1	Tronyn.	BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION	
2	PLORIDA	PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION	
3			
4			
5		1	
6	In the Matter o	f : DOCKET NO. 970002-EG	
7	Conservation cost recovery clause.		
8			
9	4°		4
10			
11	PROCEEDINGS:	PREHEARING COMPERENCE	
12	PROCEEDINGS:	E LIBROSELLE OVER BELLEVIE	
13	BEFORE:	COMMISSIONER J. TERRY DEASON Prehearing Officer	
14			
15	DATE:	Wednesday, February 5, 1997	
16	TIME:	Commenced at 10:30 a.m	
17	PLACE:	Betty Easley Conference Center Room 148	
18		4075 Esplanade Way Tallahassee, Florida	
19			لما
20	REPORTED BY:	H. RUTHE POTAMI, CSR, RPR Official Commission Reporter	-DAI
21		Official Commission Reporter	48.59
22			-
23			DOCUMENT WIMBER - DATE
24			000

## APPEARANCES:

MICHAEL PALECKI, NUI Corporation - Southern Division, 955 East 25th Street, Hialeah, Florida 33013, app-earing on behalf of City Gas Company of Florida.

wayne L. SCHIEFELBEIN, Gatlin, Schiefelbein and Cowdery, The Mahan Station, 1709-D Mahan Drive, Tallahassee, Florida 32308, appearing on behalf of Chesapeake Utilities Corporation.

JAMES MOGRE, Post Office Box 14042, St.

Petersburg, Florida 33733-4042, 3201 34th Street

South, St. Petersburg, Florida 33733-4042, appearing
on behalf of Florida Power Corporation.

CHARLES A. GUYTON, Steel, Hector & Davis,
215 South Monroe Street, Suite 601, Tallahassee,
Florida 32301, appearing on behalf of Florida Power &
Light Company.

WILLIAM WILLINGHAM, Rutledge, Ecenia,
Underwood, Purnell and Hoffman, Post Office Box 511,
215 South Monroe Street, Suite 420, Tallahassee,
Florida 32302-0551, appearing on behalf of Florida
Public Utilities.

## APPEARANCES CONTINUED:

JEFFREY R. STONE, Beggs & Lane, 700 Blount
Building, 3 West Garden Street, Post Office Box 12950,
Pensacola, Florida 32576-2950, appearing on behalf of
Gulf Power Company.

JAMES D. BEASLEY, Ausley & McMullen, Post
Office Box 391, Tallahassee, Florida 32302, appearing
on behalf of Tampa Electric Company.

MORNAM M. HORTON, JR., Messer, Caparello, Metz, Maida & Self, 215 South Monroe Street, Suite 701, Post Office Box 1876, Tallahassee, Florida 32302-1876, appearing on behalf of West Florida Matural Gas Company.

WICKI GORDON KAUFMAN, McWhirter, Reeves,
McGlothlin, Davidson, Rief and Bakas, 117 South
Gadsden Street, Tallahassee, Florida 32301, appearing
on behalf of Florida Industrial Power Users Group.

JOHN ROGER HOWE, Deputy Public Counsel,
Office of Public Counsel, c/o The Legislature, 111
West Madison Street, Room \$12, Tallahassee, Florida
32399-1400, appearing on behalf of the Citisens of the
State of Florida.

## APPEARANCES CONTINUED:

LORMA WAGHER, Florida Public Service

Commission, Division of Legal Services, 2540 Shumard

Oak Boulevard, Tallahassee, Florida 32399-0870,

appearing on behalf of the Commission Staff.

JAY LaVIA, Landers & Parsons, 310 West

College Avenue, Tallahassee, Florida 32302, appearing
on behalf of People's Gas System, Inc.

## PROCEEDINGS

(Hearing convened at 10:30.m.)

23 II

everyone's attention, please, we'll go ahead and call
the prehearing conference order. I would note for the
record that due to the heavy fog, that some
participants are going to be a little bit late in
their arrival. I think they are just arriving.

We will go ahead and begin. Given that all the parties, I believe, are here at this time, is there any preference to which docket we take first?

Staff?

with the 07 docket first -- it only involves one party -- and probably dispose of that rather quickly.

COMMISSIONER DEASON: Okay. Well, let's go ahead and have the notice read, please.

MS. JOHNSON: By notice issued January 13, 1997, a prehearing conference was set in Docket
No. 970001-EI, Fuel and Purchase Power Cost Recovery
Clause and Generating Performance Incentive Factor,
Docket No. 970002-EG, Conservation Cost Recovery
Clause, Docket No. 970003-GU, Purchased Gas
Adjustment, and Docket No. 970007-EI, Environmental
Cost Recovery Clause.

COMMISSIONER DEASON: Thank you. We'll go 1 ahead and take appearances for all of the docket today. 3 MR. CHILDS: Commissioner, my name is Matthew Childs with the firm of Steel, Hector and 5 Davis, appearing on behalf of Florida Power & Light 7 Company. MR. BEASLEY: Commissioner, I'm James D. 8 Beasley appearing with Lee L. Willis, both of the firm of Ausley & McMullen appearing on behalf of Tampa 10 Electric Company in the 01, 02 and 07 dockets. 11 MR. PALECKI: Michael Palecki, City Gas 12 Company of Florida, appearing on the 02 and 03 13 dockets. 14 15

MS. EAUFMAN: Vicki Gordon Kaufman, McWhirter, Reeves, McGlothlin, Davidson, Rief, Bakas, on behalf of the Florida Industrial Power Users Group in the 01, 02 and 07 dockets.

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

MR. MORTON: Norman H. Horton, Jr. of the law firm of Messer, Caparello & Self, on behalf of West Florida Natural Gas in the 02 docket, Sebring Gas System, Inc., South Florida Natural Gas, and West Florida Natural Gas in the 03 docket.

MR. McGEE: James McGee on behalf of Florida Power Corporation in both the 02 and the 01 dockets.

MR. STONE: Jeffrey A. Stone, and with me is 1 Russell A. Badders of the law firm Beggs & Lane 2 | representing Gulf Power Company in the 01 and 02. 3 | MR. WILLINGHAM: Bill Willingham of the law 4 firm Rutledge, Ecenia, Underwood, Purnell & Hoffman on 5 behalf of Florida Public Utilities Company in the 01, 02 and 03 dockets. 7 MR. LaVIA: Jay Lavia with the law firm of 8 Landers & Parsons for People's Gas in the 02 docket. 9 MR. MICEOLSON: David Nicholson, law firm of 10 Macfarlane, Ferguson & McMullen, on behalf of People's 11 Gas in the 03 docket. 12 MR. SHIEFELBEIM: Wayne Shiefelbein, Gatlin, 13 Schiefelbein & Cowdery appearing on behalf of 14 Chesapeake Utilities Corporation in the 02 and 03 15 dockets. 16 MR. HOWE: I'm Roger Howe with the Office of 17 Public Counsel appearing on behalf of the Citizens of 18 the State of Florida in the 01, 02, 03 and 07 dockets. 19 MS. JOHNSON: Vicki Johnson on behalf of the 20 Commission Staff in the 01 and 07 dockets. 21 MR. KERTING: Cochran Keating on behalf of 22 the Commission Staff in the 03 docket. 23 MS. WAGNER: Lorna Wagner on behalf of 24

Commission Staff for the 02 docket.

1	* * * * *
2	COMMISSIONER DEASON: Section 1 is the case
3	background. Section 2 addresses confidential
4	information. Section 3 addresses prefiled testimony
5	and exhibits, Section 4, order of witnesses.
6	Section 5, Basic Positions; any changes or
7	corrections to those positions?
8	MR. PALECKI: Yes, Commissioner Deason.
9	city Gas has two changes to its basic position. Line
10	4, "underrecovery" should be changed to
11	"overrecovery."
12	And in the final line, "CS class," after the
13	word "CS" we want to add "CSLV," Charlie, Sam, Larry,
14	Victor, and "CTS," Charlie, Tom Sam. So it would read
15	=\$0.950 per therm for the CS, CSLV and CTS classes."
16	COMMISSIONER DEASON: Does Staff have that
17	change? Very well. Any other changes to Basic
18	Positions?
19	Section 6 addresses issues and positions.
20	We'll begin with Issue 1.
21	Ms. WAGNER: Commissioner Deason, Staff has
22	an oral modification to make to its position.
23	COMMISSIONER DEASON: Very well.
24	MS. WAGNER: For Issue 1 on Page 8, Staff's

25 position for Florida Power Corporation should read "No

1	position at this time."
2	Also, Issue 1 on Page 8 Staff's position
3	should read, "For Florida Power & Light, 17,063,264
4	underrecovery."
5	COMMISSIONER DEASON: Any other changes for
6	Staff?
7	MS. WAGHER: No.
8	COMMISSIONER DEASON: Any other parties have
9	any changes?
10	Ms. Kaufman, are you going to be taking
11	positions on any of these issues?
12	MS. NAUFMAN: Not on the generic issues. We
13	just maintain "no position."
14	MR. HOWE: The same is true for the Public
15	Counsel's office.
16	COMMISSIONER DEASON: And Staff, for those
17	companies for which the Staff position and the Company
18	position are in agreement, those will be shown as
19	stipulations; is that correct?
20	Ms. WAGHER: Yes, they will.
21	COMMISSIONER DEASON: Very well. Issue 2,
22	any changes?
23	MS. WAGMER: Yes. Staff has an oral
24	modification to make on Issue 2 on Page 12. (Pause)
25	I'm sorry. I made a mistake. There is no

modification on Issue 2.

COMMISSIONER DEASON: Okay. Very well.

Issue 3?

MR. STONE: Commissioner Deason, if we could go back to Issue 2 for moment.

COMMISSIONER DEASON: Yes.

MR. STONE: It appears as though we are in agreement with Staff on our positions. There appears to be one slight discrepancy that I believe can be resolved.

Under "Rate Classes," under Gulf's positions
we show SBS as a separate rate class with its own
separate cost recovery factor. And Staff, likewise,
shows that on Page 12.

Staff also shows SBS on the same line as
GSD-GSDT, LP-LPT, PX-PXT. And I believe that those
where SBS is shown on those lines in Staff's position,
that we're not at variance, that they agree that we -that the factors only apply to the other rate
schedules, not to SBS; and that would not interfere
with the stipulation.

MS. WAGNER: That's correct.

COMMISSIONER DEASON: So that change will be made, and with that change, Staff and Gulf are in agreement?

MR. STOME: I believe that's the case.
MS. WAGMER: That's correct.

COMMISSIONER DEASON: Very well. Any other corrections to Issue 2? Issue 3?

ms. WAGNER: Staff does have an oral modification on Issue 3. On Issue 3 on Page 13 at the top, on Staff's position should read as follows:

"Yes, any decision in Docket No. 970046-EI should be retroactive to the date the ECCR factor goes into effect (April 1st, 1997)."

COMMISSIONER DEASON: Let me ask the utilities involved. I see "no position." Do the utilities plan on having no position until the time of hearing, or is this something that is under discussion with Staff at this time?

MR. GUYTON: This is Charles Guyton. I did not make an appearance earlier. If I might.

COMMISSIONER DEASON: Oh, please do so.

MR. GUTTON: My name is Charles Guyton with the law firm of Steel, Hector & Davis, 215 South Monroe Street, Suite 601, Tallahassee, Florida, 32301, appearing on behalf of Florida Power & Light Company.

Commissioner Deason, Florida Power & Light thinks perhaps that if this issue is going to be addressed at this late date -- it was raised in

staff's prehearing statement -- that it perhaps may be best addressed as a policy or legal issue that we handle as an argument before the Commission, rather than trying to introduce testimony and addressing the issue through testimony, if it's appropriately decided here, as opposed to the docket in which it's referred to.

allocation docket, and it would seem to FPL that it's probably more appropriately decided -- if a decision is made at that time as to what the scope of the decision would be, rather than trying to anticipate the decision now, and talking about its application now, but if it's to be decided here, we would respectfully submit that perhaps the best way to approach it is through argument.

explain to me why it is appropriate to have this issue excluded at this time in this docket as opposed to the generic docket?

MS. WAGNER: To bring it up -- I'm sorry,

Commissioner Deason. To bring it up at this time why

it's appropriate?

COMMISSIONER DEASON: Yes. Well, Mr. Guyton has made the suggestion that perhaps -- and I say

perhaps — it would be more appropriate to have this question addressed in the generic docket itself as opposed to being addressed at this time in this docket, and I was just wondering what Staff's position is as to why it is being proposed at this time.

MS. WAGMER: Staff wanted to put the parties on notice at this point that whatever the outcome is in that docket, that it could have a retroactive effect, and that we wanted to be able to go back at that point and look at the dollar figures that came about.

COMMISSIONER DEASON: What is Staff's position concerning the suggestion that it is a matter which could be addressed as oral argument at the hearing, or in posthearing filings if necessary?

MS. WAGNER: Staff is not opposed to that suggestion, if you feel it is appropriate.

COMMISSIONER DEASON: You agree that it is not something that actually needs to have evidence in the form of a witness taking the stand on the issue as opposed to it just being addressed from a policy standpoint in argument?

MS. WAGHER: I would agree.

COMMISSIONER DEASON: Let me ask any other parties to this issue what their position is

concerning that question as to whether it should be addressed, evidence taken, or if it can just be addressed in the form of oral argument or some other type of posthearing filing.

We have not formulated a position on this issue, but we will be able to do that and provide it to the Staff.

preferences as to how it's handled in the hearing itself, whether there's oral argument on the question, or do you agree that there's not the necessity of having this addressed by a witness, or do you disagree with that? It's Mr. Guyton's suggestion.

MR. BEASLEY: Right. Right. I would have to check with my client. This a fairly new item that showed up in the Staff's prehearing statement.

COMMISSIONER DEASON: Any other comments?

MR. FALECKI: City Gas Company of Florida

has no objection to handling this issue through oral

argument rather than putting on a witness.

COMMISSIONER DEASON: Mr. Stone?

MR. STOME: Commissioner Deason, I support
Mr. Guyton's position that I think this issue is
appropriately addressed in the generic proceeding. If

it's not addressed in the generic proceeding, we would like to reserve the opportunity to present oral argument, if that is the --

commissioner DEASON: Well, I guess my
concern to some extent -- and I think it's probably
something that Staff is addressing as well -- and that
is, this issue was added apparently to put all parties
on notice that it could be that whatever decision is
made in the generic docket, that it would be applied
retroactive; to put all parties on notice.

And if all the parties would stipulate that whatever decision is made in the generic docket, and if the Commission at that time in the general docket decides that it should be retroactive, if everyone stipulates at this hearing at this time that it could be retroactive as it applies to this docket, then I think we can just remove the issue.

Mr. Guyton?

MR. GUYFON: I am not in a position to stipulate to that at this time, Commissioner.

commissioner DEASON: Well, I think then we might address the issue within the context of this hearing, and then the question remains, how do we address it. The suggestion has been made that it could be done through oral argument. I'm not opposed

to doing that.

I agree that it is something that's probably not best addressed by an expert under oath. This is something that can be addressed in the form of oral argument; and if I hear no objection to that, that's what we will plan on doing, and at the conclusion of the hearing we'll set aside time for this issue to be orally argued. And at that time it would be appropriate to address your concerns that this issue is not even appropriate for this docket and that it should be decided in the generic docket. That can be incorporated, obviously, within your oral argument.

The question remains as to the length of time to argue this. I would propose that each party be granted five minutes. Is there any objection to that time frame?

Hearing no objection then, please show in the prehearing order that this issue will be orally argued at the conclusion of the hearing and that each party will be allowed a time of five minutes to address the issue.

ME. WAGMER: Okay.

COMMISSIONER DEASON: Any other questions or concerns with Issue 3? Very well.

Issue 4; changes or corrections?

MS. WAGHER: Staff has an agreement with the companies, provided that City Gas makes an oral modification.

MR. PALECKI: City Gas would modify its

position from "underrecovery" to "overrecovery" to

agree with Staff's position.

COMMISSIONER DEASON: With that change then, all of the positions are in agreement; is that correct?

MS. WAGHER: Pardon?

COMMISSIONER DEASON: With that change, are all of the positions in agreement?

agreement -- well, let me rephrase that. All the companies have agreed that Staff's amounts and figures are correct and have stipulated to Staff's figures.

COMMISSIONER DEASON: Very well. And we're still in the generic part of this proceeding, so Public Counsel and FIPUG have no position.

Very well. Issue 5?

MS. WAGNER: Staff would like to make an oral modification for Issue 5. On Page 15 and 16, under Staff's position the ECCR factors should read "dollars per therm," not "cents per therm" for both CGC, CUC, PGS, St. Joe's and West Florida Natural Gas.

COMMISSIONER DEASON: Any other corrections 1 for Issue 5? 2 MR. PALECKI: Yes. City Gas Company of 3 Florida has two changes. After the words -- the letters "CS," we would add "CSLV" and "CTS," so that all of City Gas' commercial classes would be .950 7 cents per therm. We would also add "GL," gas lighting class, 8 at 64.332 cents per lamp per month. That's "GL, 9 64.332 cents per lamp per month." 10 COMMISSIONER DEASON: The gas lighting 11 amount is not shown in Staff's position. Will Staff 12 13 be incorporating positions? MS. WAGNER: That is correct. Staff is in 14 agreement with the numbers proposed by City Gas and 15 will stipulate to those numbers. However, the 16 remaining companies, Chesapeake Gas Company, People's 17 Gas Company, St. Joe's Natural Gas Company and West 18 Florida Natural Gas Company, we have stipulated with 19 them that they will agree to the figures that we have 20 provided with the oral modification that I made. 21 COMMISSIONER DEASON: Very well. Show, 22 then, that as a stipulation? 23 MS. WAGMER: Yes, that is. 24

COMMISSIONER DEASON: Show that as a

1	
1	stipulation, then. Issue 6?
2	MR. GUYTON: Commissioner Deason, Florida
3	Power & Light has a change to its position. The
4	position that was outlined here was addressing an
5	earlier a preliminary issue that has been modified
6	so that FPL can simply say "Agree with Staff."
7	COMMISSIONER DEASON: Any other changes to
8	company positions?
9	MR. BERSLEY: Tampa Electric would concur
10	with Staff's position as well.
11	MR. McGEE: As will Florida Power.
12	MR. STONE: As will Gulf.
13	MR. WILLINGHAM: As will FPU.
14	MS. KAUPMAN: Commissioner Deason, FIPUG
15	would change its position from "No," to "No position."
16	MR. SHIEFELBEIM: Chesapeake will agree with
17	Staff as well.
18	MR. EORTON: Commissioner Deason, West
19	Florida would agree with Staff as well.
20	MR. PALECKI: City Gas Company agrees with
21	Staff.
22	COMMISSIONER DEASON: I believe, then, that
23	this would be a stipulation; is that correct?
24	MS. WAGHER: Yes, that is.
25	MR. LaVIA: Actually, Commissioner Deason,

People's still is "No position at this time." I can check on that, but I have to talk to my client.

there's no position at the time of the prehearing conference and all the other parties stipulate, we have a stipulated issue.

MR. LaVIA: Okay.

COMMISSIONER DEASON: I'm going to give you the latitude if you think this needs to remains as an issue for your purposes, that you communicate that to Staff today.

MR. Lavia: I will.

COMMISSIONER DEASON: If not, you need to communicate either way so they will know how to incorporate this in the prehearing order.

MR. LaVIA: I will. Thank you.

COMMISSIONER DEASON: Issue 7.

MS. WAGNER: Staff has an oral modification for Issue 7. Issue 7 on Page 18, Staff's position should read, "Staff agrees that \$3,808,441 is the appropriate revenue decoupling overrecovery for the years 1995 and 1996, provided that the audit reports Staff expects to receive before the hearing date confirm this amount."

COMMISSIONER DEASON: Let me ask you a

2 |

1	question. When is that audit report due?
2	MS. WAGNER: We were expecting it any day.
3	In about five days.
4	COMMISSIONER DEASON: Mr. McGee?
5	MR. McGEE: The position for Florida Power
6	should read as stated in the prehearing statement, and
7	that is, "Yes, the decoupling true-up balance,
8	including interest, was calculated in accordance with
9	Commission Order Number PSC-95-0097-FOF-EI."
10	COMMISSIONER DEASON: Ms. Kaufman?
11	MS. HAUFMAN: FIPUG has no position on this
12	issue.
13	COMMISSIONER DEASON: Mr. Howe.
14	MR. HOWE: Public Counsel takes no position.
15	COMMISSIONER DEASON: It appears then, that
16	this issue probably will be stipulated, but I do
17	understand that that is pending receipt of an audit.
18	So if the prehearing order can just show that, then we
19	can deal with it at the hearing.
20	MS. WAGNER: Right. Thank you.
21	COMMISSIONER DEASON: Any other issues to be
22	brought up in the 02 docket?
23	MR. STONE: Commissioner Deason, if we could
24	clarify, it appears that all of Gulf Power's issues
25	for which testimony has been presented have been
- 1	I .

stipulated, and we would like to have Ms. Neyman excused from attendance at the hearing.

COMMISSIONER DEASON: Does Staff agree that all issues have been stipulated as they pertain to Gulf?

MS. WAGMER: Yes, we do.

commissioner Deason: Obviously if all issues have been stipulated, witnesses will be excused. That testimony will simply be inserted into the record and cross examination waived as witnesses need not appear at the hearing.

MR. GUYTON: Commissioner Deason, if I might inquire as to how we will resolve Issue 6 if People's decides to take a position on this issue. There is no testimony on the issue been submitted by any party.

COMMISSIONER DEASON: It would be my intent
that if it remains an issue, that we will simply
handle it and allow time for oral argument to address
the issue from a policy standpoint, if that would be
acceptable to the parties. Any objection to that
procedure, assuming that it remains an issue? Staff
have any objections?

MS. WAGNER: No, Staff does not.

COMMISSIONER DEASON: I would anticipate that probably, most likely, the issue will go away,

but I understand that we need to plan for that, for the event that it does not.

MR. GUYTON: If we end up arguing that, we ask the Commission to take notice of certain materials. I don't think it would require putting a witness on. I think those will be matters that we would ask the Commission to take notice of.

COMMISSIONER DEASON: Are these matters that we routinely take notice of?

MR. GUYTON: Pardon?

2

3

7

8

9

10

11

12 |

13

14 |

15

17

18

19

20

21

22

23 |

COMMISSIONER DEASON: Are these matters that we routinely take notice of?

MR. GUYTON: Yes; Commission orders approving programs, things of that nature.

Should not be a problem. Any objection to that procedure? Very well.

Section 8 addresses the exhibit list.

Changes or corrections to that list?

Power & Light has a change. On Page 19, Witness

Avello should be changed to Busto. We would propose
to keep the same identification number. If that's too
confusing, we can change it to LB-2, but that's the
way the exhibits were filed and labeled, and we would

propose to keep them that way. COMMISSIONER DEASON: We will simply keep 2 them that way. It will be less confusing. 3 1 MR. GUYTON: And Commissioner, I would note 4 that Ms. Busto will be substituted for -- she will be 5 adopting Mr. Avello's true-up testimony. If necessary, we can file pages that amend that, but we 7 would propose that we just move that that be adopted and inserted into the record. 9 COMMISSIONER DEASON: Any objections to that 10 11 procedure? MS. WAGNER: No. 12 COMMISSIONER DEASON: Hearing no objection, 13 that will be fine, Mr. Guyton. Any other matters dealing with the exhibit list? MR. BERSLEY: Not with the exhibit list. I 16 just wanted to confirm, though, that the issues for 17 Tampa Electric are agreed to, and ask that the 18 witnesses be excused. 19 COMMISSIONER DEASON: Staff concur? 20 MS. WAGHER: Yes. 21 COMMISSIONER DEASON: Very well. That will 22 be fine. 23 | MR. SEIEFELBEIN: Commissioner Deason, would 24 that apply to all parties that --

commissioner DEASON: It will be apply to

all parties for issues that have been stipulated. If

there are no issues in contention, the testimony for

witnesses will simply be inserted into the record,

cross examination waived, and those witnesses excused

from attending the hearing.

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

23

MR. SHIEFELBEIM: Counsel excused as well, and the requirement of a posthearing statement waived?

objection to counsel also being excused from the hearing?

MS. WAGMER: No, we do not.

testimony inserted into the record. I think Staff
will take care of that procedural matter. You may be
excused.

Section 8 addresses proposed stipulations.

I think we were just addressing that. Any other stipulations that we have not addressed?

Section 9 addresses pending motions. I think we've addressed the situation with Florida Power & Light.

Any other motions that need to be made at this time? Very well. Any other matters to be brought up before the prehearing officer in the 02

docket? Hearing none, the 02 docket is concluded.

2

3

6 7

8 9

10

11 12

13 14

15

18

19

20 21

22 |

23 |

COMMISSIONER DEASON: Call the prehearing conference back to order. It's been brought to my attention that we may need to go back and address a matter within the 02 docket; is that correct?

MS. WAGMER: That is correct.

COMMISSIONER DEASON: Please proceed.

MS. WAGNER: Issue 3 on Page 12 of the 02 docket, Staff believes that this issue can be dropped from the 02 docket with the provision and the understanding that this decision will be looked at in Docket 970046, and that the companies may -- excuse me -- that the cost recovery may be subject to true-up among the various rate classes pending it, and this would be retreactive in effect. Staff believes that oral argument could be heard in Docket 970046 at that time.

COMMISSIONER DEASON: Any comments from the parties?

MR. GUYTOW: This is Charlie Guyton for Florida Power & Light. I want to make sure that it's clear that the stipulation is that this argument will be deferred, and that anything that could be argued 25 now would be available to be argued at whatever time

- 1	
1	it's considered in the generic docket.
2	COMMISSIONER DEASON: Is that Staff's
3	position?
4	MS. WAGMER: That is Staff's position.
5	COMMISSIONER DEASON: Very well. Any other
6	comments or questions concerning the disposition of
7	Issue 3?
8	With that then, Issue 3 can be removed from
9	this docket for the upcoming hearing. You may want to
10	reference it in the prehearing order, though, just to
11	show the proposed treatment of this issue in the
12	generic docket just so that we have a paper trail.
13	MS. WAGHER: Thank you.
14	COMMISSIONER DEASON: Any other matters
15	then, within the 02 docket?
16	MS. WAGHER: No, there is not. Very well.
17	COMMISSIONER DEASON: Very well. We will
18	proceed into the 01 docket.
19	* * * *
20	
21	· E
22	
23	
24	

STATE OF FLORIDA) CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER COUNTY OF LEON I, RUTHE POTAMI, CSR, RPR Official 3 Commission Reporter, 4 DO HEREBY CERTIFY that the Prehearing Conference in Docket No. 970002-EG was heard by the Prehearing Officer at the time and place herein stated; it is further 7 CERTIFIED that I stenographically reported the said proceedings; that the same has been transcribed under my direct supervision; and that this 8 transcript, consisting of 28 pages, constitutes a true transcription of my notes of said proceedings DATED this 10th day of February, 1997. 10 11 12 H. RUTHE POTAMI, CSR, RPR Official Commission Reporter 13 (904) 413-6732 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24