery purposes?" 11 And the Staff's position on that new issue 12 is that, number one, the wholesale fuel revenue issue that was deferred from yesterday's agenda will be 14 addressed on February 18th, or is scheduled to be; and, secondly, that Issue 26 will be deferred to a later hearing time to allow the parties an opportunity to file testimony. 18 And I think both of those things are 19 truisms, have been agreed to, which would obviate, in our view, the need for Issue 16b, the new issue that 22 was raised. MS. JOHNSON: Well, it was our intent, 23 really, to flag that. They are currently -- the

Corpany included fuel revenues in its current filing,

K III	
1	and that we wanted to put the parties on notice that
2	we feel that the disposition or the allocation of
3	those should be consistent with the Commission's
4	decision at agenda in the decision that would be made
5	respect to Issue 26.
6	COMMISSIONER DEASON: So it's your desire to
7	keep the issue just so that there's a record of that?
8	MS. JOHNSON: Yes. I'll also note that with
9	respect to Issue 26, Staff has recommended that it be
0	heard as a separate docket, that we have reserved, or
1	at least we have on hold, two hearing dates, possible
2	hearing dates, for that issue to be heard, and that's
3	June 11th or June 12th.
4	COMMISSIONER DEASON: I assume you've done
5	that through the Chairman's office?
6	MS. JOHNSON: Well, we've just checked the
7	calendar. I just wanted to put the parties on notice.
8	COMMISSIONER DEASON: Okay. An official
9	hearing has not yet been set?
0	MS. JOHNSON: That's correct.
1	COMMISSIONER DEASON: You're just looking at
2	that general time frame?
3	MS. JOHNSON: Yes.

MR. HOWE: Commissioner, on this point I

believe the Commission is currently scheduled to vote

on the leftover issue from the last fuel docket the wholesale sales -- wholesale fuel revenues should be treated. The Commission is scheduled to vote on February 18th, and we believe that the Commission can take notice of its vote in the following fuel hearing on the 19th.

COMMISSIONER DEASON: Staff?

MS. JOHNSON: We agree.

8

9

11

16

20

21

22

23

25

COMMISSIONER DEASON: Parties in agreement with that? Very well. Any other issues?

MS. JOHNSON: There is one other concern that we have, and that is that there is some relation between Issue 16b and Issue 26, which would not be considered until a later date, possibly on those dates that I've mentioned, June 11th and 12th.

So that if the Commission's decision on June 11th and 12th or a subsequent date would affect how fuel revenues are treated, it's our intent that the companies would make the necessary adjustments in the next fuel filings.

COMMISSIONER DEASON: Company positions? Or response, rather?

MR. BEASLEY: This would obviate the need for any witness to appear at this upcoming hearing?

MS. JOHNSON: If you're agreeing to make the

211	
1	adjustments based upon the resolution of the
2	recommendation on February 18th and the resolution of
3	the spin-off dockets on Issue 26; is that correct?
4	MR. BEASLEY: I think we've agreed to make
5	whatever was decided on Issue 26 applicable back to
6	when revenues commenced for the FMPA and City of
7	Lakeland contracts.
8	COMMISSIONER DEASON: With that agreement,
9	what does that do, then, to Issue 26, Ms. Johnson? Do
10	we keep it in this proceeding?
11	MS. JOHNSON: It will be shown as being not
12	deferred, but that a new docket would be established
13	to deal with it.
14	COMMISSIONER DEASON: While we're going to
15	keep it as an issue, and I guess document what we've
16	discussed here today
17	Ms. JOHNSON: That's correct.
18	COMMISSIONER DEASON: it's not actually
19	an issue that's going to need to be voted on
20	MS. JOHNSON: Right.
21	COMMISSIONER DEASON: at the fuel
22	adjustment hearing itself?
23	MS. JOHNSON: That's correct.
24	COMMISSIONER DEASON: Ms. Kaufman, is that
25	acceptable?

MS. EAUFMAN: Yes, Chairman.

2

3

14

18

19

21

22

24

COMMISSIONER DEASON: Mr. Howe, is that acceptable?

MR. HOWE: I'm trying to decide. Where will 16b be? Is 16b a live issue for the upcoming fuel adjustment hearing, the ones we're supposed to take a position on by February 12th?

MS. JOHNSON: With respect to Issue 16b,
there would not be any need for the Commission -- for
the panel to vote on that issue. It's just to
recognize that the resolution of it is dependent upon
the February 18th agenda and the hearing that would be
held on Issue 26.

MR. HOWE: Then I guess my next question would be, what would be the effect of the Commission's vote on February 18th on the Company's fuel adjustment factors for the April through September, '97 period?

MS. JOHNSON: (Pause) It's my understanding that the Commission -- what Staff has recommended in its recommendation that will be heard on the 18th of February is at variance with the way that Tampa Electric Company has filed -- has prepared its filing, and if the Commission votes to approve Staff's recommendations, then the factors that would go into effect would need to be -- there would be a need for

an adjustment.

2

3

6

8

10

11

12

However, there wouldn't be sufficient time to make that adjustment during this time period. That adjustment would have to be made in the next filing.

COMMISSIONER DEASON: And you contemplate some type of a true-up as it relates to this projection period?

MS. JOHNSON: It would only be through their regular true-up filing in August.

COMMISSIONER DEASON: Does that answer your question, Mr. Howe?

MR. HOWE: It does, Commissioner Deason, and
I could not stipulate that if the Commission were to
vote on February 18th, it would be okay with our
office if they voted -- as the Staff has recommended,
on February 18, it would be okay with our office for
the Company to collect higher fuel adjustment charges
from the retail jurisdiction for the following
six-month period after the Commission's vote.

concern that there would not be sufficient time to make the necessary -- oh, I'm sorry. Starf's position is in agreement with the Company's position, so there would not be a need for any type of refiling.

MR. HOWE: Well, no. I believe it's Staff's

24 25

19

20

21

22

position as they filed their recommendation and the Commission is scheduled to vote on, would require a change in the Company's filing. COMMISSIONER DEASON: That would require the 5 change --MR. HOWE: Yes. 6 COMMISSIONER DEASON: -- there is not 7 sufficient --8 MR. HOWE: Yes. 9 COMMISSIONER DEASON: -- time for --10 MR. HOWE: Right. But as far as there not 11 being sufficient time, it would seem to me that the Company can generate those numbers right now and have either/or situations and be prepared on the 19th to tell the Commission what the appropriate factors are based on the Commission's vote on the 18th. 16 COMMISSIONER DEASON: Mr. Beasley? 17 MR. BEASLEY: That presupposes how the 18 Commission disposes of that item, which we haven't seen the result of yet. MR. HOWE: I'm just saying that I think the 21 Company could have alternatives if the commotion -- if 22 the Commission --23 COMMISSIONER DEASON: You probably --24

Freudian; correct?

MR. NOWE: Was that Freudian? If the Commission votes, for example, with the Company, there will be no change. If the Commission of the changes to certain of the wholesale sales, there will be a change.

It's my understanding the Company could probably generate those numbers in the alternative and have those available the following day. I might be wrong on that, but if the Company could have them available, I see no reason, given that we're -- the hearings are February 19th and 20th and the implementation is not until April 1st, that the Company could not have the appropriate factors to implement on April 1st consistent with the Commission's vote if it changes things.

which it can be done, but I would suggest to you that that was the reason that we deferred Issue 26, in order to go ahead and have something set up ready to go that can be adjusted later on, and I think that's done quite often and would avoid a lot of commotion.

MR. HOWE: Jim, if I'm the cause of confusion, I apologize, but I thought Issue 26 we were just addressing the Florida Municipal Power Agency and the City of Lakeland purchases.

MS. JOHNSON: Commissioner Deason, it's our belief, Staff's belief, that the resolution of Issue 26 may impact the matters that we're discussing now, and that if any corrections that would be made, for example, based upon the February 18th Commission vote, if an adjustment was made in this filing, there is a possibility that they would then have to make a subsequent adjustment in the next August — in their August filing to reflect the Commission's decision in June if a hearing is held at that time.

MR. BEASLEY: All of which suggests to me,
Commissioner, that it might be most appropriate to
keep everything the way it's being filed and then make
whatever adjustments may become necessary fown the
way, understanding that there is interest on it to the
extent necessary to keep the customers --

COMMISSIONER DEASON: When you say adjustment, did you mean just strictly on a going forward basis, or some type of a true-up adjustment depending on what the Commission's decision is?

MR. BEASLEY: I would include true-up adjustments.

COMMISSIONER DEASON: Mr. Howe?

MR. HOWE: I guess I'm thinking,

Commissioner, that the Company could -- if the

commission voted consistent with the Staff recommendation or if the Commission voted just to make any change, that the Company could modify its filing for all contracts other than those two that are subject to this future hearing, whatever those would be, consistent with the Commission's vote.

As I understand it, it's just going to be the treatment of those two new contracts and perhaps future long-term wholesale sales Tampa Electric might make, but that in no event will any future decision in a separate docket affect their current existing contracts.

whole matter is rather confusing. We're going to take a recess. I hate to do it at this late time, this being the last issue, but I think the parties need to sit down and talk about this; and I have another matter I need to attend to rather briefly, so we're going to take a 15-minute recess. We'll come back, address Issue 26 and, to the extent necessary, Issue 16b. 15-minute recess.

(Brief recess.)

COMMISSIONER DEASON: Call the prehearing conference back to order. Ms. Johnson, where are we?

MS. JOHNSON: I think the parties have agreed to disagree, and we're going to go back and they're going to talk to their respective clients, and it's their intention to file their positions before the prehearing order is issued, which I would assume would be by the 12th, February 12th. COMMISSIONER DEASON: Now, this pertains to Issue 16b and 26; is that correct? MS. JOHNSON: It's my understanding it partains to Issue 26. 10 COMMISSIONER DEASON: We've already 11 addressed 16b, and there's no need to go back and 12 re-address that; is that correct? 13 MS. JOHNSON: That's correct. 14 COMMISSIONER DEASON: So as it pertains to 15 Issue 26, the parties will be taking positions and will be providing that to Staff for incorporation into the prehearing order? 18 MS. JOHNSON: Well, I'm being told that it's 19 not 26 that's the problem, it's 16b that's the 20 problem. 21 COMMISSIONER DEASON: Ch, that is the 22 problem. Well, we do need to address it, then. Let 23

me hear from the parties. Mr. Beasley, where are you

on this?

MR. BEASLEY: Commissioner, I just need to have an opportunity to sit down and talk with my client on this issue. This is one that was brought to us this morning. And I just can't do it until I do that.

COMMISSIONER DEASON: Mr. Howe, where are you?

MR. HOWE: Commissioner Deason, we, of course, don't know how the Commission is going to vote on February 18th, but we believe that if the Commission should vote in a manner that gave the retail customers an immediate benefit in their fuel cost recovery and that immediate benefit was not related to the FMPA, F-M-P-A, and Lakeland sales and future long-term sales, that we should get the immediate benefit.

So we need an opportunity, I think, to talk about it in the meantime. It might be one of those things that after the Commission votes on February 18th, we'll know exactly where we stand and it will sort itself out. It might come down to the fact that on the 19th we'll have to state our position and the Company will have to state theirs, and the Commission will just have to make a decision.

COMMISSIONER DEASON: Staff, let me ask you

this: How do you plan to incorporate this into the prehearing order? You're going to be getting positions by a specified time, correct? 3 ME. JOHNSON: Yes. COMMISSIONER DEASON: And you're going to be incorporating those positions, correct? MS. JOHNSON: That's correct. 7 COMMISSIONER DEASON: And is your intention 8 to retain 16b and Issue 26; is that correct? 9 MS. JOHNSON: Yes. 10 COMMISSIONER DEASON: Okay. Well, I tend to 11 agree with Mr. Howe that this, hopefully, will become 12 more clear after the next agenda conference when this 13 matter is dealt with by the full Commission, and we'll know perhaps with a little bit more precision as to what are our options and what would be the most expeditious way to address this. 17 18

And, Mr. Beasley, I understand that you need to address this. This is a new issue raised today you do need to address with other folks at the Company.

20

21

22

23

MR. BERSLEY: Commissioner, if I could add one point. Were it not for this issue that was brought here today, I think -- and perhaps even with it, we're in the posture to dismiss our witness, our witness in the fuel docket, but then that puts all of that up in the air because we don't know whether there will be some sort of change.

That's why we suggested earlier that for purposes of administrative convenience, it might be best to go forward with the fuel adjustment as filed and then consider whatever adjustments may be needed after the fact based on the Commission's ruling on the 18th of February. So that leaves us in sort of a quandary about our witness and witness preparation and that sort of thing for the upcoming hearing.

10

11

12

17

18

20

21

commissioner deason: I understand that, and that is a difficult situation. However, I don't think that Mr. Howe is willing to concede that if he gets a favorable vote in the agenda conference, that he's willing to concede anything as it pertains to this upcoming proceeding, and that raises a logistical question as to how the information is going to be provided to make whatever appropriate adjustment would be necessary to achieve what Mr. Howe wishes to achieve.

I guess we're just going to have to muddle through it at the time. The only thing I would request is that when you discuss this with folks at the Company, that perhaps they try to have some alternatives in mind, and if any information that would be relevant could be generated during the interim period of time, it could be presented, depending on what the Commission's vote is, that would be helpful.

I understand that may be difficult to do,
but if you would explain the situation to them, and if
there's anything that can be done in the meantime that
would facilitate this proceeding, I would appreciate
it.

5

10

17

18

21

23

24

MS. EAUFMAN: Commissioner Deason, on behalf of FIPUG, I may have agreed too quickly before we started having this discussion, and for the moment FIPUG is in agreement with Public Counsel's position and we will participate in any discussions in an attempt to resolve this before the hearing in the middle of the month.

COMMISSIONER DEASON: Well, I think it's -it's about as clear as we're going to get it at this
point, even though it remains quite muddled. Any
other issues in the 01 docket?

Section 7 addresses the exhibit list.

Mr. Childs, you said you needed a correction there; is that correct?

MR. CHILDS: We have submitted that to the Staff.

20110	
1	COMMISSIONER DEASON: Very well. Any other
2	changes or corrections to the exhibit list?
3	Section 8 addresses proposed stipulations.
4	There are going to be a number of issues stipulated,
5	and that will be reflected in the prehearing order; is
6	that correct?
7	MS. JOHNSON: Yes, that's correct.
8	COMMISSIONER DEASON: Are there any
9	companies who has all issues stipulated?
10	MR. STONE: Commissioner, I believe Gulf
11	Power Company has all its issues stipulated.
12	MR. WILLIMGHAM: And I think Florida Public
13	Utilities is the same.
14	MR. CHILDS: Florida Power & Light does, as
15	well.
16	COMMISSIONER DEASON: Okay. For those three
17	companies, it will be not necessary for witnesses to
18	appear. That testimony will be inserted into the
19	record, cross examination will be waived, those
20	witnesses excused from participation at the hearing.
21	MR. STONE: And presumably counsel as well?
22	COMMISSIONER DEASON: Counsel as well;
23	that's correct.
24	We have addressed the pending motion. I
25	don't think that needs any further clarification at

this time.

14

18

20

21

22

23

Are there any other matters to come before the prehearing officer on the 01 docket? Mr. Beasley?

MR. BEASLEY: Commissioner, until we've had this discussion about Issue 16a, I think we were in the posture of stipulating Tampa Electric's exhibits, testimony, and excusing the witness.

Depending upon how that issue is resolved, whether any calculation is made, I don't know that it would preclude us from stipulating in the testimony and exhibit and excusing the witness and then perhaps making whatever calculation may be necessary, rather than having the witness appear.

commissioner DEASON: If that accommodation can be reached and all parties are in agreement with that, I certainly would agree to that. I think at this point, it may be premature to determine that is the situation until subsequent discussions take place and we get a little bit more clarification as to where we are; but if that scenario works itself out, that certainly will be satisfactory.

MR. BEASLEY: We'll proceed then.

MR. McGEE: On behalf of Florida Power -and this may have been covered to some extent
before -- our GPIF witness currently has two filings

that have been made; one that revises the former and it excludes Crystal River 3. I believe --

COMMISSIONER DEASON: Excludes what? I'm sorry.

MR. McGEE: The revised filing excludes

Crystal River 3 from the GPIF for that period, and I

believe the issue as to whether Crystal River 3 should

be in or out for the upcoming period can be handled

during argument. I was wondering if that's the case,

could the witness be excused.

commissioner DEASON: There's adequate information to make the determinations, regardless of which scenario is decided by the Commission, that is CR-3 in or out?

MR. MoGEE: Yes. Both filings are there, and it's just a matter of deciding which way the unit would be treated, and we have the filings sufficient to support either scenario.

## COMMISSIONER DEASON: Mr. Howe?

MR. HOWE: As I understand it, then, as a result of the oral argument we're going to have in the fuel hearing, the decision won't be what the witness says, but basically which piece of testimony he's introduced into the record, whether it is the original filed on January 13th, or the revised filed on January

31st; is that correct? MR. Modes: Yes, that was the way I see it. 2 MR. HOWE: I would have no objection. I 3 don't think we need to hear from the witness. We'll just need to make a decision which piece of testimony is in the record. COMMISSIONER DEASON: Ms. Kaufman? 7 MS. KAUFMAN: That's fine, Commissioner. COMMISSIONER DEASON: Staff? 9 MS. JOHNSON: That's fine with us. 10 COMMISSIONER DEASON: Very well. There will 11 be no need, then, for that individual to actually appear at the hearing. The testimony will be inserted in the record, consistent with the oral argument and the decision relating thereto. MR. McGEE: Thank you. 16 COMMISSIONER DEASON: Anything else in the 17 01 docket? Anything else in any docket? 18 Thank you all. This prehearing conference 19 is adjourned. 20 (Thereupon, the hearing concluded at 12:15 21 p.m.) 22 23 24

STATE OF FLORIDA) CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER COUNTY OF LEON I, RUTHE POTAMI, CSR, RPR Official 3 Commission Reporter, DO HEREBY CERTIFY that the Prehearing Conference in Docket No. 970002-EG was heard by the Prehearing Officer at the time and place herein stated; it is further CERTIFIED that I stenographically reported 7 the said proceedings; that the same has been transcribed under my direct supervision; and that this transcript, consisting of 57 pages, constitutes a true transcription of my notes of said proceedings. 10 DATED this 11th day of February, 1997. 11 12 RUTHE POTAMI, CSR, RPR 13 Official Commission Reporter (904) 413-6732 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24