FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION Capital Circle Office Center • 2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard Tallahassee, Florida 32399-0850

MEMORANDUM

MARCH 6, 1997

TO: DIRECTOR, DIVISION OF RECORDS AND REPORTING

FROM:

DIVISION OF LEGAL SERVICES (CAPELESS, JAEGER, O'SULLIVAN) DIVISION, OF WATER AND WASTEWATER (CLARK, DAVIS, GROOM, KAPROTH, MERCHANTW RENDELL, WALDEN, ZHANG)

RE:

UTILITY: SOUTHERN STATES UTILITIES, INC. DOCKET NO. 950495-WS

CASE: SOUTHERN STATES UTILITIES, INC. APPLICATION FOR RATE INCREASE AND INCREASE IN SERVICE AVAILABILITY CHARGES FOR ORANGE-OSCEOLA UTILITIES, INC. IN OSCEOLA COUNTY, AND IN BRADFORD, BREVARD, CHARLOTTE, CITRUS, CLAY, COLLIER, DUVAL, HERNANDO, HIGHLANDS, HILLSBOROUGH, LAKE, LEE, MARION, MARTIN, NASSAU, ORANGE, OSCEOLA, PASCO, POLK, PUTNAM, SEMINOLE, ST. JOHNS, ST. LUCIE, VOLUSIA, AND WASHINGTON COUNTIES. COUNTY: SEE ABOVE

AGENDA: MARCH 18, 1997 - MOTIONS ON RECONSIDERATION --PARTICIPATION IS LIMITED TO COMMISSIONERS AND STAFF

CRITICAL DATES: NONE

SPECIAL INSTRUCTIONS: I:\PSC\LEG\WP\950495RE.RCM

CASE BACKGROUND

Southern States Utilities, Inc. (SSU or utility) is a Class A utility, which provides water and wastewater service to 152 service areas in 25 counties. In 1994, the utility recorded total company operating revenues of \$23,498,289 and \$16,985,104 for water and wastewater, respectively. The resulting total company net operating income for that same period was \$3,445,315 for water and \$2,690,791 for wastewater. SSU reported that in 1994 it had 102,514 and 43,131 respective water and wastewater customers for the total utility.

On June 28, 1995, SSU filed an application for approval of uniform interim and final water and wastewater rate increases for 141 service areas in 22 counties, pursuant to Sections 367.081 and 367.082, Florida Statutes, respectively. The utility also requested a uniform increase in service availability charges, DOCUMENT NUMPER PART

DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE

02462 MAR-65

13673

FPSC-RECORDS/REPORTING

approval of an allowance for funds used during construction (AFUDC) and an allowance for funds prudently invested (AFPI). August 2, 1995, was established as the official date of filing. The utility's application for increased final water and wastewater rates was based on the projected twelve-month period ending December 31, 1996. The utility requested a rate of return of 10.32 percent, which would result in additional annual operating revenues of \$18,137,502 for the utility's combined water and wastewater operations.

The Commission last considered a request for an increase in rates and charges for a majority of SSU's facilities in Docket No. 920199-WS. Order No. PSC-93-0423-FOF-WS, issued March 23, 1993, in that docket approved an increase in rates and charges. SSU's Lehigh service area's last rate proceeding was in Docket No. 911188-WS. By Order No. PSC-93-0301-FOF-WS, issued on February 25, 1993, the Commission approved an increase for Lehigh. By Order No. PSC-93-1070-FOF-WS, issued July 23, 1993, in Docket No. 920655-WS, the Commission approved a rate increase for SSU's Marco Island facilities.

The following parties have intervened in this docket: the Office of the Public Counsel (OPC); the Sugarmill Woods Civic Association, Inc. (Sugarmill Woods); the Spring Hill Civic Association, Inc. (Spring Hill); the Marco Island Fair Water Defense Fund Committee, Inc. (Marco Island); Amelia Island Community Association, Residence Condominium, Residence Property Owners Association, Amelia Surf and Racquet Property Owners Association and Sandpiper Association (Nassau Associations or Nassau); the Concerned Citizens of Lehigh Acres (Lehigh Acres); the Harbor Woods Civic Association (Harbor Woods); Hidden Hills Country Club Estates Homeowners Association (Hidden Hills); Citrus Park Homeowners Association (Citrus Park); the Board of Commissioners of Citrus County (Citrus County), and the East County Water Control District (ECWCD).

By Order No. PSC-95-1327-FOF-WS, issued November 1, 1995, the Commission denied SSU's initial request for interim rate relief based on a projected test year, suspended the proposed final rates, and allowed the utility to file another petition for interim rates. SSU filed its supplemental petition for interim revenue relief on November 13, 1995 which was granted by Order No. PSC-96-0125-FOF-WS, issued January 25, 1996, based upon the historical test year ended December 31, 1994.

The Commission held 24 customer service hearings throughout the state during the pendency of this rate proceeding, and a tenday technical hearing from April 29 through May 10, 1996. The

Commission also held an additional day of hearing on May 31, 1996, to consider rate case expense.

By Order No. PSC-96-1320-FOF-WS, issued October 30, 1996, (Final Order) the Commission set forth its final determination as to SSU's rates and charges, and all other matters raised during the proceedings. On November 1, 1996, SSU filed a notice with the Commission indicating its appeal of the Final Order to the First District Court of Appeal (the Court).

On November 14, 1996, the group of homeowners associations known as Marco, <u>et al</u>. filed a motion for reconsideration of the final order. Because SSU had already filed a notice of appeal, Marco, <u>et al</u>. filed a motion with the First District Court of Appeal to remand jurisdiction back to the Commission in order to consider motions for reconsideration. On November 26, 1996, SSU filed a cross-motion for reconsideration.

On December 2, 1996, the Court issued an order abating the appeal pending the disposition of "the movants' pending motions for reconsideration by the lower tribunal." On December 3, 1996, SSU filed a motion with the Court requesting that it clarify its order to state that the appeal was abated not only to consider Marco <u>et</u> <u>al</u>.'s motion for reconsideration, but also SSU's cross-motion for reconsideration, and SSU's motion regarding the imposition of a stay pending appeal. On December 4, 1996, OPC filed a motion with the Court requesting that the Court reconsider and clarify its December 2, 1996, order to allow all parties in the case to file motions for reconsideration. On December 13, 1996, SSU filed a response to OPC's motion, alleging that OPC improperly sought an extension of time to file for reconsideration.

On December 31, 1996, the Court issued an order amending its prior order to indicate that the appeal was abated pending the Commission's disposition of all motions or cross-motions for reconsideration. However, the Court stated that the determination of the timeliness or propriety of any motion should be made by the Commission.

On January 9, 1997, OPC filed a motion requesting that the prehearing officer establish a schedule for filing motions for reconsideration, and on January 15, 1997, OPC filed a motion for reconsideration. The collection of associations referred to as Amelia Island in this proceeding filed a motion on January 20, 1997, adopting OPC's motion for reconsideration. On January 16, 1997, SSU filed a response in opposition to OPC's motion to establish a schedule, and on January 27, 1997, filed a response to OPC's motion for reconsideration. On February 19, 1997, the

- 3 -

prehearing officer issued Order No. PSC-97-0190-FOF-WS, denying OPC's request to establish a schedule.

This recommendation addresses the November 14, 1996, motion for reconsideration filed by Marco, <u>et al</u>., and the November 26, 1996 cross-motion for reconsideration filed by SSU. In Issues 8 through 10, Staff addresses whether the Commission should reconsider, on its own motion, elements of the Final Order related to the calculation of AFPI charges, fire protection charges, plant capacity charges, and main extension charges. In the final issue, staff addresses whether the Commission should consider OPC's January 15, 1997, motion for reconsideration. The parties have not requested oral argument on their motions.

While SSU has recently changed its name to Florida Water Services Corporation, for the purpose of consistency, Staff will refer to the utility as SSU in this recommendation.

SUPPLEMENTAL BACKGROUND

For the purposes of considering Issue 1, which involves a review of Docket No. 920199-WS, Staff has provided a supplemental background:

As a result of the First District Court of Appeal's reversal of the Commission's adoption of the uniform rate structure in <u>Citrus County v. Southern States Utilities, Inc.</u>, 656 So. 2d 1307 (Fla. 1st DCA 1995), remand proceedings ensued before the Commission in Docket No. 920199-WS. Among other things, the Commission had to determine whether SSU would be required to make refunds to customers whose rates were higher under the uniform rate structure in effect during the appeal than the resulting rates under the modified stand-alone rate structure approved on remand

On October 19, 1995, the Commission issued Order No. PSC-95-1292-FOF-WS in Docket No. 920199-WS (the "October 19, 1995 Order") requiring SSU to make such refunds totalling approximately \$8.2 million including interest. The refunds were ordered without permitting offsetting surcharges to customers whose rates were lower under the uniform rate structure in effect during the pendency of the appeal.

On November 3, 1995, SSU filed a Motion for Reconsideration of the October 19, 1995 Order requesting the Commission, <u>inter alia</u>, rescind any refund requirement or, alternatively, to adopt the prospective refund and correlative surcharge mechanism proposed by SSU. At the February 20, 1996, agenda conference, the Commission voted to deny the above-described portions of SSU's Motion for Reconsideration.

- 4 -

However, on February 29, 1996, the Supreme Court of Florida issued its opinion in <u>GTE Florida, Inc. v. Clark</u>, 668 So. 2d 971 (Fla. 1996). In response to the <u>GTE</u> decision, SSU, on March 4, 1996, filed a Notice of Filing and Motion to Vacate Non-Final Order. On March 21, 1996, the Commission issued Order No. PSC-96-0406-FOF-WS in Docket No. 920199-WS (the "March 21, 1996 Order"), finding that the <u>GTE</u> decision "may have an impact on our decision in this case" and determining that it was "appropriate to reconsider our <u>entire</u> decision on remand as set forth in Order No. PSC-95-1292-FOF-WS." Accordingly, on its own motion, the Commission voted that it should reconsider that Order, and allowed briefs to be filed on the questions of:

whether reopening the record in Docket No. 920199-WS is appropriate, whether refunds are appropriate, and whether a surcharge as set forth in the <u>GTE</u> decision is appropriate.

On August 14, 1996 (after the record had closed in Docket No. 950495-WS), the Commission issued Order No. PSC-96-1046-FOF-WS in Docket No. 920199-WS (the "August 14, 1996 Refund Order"), with two Commissioners dissenting. The August 14, 1996 Refund Order found that the <u>GTE</u> decision was distinguishable from the facts purporting to support a refund requirement in Docket No. 920199-WS, and held that SSU should make refunds to the customers whose rates were higher under the uniform rate structure in effect during the <u>Citrus</u> <u>County</u> appeal without offsetting surcharges to customers whose rates were lower under the uniform rate structure in effect during the Source the same appeal. Also, in the August 14, 1996 Refund Order the Commission specifically ordered "that the portions of Order No. PSC-96-1292-FOF-WS which address refund of interim rates and the implementation of the modified stand-alone rate structure are reaffirmed as set forth herein."

On September 3, 1996, SSU filed both a Notice of Appeal of the August 14, 1996 Refund Order, and a motion for stay of the Order pending disposition of its appeal. SSU's motion for stay was granted by Order No. PSC-96-1311-FOF-WS, issued October 28, 1996, in Docket No. 920199-WS, and the stay was modified by Order No. PSC-97-0175-FOF-WS, issued on February 14, 1997.

- 5 -

DISCUSSION OF ISSUES

ISSUE 1: Should the Commission grant SSU's Cross-Motion for Reconsideration of Order No. PSC-96-1320-FOF-WS?

<u>RECOMMENDATION</u>: No, SSU has not shown that the Commission made any mistake or overlooked any fact or law; accordingly, SSU's Cross-Motion for Reconsideration should be denied. (LESTER, JAEGER)

STAFF ANALYSIS: In its December 31, 1996, order abating the appeal, the Court indicated that the determination of the timeliness and propriety of the motions and cross-motions for reconsideration was to be made by the Commission. SSU's cross-motion for reconsideration was filed on November 26, 1996, in response to Marco, <u>et al</u>.'s initial motion for reconsideration within the time period allowed under Rule 25-22.060(3)(c), Florida Administrative Code, and so it was timely filed. However, there is a question of whether SSU could file a cross-motion for reconsideration for reconsideration for reconsideration for successful the time period allowed under Rule 25-22.060(3)(c), Florida Administrative Code, and so it was timely filed. However, there is a question of whether SSU could file a cross-motion for reconsideration after having filed its notice of appeal.

Decisional law indicates that once a party files a notice of appeal, the lower court lacks jurisdiction to address any subsequent motions made by that party. <u>See Glatstein v. City of</u> <u>Miami</u>, 391 So. 2d 297 (Fla. 3d DCA 1980), and <u>Walker v. Walker</u>, 401 So. 2d 872 (Fla. 2d DCA 1981). Nonetheless, those decisions noted that jurisdiction could be relinquished in order for the lower court to address the motions. In its November 20, 1996, response to the Court, SSU indicated its concurrence with Marco, <u>et al</u>., in requesting a relinquishment of jurisdiction.

Rule 9.020(g)(3), Rules of Appellate Procedure, mandates that if a party files a post-hearing motion with the trial court, and then files an appeal before the motion has been ruled upon, the motion is deemed to have been abandoned. Rule 9.020(g)(3) is not applicable in this instance because SSU's cross-motion was filed after its notice of appeal, not before. Further, by its December 2 and 31, 1996 Orders, the First District Court of Appeal relinquished jurisdiction to allow the Commission to consider all motions or cross-motions for reconsideration. Therefore, staff believes that SSU's cross-motion for reconsideration is properly before the Commission and should be considered.

The standard for determining whether reconsideration is appropriate is set forth in <u>Diamond Cab Company of Miami v. King</u>, 146 So. 2d 889 (Fla. 1962). In <u>Diamond Cab</u>, the Court held that the purpose of a petition for reconsideration is to bring to an agency's attention a point of fact or law which was overlooked or which the agency failed to consider when it rendered its order. In

<u>Steward Bonded Warehouse v. Bevis</u>, 294 So. 2d 315 (Fla. 1974), the Court held that a petition for reconsideration should be based upon specific factual matters set forth in the record and susceptible to review. <u>See also Pingree v. Quaintance</u>, 394 So. 2d 161 (Fla. 1st DCA 1981). Staff has applied this standard in this and all following issues regarding reconsideration.

On October 30, 1996, the Commission issued the Final Order in this docket. In the Final Order, among many other things, the Commission determined that SSU's common equity (for the 1996 test year) should be reduced by \$4.8 million based on the testimony of OPC witness Dismukes. OPC witness Dismukes had proposed that this adjustment be made based on the \$8.2 million refund ordered by the Commission in the October 19, 1995 Refund Order issued in Docket No. 920199-WS. (Tr. 2741) Based on this adjustment, SSU's overall rate of return was reduced from 9.97% to 9.94%, with a resulting reduction in the revenue requirement of \$66,155.

In its Cross-Motion for Reconsideration, SSU states that the "Commission's decision [the equity adjustment] has no basis in the record and constitutes a mistake of both fact and law." Staff notes that the argument that there was no evidence in the record to support an adjustment to equity was also made in SSU's brief. However, in the cross-motion, SSU now adds that the only evidence in the record purporting to support the \$4.8 million adjustment was provided by OPC witness Dismukes. SSU argues that the proposed adjustment was based on an order (the October 19, 1995 Refund Order) that had been withdrawn. Based on this argument, SSU claims that there was no basis in the record for such an adjustment, and that this constitutes a mistake of both fact and law.

By the time the rate-case hearing began on April 29, 1996, two orders had been issued regarding the remand proceedings in Docket No. 920199-WS. The first, Order No. PSC-95-1292-FOF-WS (the October 19, 1995 Refund Order), ordered SSU to make refunds for the difference between the modified stand-alone rates and the customers who paid more under the uniform rate structure. The second Order was the March 21, 1996 Reconsideration Order. In that Order, though SSU had specifically requested that the October 19, 1995 Refund Order be vacated, the Commission ordered as follows:

> ORDERED by the Florida Public Service Commission that the Commission, on its own motion, shall <u>reconsider</u> the decision made in Order No. PSC-95-1292-FOF-WS as set forth herein.

Staff has also reviewed the March 21, 1996 Reconsideration Order, and it is clear that the Commission did not vote to vacate or withdraw the October 19, 1995 Refund Order, but merely voted to reconsider it. Pursuant to Rule 25-22.060(1)(c), Florida Administrative Code, a motion for reconsideration does not serve to automatically stay the effectiveness of any such final order.

SSU argues that since the Commission had voted to reconsider the October 19, 1995 Refund Order, that it was a mistake of fact or law for Ms. Dismukes to conclude that an \$8.2 million refund would be required. Staff disagrees. The Commission had on two occasions voted to require the refunds, and, had not repudiated those votes. Therefore, while the decision had not become final, OPC witness Dismukes, could reasonably conclude, as the Commission did on two separate occasions, that a refund would ultimately be required.

Based on a refund of approximately \$8.2 million, OPC witness Dismukes specifically testified that SSU would incur a reduction to its 1996 net operating income of approximately \$4.8 million, and that the equity component of the capital structure would have to be adjusted accordingly. (Tr 2741) SSU put on no evidence to rebut this testimony. Therefore, staff believes that there is sufficient evidence with probative value in the record to support the Commission's decision to adjust equity.

Secondly, SSU addresses the second refund Order in Docket No. 920199-WS (the August 14, 1996 Refund Order), which was issued after the hearing in Docket No. 950495-WS concluded on May 31, 1996. SSU argues that the August 14, 1996 Refund Order was not made a part of the record and should not have been relied on in the Final Order. SSU claims that the Final Order in this docket (at page 115) refers to the August 14, 1996 Refund Order in support of the \$4.8 million adjustment to equity in the capital structure. The Final Order states, "We have affirmed that order [referring to the October 19, 1995 Refund Order as affirmed by the August 14, 1996 Refund Order] and continue to require the utility to make this refund."

However, SSU states, that if "the Commission determines, <u>sua</u> <u>sponte</u>, that it is appropriate to take official recognition of the August 14, 1996 order," then it should also take official recognition of Order No. PSC-96-1311-FOF-WS, issued October 28, 1996, which granted SSU's Motion for Stay of the August 14, 1996 Refund Order. Based on this stay, SSU argues that it is inappropriate to make any adjustment to SSU's 1996 test year in Docket No. 950495-WS for refunds ordered, but stayed in Docket No. 920199-WS. SSU concludes that such adjustment "defies reality in light of the stay and acts as an unlawful predetermination of the merits of SSU's appeal of the refund order."

Staff agrees that the August 14, 1996 Refund Order was not a part of the record and could not be relied on, unless officially recognized by the Commission, in making any final decision in this docket. However, staff does not believe that the Commission relied on the August 14, 1996 Refund Order when it made its decision to reduce the equity component by \$4.8 million, but rather on OPC witness Dismukes' testimony. See, Final Order at p. 115 and TR 2741. Therefore, staff does not believe that SSU has shown that there has been a mistake of fact or law, in regards to this issue, when the Commission issued its Final Order in this Docket.

In reaching the conclusion that the Commission has not made a mistake of fact or law, staff also considered OPC's Response and Opposition to Southern States' Cross-Motion for Reconsideration filed on December 9, 1996. Pursuant to Rule 25-22.060(3)(c), Florida Administrative Code, any response to a cross-motion for reconsideration must be served within 7 days. Allowing 5 days for mailing, OPC's response should have been filed on December 8, 1996; however, this was a Sunday, and so OPC's response was timely filed on December 9, 1996.

In its response, OPC makes three arguments. First, OPC argues that SSU did not address this issue of the \$4.8 million adjustment to equity in its brief or post-hearing statement, and, pursuant to Rule 25-22.056(3)(a), Florida Administrative Code, has waived any right to question this issue. Rule 25-22.056(3)(a), Florida Administrative Code, provides that, "Any issue or position not included in a post-hearing statement shall be considered waived."

Staff notes that SSU took the position in response to the issue regarding an adjustment to the equity component of the capital structure (Issue No. 65), that no adjustment was warranted. Further, while SSU did not directly mention the \$4.8 million equity adjustment for the refunds, it did argue that there was "no evidence supporting any adjustment to SSU's equity component." Therefore, staff believes that SSU complied with the rule, and has not waived its right to question this issue.

Second, OPC initially argues that the December 2, 1996 Order of the First District Court of Appeal (the Court) only relinquished jurisdiction for consideration of the motion for reconsideration filed by Citrus County. However, with its December 31, 1996 amendatory Order, the Court modified the December 2, 1996 Order and stated "that the appeal is abated pending the lower tribunal's disposition of <u>all</u> motions or cross-motions for reconsideration." Therefore, staff does not believe that this argument continues to be applicable.

1. 66)

·)* · · ·

Third, OPC argues that though the Commission has reconsidered that October 19, 1995 Refund Order, both on SSU's motion and on the Commission's own motion, the Commission has consistently adhered to its decision to require a refund. OPC further argues that though the August 14, 1996 Order has been appealed, and a stay granted, that this is not a reversal of the order, but that only the execution of the refund is postponed pending the outcome of the appeal. OPC concludes that the Commission should not repudiate the refund order for the purpose of determining the capital structure in this case just because SSU has appealed that order and obtained a stay.

Staff notes that both SSU in its cross-motion, and OPC in its response, refer to the August 14, 1996 Refund Order. The issuance of that Order, the subsequent stays of that Order (Orders Nos. PSC-96-1311-FOF-WS and PSC-97-0175-FOF-WS, issued on October 28, 1996, and February 14, 1997, respectively -- and hereafter referred to as "the two Stay Orders"), and appeal of that Order are not in the record of this proceeding. However, staff believes that the Commission should be aware of the affects that that appeal may have on this docket.

Until the appeal of the August 14, 1996 Refund Order is complete, and the stay is lifted, the Commission will not know for sure whether SSU will be required to make the refund. However, an appeal and stay of an order does not affect the validity of an order, and, in fact, the Commission's orders are presumed valid.

<u>See</u>, <u>Citizens of Florida v. Public Service Commission</u>, 425 So. 2d 534, 538 (Fla. 1982).

In <u>Pennsylvania Threshermen & Farmers' Mutual Casualty Ins.</u> <u>Co. v. Barrett</u>, 174 So. 2d 417, 418 (Fla. 3d DCA 1965), the Third District Court of Appeal (Third District) discussed the effects of a supersedeas (stay) and stated as follows:

> A supersedeas has the effect to suspend all further proceedings in relation to a judgment superseded, but it does not, like a reversal, annul it. The supersedeas, being preventive in nature, does not set aside what the trial court has adjudicated, but stays further proceeding in relation to the judgment until the appellate court acts thereon.

In the <u>Pennsylvania Threshermen</u> case cited above, a trial court had based its decision to grant summary judgment in a garnishment proceeding on the basis that the law of the case before it had been

. .

fully established by a decision of another trial court involving the same parties. In reversing this decision, the Third District stated:

The act of the trial judge in the court below involved a proceeding in relation to the judgment superseded and should have been stayed until the disposition of the appeal had become final. The wisdom of the rule cited is borne out by the fact that the judgment relied upon has since been reversed by the Court of Appeal, Second District.

A first reading of this case indicates that the Commission could stay this entire rate case proceeding pending the final outcome of the appeal in Docket No. 920199-WS. However, SSU has demonstrated that it is entitled to some rate relief, and to delay a rate increase could put SSU in serious financial jeopardy.

Staff believes that rate proceedings are distinguishable from the situation in the <u>Pennsylvania Threshermen</u> case. Unlike a court which decides a case and is usually done with it, the Commission has a continuing duty to regulate SSU, and, pursuant to Section 367.081(2)(a), Fla. Stat., must set rates which are just, reasonable, compensatory, and not unfairly discriminatory. Further, the Commission is not attempting here to force SSU to make the refunds required by the August 14, 1996 Refund Order, but is recognizing that the current Commission position is that a refund is required. The appellate courts have recognized that where the actions of the lower tribunal do not affect or interfere with the subject matter of plenary appeal or impinge on the appellate court's power and authority to decide the issues raised, then the lower tribunal has authority to act. See, e.g., Dade County v. Davidson, 418 So. 2d 1231 (Fla. 3d DCA 1982). Staff does not believe that the Commission's adjustment to the equity component in this case impinges on the appellate court's power and authority to decide the refund issue raised on appeal, or negates the stay.

Further, staff points out that this adjustment to equity reduces the revenue requirement by only \$66,155. Being aware of the appeal and stay of the refund requirement, staff initially considered whether SSU should be allowed to collect the \$66,155 difference in revenues subject to refund pending the outcome of the appeal. However, with a total revenue requirement of over \$58 million approved in this rate case, this adjustment is approximately one-tenth of one percent, and would have almost no effect on SSU's rates. As SSU argues in its response to Marco, et al's Motion for Reconsideration of Order No. PSC-96-1320-FOF-WS (at

13683

page 7), an estimated \$65,993 revenue impact for an overstatement error is "clearly **de minimus** when compared to SSU's total revenue requirement" (emphasis by SSU).

Staff agrees with SSU's argument regarding the materiality of the above-mentioned overstatement error, and believes that this analysis should be similarly applied to this adjustment of the Commission to equity. Staff believes that, even if the adjustment to equity is removed, it would have a very minimal impact, if any, on the total revenue requirement and resulting rates approved by the Commission. Further, if the Commission were to allow the recovery of the \$66,155 difference subject to refund, the cost to notice any "interim" rate increase pending appeal, with security and reporting requirements could easily eliminate any benefit to the utility for this increase.

Based on the above, staff believes that the Commission should continue to do what it has done in the past, i.e., it should consider the evidence in the record and make its best decisions as to what the final rates should be. If any of its decisions are overturned on appeal, the Commission can at that time correct any errors that the appellate court has pointed out. Staff realizes that if the refund is reversed on appeal, then the Commission, based on the <u>GTE</u> decision, may again be faced with allowing surcharges for the \$66,155 in revenues that SSU should have been allowed to collect. However, if the Commission does not make the adjustment and its decision to require a refund is affirmed, then the \$66,155 in revenues will have been wrongfully collected.

Therefore, staff believes that the best solution is to make the adjustment, pending the final outcome of the appeal of the August 14, 1996 Refund Order in Docket No. 920199-WS. When the appeal is concluded, the Commission can then correct all mistakes, if any, at the same time.

Therefore, staff believes that the testimony of OPC witness Dismukes supports the adjustment to equity made by the Commission. Based on all the above and on the record as it stood at the time of the Commission vote on the Final Order, staff believes that there was sufficient evidence in the record to support the Commission's decision to reduce the equity component by \$4.8 million. Therefore, staff does not believe that SSU has shown that there has been a mistake of fact or law, and SSU's Cross-Motion for Reconsideration should be denied.

ISSUE 2: Should the Commission grant Marco, <u>et al</u>.'s motion for reconsideration of Order No. PSC-96-1320-FOF-WS, as to the issue of the revenue amounts relating to the two year reduction on equity?

<u>RECOMMENDATION</u>: No. Marco, <u>et al</u>.'s motion for reconsideration as to the issue of the revenue amounts relating to the two year reduction on equity should be denied. (GROOM, RENDELL, O'SULLIVAN)

STAFF ANALYSIS: In its motion, Marco, <u>et al</u>. contends that the Commission should correct or clarify the amounts of revenue that SSU is entitled to recover through its rates during each of the first two years of their implementation. This period incorporates the annual 50 basis point reduction on equity. Further, the motion specifies confusing amounts enumerated in Order No. PSC-96-1320-FOF-WS. Movants indicate that the approved annual water revenue, including the equity adjustment, on page 142 of the Final Order is \$33,389,617, which is \$553,875 greater than the \$32,835,742 specified for the same time period on page 206. The movants continue by stating that similar discrepancies exist for the wastewater revenues, as well as the period after the annual reduction on equity is discontinued.

In its response, SSU indicates that the revenue figures are correct, and the revenue requirements found on page 142 include miscellaneous revenues. Specifically, SSU agrees that miscellaneous revenues should not be included for purposes of calculating the water rates. Further, SSU responded that the movants' request that the Final Order be amended to include extraneous information should be rejected. The movants cite no authority in support of their request nor do they point the Commission to any mistakes of fact or law which would support their request. SSU also acknowledges that, although the Final Order does not directly reflect factored gallonage for wastewater customers, the Final Order appears to accomplish the effect of factoring gallonage by using a ratio of residential to commercial usage in establishing wastewater rates.

At first glance, the amounts cited by the movants appear to be different. In fact, similar confusion has occurred in past dockets. However, this confusion on part of the movants is easily explainable. As indicated on page 242 of the Final Order, the service revenue requirement upon which water and wastewater service rates are set exclude miscellaneous service revenues. The miscellaneous service revenues, which were excluded prior to calculating rates, amounted to \$495,625. This was the amount in SSU's MFRs. (EXH 67, Vol. V) At page 238 of the Final Order, the Commission found that no changes were appropriate to SSU's miscellaneous service charges. Secondly, to determine the appropriate water service revenue requirement, the bulk water

- 13 -

service revenues of \$58,250 were also removed. This amount was calculated using the Commission's decision on bulk raw water rates at pages 231-232, and on the amount of bulk raw water sold, as indicated in the MFRs. (EXH 67, Vol. V) When these amounts were subtracted from the total water revenue requirement of \$33,389,617, the result was that amount of revenue requirement upon which the remaining water service rates were set. This amount was \$32,835,742, as properly stated on page 206 of the Final Order. Accordingly, no mistake of fact or law or omission has been made.

As to the alleged discrepancy in wastewater revenue requirement, similar reductions were made. When calculating the wastewater service rates, reuse revenues were first removed. The reductions were based upon the Commission's decision on reuse rates at page 236 of the Final Order. These were also reflected in Staff's Recommendation dated August 8, 1996 at page 89. The total amount of reuse revenues removed was \$148,152. When this amount is subtracted from the total wastewater revenue requirement of \$24,701,470, the result is \$24,553,318. This is the amount of revenue requirement upon which the remaining wastewater service rates were set.

As to the movants' allegation concerning an error in the calculation of wastewater rates, staff recommends that no error was made. On page 242 of the Final Order, the Commission found that a 20 percent differential between the residential and general service customers is appropriate. In its MFRs, SSU used one mathematical methodology to calculate this differential. Before the calculation of the gallonage charge, SSU increased the general service gallons by 1.2 and added this amount to the residential gallons. The gallonage revenue requirement was then divided by these factored gallons. This resulted in the proposed residential gallonage charge. Then the residential gallonage charge was increased by 1.2 to determine the proposed general service gallonage rate. Staff recommended, and the Commission approved, a different mathematical methodology; however, the results were exactly the same. A basic gallonage charge was first determined by dividing the gallonage revenue requirement by the actual total wastewater gallons. Then a differential factor was calculated by taking the percentage of total gallons to factored gallons. The factored gallons were determined by increasing the general service gallons by 1.2 then adding this to the residential gallons. This differential factor was then applied to the basic gallonage charge to determine the Commission approved residential wastewater gallonage charge. This gallonage charge was then increased by 1.2 to determine the general service gallonage rate. Although the methodologies differ, the results do not.

- 14 -

Staff acknowledges that the calculated wastewater basic gallonage charge is not charged to any customer class. Staff also acknowledges that Schedule 1B of its recommendation dated August 8, 1996 reflects this calculated basic gallonage charge. This schedule reflects the average wastewater basic gallonage charge per service area. The factored ERCs include residential, multi-family, and general service classes of customers. Therefore, the basic gallonage charge is reflected in this schedule for illustrative purposes. The actual charges based upon these basic gallonage charges are then reflected on Schedule 5B for each service area.

These detailed explanations were not included in the original recommendation; however, all of these calculations and methodologies are supported by or can be drawn from the record. The movants also contend that the Final Order should indicate the total revenue subsidies either paid or received by each service area of the rate structure options presented to, and considered by, the Commission. There is no requirement to do so, and the information can be drawn from the record and the Final Order. Accordingly, no mistake of fact or law has been made.

Based upon the above analysis, the Commission did not make any mistakes of law or fact. Therefore, Staff recommends that Marco, <u>et al</u>.'s motion for reconsideration as to the issue of the revenue amounts relating to the two year reduction on equity should be denied.

13687

ISSUE 3: Should the Commission grant Marco, <u>et al</u>.'s motion for reconsideration of Order No. PSC-96-1320-FOF-WS, as to the issue of the projected sales for SSU's Palm Valley facility?

<u>RECOMMENDATION</u>: Marco, <u>et al</u>.'s motion for reconsideration should be granted in part and denied in part as set forth below. А mistake of fact was made regarding Palm Valley's projected water sales. Therefore, staff recommends that the Commission should adjust the water rates for Palm Valley, as shown on Supplemental Schedule No. 5A, to reflect this correction. Based on the analysis below, staff recommends that the rates should not be adjusted to any facility except Palm Valley. Further, the utility should file revised tariff sheets for Palm Valley within thirty days of the issuance date of the order which are consistent with the Commission's vote. In addițion, staff should be qiven administrative authority to approve the revised tariff sheets upon staff's verification that the tariffs are consistent with the Commission's decision. The charges should become effective for connections made on or after the stamped approval date of the revised tariff sheets pursuant to Rule 25-30.475(1), Florida Administrative Code. Regarding the customer notice requirement, staff recommends that SSU should be required to notice only the Palm Valley customers. (GROOM, O'SULLIVAN)

STAFF ANALYSIS: The Movants request that the Commission correct an error in the projected annual water sales for Palm Valley. In addition, they request the gallonage charge and total subsidy required for Palm Valley from other SSU facilities be corrected. The Movants allege that the corrected gallonage charge should be reduced from \$9.38 per thousand to \$6.05 per thousand gallons on a stand-alone basis. They believe this reduces the monthly subsidy paid to each Palm Valley customer from \$80.09 to \$46.79, which, if multiplied by the number of 1996 Palm Valley bills, results in a \$84,848 reduction in the total annual subsidy. Therefore, the Movants request that the Commission correct the total expected gallonage sales for 1996 at Palm Valley and adjust the gallonage charge and level of rate subsidy accordingly.

In its response, SSU agreed with the Movants that a total of 23,624,000 water gallons and not 17,461,765 gallons for Palm Valley should have been used for the 1994 base year in the calculation of water rates. However, SSU disagreed with the remainder of the Movants' calculations. Based on a stand-alone rate structure, SSU calculated a "revenue requirement reduction" of \$65,993. Further, SSU believes the \$65,993 reduction is clearly "de minimus" when compared with SSU's total revenue requirement of approximately \$58 million. In addition, SSU believes any change in the Palm Valley rate must be reflected in a change to the rate of the remaining customers so that SSU's total revenue requirement remains

unimpaired. Therefore, SSU believes any adjustment of the Palm Valley gallonage charge may result in a refund to Palm Valley customers. Furthermore, SSU believes in order to avoid impairment of SSU's total revenue requirements approved by the Commission, and pursuant to <u>GTE Florida, Inc. V. Clark</u>, 668 So.2d 971 (Fla. 1996), that if an adjustment is made to correct this mistake, the Commission must allow SSU to collect offsetting surcharges from the remaining customers.

Staff agrees with the movants that the Commission failed to recognize the first 3,000 gallons of "free water" per customer when determining the appropriate 1994 total water gallons for Palm Valley. However, staff disagrees with the movants' calculation that this mistake results in a total projected 1996 water consumption of 27,047,000 and a reduction of \$84,848 in the total annual subsidy to those customers. Using the same methodology approved at pages 1142 through 1146 of the Final Order, which is consistent for every SSU facility, staff calculates this correction to be 24,310,468 for the total number of 1996 water gallons instead of 17,461,765 which was approved in the Final order. In other words, the Final Order failed to recognize 6,848,703 of additional gallons for the Palm Valley facility when calculating water rates. In addition, staff disagrees with the Movants' suggestion and calculation of how this mistake affects the final rates and the revenue subsidy effect.

The Commission approved a capband rate structure for SSU. The capband rate structure uses the same first step as the modified stand-alone rate structure, with respect to setting caps and spreading the overage to the remaining service areas that are not Therefore, to determine the true effect this correction capped. has, staff ran the modified stand-alone and capband rate structure again with the correct 1996 gallons for Palm Valley. This calculation shows a reduction of \$26,826 (\$10,730 for the base facility charge and \$16,096 for the gallonage charge) to the \$1,417,560 amount of the shortage or subsidy spread over the facilities that are not capped. This reduction has the final effect of reducing the base facility charge by one cent for each ERC for four of the eight capbands. This correction has no effect on the gallonage charge for any facility except Palm Valley. The reason it only affects the base facility charge and not the gallonage charge for the facilities that are not capped is due to the way the rates are calculated. Given that the overall shortage is allocated 40% to the base facility charge and 60% to the gallonage charge, it is obvious there are far more gallons (9,605,446,000) to spread the shortage over than there are ERCs Therefore, this reduction only affects the base (1, 191, 497). facility charge.

13689

Regarding the effect on Palm Valley's rates, staff has recalculated its rates and has determined that this correction would have the effect of reducing the gallonage charge but increasing the base facility charge. This is due to the fact that Palm Valley's rates continue to be above the \$52 cap; therefore, no refund nor surcharge is required to these customers. For example, a 5/8" meter size customer of Palm Valley currently pays \$15.10 for the base facility charge and \$3.69 for the gallonage charge. After this correction, that same customer would pay \$18.80 for the base facility charge and \$3.32 for the gallonage charge. In both scenarios the Palm Valley customer is targeted to pay \$52 for 10,000 gallons of usage. However, this correction does reduce the subsidy paid to Palm Valley from the facilities under the cap by \$26,826. Accordingly, staff recommends that the movants' motion be granted in this regard and the Commission should correct Palm Valley's water rates since it would, in effect, reduce the subsidy being collected from the facilities below the cap by \$26,826.

In its response to Marco, <u>et al</u>.'s motion, SSU contends that a change in the Palm Valley rate must be reflected in a change to the rate of remaining customers so that SSU's total revenue requirement remains unimpaired. Staff erred by not including 6,848,703 gallons in the 1996 projected test year for Palm Valley in the rate calculation and not in the revenue requirement calculation. If staff were to recommend correcting this mistake in its entirety, the rates of the facilities of four of the eight capbands would have their base facility charge reduced by one cent and all remaining facilities, except Palm Valley, would remain unchanged. In other words, the projected 1996 gallons used to design rates should be increased by 6,848,703 or 0.07% to the Commission approved 10,222,626,547 gallons.

For simplicity, staff offers the following example using a utility with a revenue requirement of \$100,000 and 100 annualized factored ERCs that are projected to use 10,000 gallons of water or 100 gallons each. The base facility charge for this utility would be 40 percent of the revenue requirement or \$40,000 divided by the number of ERCs (100) which equals \$400 annually or \$33.33 per ERC per month. The gallonage charge would be 60 percent of the revenue requirement or \$60,000 divided by the number of projected gallons (10,000) which equals \$6 per gallon. Therefore, the utility's rates would be designed to have a \$33.33 base facility charge and \$6 gallonage charge per gallon. However, if the total projected gallons for this utility is increased by 500 gallons, the base facility charge remains unchanged and the gallonage charge is now 60 percent of the revenue requirement or \$60,000 divided by the number of projected gallons (10,500) which equals \$5.71. Therefore, when the total projected gallons are increased, the utility's rates are designed to have the same base facility charge

- 18 -

but the gallonage charge reduces from \$6 to \$5.71 per gallon without affecting the overall revenue requirement. Therefore, correcting this mistake will have no effect on SSU's approved revenue requirement.

To summarize, staff agrees with the Movants that a mistake of fact was made regarding Palm Valley's projected water sales. Therefore, staff recommends that the Commission should adjust the water rates for Palm Valley as shown on Supplemental Schedule No. 5A, to reflect this correction. However, the correction will have no effect on four of the eight capbands nor the capped facilities, except Palm Valley. In addition, it will have no significant effect on the remaining four capbands due to the additional cost associated with SSU noticing the customers and filing revised tariff sheets. Therefore, staff recommends that the rates should not be adjusted to any facility except Palm Valley. Further, the utility should file revised tariff sheets for Palm Valley within thirty days of the issuance date of the order which are consistent with the Commission's vote. In addition, staff should be given administrative authority to approve the revised tariff sheets upon staff's verification that the tariffs are consistent with the Commission's decision. The charges should become effective for connections made on or after the stamped approval date of the revised tariff sheets pursuant to Rule 25-30.475(1). Regarding the customer notice requirement, staff recommends that SSU should be required to notice only the Palm Valley customers.

13691

ISSUE 4: Should Marco <u>et al</u>.'s motion for reconsideration of Order No. PSC-96-1320-FOF-WS be granted as to the Commission's decision to allow certain attorney's fees in rate case expense?

<u>RECOMMENDATION</u>: No, Marco <u>et al</u>.'s motion for reconsideration should be denied as to the Commission's decision to allow certain attorney's fees in rate case expense. (CAPELESS, MERCHANT, ZHANG)

STAFF ANALYSIS: By Order No. PSC-96-1320-FOF-WS at 175-76, the Commission found it reasonable and appropriate to allow the utility \$175,000 in rate case expense for the law firm of Rutledge, Ecenia, Underwood, Purnell & Hoffman, P.A. (Rutledge, Ecenia). By Exhibit No. 255 in evidence, the utility updated its actual rate case expense figures as of March 31, 1996, with a revised estimate to complete. In that exhibit, the utility reflected the actual amount of legal fees for Rutledge, Ecenia to be \$117,997 as of March 31, 1996. In its motion for reconsideration, Marco argues that the Commission erred in awarding the additional \$57,003 for this firm (\$117,997 + \$57,003 = \$175,000).

At the continuation of the hearing on May 31, 1996, the utility offered, among other things, a document which contained a revision for legal fees for Rutledge, Ecenia. The document was marked as Exhibit No. 258, but was not entered in evidence because it was filed beyond the due date for late-filed exhibits. See Final Order at 171-72. The movants argue that there is no evidence in the record to support the award of the additional \$57,003 in rate case expense for this firm and conclude that it was error for the Commission to have awarded it solely on the non-specific observations that "a substantial amount of work was performed by this firm as evidenced by attendance at the formal proceedings, exhibits filed, and brief preparation." Id. at 175. According to the movants, the two dissenting commissioners on this issue correctly determined that these types of observations are not evidentiary substitutes for the billing records and time sheets expected in all other cases and typically relied upon for the record proof that work was claimed to have been accomplished, that it was, in fact, necessary to the case, and that the amount being requested was reasonable. Moreover, Marco, et al. argues that the customer parties were completely precluded from testing the accuracy and reasonableness of the additional fees awarded.

In its response, the utility argues that in its amended posthearing brief on this issue, which was part of Issue 93, Marco merely adopted OPC's position and said nothing more. The utility argues that because the expenses for the Rutledge, Ecenia firm were not even discussed by OPC in its post-hearing brief, Marco, <u>et al</u>. inappropriately raises them as an issue for the first time in its motion for reconsideration. The utility cites to Order No. PSC-92-

13692

0132-FOF-TL, issued March 31, 1992, in Docket No. 900633-TL (<u>In re:</u> <u>Development of Local Exchange Telephone Company Cost Study</u> <u>Methodology(ies)</u>, 92 F.P.S.C. 3:666, 667), in asserting that the Commission will not allow a party to use a motion for reconsideration as a vehicle to raise new arguments and issues not previously raised by that party.

Moreover, the utility argues that based on the record evidence and its past experience in determining allowable rate case expense, the Commission appropriately and reasonably allowed \$175,000 in rate case expense for the Rutledge, Ecenia firm for what was acknowledged to be the largest water and wastewater rate case in Commission history. Further, the utility points out that contrary to Marco <u>et al</u>.'s assertions, the dissenting commissioners did not dissent as to the inclusion of the \$175,000 in rate case expense for this firm. Rather, they dissented only to the inclusion of the \$45,000 in travel expenses approved by the majority, and would have removed those expenses from rate case expense. See Final Order at 268.

The utility correctly points out that because Marco adopted OPC's position on Issue 93 in its amended post-hearing brief, and because OPC did not include an issue or position on the propriety of allowing rate case expense for this firm in its post-hearing filing, Marco inappropriately raises this as an issue for the first time in its motion for reconsideration. In accordance with Rule 25-22.056(3)(a), Florida Administrative Code, "[a]ny issue or position not included in a post-hearing statement shall be considered waived."

Moreover, as Marco correctly points out, the Commission found that "a substantial amount of work was performed by this firm as evidenced by attendance at the formal proceedings, exhibits filed, and brief preparation." Final Order at 175. In allowing this additional amount for work performed by this firm, the Commission recognized that although it would constitute an abuse of discretion to automatically award rate case expense without reference to the prudence of the costs incurred in the proceeding, it has a broad discretion with respect to allowance of rate case expense. Id. at 175 (citing Meadowbrook Util. Sys., Inc. v. FPSC, 518 So. 2d 326, 327 (Fla. 1st DCA 1987, rehearing denied, 529 So. 2d. 694 (Fla. 1988); Florida Crown Util. Servs., Inc. v. Utility Regulatory Bd. of Jacksonville, 274 So. 2d 597, 598 (Fla. 1st DCA 1973)). The Commission did not misapprehend or overlook any facts. Rather, the Commission allowed a reasonable amount of rate case expense for work performed by the law firm. For the foregoing reasons, staff recommends that Commission deny Marco's the motion for reconsideration on this issue.

ISSUE 5: Should the Commission grant Marco, <u>et al</u>.'s motion for reconsideration of Order No. PSC-96-1320-FOF-WS, as to the issue of SSU's requested salary increase?

<u>RECOMMENDATION</u>: No, the movants have not demonstrated a mistake of fact or law. (CLARK, O'SULLIVAN)

STAFF ANALYSIS: In its petition for reconsideration of the Final Order, Marco, <u>et al</u>. states that the Commission erred in approving wage increases of 5.75% for market equity, merit, licensure, and promotional adjustments, and an additional salary market adjustment of 2.7%. As an alternative, the movants propose reducing the wage increase to a level of 2% to 4%. In support of its petition, the movants point out various citations from the Final Order which identify some deficiencies in SSU's salary study.

Marco, <u>et al</u>. further argues that the size of the increase granted is excessive considering the Commission's decision that the utility's management was inefficient and that the overall quality of service provided by the utility was only marginally satisfactory. The movants assert that salary increases such as granted by the Commission for this utility will send the wrong message to utilities about the standards of performance and associated rewards, given the problems identified with this utility with regard to management and quality of service.

In its response to Marco, <u>et al</u>.'s petition, SSU states that in consideration of the Commission's extensive analysis of the salary and wage issues, the movants failed to point out any evidence of record that the Commission may have overlooked or made a mistake of fact or law in reaching its decision on the increases to be granted the utility. In addition, SSU argues that the movants failed to offer any record citation for its proposed salary adjustment of 2% to 4%.

With respect to the salary increases granted totaling 5.75%, SSU argues that the movants' disagreement with the Commission's decision fails to provide any factual or legal basis for reconsideration. Additionally, with respect to the market salary adjustment based on the Hewitt Study, SSU argues that the Commission weighed the evidence and arguments presented by both SSU and OPC, including the deficiencies cited by the movants in its petition, and concluded that some level of market based increase was appropriate. SSU further argues that the movants failed to recognize that the Commission in making its decision essentially adopted the basis and conclusion offered by OPC in its brief, and determined that an increase of 2.7%, as opposed to the requested amount of 4.765%, was appropriate. Finally, SSU argues that the Commission should reject the movants' request to reweigh the

evidence and to impose a total salary and wage increase of 2% to 4%.

SSU is correct on the substantive point that the Commission based its decision on the evidence in the record with regard to the appropriate salary and wage increase. After weighing the arguments both for and against the Hewitt Study, the Commission determined that some level of increase was appropriate, although not the percentage requested by the utility. As indicated on page 150 of the Final Order, the Commission determined that:

Although the evidence presented by the utility in support of its salary increases is less than overwhelming, we acknowledge that the utility has adequately demonstrated the need for some level of increase. In consideration of the discrepancies regarding the exclusion of relevant market data, as well as the calculation of the percentage needed to bring salaries to the market level, the utility has not adequately justified the need for a 4.77 percent increase of total payroll. . . Therefore, we approve a market adjustment of 2.7 percent. (Emphasis supplied)

Therefore, no mistake or omission has been made. The movants' arguments on this issue simply restate those previously addressed and rejected by the Commission, and are inappropriate to address as reconsideration. Reweighing of the evidence cannot form the basis for appropriate reconsideration. <u>Stuart Bonded Warehouse v. Bevis</u>, 294 So.2d 315, 317 (Fla. 1974). Marco, <u>et al</u>. failed to identify in its petition any error in fact or law that the Commission overlooked or failed to consider. As such, Marco, <u>et al</u>.'s petition fails to meet the legal standard for reconsideration. Therefore, staff recommends that the movants' petition for

- 23 -

ISSUE 6: Should the Commission grant Marco, <u>et al</u>.'s motion for reconsideration of Order No. PSC-96-1320-FOF-WS, as to the issue of an acquisition adjustment in connection with SSU's purchase of the Lehigh and Deltona facilities?

<u>RECOMMENDATION</u>: No. Marco, <u>et al</u>. did not demonstrate that the Commission made a mistake of fact or law. (KAPROTH, O'SULLIVAN)

In its Motion for Reconsideration, the movants STAFF ANALYSIS: stated that the Commission's decision to deny the Lehigh negative acquisition adjustment was based on factually inaccurate data or facts that had changed dramatically. Marco, et al. explained that the record showed that the actual value of the non-utility assets exceeded their discounted proportionate share of the total purchase price and not less as was previously shown. Therefore, the movants argued that an acquisition adjustment of \$3,873,763 to Lehigh's rate base was needed to reflect the approximate 60% discount in the purchase price of the Lehigh Acquisition Corporation assets, which included Lehigh Utilities. Marco, et al. pointed out that its argument is consistent with the dissent found on page 267 of the Final Order, in that the burden should be placed on the company to justify not using the actual investment of the utility in setting rates, especially where the cost basis of the investment affects customers who are not directly served by those assets.

In SSU's response to the Motion for Reconsideration, SSU pointed out that this is the fourth time the Commission has considered and rejected the prospect of a negative acquisition adjustment in connection with SSU's purchase of Lehigh's land and facilities. SSU believed that Marco, <u>et al</u>.'s motion is merely a recitation of the arguments made in OPC's brief. SSU stated that in this instant case the Commission found that it was appropriate to continue its acquisition adjustment policy established in Order No. 25729, issued February 17, 1992. Absent any extraordinary circumstances, the purchase of a utility system at a premium or discount should not affect rate base. Further, the acquisition adjustment policy acts as an incentive for the larger utilities to purchase smaller troubled utilities.

SSU contended that the Final Order addressed the argument that the Commission's decision in the Lehigh rate case was factually inaccurate. The Final Order also addressed the argument that the facts before the Commission had dramatically changed since the Lehigh Rate case by saying that OPC's argument was not convincing. Further, the Commission's Final Order stated that even if the fair market value of the non-utility assets had increased, the increase was irrelevant to the issue of whether an acquisition adjustment was appropriate for Lehigh's land and facilities. SSU stated that

Marco, <u>et al</u>. failed to establish any existence of extraordinary circumstances that would justify an acquisition adjustment.

Lastly, SSU pointed out that the movants just mentioned but did not address the acquisition adjustment for Deltona facilities. There were no new facts on which to make the acquisition adjustment to the Deltona rate base other than Marco, <u>et al</u>.'s disagreement with the Commission's acquisition policy.

As discussed herein, the standard for reconsideration is whether the Commission overlooked or failed to consider a point of fact or law when it rendered its Final Order. The Commission addressed this issue in great detail on pages 95-102 of the Final Order. Marco, <u>et al</u>.'s motion for reconsideration is clearly a reargument of the facts in this case. In fact, Marco, <u>et al</u>.'s motion itself asks that the Commission "revisit the issue", instead of pointing out that a mistake was made, (motion at p.11). Staff believes that Marco, <u>et al</u>., has not shown an error in fact or law in the Commission's decision not to allow an acquisition adjustment in the purchases of the Lehigh and Deltona facilities or in its acquisition adjustment policy. Therefore, Staff recommends that the Marco, <u>et al</u>.'s Motion for Reconsideration on this issue be denied.

ISSUE 7: Should the Commission grant Marco, <u>et al</u>.'s motion for reconsideration of Order No. PSC-96-1320-FOF-WS, as to the issue of the classification of the Collier property in rate base?

<u>RECOMMENDATION</u>: No. The movants are merely requesting that the Commission reweigh the evidence, and have not demonstrated that the Commission made a mistake of fact or law. (O'SULLIVAN, WALDEN)

STAFF ANALYSIS: In the Final Order, the Commission determined that a parcel of 212 acres, referred to as the Collier property, should be fully included in the utility's rate base. The property consisted of lakes, wetlands and uplands, and both a staff auditor and OPC contended that at least a portion of the property would not be used in the provision of water service. The utility asserted that all of the property should be included in rate base. The Commission, while finding that not all of SSU's arguments were supported, found several factors which support the inclusion of the property:

> While the staff auditor states valid concerns in his recommendation to reclassify portions of the land to non-utility property, we do not find it appropriate to remove any of the land from rate base at this time. The purchase of this amount of land incorporates less acreage than what the utility was previously leasing from the Colliers for the same purpose. Further. the utility's anticipated construction of an ASR well on this site could leave the utility vulnerable to unauthorized users of its stored water if it did not have control over that surface land covering the higher concentrations of the stored water. Finally, this site is indeed strategic in that SSU plant to develop a wellfield on Section 35. In addition to the lakes, the Collier property contains ground storage to hold water when that wellfield begins producing. (Final Order at 40)

The Commission further ordered SSU to notify the Commission if the property should ever be developed for non-utility service.

Marco, <u>et al</u>., now requests that the Commission reconsider its decision to classify all of the Collier property as utility land. The movants contend that a portion of the Collier property should instead be considered non-utility or property held for future use.

The only reason provided by Marco, <u>et al</u>., is that the Commission's decision is "contrary to the evidence presented by the Staff auditor."

In response, SSU contends that the movants did not provide any basis for reconsideration. SSU points out that the Commission acknowledged the auditor's testimony and the concerns raised, and based its findings on several other factors presented in evidence.

Staff recommends that the Commission deny Marco, <u>et al</u>.'s motion for reconsideration of this issue. The movants have not even attempted to point to mistake of fact or law, instead have only argued that evidence in the record contradicts the final decision. As indicated by the portion of the Final Order cited above, the Commission clearly considered and rejected the Staff witness's concerns. The mere fact that a decision runs contrary to a particular witness's testimony does not support reconsideration of the decision. When confronting competing testimony from a utility and a staff witness, the Commission is not obligated to adhere to its staff's testimony. <u>Gulf Power Company v. Florida</u> <u>Public Service Commission</u>, 453 So.2d 799, 805 (Fla. 1984). The Commission's decision is based upon factors from the record, and should not be reconsidered.

ISSUE 8: Should the Commission reconsider, on its own motion, the calculation and implementation of the AFPI charges approved by Order No. PSC-96-1320-FOF-WS?

RECOMMENDATION: Yes. The Commission's decision to adjust the utility's requested AFPI charges to reflect the Commission-approved used and useful amounts on a per facility basis and to cap the charges to the approved plant capacity charges is still valid, however the AFPI charges attached to Order No. PSC-96-1320-FOF-WS do contain errors and do not include all the specific facilities affected by the Commission's used and useful determination. The calculation of the specific rates should be reconsidered and amended as recommended by staff on attached Amended Schedule No. 10. The beginning date of the charges should be January 1, 1997. Further, if the utility files revised tariff sheets within thirty days of the issuance date of the order which are consistent with the Commission's vote, staff should be given administrative authority to approve the revised tariff sheets upon staff's verification that the tariffs are consistent with the Commission's decision. If the revised tariff sheets are filed and approved, the charges should become effective for connections made on or after the stamped approval date of the revised tariff sheets pursuant to Rule 25-30.475(2), Florida Administrative Code, provided the customers have received notice. The utility should provide proof of the date notice was given within 10 days after the date of notice. All of SSU's prior tariff charges for AFPI have been canceled as of January 1, 1997. (MERCHANT, B. DAVIS, O'SULLIVAN)

STAFF ANALYSIS: The Commission's decision to adjust the utility's requested AFPI charges to reflect the Commission-approved used and useful amounts on a per facility basis and to cap the charges to the approved plant capacity charges is still valid. The AFPI charges attached to Order No. PSC-96-1320-FOF-WS contain two mathematical errors which need to be corrected:

1. Every AFPI schedule attached to Order No. PSC-96-1320-FOF-WS reflects the erroneous use of .975 as the regulatory assessment fee expansion factor in the supporting calculations rather than a .955 expansion factor. This factor is based on the old Regulatory Assessment Fee rate of 2.5%. Staff has recalculated the expansion factor based on the current rate of 4.5%.

2. AFPI charges calculated for every wastewater collection and wastewater treatment and disposal facility erroneously fails to include the prior year's carrying costs for charges applicable beginning January, 1998. The Deep Creek wastewater collection AFPI schedule mistakenly resets the AFPI charge at the beginning of each year, and the University Shores water

transmission and distribution AFPI schedule does the same beginning in February of each year.

These errors have been corrected by staff and are attached as Amended Schedule No. 10.

Order No. PSC-96-1320-FOF-WS contained AFPI schedules for 142 separate facilities in 65 service areas. Order No. PSC-96-1320-FOF-WS held that AFPI was to be set for all separate facilities with non-used and useful property. However, for 21 separate facilities located in 16 additional service areas, the Final Order did not approve AFPI charges, even though the Commission found them to be less than 100 percent used and useful.

Staff recommends that the Commission reconsider that portion of the Final Order and correct the omission by approving AFPI for those facilities as included in Staff's Amended Schedule No. 10.

Rule 25-30.434(4), Florida Administrative Code, requires that the beginning date of the charges is the month following the end of the period used to determine the charge. Since the test year for this docket is the year ended December 31, 1996, the charges should be begin January 1, 1997. This is consistent with the utility's requested beginning date. Further, if the utility files revised tariff sheets within thirty days of the issuance date of the order which are consistent with the Commission's vote, staff should be given administrative authority to approve the revised tariff sheets upon staff's verification that the tariffs are consistent with the Commission's decision. If the revised tariff sheets are filed and approved, the charges should become effective for connections made on or after the stamped approval date of the revised tariff sheets pursuant to Rule 25-30.475(2), Florida Administrative Code, provided the customers have received notice. The utility should provide proof of the date notice was given within 10 days after the date of notice. Rule 25-30.434(4), Florida Administrative Code, directs that if any connections have been made between the beginning date and the effective date of the charge, no AFPI will be collected from those connections. Further, all of SSU's prior tariff charges for AFPI have been cancelled as of January 1, 1997.

ISSUE 9: Should the Commission reconsider, on its own motion, the calculation and implementation of certain private fire protection charges?

<u>RECOMMENDATION</u>: Yes. The Commission should reconsider, on its own motion, the calculation and implementation of certain private fire protection charges. These corrections are based upon omissions made in the Final Order and should be calculated in accordance with Rule 25-30.465, Florida Administrative Code. Further, if the utility files revised tariff sheets within thirty days of the issuance date of the order which are consistent with the Commission's vote, staff should be given administrative authority to approve the revised tariff sheets upon staff's verification that the tariffs are consistent with the Commission's decision. If the revised tariff sheets are filed and approved, the charges should become effective for connections made on or after the stamped approval date of the revised tariff sheets pursuant to Rule 25-30.475(1), Florida Administrative Code. Regarding the customer notice requirement, staff recommends that because these new private fire protection charges apply only to future customers, and not existing customers, noticing should be provided at the time service is requested. (GROOM, RENDELL, O'SULLIVAN)

STAFF ANALYSIS: The water schedules 5A and 6A of the Final Order do not contain private fire protection rates for all of SSU's In its initial filing, SSU filed for a uniform facilities. conventional treatment rate and a uniform reverse osmosis water rate. (EXH 67, Vol. V) In addition, it was SSU's intention to have protection uniform private fire rates, within service classification, for all water facilities. However, the Final Order approved private fire protection rates for only the following facilities that currently have private fire protection: Amelia Island, Burnt Store, Keystone Heights, Lehigh, Marco Island, Meredith Manor and University Shores.

Staff recommends that all of SSU's water facilities be allowed to charge for private fire protection. Therefore, for the water facilities that were not approved by the Final Order to charge private fire protection rates, staff recommends that their water tariffs be revised to include this charge. Consistent with the Final Order, the new private fire protection rates should be calculated in accordance with Rule 25-30.465. Rule 25-30.465 states the rate shall be one-twelfth the current base facility charge of the utility's meter sizes, unless otherwise supported by the utility. Therefore, given that private fire protection rates are essentially a "fall-out" of the approved base facility rates and calculated pursuant to Rule 25-30.465, staff recommends the appropriate private fire protection rates be approved for the remaining water facilities. Furthermore, the Commission approved

rate increase in two years and the Commission approved rate decrease in four years should be applied to private fire protection rates and calculated in accordance with this rule.

Therefore, the Commission should reconsider, on its own motion, the calculation and implementation of certain private fire protection charges. These corrections should be calculated in accordance with Rule 25-30.465. Further, if the utility files revised tariff sheets within thirty days of the issuance date of the order which are consistent with the Commission's vote, staff should be given administrative authority to approve the revised tariff sheets upon staff's verification that the tariffs are consistent with the Commission's decision. If the revised tariff sheets are filed and approved, the charges should become effective for connections made on or after the stamped approval date of the revised tariff sheets pursuant to Rule 25-30.475(1). Regarding the customer notice, staff recommends that because these new private fire protection charges apply only to future customers, and not existing customers, noticing should be provided at the time service is requested.

ISSUE 10: Should the Commission reconsider, on its own motion, the calculation and implementation of certain plant capacity charges and main extension charges?

RECOMMENDATION: Yes. The Commission should reconsider, on its own motion, the calculation and implementation of certain plant capacity charges and main extension charges. These corrections are based upon the omissions as detailed in the staff analysis. Further, if the utility files revised tariff sheets within thirty days of the issuance date of the order which are consistent with the Commission's vote, staff should be given administrative authority to approve the revised tariff sheets upon staff's verification that the tariffs are consistent with the Commission's decision. If the revised tariff sheets are filed and approved, the charges should become effective for connections made on or after the stamped approval date of the revised tariff sheets pursuant to Rule 25-30.475(2), Florida Administrative Code, provided the customers have received notice. The utility should provide proof of the date notice was given within 10 days after the date of (RENDELL, O'SULLIVAN) notice.

STAFF ANALYSIS: In the recommendation dated August 8, 1996, staff erred by not including a per gallon charge for the recommended plant capacity charge. In its filing, SSU requested a plant capacity charge based upon a per gallon equivalent. (EXH 67, Vol. This charge is for non-residential customers and is VII) consistent with past Commission practice, Rules 25-30.515(10) and 25-30.585, Florida Administrative Code, and SSU's currently approved tariffs. SSU also requested a main extension charge based upon a per foot equivalent. (EXH 67, Vol. VII) The Commission approved plant capacity charges and main extension charges in the Final Order at pages 253 through 256. Therefore, the Commission should reconsider approval of plant capacity charges based upon a per gallon equivalent and main extension charges based upon a per foot equivalent.

Staff has calculated its recommended per gallon plant capacity charge using Commission decisions in the Final Order. First, staff used the plant capacity charges approved at page 254. Then staff determined the average gallons per day per ERC. This was accomplished by first taking the Commission approved total gallons from page 141 for water and page 138 for wastewater. These amounts were then divided by the average ERCs for the test year. The average ERC for the test year was derived by dividing the Commission approved total factored ERCs at page 138 by 12 months. This quotient is then divided by 365 days. The result is a calculated Commission approved gallon per day per ERC. In the final step, the Commission approved plant capacity charges are divided by the gallon per day per ERC. This results in staff's

recommended water and wastewater plant capacity charges per gallon equivalent on Supplemental Schedule No. 9. This methodology is reasonable and is consistent with SSU's proposal, and is based on evidence in the record, as detailed above.

The calculation of the main extension charge on a per foot equivalent is similar with one exception. The feet per lot were not contested by the parties nor ruled upon by the Commission. Therefore, staff believes that it is reasonable to approve a main extension charge based upon a per foot equivalent. Staff has recalculated this amount using SSU's methodology and the Commission approved main extension charges. These recommended charges are located on Supplemental Schedule No. 8.

These corrections are based upon omissions in the recommendation dated August 8, 1996. Staff recommends that if the utility files revised tariff sheets within thirty days of the issuance date of the order which are consistent with the Commission's vote, staff should be given administrative authority to approve the revised tariff sheets upon staff's verification that the tariffs are consistent with the Commission's decision. If the revised tariff sheets are filed an approved, the charges should become effective for connections made on or after the stamped approval date of the revised tariff sheets pursuant to Rule 25-30.475(2), Florida Administrative Code, provided the customers have received notice. The utility should provide proof of the date notice was given within 10 days after the date of notice.

13705

<u>ISSUE 11</u>: Should the Commission consider OPC's January 15, 1997, motion for reconsideration?

<u>RECOMMENDATION</u>: No. OPC's motion for reconsideration was filed after the 15 day time period established by Commission rule. The Commission cannot extend the time period for the filing for reconsideration, and therefore the motion should be denied as untimely. (O'SULLIVAN)

STAFF ANALYSIS: OPC's motion for reconsideration addresses the Commission's decision concerning the refund of interim rates, acquisition adjustment and recognition of a gain on sale. The Final Order was issued on October 30, 1996, and OPC's motion for reconsideration of that order was filed on January 15, 1997. As noted in the case background, the First District Court of Appeal's December 31, 1996, order indicated that the determination of the timeliness or propriety of any motion should be made by the Commission.

Rule 25-22.060(3)(a), Florida Administrative Code, requires a party to file a motion for reconsideration of a final order within 15 days of its issuance. Rule 25-22.060(1)(d), Florida Administrative Code, holds that: "Failure to file a timely motion for reconsideration, cross motion for reconsideration or response, shall constitute a waiver of the right to do so."

The time permitted to file a motion for reconsideration is non-discretionary. In <u>City of Hollywood v Public Employee</u> Relations Commission, 432 So.2d 79 (Fla. 4th DCA 1983), the appellate court dismissed an appeal because the appellant had filed an untimely motion for reconsideration with the agency. The Public Employee Relations Commission (PERC)'s procedural rules permitted a 15 day period for the filing of such a motion, but did not permit an extension of time for the filing. Likening the situation to a motion for a new trial in circuit court, the appellate court held that while PERC had the authority to reconsider its decision, it lacked the authority to extend the filing period. More recently, in Citizens of the State of Florida v. North Fort Myers Utility, Inc. and the Public Service Commission. (Fla. 1st DCA, Case No. 95-1439, November 16, 1995) the court dismissed an appeal filed by OPC because the motion for reconsideration had not been filed within 15 days of the issuance of the final order. OPC did not address the City of Hollywood or Citizens v. North Fort Myers decisions in its current motion.1

¹OPC's January 9, 1997, motion requesting that the prehearing officer establish a schedule for the filing of motions for reconsideration was denied by Order No. 97-0190-PCO-WS, issued February 19, 1997.

The issue of the timeliness of OPC's motion requires an examination of appellate rules, the Commission's procedural rules, and decisional law. An appellate court's jurisdiction over a matter is invoked by the filing of a notice of appeal. Rule 9.110(b), Florida Rules of Appellate Procedure. When an appeal is pending, the lower tribunal lacks jurisdiction to address a motion for rehearing or reconsideration. <u>See Glastein v. City of Miami</u>, 391 So.2d 297 (Fla. 3rd DCA 1980) and <u>Walker v. Walker</u>, 401 So.2d 872 (Fla. 2nd DCA 1981) Similarly, when a post-hearing motion is filed before an appeal is taken, the appellate court cannot entertain an appeal until the lower tribunal rules on the motion. Rule 9.020(g)(1), Florida Rules of Appellate Procedure. When a timely motion for reconsideration or rehearing has been filed, the order is not considered rendered by the lower tribunal until disposition is made.

Numerous appellate decisions indicate that an improperly filed motion for rehearing or reconsideration does not toll the time period for filing an appeal. Only motions that comply with all of the requirements of Rule 9.020(g), Florida Rules of Appellate Procedure, toll the time for the filing of an appeal. However, case law is silent as to the situation presented in this instance: what effect does a notice of appeal, filed before the expiration of the reconsideration period, have upon other parties' ability to file for reconsideration?

There is no appellate rule which indicates that the filing of an appeal tolls the time period for the filing of a motion for reconsideration. Nor is a party divested of the opportunity to do so. In fact, <u>City of Hollywood</u> establishes the unambiguous canon that an agency cannot extend the time to file a motion for reconsideration beyond the time period permitted by rule.

As permitted by Rule 9.600(b), Florida Rules of Civil Procedure, the Court may authorize the lower tribunal to address particular matters during the pendency of the appeal. While procedural rules do not address this situation, given the <u>City of</u> <u>Hollywood</u> decision, it is incumbent upon a party to file a motion with a lower tribunal within the 15-day rule period, regardless of whether a notice of appeal has been filed. To construe otherwise would indicate that a party can thwart another's opportunity to request reconsideration simply by filing a preemptive notice of appeal. Marco, <u>et al</u>. filed a motion for reconsideration with this Commission within the 15-day time period required by Commission rule, and also requested that the Court permit the Commission to address it. This procedure is consistent with the findings of <u>Glastein v. City of Miami</u> and <u>Walker v. Walker</u>.

Even if the Commission were to consider the time period for filing for reconsideration the have been tolled by SSU's notice of appeal, OPC's motion would still be untimely. One day passed between the time that the Final Order was issued and the notice of appeal was filed. Another 15 days passed from the date of the Court's order abating the appeal, and the filing of OPC's motion. Even if the time period was tolled, OPC's motion was filed on the 16th day after the Final Order, and therefore would be untimely.²

Pursuant to <u>City of Hollywood</u>, the Commission cannot consider an untimely motion for reconsideration. Therefore, Staff recommends that the Commission not consider OPC's motion, and deny it as untimely filed.

²In <u>Glatstein v. City of Miami</u>, the Court noted that the appellant's filing the motion to relinquish jurisdiction, tolled the one year time limitation of Rule 1.540. However, in the instant case, OPC did not request that the court relinquish jurisdiction.

DOCKET NO. 950495-WS MARCH 6, 1997

Supplemental Schedule No. 5A

RATE SCHEDULE <u>WATER</u>

SOUTHERN STATES UTILITIES, INC. PLANT: PALM VALLEY COUNTY: ST. JOHNS TEST YEAR ENDED: DECEMBER 31, 1996

MONTHLY RATES

.

	Commission Approved Final <u>(1996)</u>	Staff's Corrected Recommended Final <u>(1996)</u>
Residential, General & Multi-Family Services		
Base Facility Charge:		
Meter Size:		
5/8''x3/4''	\$15.10	\$18.80
3/4"	\$22.65	\$28.20
1"	\$37.75	\$47.00
1-1/2"	\$75.50	\$94.00
2"	\$120.80	\$150.40
3"	\$241.60	\$300.80
4''	\$377.50	\$470.00
6''	\$755.00	\$940.00
8"	\$1,208.00	\$1,504.00
10"	\$1,736.50	\$2,162.00
Gallonage Charge / MG		
0 - 3,000	\$3.69	\$3.32
All Excess	\$3.69	\$3.32
		,
<u>5/8" x 3/4" meter</u>		
3 M	\$26.17	\$28.76
5 M	\$33.55	\$35.40
10 M	\$52.00	\$52.00

13709

DOCKET NO. 950495-WS MARCH 6, 1997

SOUTHERN STATES UTILITIES, INC. DOCKET NO. 950495-WS	SUPPLEMENTAL SCHEDULE NO. 8
MAIN EXTENSION CHARGES:	
WATER:	
COMMISSION APPROVED CHARGE: FEET PER LOT PER MFRs	\$480 83
STAFF RECOMMENDED MAIN EXTENSION CHARGE PER GALLON:	<u>\$5.81</u>
WASTEWATER:	

COMMISSION APPROVED CHARGE:	\$480
FEET PER LOT PER MFRs	65_
STAFF RECOMMENDED MAIN EXTENSION CHARGE PER GALLON:	\$7.38

*

DOCKET NO. 950495-WS MARCH 6, 1997

SOUTHERN STATES UTILITIES, INC. DOCKET NO. 950495-WS

SUPPLEMENTAL SCHEDULE NO. 9

PLANT CAPACITY CHARGE FEE:

WATER:

COMMISSION APROVED WATER GALLONS:	<u>10,222,626,547</u>
COMMISSION APROVED FACTORED ERCS:	1,316,154
DIVIDE BY 12 MONTHS	12
COMMISSION APPROVED AVERAGE ERCS:	<u>109,680</u>
CONSUMPTION PER ERC:	93,205
DIVIDE BY 365 DAYS	<u>365</u>
GALLONS PER DAY PER ERC	255_
COMMISSION APPROVED CHARGE:	\$700
GALLONS PER DAY PER ERC	255
STAFF RECOMMENDED PLANT CAPACITY CHARGE PER GALLON:	\$2.74

WASTEWATER:

COMMISSION APROVED WASTEWATER GALLONS:	3,202,582,931
COMMISSION APROVED FACTORED ERCs:	614,793
DIVIDE BY 12 MONTHS	<u>12</u>
COMMISSION APPROVED AVERAGE ERCs:	<u>51,233</u>
CONSUMPTION PER ERC:	62,510
DIVIDE BY 365 DAYS	<u>365</u>
GALLONS PER DAY PER ERC	171
COMMISSION APPROVED CHARGE:	\$1,300
GALLONS PER DAY PER ERC	171
STAFF RECOMMENDED PLANT CAPACITY CHARGE PER GALLON:	\$7.59

13711

SSU/ AMELIA ISLAND

SCHEDULE 10-B STAFF RECOMMENDED

ALLOWANCE FOR FUNDS PRUDENTLY INVESTED

WATER TRANSMISSION AND DISTRIBUTION

	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001
January	\$ 10	\$ 126	\$ 248	\$ 379	\$ 520
February	19	136	258	390	533
March	29	146	269	402	546
April	39	156	280	414	558
May	48	166	291	426	571
June	58	176	302	437	584
July	68	186	313	449	596
August	77	197	324	461	609
September	87	207	334	473	622
October	97	217	345	484	634
November	106	227	356	496	647
December	116	237	367	508	660

NOTES:

- 2. The number of remaining ERC's is 779
- 3. If the number of remaining ERC's has not connected by December 31, 2001, the maximum charge of \$ 660 remains in effect after December 31, 2001.

SSU/ AMELIA ISLAND

SCHEDULE 10-C STAFF RECOMMENDED

ALLOWANCE FOR FUNDS PRUDENTLY INVESTED

WASTEWATER COLLECTION AND PUMPING

	1	997	1998	1999	2000		2001
January	\$	16	\$ 214	\$ 418	\$ 636	\$	871
February		33	231	436	656		892
March		49	248	454	675	•	913
April		66	265	472	694		934
May		82	282	490	714		955
June		99	298	508	733		976
July		115	315	526	753		997
August		132	332	544	772	1	,018
September		148	349	562	792	1	,039
October		165	366	580	811	1	,060
November		181	383	598	831	1	,081
December		197	400	617	850	1	,102

NOTES :

- 2. The number of remaining ERC's is 930
- 3. If the number of remaining ERC's has not connected by December 31, 2001, the maximum charge of \$1,102 remains in effect after December 31, 2001.

SCHEDULE 10-A STAFF RECOMMENDED

ALLOWANCE FOR FUNDS PRUDENTLY INVESTED

WATER SUPPLY AND TREATMENT

	1997	1998		1999	2000	2001
January	\$ 5	\$ 70	\$	136	\$ 207	\$ 283
February	11	75		142	213	290
March	16	81		148	219	297
April	21	86		154	226	303
May	27	92	•	159	232	310
June	32	97		165	238	317
July	38	103		171	245	324
August	43	108		177	251	330
September	48	114		183	257	337
October	54	119		189	264	344
November	59	125		195	270	351
December	64	130		201	276	358 '

NOTES :

 The amounts indicated above are per ERC. (ERC = 217 gpd)

2. The number of remaining ERC's is 58

3. If the number of remaining ERC's has not connected by December 31, 2001, the maximum charge of \$ 358 remains in effect after December 31, 2001.

SCHEDULE 10-B STAFF RECOMMENDED

ALLOWANCE FOR FUNDS PRUDENTLY INVESTED

WATER TRANSMISSION AND DISTRIBUTION

	19	97	19	98	1	.999	20	000	2	2001
January	\$	1	\$	7	\$	14	\$	21	\$	29
February		1		7		14		21		29
March		2		8		15		22		30
April		2		9		15		23		31
May .		3		9		16		23		31
June		3		10		17		24		32
July		4		10		17		25		33
August		4		11		18		25		33
September		5		11		18		26		34
October		5		12		19		27		35
November		6		12		19		27		36
December		6		13		20		28		36

NOTES:

 The amounts indicated above are per ERC. (ERC = 217 gpd)

2. The number of remaining ERC's is 140 $\,$

3. If the number of remaining ERC's has not connected by December 31, 2001, the maximum charge of \$ 36 remains in effect after December 31, 2001.

13715

SCHEDULE 10-C STAFF RECOMMENDED

ALLOWANCE FOR FUNDS PRUDENTLY INVESTED

WASTEWATER COLLECTION AND PUMPING

	'1997	'	1998		1999		2000		2001
January	\$ 4	. \$; 50	\$	98	\$	150	\$	205
February	8		54 S	۲	102	Ŷ	154	Ŷ	210
March	12	:	58		107		159		215
April	16	i	62		111		163		220
May	19)	66		115		168		225
June	23		70		119		173		230
July	27	,	74		124		177		235
August	31		78		128		182		240 [·]
September	35		82		132		186		245
October	39)	86		136		191		250
November	43		90		141		195		255
December	47	•	94		145		200		260

NOTES:

 The amounts indicated above are per ERC. (ERC = 155 gpd)

2. The number of remaining ERC's is 84

3. If the number of remaining ERC's has not connected by December 31, 2001, the maximum charge of \$ 260 remains in effect after December 31, 2001.

SCHEDULE 10-D STAFF RECOMMENDED

ALLOWANCE FOR FUNDS PRUDENTLY INVESTED

WASTEWATER TREATMENT AND DISPOSAL

	:	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001
January	\$	7	\$ 87	\$ 169	\$ 257	\$ 352
February		13	93	176	265	360
March		20	100	183	272	369
April		27	107	190	280	377
May		33	114	198	288	385
June		40	121	205	296	394
July		47	127	212	304	402
August		53	134	220	312	411
September		60	141	227	320	419
October		67	148	234	327	428
November		73	154	241	335	436
December		80	161	249	343	445

NOTES:

 The amounts indicated above are per ERC. (ERC = 155 gpd)

2. The number of remaining ERC's is 46

3. If the number of remaining ERC's has not connected by December 31, 2001, the maximum charge of \$ 445 remains in effect after December 31, 2001.

13717

SSU/ APPLE VALLEY

SCHEDULE 10-B STAFF RECOMMENDED

ALLOWANCE FOR FUNDS PRUDENTLY INVESTED

WATER TRANSMISSION AND DISTRIBUTION

	1	997	1998	1999	2000		2001
January	\$	4	\$ 48	\$ 96	\$ 149	\$	206
February		7	52	101	154		211
March		11	56	105	158		216
April		15	60	109	163		221
May		18	64	114	168		226
June		22	68	118	172		231
July		26	72	122	177		236
August		29	76	127	182		242
September		33	80	131	187		247
October		37	84	135	19 1		252
November		41	88	140	196	-	257
December		44	92	144	201		262

NOTES:

____.

 The amounts indicated above are per ERC. (ERC = 217 gpd)

2. The number of remaining ERC's is 590

3. If the number of remaining ERC's has not connected by December 31, 2001, the maximum charge of \$ 262 remains in effect after December 31, 2001.

.

SSU/ APPLE VALLEY

SCHEDULE 10-C STAFF RECOMMENDED

ALLOWANCE FOR FUNDS PRUDENTLY INVESTED

WASTEWATER COLLECTION AND PUMPING

		1997	1998	19 9 9		2000		2001
January	\$	3	\$ 38	\$ 75	\$	115	\$	159
February		6	41	78	•	119	·	163
March		9	44	82		122		167
April		12	47	85		126		170
Мау		14	50	88		130		174
June		17	53	92		133		178
July		20	56	95		137		182
August		23	59	98		140		186
September	•	26	62	102		144		190
October		29	65	105		148		194
November		32	69	108		151		198
December		35	72	112		155		202

NOTES:

 The amounts indicated above are per ERC. (ERC = 155 gpd)

2. The number of remaining ERC's is 23

3. If the number of remaining ERC's has not connected by December 31, 2001, the maximum charge of \$ 202 remains in effect after December 31, 2001. SSU/ BAY LAKE ESTATES

SCHEDULE 10-B STAFF RECOMMENDED

ALLOWANCE FOR FUNDS PRUDENTLY INVESTED

WATER TRANSMISSION AND DISTRIBUTION

	1	1997		L998	1999	2000		2001
January	\$	1	\$	16	\$ 32	\$ 48	\$	66
February		3		18	33	50		67
March		4		19	34	51		69
April		5		20	36	53		71
May		6		21	37	54		72
June		8		23	39	55		74
July		9		24	40	57		75
August		10		25	41	58		77
September		11		27	43	60		78
October		13		28	44	61		80
November		14		29	45	63	-	82
December		15		30	47	64		83

NOTES:

 The amounts indicated above are per ERC. (ERC = 217 gpd)

2. The number of remaining ERC's is 26

3. If the number of remaining ERC's has not connected by December 31, 2001, the maximum charge of \$ 83 remains in effect after December 31, 2001.

SSU/ BEECHER'S POINT

SCHEDULE 10-B STAFF RECOMMENDED

ALLOWANCE FOR FUNDS PRUDENTLY INVESTED

WATER TRANSMISSION AND DISTRIBUTION

	1	997	7 1998		1999		2000			2001
January	\$	8	\$	101	\$	200	\$	307	\$	423
February		16		109		209		316		433
March		23		118		218		326		444
April		31		126		226		336		454
May		39		134		235		345		465
June		47		142		244		355		475
July		54		150		253		364		486
August		62		158		262		374		496
September		70		167		271		384		506
October		78		175		280		393		517
November		85		183		288		403		527
December		93		191		297		413	_	538

NOTES:

- 2. The number of remaining ERC's is 30
- 3. If the number of remaining ERC's has not connected by December 31, 2001, the maximum charge of \$ 538 remains in effect after December 31, 2001.

SSU/ BEECHER'S POINT

SCHEDULE 10-C STAFF RECOMMENDED

ALLOWANCE FOR FUNDS PRUDENTLY INVESTED

WASTEWATER COLLECTION AND PUMPING

	1997	1998		1999		2000			2001
January	\$9	\$	119	\$	233	\$	356	\$	489
February	18		129		243		367		501
March	27		138		254		378		512
April	37		148		264		389		524
Мау	46		157		274		400		536
June	55		166		284		411		548
July	64		176		294		422		560
August	73		185		304		433		572
September	82		195		315		444		584
October	91		204		325		455		595
November	101		214		335		466	-	607
December	110		223		345		477		619

NOTES:

 The amounts indicated above are per ERC. (ERC = 155 gpd)

2. The number of remaining ERC's is 17

3. If the number of remaining ERC's has not connected by December 31, 2001, the maximum charge of \$ 619 remains in effect after December 31, 2001. SSU/ BEECHER'S POINT

SCHEDULE 10-D STAFF RECOMMENDED

ALLOWANCE FOR FUNDS PRUDENTLY INVESTED

WASTEWATER TREATMENT AND DISPOSAL

	19	97	7 1998		1999		2000			2001
January	\$	6	\$	83	\$	161	\$	246	\$	337
February		13	;	89		168		254		345
March		19	1	96		175		261		353
April		25	1	02		182		269		361
May		32	1	09		189		276	-	369
June		38	1	15		196		284		377
July		45	12	22		203		291		386
August		51	13	28		210		299		394
September		57	1	35		217		306		402
October		64	1.	41		224		314		410
November		70	14	48		231		321		418
December		76	1	54		238		329		426

NOTES:

- 2. The number of remaining ERC's is 37
- 3. If the number of remaining ERC's has not connected by December 31, 2001, the maximum charge of \$ 426 remains in effect after December 31, 2001.

SSU/ BUENAVENTURA LAKES

SCHEDULE 10-D STAFF RECOMMENDED

ALLOWANCE FOR FUNDS PRUDENTLY INVESTED

WASTEWATER TREATMENT AND DISPOSAL

	1	.997	:	1998	1999	2000		2001
January	\$	7	\$	92	\$ 179	\$ 272	\$	372
February		14		99	187	280		381
March		21		106	194	289		390
April		28		114	202	297		399
Мау		35		121	210	305		408
June		42		128	217	313		416
July		49		135	225	322		425
August		56		142	233	330		434
September		64		150	241	338	•	443
October		71		157	248	346		452
November		78		164	256	355		461
December		85		171	264	363		470

NOTES:

- 2. The number of remaining ERC's is1,622
- 3. If the number of remaining ERC's has not connected by December 31, 2001, the maximum charge of \$ 470 remains in effect after December 31, 2001.

SCHEDULE 10-A STAFF RECOMMENDED

ALLOWANCE FOR FUNDS PRUDENTLY INVESTED

WATER SUPPLY AND TREATMENT

	19	997		1998	1999	2000		2001
January	\$	30	\$	387	\$ 700	\$ 700	\$	700
February	·	59	•	418	700	700	•	700
March		89		448	700	700		700
April	-	119		479	700	700		700
May	1	149		510	700	700		700
June	-	178		540	700	700		700
July	2	208		571	700	700		700
August	2	238		601	700	700		700
September	2	267		632	700	700		700
October	2	297		663	700	700		700
November	-	327		693	700	700	-	700
December		356		700	700	700		700

NOTES:

- 2. The number of remaining ERC's is 499
- 3. If the number of remaining ERC's has not connected by December 31, 2001, the maximum charge of \$ 700 remains in effect after December 31, 2001.

SCHEDULE 10-B STAFF RECOMMENDED

ALLOWANCE FOR FUNDS PRUDENTLY INVESTED

WATER TRANSMISSION AND DISTRIBUTION

	1	997	1998		1999	2000		2001
January	\$	6	\$	73	\$ 143	\$ 220	\$	303
February		11		79	150	227		310
March		17		85	156	233		317
April		22		90	162	240		325
May		28		96	169	247		332
June		34		102	175	254		340
July		39		108	181	261	-	347
August		45		114	188	268		355
September		50		120	194	275		362
October		56		125	200	281		370
November		62		131	207	288		377
December		67		137	213	295		384

NOTES:

- 2. The number of remaining ERC's is3,826
- 3. If the number of remaining ERC's has not connected by December 31, 2001, the maximum charge of \$ 384 remains in effect after December 31, 2001.

SCHEDULE 10-C STAFF RECOMMENDED

ALLOWANCE FOR FUNDS PRUDENTLY INVESTED

WASTEWATER COLLECTION AND PUMPING

	199	7	1998	1999	2000		2001
January	\$	5 4	5 60	\$ 118	\$ 182	\$	253
February	:	Э	65	124	188		259
March	1.	1	70	129	194		266
April	1	9	75	134	200		272
May	2	3	80	140	206		279
June	2	3	84	145	212		285
July	3.	2	89	150	217		292
August	3	7	94	156	223		298
September	4	2	99	161	229		304
October	4	5	104	166	235	-	311
November	5	L	108	171	241		317
December	5	5	113	177	247		324

NOTES:

 The amounts indicated above are per ERC. (ERC = 155 gpd)

2. The number of remaining ERC's is3,895

3. If the number of remaining ERC's has not connected by December 31, 2001, the maximum charge of \$ 324 remains in effect after December 31, 2001.

SCHEDULE 10-D STAFF RECOMMENDED

ALLOWANCE FOR FUNDS PRUDENTLY INVESTED

WASTEWATER TREATMENT AND DISPOSAL

	3	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001
January	\$	7	\$ 93	\$ 179	\$ 271	\$ 370
February		14	100	187	279	378
March		21	107	194	287	387
April		29	114	202	296	396
May		36	121	209	304	405
June		43	128	217	312	413
July		50	136	225	320	422
August		57	143	232	328	431
September		64	150	240	336	440
October		71	157	248	345	449
November		78	164	255	353	457
December		86	171	263	361	466

NOTES:

- 2. The number of remaining ERC's is 318
- 3. If the number of remaining ERC's has not connected by December 31, 2001, the maximum charge of \$ 466 remains in effect after December 31, 2001.

SSU/ CARLTON VILLAGE

SCHEDULE 10-B STAFF RECOMMENDED

ALLOWANCE FOR FUNDS PRUDENTLY INVESTED

WATER TRANSMISSION AND DISTRIBUTION

	1	.997	1998	1999	2000	2001
January	\$	4	\$ 57	\$ 114	\$ 175	\$ 241
February		9	62	119	180	247
March		13	67	124	186	253
April		18	71	129	19 1	259
May		22	76	134	197	265
June		26	81	139	202	271
July		31	85	144	208	277
August		35	90	149	213	283
September		39	95	154	219	289
October		44	99	159	224	295
November		48	104	164	230	301
December		53	109	169	235	307

NOTES:

- 2. The number of remaining ERC's is 186
- 3. If the number of remaining ERC's has not connected by December 31, 2001, the maximum charge of \$ 307 remains in effect after December 31, 2001.

.

SCHEDULE 10-A STAFF RECOMMENDED

ALLOWANCE FOR FUNDS PRUDENTLY INVESTED

WATER SUPPLY AND TREATMENT

	1	997	-	1998		1999	:	2000		2001
January	\$	0	\$	4	\$	7	\$	11	\$	16
February	·	1	•	4	•	8	7	12	•	16
March		1		4		8		12		17
April		1		5		8		12		17
May		1		5		9		13		17
June		2		5		9		13		18
July		2		6		9		14		18
August		2		6		10		14		18
September		3		6		10		14		19
October		3		6		10		15		19
November		3		7		11		15		20
December		3		• 7		11		15		20

NOTES:

 The amounts indicated above are per ERC. (ERC = 217 gpd)

2. The number of remaining ERC's is 994

3. If the number of remaining ERC's has not connected by December 31, 2001, the maximum charge of \$ 20 remains in effect after December 31, 2001.

SCHEDULE 10-B STAFF RECOMMENDED

ALLOWANCE FOR FUNDS PRUDENTLY INVESTED

WATER TRANSMISSION AND DISTRIBUTION

	1997	,	1998	1999		2000		2001
January	\$ 12	: \$	155	\$ 310	\$	480	\$	665
February	24		168	325	-	495		682
March	35		181	339		511		698
April	47	,	193	353		526		700
May	59)	206	367		541		700
June	71		219	381		557		700
July	83		232	395		572		700
August	95		245	409		587		700
September	106		258	423		603		700
October	118		271	437		618		700
November	130		284	451		633		700
December	142		296	465		648	•	700

NOTES:

- 2. The number of remaining ERC's is 227
- 3. If the number of remaining ERC's has not connected by December 31, 2001, the maximum charge of \$ 700 remains in effect after December 31, 2001.

SCHEDULE 10-C STAFF RECOMMENDED

ALLOWANCE FOR FUNDS PRUDENTLY INVESTED

WASTEWATER COLLECTION AND PUMPING

	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001
January	\$ 81	\$1,064	\$1,300	\$1,300	\$1,300
February	163	1,152	1,300	1,300	1,300
March	244	1,240	1,300	1,300	1,300
April	325	1,300	1,300	1,300	1,300
May	407	1,300	1,300	1,300	1,300
June	488	1,300	1,300	1,300	1,300
July	569	1,300	1,300	1,300	1,300
August	650	1,300	1,300	1,300	1,300
September	732	1,300	1,300	1,300	1,300
October	813	1,300	1,300	1,300	1,300
November	894	1,300	1,300	1,300	1,300
December	976	1,300	1,300	1,300	1,300

NOTES:

 The amounts indicated above are per ERC. (ERC = 155 gpd)

2. The number of remaining ERC's is 19

3. If the number of remaining ERC's has not connected by December 31, 2001, the maximum charge of \$1,300 remains in effect after December 31, 2001.

.

SCHEDULE 10-D STAFF RECOMMENDED

ALLOWANCE FOR FUNDS PRUDENTLY INVESTED

WASTEWATER TREATMENT AND DISPOSAL

	19	97	1998	199.	9 2000	2001
January	\$	33 \$	430	\$ 85	5 \$1,300	\$1,300
February		66	465	89	3 1,300	1,300
March		99	500	93	0 1,300	1,300
April	1	32	535	96	B 1,300	1,300
May	1	64	571	1,00	6 1,300	1,300
June	1	97	606	1,04	4 1,300	1,300
July	2	30	641	1,08	1 1,300	1,300
August	2	63	676	1,11	9 1,300	1,300
September	2	96	· 711	1,15	7 1,300	1,300
October	3	29	747	1,19	5 1,300	1,300
November	3	62	782	1,23	2 1,300	1,300
December	3	95	817	1,27	0 1,300	1,300

NOTES:

- 2. The number of remaining ERC's is 178
- 3. If the number of remaining ERC's has not connected by December 31, 2001, the maximum charge of \$1,300 remains in effect after December 31, 2001.

SSU/ CITRUS PARK

SCHEDULE 10-C STAFF RECOMMENDED

ALLOWANCE FOR FUNDS PRUDENTLY INVESTED

WASTEWATER COLLECTION AND PUMPING

	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001
January	\$ 4	\$ 56	\$ 110	\$ 167	\$ 229
February	9	61	114	172	234
March	13	65	119	177	240
April	17	69	124	182	245
May	22	74	129	187	251
June	26	78	133	192	256
July	30	83	138	198	262
August	35	87	143	203	267
September	39	92	148	208	273
October	43	96	152	213	278
November	47	100	157	218	284
December	52	105	162	223	289

NOTES:

- 2. The number of remaining ERC's is 97
- 3. If the number of remaining ERC's has not connected by December 31, 2001, the maximum charge of \$ 289 remains in effect after December 31, 2001.

SSU/ CITRUS PARK

SCHEDULE 10-D STAFF RECOMMENDED

ALLOWANCE FOR FUNDS PRUDENTLY INVESTED

WASTEWATER TREATMENT AND DISPOSAL

	1	997	1998	1999	2000		2001
January	\$	3	\$ 37	\$ 71	\$ 107	\$	145
February		6	40	74	110	-	148
March		8	42	77	113		15 1
April		11	45	80	116		155
Мау		14	48	83	119		158
June		17	51	86	122		162
July		20	54	89	126		165
August		23	56	92	129		168
September		25	59	94	132		172
October		28	62	97	135		175
November		31	65	100	138		178
December		34	68	103	141		182

NOTES:

- 2. The number of remaining ERC's is 333
- 3. If the number of remaining ERC's has not connected by December 31, 2001, the maximum charge of \$ 182 remains in effect after December 31, 2001.

SCHEDULE 10-A STAFF RECOMMENDED

ALLOWANCE FOR FUNDS PRUDENTLY INVESTED

WATER SUPPLY AND TREATMENT

	1	997	-	1998	1999	2	2000	2001
January	\$	0	\$	1	\$ 2	\$	3	\$ 4
February		0		1	2		3	5
March		0		1	2		3	5
April		0		1	2		3	5
May		0		1	2		4	5
June		0		1	3		4	5
July		1		2	3		4	5
August		1		2	3		4	5
September		1		2	3		4	5
October		1		2	3		4	5
November		1		2	3		4	6
December		1		2	3		4	6

NOTES: 1. The amounts indicated above are per ERC. (ERC = 217 gpd)

- 2. The number of remaining ERC's is 994
- 3. If the number of remaining ERC's has not connected by December 31, 2001, the maximum charge of \$ 6 remains in effect after December 31, 2001.

SCHEDULE 10-B STAFF RECOMMENDED

ALLOWANCE FOR FUNDS PRUDENTLY INVESTED

WATER TRANSMISSION AND DISTRIBUTION

	1	.997	;	1998	1999	2000	2001
January	\$	5	\$	67	\$ 131	\$ 202	\$ 278
February		10		72	137	208	285
March		15		77	143	214	292
April		20		83	149	221	299
May		26		88	155	227	306
June		31		93	160	233	313
July		36		99	166	240	320
August		41		104	172	246	327
September		46		109	178	252	334
October		51		115	184	259	340
November		56		120	189	265	347
December		61		125	195	271	354

NOTES:

 The amounts indicated above are per ERC. (ERC = 217 gpd)

2. The number of remaining ERC's is9,723

3. If the number of remaining ERC's has not connected by December 31, 2001, the maximum charge of \$ 354 remains in effect after December 31, 2001.

13737

SCHEDULE 10-C STAFF RECOMMENDED

ALLOWANCE FOR FUNDS PRUDENTLY INVESTED

WASTEWATER COLLECTION AND PUMPING

	1	997	-	1998	1999	2000	2001
January	\$	4	\$	51	\$ 98	\$ 152	\$ 21 1
February		8		55	103	157	217
March		12		59	107	161	222
April		16		63	112	166	228
May		20		67	116	171	233
June		24		71	120	176	239
July		27		74	125	181	244
August		31		78	129	186	250
September		35		82	134	191	255
October		39		86	138	196	261
November		43		90	142	201	266
December		47		94	147	206	272

NOTES:

 The amounts indicated above are per ERC. (ERC = 155 gpd)

2. The number of remaining ERC's is 397

3. If the number of remaining ERC's has not connected by December 31, 2001, the maximum charge of \$ 272 remains in effect after December 31, 2001.

SCHEDULE 10-D STAFF RECOMMENDED

ALLOWANCE FOR FUNDS PRUDENTLY INVESTED

WASTEWATER TREATMENT AND DISPOSAL

	19	97	199	8	19	999	2	000	2001
January	Ş	4	\$ 5	2	\$	98	\$	147	\$ 199
February		8	5	6	1	L02		152	204
March		12	6	0	-	107		156	208
April		16	6	3	1	L11		160	213
Мау		20	6	7	2	115		165	218
June		24	7	1	1	L19		169	222
July		28	7	5	2	123		173	227
August		32	7	9	1	L27		178	231
September		36	ε	3	-	131		182	236
October		40	ε	7	t	L35		186	240
November		44	9	0	-	139		190	245
December		48	9	4	j	L43		195	249

NOTES:

 The amounts indicated above are per ERC. (ERC = 155 gpd)

2. The number of remaining ERC's is 333

3. If the number of remaining ERC's has not connected by December 31, 2001, the maximum charge of \$ 249 remains in effect after December 31, 2001. SSU/ CRYSTAL RIVER

SCHEDULE 10-A STAFF RECOMMENDED

.

ALLOWANCE FOR FUNDS PRUDENTLY INVESTED

WATER SUPPLY AND TREATMENT

	1	997		1998		1999		2000		2001
January	\$	7	\$	89	\$	175	<u>ب</u> ح	267	~	
-	ş		÷		ş		\$	267	\$	366
February		14		96		182		275		375
March		21		103		190		283		384
April		27		110		198		291		393
May		34		118		205		300		401
June		41		125		213		308	•	410
July		48		132		221		316		419
August		55		139		228		324		428
September		62		146		236		333		437
October		68		153		243		341		446
November		75		160		251		349		454
December		82		167		259		357		463

NOTES: 1.

 The amounts indicated above are per ERC. (ERC = 217 gpd)

2. The number of remaining ERC's is 90

3. If the number of remaining ERC's has not connected by December 31, 2001, the maximum charge of \$ 463 remains in effect after December 31, 2001.

SSU/ CRYSTAL RIVER

SCHEDULE 10-B STAFF RECOMMENDED

ALLOWANCE FOR FUNDS PRUDENTLY INVESTED

WATER TRANSMISSION AND DISTRIBUTION

	1	997	1	1998	1999		2000		2001
January	\$	4	\$	55	\$ 108	\$	165	\$	227
February		8		59	113	•	170	.,	233
March		13		64	117		176		238
April		17		68	122		181		244
May		21		72	127		186		249
June		25		77	132		191		255
July		29		81	136		196		261
August		34		86	141		201		266
September		38		90	146		206		272
October		42		94	151		211		277
November		46		99	155		217		283
December		50		103	160		222		288

NOTES:

- 2. The number of remaining ERC's is 13
- 3. If the number of remaining ERC's has not connected by December 31, 2001, the maximum charge of \$ 288 remains in effect after December 31, 2001.

SSU/ DAETWYLER SHORES

SCHEDULE 10-B STAFF RECOMMENDED

ALLOWANCE FOR FUNDS PRUDENTLY INVESTED

WATER TRANSMISSION AND DISTRIBUTION

	-	1997	1998	1999		2000		2001
January	\$	3	\$ 42	\$ 82	\$	125	\$	172
February		6	45	85	·	129		177
March		10	48	89		133		181
April		13	52	93		137		185
May		16	55	96		141		189
June		19	58	100		145		194
July		22	62	103		149	•	198
August		26	65	107		153		202
September		29	68	111		157		206
October		32	72	114		160		211
November		35	75	118		164		215
December		39	78	121		168		219

NOTES:

 The amounts indicated above are per ERC. (ERC = 217 gpd)

2. The number of remaining ERC's is 14

3. If the number of remaining ERC's has not connected by December 31, 2001, the maximum charge of \$ 219 remains in effect after December 31, 2001. SSU/ DEEP CREEK

SCHEDULE 10-B STAFF RECOMMENDED

.

ALLOWANCE FOR FUNDS PRUDENTLY INVESTED

WATER TRANSMISSION AND DISTRIBUTION

	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001
January	\$ 6	\$ 80	\$ 161	\$ 249	\$ 345
February	12	87	168	257	353
March	18	94	176	265	362
April	25	100	183	273	371
Мау	31	107	190	281	379
June	37	114	197	289	388
July	43	120	205	296	396
August	49	127	212	304	405
September	55	134	219	312	414
October	61	141	227	320	422
November	68	147	234	328	431
December	74	154	241	336	440

NOTES:

 The amounts indicated above are per ERC. (ERC = 217 gpd)

2. The number of remaining ERC's is3,715

3. If the number of remaining ERC's has not connected by December 31, 2001, the maximum charge of \$ 440 remains in effect after December 31, 2001.

.

SSU/ DEEP CREEK

SCHEDULE 10-C STAFF RECOMMENDED

ALLOWANCE FOR FUNDS PRUDENTLY INVESTED

WASTEWATER COLLECTION AND PUMPING

	-	1997		1998		1999		2000		2001	
January	\$	2	\$	25	\$	51	\$	80	\$	113	
February		4		27		53		83		116	
March		6		29		56		86		119	
April		8		31		58		88		122	
May		10		34		61		91		125	
June		11		36		63		94		128	
July		13		38		66		97		131	
August		15		40		68		99	•	134	
September		17		42		70		102		137	
October		19		44		73		105		141	
November		21		46		75		107		144	
December		23		49		78		110		147	

NOTES:

 The amounts indicated above are per ERC. (ERC = 155 gpd)

2. The number of remaining ERC's is3,708

3. If the number of remaining ERC's has not connected by December 31, 2001, the maximum charge of \$ 147 remains in effect after December 31, 2001.

SCHEDULE 10-A STAFF RECOMMENDED

.

ALLOWANCE FOR FUNDS PRUDENTLY INVESTED

WATER SUPPLY AND TREATMENT

		1997		1998	1999	2000	2001
Territorius	Å	-	~				
January	\$	1	\$	10	\$ 20	\$ 31	\$ 42
February		2		11	21	32	43
March		2		12	22	33	44
April		3		13	23	34	45
May		4		14	24	35	47
June		5		14	25	36	48
July		6		15	25	37	49
August		6		16	26	38	50
September		7		17	27	38	51
October		8		18	28	39	52
November		9		18	29	40	53
December		9		19	30	41	54

NOTES :

ł

 The amounts indicated above are per ERC. (ERC = 217 gpd)

2. The number of remaining ERC's is4,906

3. If the number of remaining ERC's has not connected by December 31, 2001, the maximum charge of \$ 54 remains in effect after December 31, 2001.

SCHEDULE 10-B STAFF RECOMMENDED

.

ALLOWANCE FOR FUNDS PRUDENTLY INVESTED

WATER TRANSMISSION AND DISTRIBUTION

	19	97	19	998	1999	7	2000	2001
January	\$	2	\$	28	\$ 56	\$	86	\$ 119
February		4		31	59		89	122
March		7		33	61		92	125
April		9		35	64		94	128
May		11		38	66		97	130
June		13		40	69		100	133
July		15		42	71		102	136
August		17		44	73		105	139
September		20		47	76		108	142
October		22		49	78		110	145
November		24		51	81		113	148
December		26		54	83		116	151

NOTES:

- 2. The number of remaining ERC's is10403
- 3. If the number of remaining ERC's has not connected by December 31, 2001, the maximum charge of \$ 151 remains in effect after December 31, 2001.

SCHEDULE 10-C STAFF RECOMMENDED

ALLOWANCE FOR FUNDS PRUDENTLY INVESTED

WASTEWATER COLLECTION AND PUMPING

	1	997	1998	1999	2000		2001
January	\$	7	\$ 89	\$ 174	\$ 265	\$	364
February		14	96	182	274	•	373
March		21	103	189	282		382
April		27	110	197	290		390
Мау		34	117	204	298		399
June		41	124	212	306		408
July		48	131	219	314		417
August		5 5	138	227	323		426
September		62	146	235	331		434
October		69	153	242	339		443
November		76	160	250	347	•	452
December		82	167	257	355		461

NOTES:

- 2. The number of remaining ERC's is 301
- 3. If the number of remaining ERC's has not connected by December 31, 2001, the maximum charge of \$ 461 remains in effect after December 31, 2001.

SCHEDULE 10-D STAFF RECOMMENDED

ALLOWANCE FOR FUNDS PRUDENTLY INVESTED

WASTEWATER TREATMENT AND DISPOSAL

	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001
January	\$ 15	\$ 190	\$ 371	\$ 566	\$ 775
February	29	205	387	583	794
March	`44	220	403	600	813
April	58	235	419	618	832
Мау	73	250	436	635	850
June	88	265	452	652	869
July	102	280	468	670	888
August	117	295	484	687	906
September	131	310	500	704	925
October	146	325	516	722	944
November	161	340	532	739	963
December	175	355	548	757	981

NOTES:

 The amounts indicated above are per ERC. (ERC = 155 gpd)

- 2. The number of remaining ERC's is1,050
- 3. If the number of remaining ERC's has not connected by December 31, 2001, the maximum charge of \$ 981 remains in effect after December 31, 2001.

× . .

SSU/ DOL RAY MANOR

SCHEDULE 10-A STAFF RECOMMENDED

ALLOWANCE FOR FUNDS PRUDENTLY INVESTED

WATER SUPPLY AND TREATMENT

	19	97	1998	1999	20	000	20	01
January	\$	1	\$ 12	\$ 23	\$	34	\$	47
February		2	13	24		35		48
March		3	14	25		36		49
April		4	15	26		37		50
Мау		5	15	27		38,		51
June		5	16	28		39		52
July		6	17	29		41		53
August		7	18	29		42		54
September		8	19	30		43		56
October		9	20	31		44		57
November		10	21	32		45		58
December		11	22	33		46		59

NOTES:

 The amounts indicated above are per ERC. (ERC = 217 gpd)

2. The number of remaining ERC's is 128

3. If the number of remaining ERC's has not connected by December 31, 2001, the maximum charge of \$ 59 remains in effect after December 31, 2001. SSU/ DOL RAY MANOR

SCHEDULE 10-B STAFF RECOMMENDED

ALLOWANCE FOR FUNDS PRUDENTLY INVESTED

WATER TRANSMISSION AND DISTRIBUTION

	1997	1998	1999	2000		2001
January	\$ 10	\$ 127	\$ 237	\$ 349	\$	463
February	20	136	246	358	•	473
March	30	146	255	368		482
April	39	155	265	377		492
May	49	164	274	387	•	502
June	59	173	283	396		512
July	69	182	293	406		521
August	79	191	302	415		531
September	89	200	311	425		541
October	99	209	320	434		551
November	108	218	330	444		560
December	118	227	339	453		570

NOTES:

1. The amounts indicated above are per ERC. (ERC = 217 gpd)

- 2. The number of remaining ERC's is 18
- 3. If the number of remaining ERC's has not connected by December 31, 2001, the maximum charge of \$ 570 remains in effect after December 31, 2001.

SSU/ DRUID HILLS

SCHEDULE 10-B STAFF RECOMMENDED

ALLOWANCE FOR FUNDS PRUDENTLY INVESTED

WATER TRANSMISSION AND DISTRIBUTION

	1	997	1998	1999	2000	2001
January	\$	2	\$ 32	\$ 64	\$ 99	\$ 138
February		5	34	67	102	141
March		7	37	70	105	145
April		10	40	72	108	148
May		12	42	75	112	152
June		15	45	78	115	155
July		17	48	81	118	159
August		19	50	84	121	162
September		22	53	87	124	166
October		24	56	90	128	169
November		27	58	93	131	173
December		29	61	96	134	176

NOTES:

- 2. The number of remaining ERC's is 88
- 3. If the number of remaining ERC's has not connected by December 31, 2001, the maximum charge of \$ 176 remains in effect after December 31, 2001.

SSU/ EAST LAKE HARRIS ESTATES

SCHEDULE 10-B STAFF RECOMMENDED

ALLOWANCE FOR FUNDS PRUDENTLY INVESTED

WATER TRANSMISSION AND DISTRIBUTION

	19	997	1998	}	1999	:	2000	2001
January	\$	12	\$ 154	\$	309	\$	480	\$ 668
February		23	166	,	323		496	685
March		35	179)	337		511	700
April		47	192	2	352		527	700
May		59	205	5	366		542	700
June		70	218	3	380		558	700
July		82	231	-	394		573	700
August		94	244	ł	408		589	700
September]	106	256	5	422		605	700
October	1	L17	269)	436		620	700
November	1	L29	282	2	450		636	700
December	1	141	295	5	465		651	700

NOTES:

- 2. The number of remaining ERC's is 62
- 3. If the number of remaining ERC's has not connected by December 31, 2001, the maximum charge of \$ 700 remains in effect after December 31, 2001.

SSU/ FERN PARK

SCHEDULE 10-B STAFF RECOMMENDED

ALLOWANCE FOR FUNDS PRUDENTLY INVESTED

WATER TRANSMISSION AND DISTRIBUTION

	1997	1998	1999	2000		2001
January	\$ 6	\$ 79	\$ 161	\$ 251	\$	350
February	12	86	168	259		359
March	18	93	175	267		368
April	24	99	183	275		378
May	30	106	190	284		387
June	36	113	198	292		396
July	42	119	205	300		405
August	48	126	213	308		414
September	54	133	220	316		423
October	60	140	227	325	•	432
November	66	146	235	333		441
December	72	153	242	341		450

NOTES:

- 2. The number of remaining ERC's is 30
- 3. If the number of remaining ERC's has not connected by December 31, 2001, the maximum charge of \$ 450 remains in effect after December 31, 2001.

SSU/FISHERMAN'S HAVEN

SCHEDULE 10-D STAFF RECOMMENDED

ALLOWANCE FOR FUNDS PRUDENTLY INVESTED

WASTEWATER TREATMENT AND DISPOSAL

	1	.997	1998	1999		2000	2001
January	\$	20	\$ 258	\$ 503	\$	765	\$1,047
February		40	278	524		788	1,072
March		59	299	546		812	1,098
April		79	319	568		835	1,123
May		99	339	590		859	1,148
June		119	359	611		882	1,173
July		139	380	633		905	1,198
August		159	400	655		929	1,223
September		178	420	677		952	1,249
October		198	440	698		975	1,274
November		218	461	720		999	1,299
December		238	481	742	1	,022	1,300

NOTES:

- 2. The number of remaining ERC's is 61
- 3. If the number of remaining ERC's has not connected by December 31, 2001, the maximum charge of \$1,300 remains in effect after December 31, 2001.

SSU/FLORIDA CENTRAL COMMERCE PARK

SCHEDULE 10-C STAFF RECOMMENDED

ALLOWANCE FOR FUNDS PRUDENTLY INVESTED

WASTEWATER COLLECTION AND PUMPING

	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001
January	\$ 74	\$ 971	\$1,300	\$1,300	\$1,300
February	148	1,052	1,300	1,300	1,300
March	222	1,133	1,300	1,300	1,300
April	296	1,214	1,300	1,300	1,300
May	371	1,296	1,300	1,300	1,300
June	445	1,300	1,300	1,300	1,300
July	519	1,300	1,300	1,300	1,300
August	593	1,300	1,300	1,300	1,300
September	667	1,300	1,300	1,300	1,300
October	741	1,300	1,300	1,300	1,300
November	815	1,300	1,300	1,300	1,300
December	889	1,300	1,300	1,300	1,300

NOTES: 1. The amounts indicated above are per ERC. (ERC = 155 gpd)

- 2. The number of remaining ERC's is 11
- 3. If the number of remaining ERC's has not connected by December 31, 2001, the maximum charge of \$1,300 remains in effect after December 31, 2001.

SSU/FLORIDA CENTRAL COMMERCE PARK

SCHEDULE 10-D STAFF RECOMMENDED

ALLOWANCE FOR FUNDS PRUDENTLY INVESTED

WASTEWATER TREATMENT AND DISPOSAL

	1997	7	1998	1999	2000	2001
January	\$ 43	. \$	539	\$1,044	\$1,300	\$1,300
February	83	5	580	1,089	1,300	1,300
March	124		622	1,133	1,300	1,300
April	166	i	664	1,178	1,300	1,300
May	207	7	706	1,223	1,300	1,300
June	248	5	748	1,268	1,300	1,300
July	290)	790	1,300	1,300	1,300
August	331	-	832	1,300	1,300	1,300
September	373		874	1,300	1,300	1,300
October	414	ł	915	1,300	1,300	1,300
November	455	;	957	1,300	1,300	1,300
December	497	,	999	1,300	1,300	1,300

NOTES:

 The amounts indicated above are per ERC. (ERC = 155 gpd)

2. The number of remaining ERC's is 44

3. If the number of remaining ERC's has not connected by December 31, 2001, the maximum charge of \$1,300 remains in effect after December 31, 2001.

SSU/FOUNTAINS

SCHEDULE 10-A STAFF RECOMMENDED

.

ALLOWANCE FOR FUNDS PRUDENTLY INVESTED

WATER SUPPLY AND TREATMENT

	:	1997		1998		1999		2000		2001
January	\$	1	\$	8	\$	16	\$	25	\$	34
-	ş		9		မှ		Ŷ		ę	
February		1		9		17		26		35
March		2		10		18		26		36
April		3		10		19		27		36
May		3		11		19		28		37
June		4		12		20		29:		38
July		5		12		21		29		39
August		5		13		21		30		40
September		6		14		22		31		40
October		6		14		23		32		41
November		7		15		23		32		42
December		8		16		24		33		43

NOTES:

- The amounts indicated above are per ERC. (ERC = 217 gpd)
- 2. The number of remaining ERC's is 283
- 3. If the number of remaining ERC's has not connected by December 31, 2001, the maximum charge of \$ 43 remains in effect after December 31, 2001.

SSU/FOUNTAINS

SCHEDULE 10-B STAFF RECOMMENDED ٠

ALLOWANCE FOR FUNDS PRUDENTLY INVESTED

WATER TRANSMISSION AND DISTRIBUTION

	1	997	1998	1999	2000	2001
January	\$	0	\$ 2	\$ 5	\$ 7	\$ 10
February		0	3	5	8	10
March		1	3	5	8	11
April		1	3	5	8 -	11
May		1	3	6	8	11
June		1	3	6	8	11
July		1	4	6	9	12
August		1	4	6	9	12
September		2	4	6	9	12
October		2	4	7	9	12
November		2	4	7	10	13
December		2	5	7	10	13

NOTES:

 The amounts indicated above are per ERC. (ERC = 217 gpd)

2. The number of remaining ERC's is 39

3. If the number of remaining ERC's has not connected by December 31, 2001, the maximum charge of \$ 13 remains in effect after December 31, 2001.

SSU/FRIENDLY CENTER

SCHEDULE 10-B STAFF RECOMMENDED

ALLOWANCE FOR FUNDS PRUDENTLY INVESTED

WATER TRANSMISSION AND DISTRIBUTION

	1	997	3	1998	1999	2	000	:	2001
January	\$	0	\$	1	\$ 2	\$	3	\$	4
February		0		1	2		3		4
March		0		1	2		3		5
April		0		1	2		3		5
May		0		l	2		4		5
June		0		1	3		4		5
July		1		2	3		4		5
August		1		2	3		4		5
September		1		2	3		4		5
October		1		2	3		4		5
November		1		2	3		4		5
December		1		2	3		. 4		5

NOTES:

 The amounts indicated above are per ERC. (ERC = 217 gpd)

- 2. The number of remaining ERC's is 62
- 3. If the number of remaining ERC's has not connected by December 31, 2001, the maximum charge of \$ 5 remains in effect after December 31, 2001.

SSU/GENEVA LAKE ESTATES

SCHEDULE 10-B STAFF RECOMMENDED

ALLOWANCE FOR FUNDS PRUDENTLY INVESTED

WATER TRANSMISSION AND DISTRIBUTION

	199	7	1998	1999	2	2000	2001
_		_					
January	\$	2 5	\$28	\$ 56	\$	86	\$ 118
February		4	31	58		88	121
March		7	33	61		91	124
April		9	35	63		94	127
Мау	3	.1	37	66		96	130
June	1	.3	40	68		99	133
July	-	.5	42	71		102	136
August	3	7	44	73		104	138
September		20	47	76		107	141
October	4	2	49	78		110	144
November	1	4	51	81		112	147
December	2	6	53	83		115	150

NOTES:

 The amounts indicated above are per ERC. (ERC = 217 gpd)

- 2. The number of remaining ERC's is 43
- 3. If the number of remaining ERC's has not connected by December 31, 2001, the maximum charge of \$ 150 remains in effect after December 31, 2001.

-88-

SSU/GOLDEN TERRACE

SCHEDULE 10-B STAFF RECOMMENDED

ALLOWANCE FOR FUNDS PRUDENTLY INVESTED

WATER TRANSMISSION AND DISTRIBUTION

	1	.997	-	1998	1999	2000	2001
January	\$	2	\$	33	\$ 64	\$ 98	\$ 135
February		5		35	67	101	139
March		7		38	70	104	142
April		10		40	72	107	145
May		12		43	75	110	149
June		15		46	78	113	152
July		17		48	81	117	155
August		20		51	84	120	159
September		22		53	87	123	162
October		25		56	89	126	165
November		27		59	92	129	169
December		30		61	95	132	172

NOTES:

 The amounts indicated above are per ERC. (ERC = 217 gpd)

- 2. The number of remaining ERC's is 14
- 3. If the number of remaining ERC's has not connected by December 31, 2001, the maximum charge of \$ 172 remains in effect after December 31, 2001.

SSU/GOSPEL ISLAND ESTATES

SCHEDULE 10-B STAFF RECOMMENDED

ALLOWANCE FOR FUNDS PRUDENTLY INVESTED

WATER TRANSMISSION AND DISTRIBUTION

	:	L997	1998	1999	2000	2001
January	\$	2	\$ 31	\$ 62	\$ 95	\$ 130
February		5	34	64	97	133
March		7	36	67	100	137
April		10	39	70	103	140
May		12	41	73	106	143
June		14	44	75	109	146
July		17	46	78	112	149
August		19	49	81	115	153
September		22	51	83	118 .	156
October		24	54	86	121	159
November		27	56	89	124	162
December		29	59	92	127	165

NOTES:

 The amounts indicated above are per ERC. (ERC = 217 gpd)

- 2. The number of remaining ERC's is 15
- 3. If the number of remaining ERC's has not connected by December 31, 2001, the maximum charge of \$ 165 remains in effect after December 31, 2001.

SSU/HERMITS COVE

ALLOWANCE FOR FUNDS PRUDENTLY INVESTED

WATER SUPPLY AND TREATMENT

	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001
January	\$ 3	\$ 42	\$ 82	\$ 125	\$ 171
February	7	46	86	129	175
March	10	49	89	132	179
April	13	52	93	136	183
May	16	56	96	140	187
June	20	59	100	144	191
July	23	62	103	148	195
August	26	66	107	151	199
September	29	69	110	155	203
October	33	72	114	159	207
November	36	75	118	163	211
December	39	79	121	167	215

NOTES:

- 2. The number of remaining ERC's is 11
- 3. If the number of remaining ERC's has not connected by December 31, 2001, the maximum charge of \$ 215 remains in effect after December 31, 2001.

SSU/HERMITS COVE

SCHEDULE 10-B STAFF RECOMMENDED

ALLOWANCE FOR FUNDS PRUDENTLY INVESTED

WATER TRANSMISSION AND DISTRIBUTION

	l	997	1	1998	1999	2000		2001
January	\$	3	\$	35	\$ 69	\$ 106	\$	147
February		5		38	72	110	·	151
March		8		41	75	113		154
April		11		44	79	117		158
May		13		46	82	120		162
June		16		49	85	123		165
July		19		52	88	127		169
August		22		55	91	130		173
September		24		58	94	133		176
October		27		61	97	137		180
November		30		63	100	140		183
December		32		66	103	143		187

NOTES:

- 2. The number of remaining ERC's is 174
- 3. If the number of remaining ERC's has not connected by December 31, 2001, the maximum charge of \$ 187 remains in effect after December 31, 2001.

SSU/ HOBBY HILLS

SCHEDULE 10-A STAFF RECOMMENDED

ALLOWANCE FOR FUNDS PRUDENTLY INVESTED

WATER SUPPLY AND TREATMENT

	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001
January	\$ 2	\$ 20	\$ 39	\$ 59	\$ 81
February	3	22	41	61	83
March	5	23	42	63	85
April	6	25	44	65	87
May	8	26	46	66	89
June	9	28	47	68	91
July	11	29	49	70	93
August	12	31	51	72	95
September	14	32	52	74	96
October	15	34	54	75	98
November	17	36	56	77	100
December	18	37	57	79	102

NOTES:

- 2. The number of remaining ERC's is 114
- 3. If the number of remaining ERC's has not connected by December 31, 2001, the maximum charge of \$ 102 remains in effect after December 31, 2001.

SSU/ HOBBY HILLS

SCHEDULE 10-B STAFF RECOMMENDED

ALLOWANCE FOR FUNDS PRUDENTLY INVESTED

WATER TRANSMISSION AND DISTRIBUTION

	1	997	1998	1999	2000	2001
January	\$	1	\$ 8	\$ 15	\$ 22	\$ 31
February		1	8	15	23	32
March		2	9	16	24	32
April		2	9	17	25	33
May		3	10	17	25	34
June		3	10	18	26	35
July		4	11	19	27	35
August		5	12	19	27	36
September		5	12	20	28	37
October		6	13	20	29	38
November		6	13	21	29.	39
December		7	14	22	30	39

NOTES:

 The amounts indicated above are per ERC. (ERC = 217 gpd)

2. The number of remaining ERC's is 28

3. If the number of remaining ERC's has not connected by December 31, 2001, the maximum charge of \$ 39 remains in effect after December 31, 2001. SSU/ HOLIDAY HAVEN

SCHEDULE 10-B STAFF RECOMMENDED

ALLOWANCE FOR FUNDS PRUDENTLY INVESTED

WATER TRANSMISSION AND DISTRIBUTION

	1:	997	1	1998	1999	1	2000	· :	2001
January	\$	0	\$	5	\$ 10	\$	16	\$	22
February		1		5	11		16	•	23
March		1		6	11		17		23
April		2		6	12		17		24
May		2		7	12		18		24
June		3		7	12		18		25
July		3		8	13		19		26
August		3		8	13		19	•	26
September		4		8	14		20		27
October		4		9	14		21		27
November		5		9	15		21		28
December		5		10	15		22		29

NOTES:

 The amounts indicated above are per ERC. (ERC = 217 gpd)

2. The number of remaining ERC's is 51

3. If the number of remaining ERC's has not connected by December 31, 2001, the maximum charge of \$ 29 remains in effect after December 31, 2001. SSU/ HOLIDAY HAVEN

SCHEDULE 10-C STAFF RECOMMENDED

ALLOWANCE FOR FUNDS PRUDENTLY INVESTED

WASTEWATER COLLECTION AND PUMPING

	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001
January	\$ 1	\$ 15	\$ 31	\$ 48	\$ 67
February	3	17	33	50	68
March	4	18	34	51	70
April	5	19	35	53	72
May	6	21	37	54	73
June	8	22	38	56	75
July	9	23	40	58	77
August	10	25	41	59	78
September	11	26	43	61	80
October	13	27	44	62	82
November	14	28	45	64	83
December	15	30	47	65	85

NOTES:

1. The amounts indicated above are per ERC. (ERC = 155 gpd)

2. The number of remaining ERC's is 72

3. If the number of remaining ERC's has not connected by December 31, 2001, the maximum charge of \$ 85 remains in effect after December 31, 2001.

SSU/ HOLIDAY HAVEN

SCHEDULE 10-D STAFF RECOMMENDED

ALLOWANCE FOR FUNDS PRUDENTLY INVESTED

WASTEWATER TREATMENT AND DISPOSAL

	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001
January	\$ 51	\$ 614	\$1,242	\$1,300	\$1,300
February	102	667	1,298	1,300	1,300
March	154	719	1,300	1,300	1,300
April	205	771	1,300	1,300	1,300
May	256	824	1,300	1,300	1,300
June	307	876	1,300	1,300	1,300
July	358	928	1,300	1,300	1,300
August	410	981	1,300	1,300	1,300
September	461	 1,033	1,300	1,300	1,300
October	512	1,085	1,300	1,300	1,300
November	563	1,138	1,300	1,300	1,300
December	614	1,190	1,300	1,300	1,300

NOTES:

 The amounts indicated above are per ERC. (ERC = 155 gpd)

2. The number of remaining ERC's is 88

3. If the number of remaining ERC's has not connected by December 31, 2001, the maximum charge of \$1,300 remains in effect after December 31, 2001. SSU/ INTERCESSION CITY

SCHEDULE 10-B STAFF RECOMMENDED

.

ALLOWANCE FOR FUNDS PRUDENTLY INVESTED

WATER TRANSMISSION AND DISTRIBUTION

	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001
January	\$ 2	\$ 32	\$ 63	\$ 96	\$ 133
February	5	34	65	99	136
March	7	37	68	102	139
April	10	39	71	105	143
Мау	12	42	74	108	146
June	15	44	76	111	149
July	17	47	79	114	153
August	19	50	82	117	156
September	22	52	85	120	159
October	24	55	88	123	162
November	27	57	90	126	166
December	29	60	93	129	169

NOTES:

 The amounts indicated above are per ERC. (ERC = 217 gpd)

2. The number of remaining ERC's is 278

3. If the number of remaining ERC's has not connected by December 31, 2001, the maximum charge of \$ 169 remains in effect after December 31, 2001. SSU/ INTERLACHAN LAKES/PARK MANOR

SCHEDULE 10-A STAFF RECOMMENDED

ALLOWANCE FOR FUNDS PRUDENTLY INVESTED

WATER SUPPLY AND TREATMENT

	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001
January	\$ 1	\$ 11	\$ 22	\$ 33	\$ 46
February	2	12	23	34	47
March	3	13	24	35	48
April	3	14	24	36	49
May	4	15	25	37	50
June	5	15	26	38	51
July	6	16	27	39	52
August	7	17	28	40	54
September	8	18	29	41	55
October	8	19	30	42	56
November	9	20	31	44	57
December	10	21	32	45	58

NOTES:

 The amounts indicated above are per ERC. (ERC = 217 gpd)

2. The number of remaining ERC's is 264

3. If the number of remaining ERC's has not connected by December 31, 2001, the maximum charge of \$ 58 remains in effect after December 31, 2001.

SSU/ INTERLACHAN LAKES/PARK MANOR

SCHEDULE 10-B STAFF RECOMMENDED

ALLOWANCE FOR FUNDS PRUDENTLY INVESTED

WATER TRANSMISSION AND DISTRIBUTION

	1	997	1	1998	1999	:	2000		2001
January	\$	1	\$	18	\$ 36	\$	55	\$	75
February		3		19	37		56		77
March		4		21	39		58		79
April		6		22	40		60		81
May		7		24	42		61		83
June		8		25	43		63		85
July		10		27	45		65		87
August		11		28	47		67		89
September		12		30	48		68	•	90
October		14		31	50		70		92
November		15		33	51		72		94
December		17		34	53		74		96

۰

NOTES:

 The amounts indicated above are per ERC. (ERC = 217 gpd)

2. The number of remaining ERC's is 125

3. If the number of remaining ERC's has not connected by December 31, 2001, the maximum charge of \$ 96 remains in effect after December 31, 2001. SSU/ JUNGLE DEN

SCHEDULE 10-B STAFF RECOMMENDED

.

ALLOWANCE FOR FUNDS PRUDENTLY INVESTED

WATER TRANSMISSION AND DISTRIBUTION

	3	.997	1998	1999	2000	2001
January	\$	1	\$ 10	\$ 19	\$ 29	\$ 40
February		1	11	20	30	41
March		2	11	21	31	42
April		3	12	22	32	43
May		4	13	23	33	44
June		4	14	23	34	45
July		5	14	24	35	46
August		6	15	25	36	47
September		7	16	26	37	48
October		7	17	27	37	49
November		8	18	28	38	50
December		9	18	28	39	51

NOTES: 1. The amounts indicated above are per ERC. (ERC = 217 gpd)

2. The number of remaining ERC's is 22

3. If the number of remaining ERC's has not connected by December 31, 2001, the maximum charge of \$ 51 remains in effect after December 31, 2001.

SSU/ JUNGLE DEN

SCHEDULE 10-C STAFF RECOMMENDED

ALLOWANCE FOR FUNDS PRUDENTLY INVESTED

WASTEWATER COLLECTION AND PUMPING

	1	997	1998	1999	2000	2001
January	\$	11	\$ 145	\$ 276	\$ 414	\$ 560
February		22	156	288	426	573
March		34	167	299	439	586
April		45	178	311	451	599
May		56	189	322	463	612
June		67	200	334	475	624
July		78	211	345	487	637
August		90	221	356	499	650
September		101	232	368	511 '	663
October		112	243	379	523	676
November		123	254	391	535	688
December		135	265	402	547	701

NOTES:

- 2. The number of remaining ERC's is 17
- 3. If the number of remaining ERC's has not connected by December 31, 2001, the maximum charge of \$ 701 remains in effect after December 31, 2001.

SSU/ JUNGLE DEN

SCHEDULE 10-D STAFF RECOMMENDED

ALLOWANCE FOR FUNDS PRUDENTLY INVESTED

WASTEWATER TREATMENT AND DISPOSAL

	1	997	-	1998	1999	2000		2001
January	\$	5	\$	63	\$ 122	\$ 186	\$	255
February		10		67	127	192		261
March		14		72	133	197		267
April		19		77	138	203		273
May		24		82	143	209		279
June		29		87	148	214		285
July		34		92	154	220		292
August		38		97	159	226		298
September		43		102	164	231		304
October		48		107	170	237		310
November		53		112	175	243		316
December		58		117	180	249	-	322

NOTES:

 The amounts indicated above are per ERC. (ERC = 155 gpd)

2. The number of remaining ERC's is 187

3. If the number of remaining ERC's has not connected by December 31, 2001, the maximum charge of \$ 322 remains in effect after December 31, 2001.

SSU/ KEYSTONE CLUB ESTATES

SCHEDULE 10-A STAFF RECOMMENDED

ALLOWANCE FOR FUNDS PRUDENTLY INVESTED

WATER SUPPLY AND TREATMENT

	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001
January	\$ 3	\$ 43	\$ 84	\$ 128	\$ 175
February	7	46	87	132	179
March	10	50	91	136	184
April	13	53	95	139	188
Мау	16	56	98	143	192
June	20	60	102	147	196
July	23	63	106	151	201
August	26	67	109	155	205
September	30	70	113	159	209
October	33	73	116	163	213
November	36	77	120	167	217
December	39	80	124	171	222

NOTES:

 The amounts indicated above are per ERC. (ERC = 217 gpd)

2. The number of remaining ERC's is 167

3. If the number of remaining ERC's has not connected by December 31, 2001, the maximum charge of \$ 222 remains in effect after December 31, 2001. SSU/ KEYSTONE CLUB ESTATES

SCHEDULE 10-B STAFF RECOMMENDED

ALLOWANCE FOR FUNDS PRUDENTLY INVESTED

WATER TRANSMISSION AND DISTRIBUTION

	נ	997	1998	1999	2000	2001
January	\$	7	\$ 97	\$ 192	\$ 294	\$ 406
February		15	105	200	304	416
March		22	113	209	313	426
April		30	120	217	322	436
May		37	128	226	331	446
June		45	136	234	340	456
July		52	144	243	350	466
August		59	152	251	359	476
September		67	160	260	368	486
October		74	167	268	377	497
November		82	175	277	387	507
December		89	183	285	396	517

NOTES:

 The amounts indicated above are per ERC. (ERC = 217 gpd)

2. The number of remaining ERC's is 86

3. If the number of remaining ERC's has not connected by December 31, 2001, the maximum charge of \$ 517 remains in effect after December 31, 2001. SSU/ KEYSTONE HEIGHTS

SCHEDULE 10-B STAFF RECOMMENDED

-

ALLOWANCE FOR FUNDS PRUDENTLY INVESTED

WATER TRANSMISSION AND DISTRIBUTION

	19	997	1	998	1999	2000	2001
January	\$	5	\$	61	\$ 121	\$ 185	\$ 255
February		9		66	126	191	261
March		14		71	131	197	268
April		19		76	137	202	274
May		24		81	142	208	280
June		28		86	147	214	287
July		33		91	153	220	293
August		38		96	158	226	299
September		42		101	163	231	306
October		47		105	169	237	312
November		52		110	174	243	318
December		56		115	179	249	325

NOTES:

 The amounts indicated above are per ERC. (ERC = 217 gpd)

2. The number of remaining ERC's is 676

3. If the number of remaining ERC's has not connected by December 31, 2001, the maximum charge of \$ 325 remains in effect after December 31, 2001. SSU/ KINGSWOOD

SCHEDULE 10-B STAFF RECOMMENDED

ALLOWANCE FOR FUNDS PRUDENTLY INVESTED

WATER TRANSMISSION AND DISTRIBUTION

	1	997	1	1998	1	999	2	000	2	2001
January	\$	0	\$	2	\$	4	\$	6	\$	8
February		0		2		4		6		8
March		0		2		4		6		8
April		1		2		4		6		8
May		1		3		4		6		8
June		1		3		5		7		9
July		1		3		5		7		9
August		1		3		5		7		9
September		1		3		5		7		9
October		2		3		5		7.		9
November		2		3		5		7		9
December		2		4		6		8		10

NOTES:

 The amounts indicated above are per ERC. (ERC = 217 gpd)

2. The number of remaining ERC's is 7

3. If the number of remaining ERC's has not connected by December 31, 2001, the maximum charge of \$ 10 remains in effect after December 31, 2001.

SSU/ LAKE BRANTLEY

SCHEDULE 10-B STAFF RECOMMENDED

ALLOWANCE FOR FUNDS PRUDENTLY INVESTED

WATER TRANSMISSION AND DISTRIBUTION

	נ	.997	1998	1999	2000	2001
January	\$	2	\$ 24	\$ 47	\$ 73	\$ 100
February		4	26	50	75	102
March		6	28	52	77	105
April		7	30	54	79	107
May		9	32	56	82	110
June		11	34	58	84	112
July		13	36	60	86	115
August		15	38	62	89	117
September		17	40	64	91	120
October		18	41	66	93	122
November		20	43	68	95	125
December		22	45	70	98	127

NOTES:

 The amounts indicated above are per ERC. (ERC = 217 gpd)

2. The number of remaining ERC's is 5

3. If the number of remaining ERC's has not connected by December 31, 2001, the maximum charge of \$ 127 remains in effect after December 31, 2001.

SSU/ LAKESIDE

SCHEDULE 10-B STAFF RECOMMENDED

ALLOWANCE FOR FUNDS PRUDENTLY INVESTED

WATER TRANSMISSION AND DISTRIBUTION

	1	997	1998	1999	2000		2001
January	\$	3	\$ 42	\$ 83	\$ 127	\$	175
February		6	45	87	131	·	180
March		10	49	90	135		184
April		13	52	94	139		188
Мау		16	55	98	143		193
June		19	59	101	147		197
July		22	62	105	151		201
August		26	66	109	155		206
September		29	69	112	159		210
October		32	72	116	163		214
November		35	76	120	167		219
December		38	79	123	1 71		223

NOTES:

 The amounts indicated above are per ERC. (ERC = 217 gpd)

2. The number of remaining ERC's is 157

3. If the number of remaining ERC's has not connected by December 31, 2001, the maximum charge of \$ 223 remains in effect after December 31, 2001.

SCHEDULE 10-A STAFF RECOMMENDED

ALLOWANCE FOR FUNDS PRUDENTLY INVESTED

WATER SUPPLY AND TREATMENT

	19	997	1	998		1999	2	2000		2001
January	\$	2	\$	20	\$	39	\$	59	\$	81
February	Ģ	3	Ŷ	21	Ŷ	41	Ŷ	61	Ŷ	83
-										
March		5		23		42		63		85
April		6		25		44		65		87
May		8		26		46		66		89
June		9		28		47		68		91
July		11		29		49		70		93
August		12		31		51		72		94
September		14		32		52		74		96
October		15		34		54		75		98
November		17		36		56		77		100
December		18		37		57		79		102

NOTES:

 The amounts indicated above are per ERC. (ERC = 217 gpd)

2. The number of remaining ERC's is3,837

3. If the number of remaining ERC's has not connected by December 31, 2001, the maximum charge of \$ 102 remains in effect after December 31, 2001.

SCHEDULE 10-B STAFF RECOMMENDED

ALLOWANCE FOR FUNDS PRUDENTLY INVESTED

WATER TRANSMISSION AND DISTRIBUTION

	1	997	1998	1999	2000	2001
January	\$	9	\$ 123	\$ 243	\$ 373	\$ 514
February		19	133	254	384	526
March		28	143	264	396	539
April		38	153	275	408	552
May		47	163	286	419	564
June		57	173	297	431	577
July		66	183	307	443	590
August		76	193	318	454	602
September		85	203	329	466	615
October		94	212	340	478	628
November	:	104	222	350	489	640
December	:	113	232	361	501	653

NOTES:

 The amounts indicated above are per ERC. (ERC = 217 gpd)

- 2. The number of remaining ERC's is3,596
- 3. If the number of remaining ERC's has not connected by December 31, 2001, the maximum charge of \$ 653 remains in effect after December 31, 2001.

13783

SCHEDULE 10-C STAFF RECOMMENDED

ALLOWANCE FOR FUNDS PRUDENTLY INVESTED

WASTEWATER COLLECTION AND PUMPING

	199'	,	1998	1999	2000	2001
January	\$ 18	\$ ا	236	\$ 463	\$ 708	\$ 974
February	3 (;	255	483	730	998
March	54	:	273	503	752	1,021
April	72	:	292	524	774	1,045
May	90)	311	544	796	1,069
June	109)	330	564	818	1,093
July	127	,	349	585	840	1,117
August	145	i	367	605	862	1, 14 1
September	163		386	625	884	1,164
October	181		405	645	906	1,188
November	199	1	424	666	928	1,212
December	217	•	442	686	950	1,236

NOTES:

 The amounts indicated above are per ERC. (ERC = 155 gpd)

- 2. The number of remaining ERC's is1,338
- 3. If the number of remaining ERC's has not connected by December 31, 2001, the maximum charge of \$1,236 remains in effect after December 31, 2001.

SCHEDULE 10-D STAFF RECOMMENDED

ALLOWANCE FOR FUNDS PRUDENTLY INVESTED

WASTEWATER TREATMENT AND DISPOSAL

	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001
January	\$ 9	\$ 111	\$ 216	\$ 329	\$ 450
February	17	120	226	339	461
March	26	129	235	349	472
April	34	137	244	359	482
May	43	146	254	369	493
June	51	155	263	379	504
July	60	164	272	389	515
August	68	172	282	399	525
September	77	181	291	409	536
October	86	190	300	419	547
November	94	198	310	429	558
December	103	207	319	439	569

NOTES:

 The amounts indicated above are per ERC. (ERC = 155 gpd)

- 2. The number of remaining ERC's is $\ 774$
- 3. If the number of remaining ERC's has not connected by December 31, 2001, the maximum charge of \$ 569 remains in effect after December 31, 2001.

SSU/ LEISURE LAKES

SCHEDULE 10-B STAFF RECOMMENDED

ALLOWANCE FOR FUNDS PRUDENTLY INVESTED

WATER TRANSMISSION AND DISTRIBUTION

	1	997	1998	1999	:	2000	2001
_							
January	\$	1	\$ 15	\$ 29	\$	44	\$ 61
February		2	16	30		46	63
March		3	17	32		47	64
Aprìl		5	18	33		49	66
May		6	19	34		50	67
June		7	21	35		51	69
July		8	22	37		53	70
August		9	23	38		54	72
September		10	24	39		55 [`]	73
October		11	25	40		57	75
November		12	27	42		58	76
December		14	28	43		60	78

NOTES:

 The amounts indicated above are per ERC. (ERC = 217 gpd)

- 2. The number of remaining ERC's is 135
- 3. If the number of remaining ERC's has not connected by December 31, 2001, the maximum charge of \$ 78 remains in effect after December 31, 2001.

SSU/ LEISURE LAKES

SCHEDULE 10-C STAFF RECOMMENDED

ALLOWANCE FOR FUNDS PRUDENTLY INVESTED

WASTEWATER COLLECTION AND PUMPING

	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001
January	\$ 4	\$ 56	\$ 109	\$ 166	\$ 228
February	9	60	114	171	234
March	13	65	119	177	239
April	17	69	123	182	245
May	21	73	128	187	250
June	26	78	133	192	256
July	30	82	138	197	261
August	34	87	142	202	267
September	39	91	147	207	272
October	43	96	152	212	278
November	47	100	157	217	283
December	51	104	16 1	223	289

NOTES:

 The amounts indicated above are per ERC. (ERC = 155 gpd)

- 2. The number of remaining ERC's is 148
- 3. If the number of remaining ERC's has not connected by December 31, 2001, the maximum charge of \$ 289 remains in effect after December 31, 2001.

SSU/ LEISURE LAKES

SCHEDULE 10-D STAFF RECOMMENDED

ALLOWANCE FOR FUNDS PRUDENTLY INVESTED

WASTEWATER TREATMENT AND DISPOSAL

	1 9 97	1998	1999	2000	2001
January	\$ 1	\$ 7	\$ 14	\$ 21	\$ 29
February	1	8	14	22	29
March	2	8	15	22	30
April	2	9	16	23	31
Мау	3	9	16	24	31
June	3	10	17	24	32
July	4	11	17	25	33
August	4	11	18	25	33
September	5	12	19	26	34
October	6	12	19	27	35
November	6	13	20	27	35
December	7	13	20	28	36

NOTES:

 The amounts indicated above are per ERC. (ERC = 155 gpd)

2. The number of remaining ERC's is 705

3. If the number of remaining ERC's has not connected by December 31, 2001, the maximum charge of \$ 36 remains in effect after December 31, 2001. SSU/ MARCO ISLAND

SCHEDULE 10-B STAFF RECOMMENDED

ALLOWANCE FOR FUNDS PRUDENTLY INVESTED

WATER TRANSMISSION AND DISTRIBUTION

	:	1997	1998		1999		2000		2001
January	\$	3	\$ 35	\$	68	\$	105	\$	144
February		5	38	•	71	•	108	•	148
March		8	40		74		111		151
April		11	43		77		114		155
May		13	46		80		118		158
June		16	49		83		121		162
July		19	51		86		124		165
August		21	54		89		128		169
September		24	57		92		131		173
October		27	60		95		134		176
November		29	63		98		137		180
December		32	65		101		141		183

NOTES:

 The amounts indicated above are per ERC. (ERC = 217 gpd)

- 2. The number of remaining ERC's is7,834
- 3. If the number of remaining ERC's has not connected by December 31, 2001, the maximum charge of \$ 183 remains in effect after December 31, 2001.

.

SSU/ MARCO ISLAND

SCHEDULE 10-D STAFF RECOMMENDED

ALLOWANCE FOR FUNDS PRUDENTLY INVESTED

WASTEWATER TREATMENT AND DISPOSAL

	1	997	1998	1999	2000	2001
January	\$	26	\$ 339	\$ 660	\$1,004	\$1,300
February		52	366	688	1,035	1,300
March		78	392	717	1,065	1,300
April		104	419	745	1,096	1,300
May		130	445	774	1,127	1,300
June		156	472	802	1,157	1,300
July		182	498	831	1,188	1,300
August		208	525	859	1,219	1,300
September		234	551	888	1,249	1,300
October	:	260	578	916	1,280	1,300
November	:	287	605	945	1,300	1,300
December		313	631	973	1,300	1,300

NOTES:

 The amounts indicated above are per ERC. (ERC = 155 gpd)

- 2. The number of remaining ERC's is4,812
- 3. If the number of remaining ERC's has not connected by December 31, 2001, the maximum charge of \$1,300 remains in effect after December 31, 2001.

SSU/MARCO SHORES

SCHEDULE 10-A STAFF RECOMMENDED

ALLOWANCE FOR FUNDS PRUDENTLY INVESTED

WATER SUPPLY AND TREATMENT

	1	997	:	1998		1999		2000		2001
January	\$	1	Ş	13	\$	25	\$	39	\$	54
February	Ŷ	2	Ŷ	14	Ŷ	26	Ŷ	40	Ŷ	55
March		3		15		20		41		55
				. –						
April		4		16		28		42		58
May		5		17		29		44		59
June		6		18		31		45		61
July		7		19		32		46		62
August		8		20	•	33		47		63
September		9		21		34		49		65
October		10		22		35		50		66
November		11		23		36		51		68
December		12		24		37		52		69

NOTES:

 The amounts indicated above are per ERC. (ERC = 217 gpd)

2. The number of remaining ERC's is 51

3. If the number of remaining ERC's has not connected by December 31, 2001, the maximum charge of \$ 69 remains in effect after December 31, 2001. SSU/MARCO SHORES

SCHEDULE 10-C STAFF RECOMMENDED

ALLOWANCE FOR FUNDS PRUDENTLY INVESTED

WASTEWATER COLLECTION AND PUMPING

	1	.997	1998	1999	2000	2001
January	\$	2	\$ 23	\$ 44	\$ 66	\$ 91
February		3	24	46	68	93
March		5	26	48	70	95
April		7	28	49	72	97
May		9	30	51	74	99
June		10	31	53	76	101
July		12	33	55	78	103
August		14	35	57	80	105
September		16	37	59	82	108
October		17	38	61	84	110
November		19	40	63	86	112
December		21	42	64	88	114

NOTES:

 The amounts indicated above are per ERC. (ERC = 155 gpd)

2. The number of remaining ERC's is 84

3. If the number of remaining ERC's has not connected by December 31, 2001, the maximum charge of \$ 114 remains in effect after December 31, 2001. SSU/MARCO SHORES

SCHEDULE 10-D STAFF RECOMMENDED

ALLOWANCE FOR FUNDS PRUDENTLY INVESTED

WASTEWATER TREATMENT AND DISPOSAL

	19	97	19	98	1	.999	2	2000	2001
January	\$	13	\$ 1	72	\$	335	\$	509	\$ 695
February		26	1	86		350		524	711
March		40	1	99		364		540	728
April		53	2	13		378		555	744
May		66	2	26		393		570	760
June		79	2	40		407		586	777
July		93	2	53		421		601	793
August	1	106	2	67		436		617	810
September	1	19	2	80		450		632	826
October	נ	132	2	94		465		647	843
November	1	46	3	07		479		663	859
December	1	.59	3	21		493		678	876

NOTES :

 The amounts indicated above are per ERC. (ERC = 155 gpd)

2. The number of remaining ERC's is 54

3. If the number of remaining ERC's has not connected by December 31, 2001, the maximum charge of \$ 876 remains in effect after December 31, 2001.

13793

SCHEDULE 10-A STAFF RECOMMENDED

ALLOWANCE FOR FUNDS PRUDENTLY INVESTED

WATER SUPPLY AND TREATMENT

	19	997	1998		8 1999		2000		2001		
January	\$	1	\$	9	\$	18	\$	27	\$	38	
February		1		10		19		28		38	
March		2		11		19		29		39	
April		3		11	•	20		30		40	
May		3		12		21		31		41	
June		4		13		22		32		42	
July		5		13		23		32		43	
August		6		14		23		33		44	,
September		6		15		24		34		45	
October		7		16		25		35.		46	
November		8		16		26		36		47	
December		8		17		26		37		48	

NOTES:

 The amounts indicated above are per ERC. (ERC = 217 gpd)

2. The number of remaining ERC's is 558

3. If the number of remaining ERC's has not connected by December 31, 2001, the maximum charge of \$ 48 remains in effect after December 31, 2001.

SCHEDULE 10-B STAFF RECOMMENDED

ALLOWANCE FOR FUNDS PRUDENTLY INVESTED

WATER TRANSMISSION AND DISTRIBUTION

	1997		1998	1999	2000	2001
January	\$ 7	Ś	5 92	\$ 181	\$ 278	\$ 383
February	14		99	189	286	393
March	21		106	197	295	402
April	28		114	205	304	412
May	35		121	213	312	421
June	42		128	221	321	431
July	49	I	136	229	330	440
August	56		143	237	339	450
September	63		151	245	347	459
October	70)	158	253	356	469
November	77		165	261	365	478
December	84		173	269	374	488

NOTES :

 The amounts indicated above are per ERC. (ERC = 217 gpd)

2. The number of remaining ERC's is9,446

3. If the number of remaining ERC's has not connected by December 31, 2001, the maximum charge of \$ 488 remains in effect after December 31, 2001.

SCHEDULE 10-C STAFF RECOMMENDED

ALLOWANCE FOR FUNDS PRUDENTLY INVESTED

WASTEWATER COLLECTION AND PUMPING

	1997	997 1998		1999	2000	2001
January	\$ 14	\$	183	\$ 358	\$ 548	\$ 753
February	28	i	197	374	565	772
March	42		212	389	582	790
April	56		226	405	599	809
May	70)	241	421	616	827
June	84	:	255	437	633	845
July	98		270	452	650	864
August	112	1	284	468	667	882
September	126		299	484	684 '	901
October	140	l	313	499	701	919
November	154		328	515	718	938
December	168		342	531	735	956

NOTES:

 The amounts indicated above are per ERC. (ERC = 155 gpd)

2. The number of remaining ERC's is 260

3. If the number of remaining ERC's has not connected by December 31, 2001, the maximum charge of \$ 956 remains in effect after December 31, 2001.

SCHEDULE 10-D STAFF RECOMMENDED

ALLOWANCE FOR FUNDS PRUDENTLY INVESTED

WASTEWATER TREATMENT AND DISPOSAL

	19	997	7 1998		19	99	2	000		2001
Tonuorre	\$	7	~ .	36	<u>н</u> -	77	÷	262	~	260
January	Ŷ	-			•	.71	\$	262	\$	360
February		13	9	93	1	.79		270		369
March		20	10	00	1	.86		279		378
April		26	10	70	1	.94		287		387
May		33	1:	L4	2	01		295		395
June		39	1:	21	2	209		303		404
July		46	1:	28	2	216		311 '		413
August		53	13	35	2	24		319		421
September		59	14	12	2	32		327		430
October		66	14	19	2	39		335		439
November		72	1!	56	2	247		343		448
December		79	10	54	2	254		352		456

NOTES :

 The amounts indicated above are per ERC. (ERC = 155 gpd)

2. The number of remaining ERC's is 819

3. If the number of remaining ERC's has not connected by December 31, 2001, the maximum charge of \$ 456 remains in effect after December 31, 2001.

SSU/MEREDITH MANOR

SCHEDULE 10-A STAFF RECOMMENDED

ALLOWANCE FOR FUNDS PRUDENTLY INVESTED

WATER SUPPLY AND TREATMENT

	1	997	1998	1999	2000	2001
January	\$	0	\$ 6	\$ 11	\$ 17	\$ 23
February		1	6	12	18	24
March		1	7	12	18	24
April		2	7	13	19	25
May		2	8	13	19	26
June		3	8	14	20	26
July		3	8	• 14	20	27
August		4	9	15	21	27
September		4	9	15	21	28
October		4	10	16	22	28
November		5	10	16	22	29
December		5	11	17	23	30

NOTES:

 The amounts indicated above are per ERC. (ERC = 217 gpd)

2. The number of remaining ERC's is 58

3. If the number of remaining ERC's has not connected by December 31, 2001, the maximum charge of \$ 30 remains in effect after December 31, 2001.

SSU/MEREDITH MANOR

SCHEDULE 10-B STAFF RECOMMENDED

.

ALLOWANCE FOR FUNDS PRUDENTLY INVESTED

WATER TRANSMISSION AND DISTRIBUTION

	1	997	1998	1999	2000	2001
January	\$	5	\$ 68	\$ 134	\$ 206	\$ 285
February		10	73	140	213	292
March		16	79	146	219	299
April		21	84	152	226	306
May		26	90	158	232	313
June		31	95	164	239	321
July		36	101	170	246	328
August		42	106	176	252	335
September		47	112	182	259	342
October		52	117	188	265	349
November		57	123	194	272	356
December		62	128	200	278	363

NOTES:

 The amounts indicated above are per ERC. (ERC = 217 gpd)

- 2. The number of remaining ERC's is 227
- 3. If the number of remaining ERC's has not connected by December 31, 2001, the maximum charge of \$ 363 remains in effect after December 31, 2001.

SSU/MEREDITH MANOR

SCHEDULE 10-C STAFF RECOMMENDED

ALLOWANCE FOR FUNDS PRUDENTLY INVESTED

WASTEWATER COLLECTION AND PUMPING

	19	97	1998	1999	:	2000	2001
January	\$	11 \$	\$ 148	\$ 290	\$	441	\$ 603
February		23	160	302		455	618
March		34	172	315		468	632
April		46	184	327		481	647
May		57	195	340		495	661
June		68	207	353		508	676
July		80	219	365		52 2	690
August		91	230	378		535	704
September	1	03	242	390		549	719
October	1	14	254	403		562	733
November	1	25	266	415		576	748
December	1	37	277	428		589	762

NOTES ;

 The amounts indicated above are per ERC. (ERC = 155 gpd)

2. The number of remaining ERC's is 5

3. If the number of remaining ERC's has not connected by December 31, 2001, the maximum charge of \$ 762 remains in effect after December 31, 2001. SSU/ MORNINGVIEW

SCHEDULE 10-B STAFF RECOMMENDED

ALLOWANCE FOR FUNDS PRUDENTLY INVESTED

WATER TRANSMISSION AND DISTRIBUTION

	1	997	1998		1999	2000		2001
January	\$	2	\$ 20	\$	39	\$ 59	\$	81
February		3	21	,	40	61	7	83
March		5	23		42	63		85
April		6	24		44	65		87
May		8	26		46	67		89
June		9	28		47	68		91
July		11	29		49	70		93
August		12	31		51	72		95
September		14	32		52	74		97
October		15	34		54	76		99
November		17	35		56	78		101
December		18	37		57	7 9		103

NOTES:

 The amounts indicated above are per ERC. (ERC = 217 gpd)

2. The number of remaining ERC's is 6

3. If the number of remaining ERC's has not connected by December 31, 2001, the maximum charge of \$ 103 remains in effect after December 31, 2001.

SSU/ MORNINGVIEW

SCHEDULE 10-C STAFF RECOMMENDED

ALLOWANCE FOR FUNDS PRUDENTLY INVESTED

WASTEWATER COLLECTION AND PUMPING

	19	97	1998	1999	:	2000		2001
~		4			~	430	~	F.0.C
January	\$	12 \$	152	\$ 292	\$	439	\$	596
February		23	163	304		452		609
March		35	175	316		465		623
April		47	187	328		478		637
May		58	198	341		491		651
June		70	210	353		504		664
July		82	221	365		517		678
August		94	233	377		530		692
September	1	05	245	390		543		706
October	1	17	256	402		556		720
November	1	29	268	414		569		733
December	l	40	279	426		582		747

NOTES:

 The amounts indicated above are per ERC. (ERC = 155 gpd)

2. The number of remaining ERC's is 12

3. If the number of remaining ERC's has not connected by December 31, 2001, the maximum charge of \$ 747 remains in effect after December 31, 2001. SSU/ MORNINGVIEW

SCHEDULE 10-D STAFF RECOMMENDED

ALLOWANCE FOR FUNDS PRUDENTLY INVESTED

WASTEWATER TREATMENT AND DISPOSAL

	1	1997		1998	1999	2000	2001
January	\$	1	\$	17	\$ 32	\$ 48	\$ 65
February		3		18	33	49	67
March		4		19	35	51	68
April		5		20	36	52	70
May		6		22	37	54	71
June		8		23	39	55	73
July		9		24	40	57	74
August		10		25	41	58	76
September		11		27	43	59	77
October		13		28	44	61	79
November		14		29	45	62	80
December		15		30	47	64	82

NOTES :

 The amounts indicated above are per ERC. (ERC = 155 gpd)

2. The number of remaining ERC's is 60

3. If the number of remaining ERC's has not connected by December 31, 2001, the maximum charge of \$ 82 remains in effect after December 31, 2001.

٠

.

SSU/OAK FOREST

SCHEDULE 10-B STAFF RECOMMENDED

ALLOWANCE FOR FUNDS PRUDENTLY INVESTED

WATER TRANSMISSION AND DISTRIBUTION

	-	1997		7 1998		1999	2000	2001
January	\$	1	\$	11	S	21	\$ 31	\$ 42
February		2		11		21	32	43
March		2		12		22	33	44
April		3		13		23	34	45
May		4		14		24	35	46
June		5		15		25	36	47
July		6		16		26	37	48
August		7		16		27	38	49
September		7		17		28	39	50
October		8		18		28	39	51
November		9		19		29	40	52
December		10		20		30	41	53

NOTES:

 The amounts indicated above are per ERC. (ERC = 217 gpd)

2. The number of remaining ERC's is 140

3. If the number of remaining ERC's has not connected by December 31, 2001, the maximum charge of \$ 53 remains in effect after December 31, 2001. /

SSU/PALISADES COUNTRY CLUB

SCHEDULE 10-B STAFF RECOMMENDED

ALLOWANCE FOR FUNDS PRUDENTLY INVESTED

WATER TRANSMISSION AND DISTRIBUTION

	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001
					_
January	\$ 0	\$ 1	\$ 3	\$ 4	\$ 6
February	0	2	3	5	6
March	0	2	3	5	6
April	0	2	3	5	6
May	1	2	3	5	7
June	1	2	3	5	7
July	1	2	4	5	7
August	1	2	4	5	7
September	1	2	4	5	7
October	1	2	4	6	7
November	1	3	4	6	8
December	1	3	4	6	8

NOTES:

1. The amounts indicated above are per ERC. (ERC = 217 gpd)

- 2. The number of remaining ERC's is 84
- 3. If the number of remaining ERC's has not connected by December 31, 2001, the maximum charge of \$ 8 remains in effect after December 31, 2001.

SSU/PALM PORT

SCHEDULE 10-B STAFF RECOMMENDED

ALLOWANCE FOR FUNDS PRUDENTLY INVESTED

WATER TRANSMISSION AND DISTRIBUTION

	1	997	1998	1999	2000	2001
January	\$	0	\$ 2	\$ 4	\$ 6	\$ 8
February		0	2	4	6	8
March		0	2	4	6	9
April		1	2	4	7	9
Мау		l	3	5	7	9
June		l	3	5	7	9
July		1	3	5	7	9
August		l	3	5	7	10
September		1	3	5	7	10
October		2	3	5	8	10
November		2	4	6	8	10
December		2	4	6	8	10

NOTES:

 The amounts indicated above are per ERC. (ERC = 217 gpd)

2. The number of remaining ERC's is 27

3. If the number of remaining ERC's has not connected by December 31, 2001, the maximum charge of \$ 10 remains in effect after December 31, 2001. SSU/PALM PORT

SCHEDULE 10-C STAFF RECOMMENDED

ALLOWANCE FOR FUNDS PRUDENTLY INVESTED

WASTEWATER COLLECTION AND PUMPING

	1	997	1998	1999	2000	2001
January	\$	8	\$ 107	\$ 207	\$ 313	\$ 426
February		17	116	216	322	436
March		25	124	225	332	446
April		33	132	233	341	456
Мау		41	140	242	350	466
June		50	149	251	360	476
July		58	157	260	369	486
August		66	165	269	378	496
September		74	174	277	388	506
October		83	182	286	397	516
November		91	190	295	406	526
December		99	198	304	416	536

NOTES:

 The amounts indicated above are per ERC. (ERC = 155 gpd)

2. The number of remaining ERC's is 27

3. If the number of remaining ERC's has not connected by December 31, 2001, the maximum charge of \$ 536 remains in effect after December 31, 2001. SSU/PALM PORT

SCHEDULE 10-D STAFF RECOMMENDED

~

ALLOWANCE FOR FUNDS PRUDENTLY INVESTED

WASTEWATER TREATMENT AND DISPOSAL

	1997		1998	1999	2000		2001
January	\$ 9	\$	112	\$ 218	\$ 332	\$	454
February	17		121	227	342		465
March	26		129	237	352		476
Apríl	34		138	246	362		487
May	* 43		147	256	372		498
June	52		156	265	383		509
July	60		165	275	393		520
August	69		173	284	403		531
September	77		182	294	413	-	541
October	86		191	303	423		552
November	95	,	200	312	433		563
December	103		209	322	443		574

NOTES :

 The amounts indicated above are per ERC. (ERC = 155 gpd)

2. The number of remaining ERC's is 82

3. If the number of remaining ERC's has not connected by December 31, 2001, the maximum charge of \$ 574 remains in effect after December 31, 2001.

13808

SSU/PALM TERRACE

SCHEDULE 10-C STAFF RECOMMENDED

ALLOWANCE FOR FUNDS PRUDENTLY INVESTED

WASTEWATER COLLECTION AND PUMPING

	1	1997		1998		1999		2000		2001
Tonyoria	\$	0	\$	6	\$	12	\$	18	\$	25
January	Ŷ		÷		Ģ		Ŷ		Ŷ	
February		1		6		12		19		26
March		1		7		13		19		27
April		2		7		13		20		27
Мау		2		8		14		21		28
June		3		8		14		21		29
July		3		9		15		22		29
August		4		9		15		22		30
September		4		10		16		23		31
October		4		10		17		24		31
November		5		11		17		24		32
December		5		11		18		25		33

NOTES:

 The amounts indicated above are per ERC. (ERC = 155 gpd)

2. The number of remaining ERC's is5,658

3. If the number of remaining ERC's has not connected by December 31, 2001, the maximum charge of \$ 33 remains in effect after December 31, 2001. SSU/PALMS MOBILE HOME PARK

SCHEDULE 10-B STAFF RECOMMENDED

ALLOWANCE FOR FUNDS PRUDENTLY INVESTED

WATER TRANSMISSION AND DISTRIBUTION

	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001
January	\$ 1	\$ 8	\$ 16	\$ 25	\$ 34
February	1	9	17	26	35
March	2	10	18	27	36
April	3	10	18	27	37
Мау	3	11	19	28	38
June	4	12	20	29	39
July	4	12	21	30	39
August	5	13	21	30	40
September	б	14	22	31	41
October	6	14	23	32	42
November	7	15	24	33	43
December	8	16	24	34	44

NOTES:

1. The amounts indicated above are per ERC. (ERC = 217 gpd)

- 2. The number of remaining ERC's is 28
- 3. If the number of remaining ERC's has not connected by December 31, 2001, the maximum charge of \$ 44 remains in effect after December 31, 2001.

SSU/ PICCIOLA ISLAND

SCHEDULE 10-B STAFF RECOMMENDED

ALLOWANCE FOR FUNDS PRUDENTLY INVESTED

WATER TRANSMISSION AND DISTRIBUTION

1997		1998		1999		2000		2001
\$ 1	\$	9	\$	17	\$	26	\$	35
l		9		17		26		36
2		10		18		27		37
3		11		19		28		38
3		11		20		29		39
4		12		20		30		39
5		13		21		30		40
5		13		22		31		41
6		14		23		32		42
7		15		23		33		43
7		15		24		33		44
8		16		25		34 -		45
\$	\$ 1 2 3 4 5 5 6 7 7	\$ 1 \$ 1 2 3 3 4 5 5 6 7 7 7	\$ 1 \$ 9 1 9 2 10 3 11 3 11 4 12 5 13 5 13 6 14 7 15 7 15	\$ 1 \$ 9 \$ 1 9 2 10 3 11 3 11 4 12 5 13 5 13 6 14 7 15 7 15	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$

NOTES:

 The amounts indicated above are per ERC. (ERC = 217 gpd)

2. The number of remaining ERC's is 73

3. If the number of remaining ERC's has not connected by December 31, 2001, the maximum charge of \$ 45 remains in effect after December 31, 2001. SSU/ PINE RIDGE ESTATES

SCHEDULE 10-A STAFF RECOMMENDED

ALLOWANCE FOR FUNDS PRUDENTLY INVESTED

WATER SUPPLY AND TREATMENT

	1	997	:	1998	1999	2000	2001
January	\$	0	\$	4	\$ 7	\$ 1 1	\$ 15
February		1		4	8	12	16
March		1		4	8	12	16
April		1		5	8	12	17
Мау		1		5	9	13	17
June		2		5	9	13	17
July		2		6	9	13	18
August		2		6	10	14	18
September		3		б	10	14	18
October		3		6	10	14	19
November		3		7	11	15	19
December		3		7	11	15	20

NOTES:

 The amounts indicated above are per ERC. (ERC = 217 gpd)

2. The number of remaining ERC's is 648

3. If the number of remaining ERC's has not connected by December 31, 2001, the maximum charge of \$ 20 remains in effect after December 31, 2001. SSU/ PINE RIDGE ESTATES

SCHEDULE 10-B STAFF RECOMMENDED

ALLOWANCE FOR FUNDS PRUDENTLY INVESTED

WATER TRANSMISSION AND DISTRIBUTION

	199	7	1998	1999	2000	2001
January	\$ 3	2 \$	31	\$ 61	\$ 93	\$ 129
February	!	5	33	63	96	132
March		7	36	66	99	135
April	:	Э	38	69	102	138
May	12	2	41	71	105	141
June	14	ŧ	43	74	108	145
July	10	5	46	77	111	148
August	1:	Э	48	79	114	151
September	2	L	50	82	117	154
October	24	1	53	85	120	157
November	20	5	55	88	122	161
December	2	3	58	90	125	164

NOTES:

 The amounts indicated above are per ERC. (ERC = 217 gpd)

2. The number of remaining ERC's is 65

3. If the number of remaining ERC's has not connected by December 31, 2001, the maximum charge of \$ 164 remains in effect after December 31, 2001.

13813

SSU/ PINE RIDGE UTILITIES

SCHEDULE 10-B STAFF RECOMMENDED

ALLOWANCE FOR FUNDS PRUDENTLY INVESTED

WATER TRANSMISSION AND DISTRIBUTION

	1	997	1998	1999		2000	2001
January	\$	12	\$ 155	\$ 307	\$	471	\$ 650
February		24	168	320		486	667
March		36	180	334		501	683
April		48	193	347	•	516	699
May		60	205	361		530	700
June		71	218	375		545	700
July		83	230	388		560	700
August		95	243	402		575	700
September		107	255	415		590	700
October		119	268	429		605	700
November		131	280	443		619	700
December		143	293	456		634	700

NOTES: 1. The amounts indicated above are per ERC. (ERC = 217 gpd)

- 2. The number of remaining ERC's is2,936
- 3. If the number of remaining ERC's has not connected by December 31, 2001, the maximum charge of \$ 700 remains in effect after December 31, 2001.

SSU/ PINEY WOODS

SCHEDULE 10-B STAFF RECOMMENDED

ALLOWANCE FOR FUNDS PRUDENTLY INVESTED

WATER TRANSMISSION AND DISTRIBUTION

	199	7	1998	1999	2000		2001
January	\$	1	\$ 52	\$ 103	\$ 159	\$	220
February	:	3	56	108	164		225
March	1	2	61	113	169		231
April	1	5	65	117	174		236
May	2)	69	122	179		242
June	2	1	73	126	184		247
July	2	3	78	131	189		253
August	3:	2	82	136	194		258
September	3	5	86	140	199		264
October	4	5	90	145	204		269
November	4	1	95	150	209		275
December	4	3	99	154	215	•	280

NOTES:

 The amounts indicated above are per ERC. (ERC = 217 gpd)

2. The number of remaining ERC's is 44

3. If the number of remaining ERC's has not connected by December 31, 2001, the maximum charge of \$ 280 remains in effect after December 31, 2001. SSU/ POINT O'WOODS

SCHEDULE 10-B STAFF RECOMMENDED

ALLOWANCE FOR FUNDS PRUDENTLY INVESTED

WATER TRANSMISSION AND DISTRIBUTION

	1	997	1998		1999		2000		2001	
January	\$	1	\$	16	\$	31	\$	48	\$	66
February		2		17		33		49		67
March		4		18		34		51		69
April		5		20		35		52		70
Мау		6		21		37		54		72
June		7		22		38		55		73
July		9		24		39		57		75
August		10		25		41		58		77
September		11		26		42		60		78
October		12		27		44		61		80
November		13		29		45		62		81
December		15		30		46		64		83

NOTES:

 The amounts indicated above are per ERC. (ERC = 217 gpd)

2. The number of remaining ERC's is 40

3. If the number of remaining ERC's has
not connected by December 31, 2001, the maximum charge of \$ 83 remains in effect after December 31, 2001. SSU/ POINT O'WOODS

SCHEDULE 10-C STAFF RECOMMENDED

ALLOWANCE FOR FUNDS PRUDENTLY INVESTED

WASTEWATER COLLECTION AND PUMPING

	1	997	1998	1999		2000	2001
January	\$	12	\$ 157	\$ 308	\$	471	\$ 648
February		24	170	322	-	486	663
March		36	182	335		501	679
April		48	195	349		515	695
May		60	207	362		530	711
June		72	220	376		544	726
July		84	232	389		559	742
August		97	245	403		573	758
September		109	257	416		588	774
October		121	270	430		603	790
November		133	282	443		617	805
December		145	295	457		632	821

NOTES:

- 2. The number of remaining ERC's is 22
- 3. If the number of remaining ERC's has not connected by December 31, 2001, the maximum charge of \$ 821 remains in effect after December 31, 2001.

SSU/ POINT O'WOODS

SCHEDULE 10-D STAFF RECOMMENDED

ALLOWANCE FOR FUNDS PRUDENTLY INVESTED

WASTEWATER TREATMENT AND DISPOSAL

	1997	,	1998	1999	2000		2001
January	\$ 9	9 \$	116	\$ 226	\$ 342	\$	468
February	18	3	125	235	353		479
March	27	,	134	245	363		490
April	36	5	143	255	374		501
May	49	5	152	264	384		512
June	54	Ł	161	274	394	•	523
July	62	2	171	284	405		534
August	73		180	293	415		546
September	80)	189	303	425		557
October	89	}	198	313	436		568
November	98	}	207	322	446		579
December	101	7	216	332	457		590

NOTES:

- 2. The number of remaining ERC's is 220
- 3. If the number of remaining ERC's has not connected by December 31, 2001, the maximum charge of \$ 590 remains in effect after December 31, 2001.

SSU/ POMONA PARK

SCHEDULE 10-B STAFF RECOMMENDED

ALLOWANCE FOR FUNDS PRUDENTLY INVESTED

WATER TRANSMISSION AND DISTRIBUTION

	1	997	-	1998		1999		2000		2001
January	\$	1	\$	12	\$	23	\$	35	\$	48
February	7	2	4	13	Ŷ	24	Ŷ	36	4	49
March		3		13		25		37		50
April		4		14		26		38		52
May		4		15		27		39		53
June		5		16		28		40		54
July		6		17		29		41		55
August		7		18		30		42		56
September		8		19		31		44		57
October		9		20		32		45		59
November		10		21		33		46		60
December		11		22		34		47		61

NOTES:

- 2. The number of remaining ERC's is 360
- 3. If the number of remaining ERC's has not connected by December 31, 2001, the maximum charge of \$ 61 remains in effect after December 31, 2001.

.

SSU/ POSTMASTER VILLAGE

SCHEDULE 10-A STAFF RECOMMENDED

ALLOWANCE FOR FUNDS PRUDENTLY INVESTED

WATER SUPPLY AND TREATMENT

	1997	1998	1999	2000	•	2001
January	\$3	\$ 33	\$ 64	\$ 97	\$	133
February	5	35	67	100		136
March	8	38	69	103		140
April	10	41	72	106		143
May	13	43	75	109		146
June	15	46	78	112		149
July	18	48	80	115		152
August	20	51	83	118		156
September	23	53	86	121		159
October	25	56	89	124		162
November	28	59	92	127		165
December	30	61	94	130		168

NOTES:

- The amounts indicated above are per ERC. (ERC = 217 gpd)
- 2. The number of remaining ERC's is 29
- 3. If the number of remaining ERC's has not connected by December 31, 2001, the maximum charge of \$ 168 remains in effect after December 31, 2001.

DOCKET NO. 950495-WS MARCH 6, 1997

SSU/ POSTMASTER VILLAGE

SCHEDULE 10-B STAFF RECOMMENDED

ALLOWANCE FOR FUNDS PRUDENTLY INVESTED

WATER TRANSMISSION AND DISTRIBUTION

	2	1997	:	1998	1999	2000	2001
January	\$	6	\$	74	\$ 147	\$ 225	\$ 311
February		11		80	153	232	318
March		17		86	160	239	326
April		23		92	166	246	334
May		28		98	173	253	341
June		34		104	179	261	349
July		40		110	186	268	357
August		45		116	192	275	364
September		51		122	199	282	372
October		57		128	205	289	380
November		63		134	212	296	387
December		68		140	218	303	395

NOTES:

 The amounts indicated above are per ERC. (ERC = 217 gpd)

2. The number of remaining ERC's is 180

3. If the number of remaining ERC's has not connected by December 31, 2001, the maximum charge of \$ 395 remains in effect after December 31, 2001.

.

.

SSU/ QUAIL RIDGE

.

SCHEDULE 10-B STAFF RECOMMENDED

ALLOWANCE FOR FUNDS PRUDENTLY INVESTED

WATER TRANSMISSION AND DISTRIBUTION

	1	997	1	.998	1999	2	2000	2001
January	\$	0	\$	4	\$ 7	\$	11	\$ 15
February		1		4	7		11	15
March		1		4	8		12	16
April		l		4	8		12	16
May		1		5	8		12	17
June		2		5	9		13	17
July		2		5	9		13	17
August		2		6	9		13	18
September		2		6	10		14	18
October		3		6	10		14	18
November		3		7	10		14	19
December		3		7	11		15	19

NOTES:

- 2. The number of remaining ERC's is 84
- 3. If the number of remaining ERC's has not connected by December 31, 2001, the maximum charge of \$ 19 remains in effect after December 31, 2001.

SSU/ RIVER GROVE

SCHEDULE 10-A STAFF RECOMMENDED

ALLOWANCE FOR FUNDS PRUDENTLY INVESTED

WATER SUPPLY AND TREATMENT

	-	1997	:	1998	1999	2000	2001
January	\$	l	\$	14	\$ 28	\$ 42	\$ 56
February		2		15	29	43	58
March		3		16	30	44	59
April		4		18	31	45	60
May		6		19	32	46	62
June		7		20	33	48	63
July		8		21	34	49	64
August		9		22	36	50	66
September		10		23	37	51	67
October		11		24	38	53	68
November		12		25	39	54	70
December		13		26	40	55	71

NOTES:

 The amounts indicated above are per ERC. (ERC = 217 gpd)

- 2. The number of remaining ERC's is 140
- 3. If the number of remaining ERC's has not connected by December 31, 2001, the maximum charge of \$ 71 remains in effect after December 31, 2001.

 \leq

SSU/ RIVER GROVE

SCHEDULE 10-B STAFF RECOMMENDED

ALLOWANCE FOR FUNDS PRUDENTLY INVESTED

WATER TRANSMISSION AND DISTRIBUTION

	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001
January	\$ 2	\$ 27	\$ 54	\$ 82	\$ 113
February	4	29	56	85	116
March	6	32	58	87	119
April	8	34	61	90	121
May	10	36	63	92	124
June	13	38	65	95	127
July	15	40	68	97	130
August	17	43	70	100	132
September	19	45	72	103	135
October	21	47	75	105	138
November	23	49	77	108	141
December	25	51	80	110	144

NOTES:

1. The amounts indicated above are per ERC. (ERC \approx 217 gpd)

- 2. The number of remaining ERC's is 15
- 3. If the number of remaining ERC's has not connected by December 31, 2001, the maximum charge of \$ 144 remains in effect after December 31, 2001.

SSU/ ROSEMONT/ROLLING GREEN

SCHEDULE 10-B STAFF RECOMMENDED

ALLOWANCE FOR FUNDS PRUDENTLY INVESTED

WATER TRANSMISSION AND DISTRIBUTION

	19	997	1	.998	1999	2000	2001
January	\$	4	\$	53	\$ 104	\$ 160	\$ 221
February		8		57	109	165	226
March		12		61	113	170	231
April		16		65	118	175	237
May		20		70	122	180	242
June		24		74	127	185	248
July		28		78	132	190	253
August		32		82	136	195	259
September		36		87	141	200	264
October		40		91	146	205	270
November		44		95	150	210	275
December		48		99	155	215	281

NOTES:

 The amounts indicated above are per ERC. (ERC = 217 gpd)

2. The number of remaining ERC's is 16

3. If the number of remaining ERC's has not connected by December 31, 2001, the maximum charge of \$ 281 remains in effect after December 31, 2001. SSU/ SALT SPRINGS

SCHEDULE 10-B STAFF RECOMMENDED

ALLOWANCE FOR FUNDS PRUDENTLY INVESTED

WATER TRANSMISSION AND DISTRIBUTION

	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001
January	\$6	\$ 80	\$ 156	\$ 240	\$ 331
February	12	86	163	247	339
March	18	92	170	255	347
April	24	99	17 7	262	355
May	30	105	184	270	364
June	37	111	191	278	372
July	43	118	198	285	380
August	49	124	205	293	388
September	55	130	212	300	396
October	61	137	219	308	404
November	67	143	226	315	413
December	73	149	232	323	421

NOTES:

 The amounts indicated above are per ERC. (ERC = 217 gpd)

2. The number of remaining ERC's is 44

3. If the number of remaining ERC's has not connected by December 31, 2001, the maximum charge of \$ 421 remains in effect after December 31, 2001. SSU/ SALT SPRINGS

SCHEDULE 10-C STAFF RECOMMENDED

ALLOWANCE FOR FUNDS PRUDENTLY INVESTED

WASTEWATER COLLECTION AND PUMPING

	199	7	1998		1999		2000		2001
January	\$	8 :	\$ 108	\$	211	\$	321	\$	440
February	1	7	117		220		331	•	451
March	2	5	125		229		341		461
April	3	3	134		238		351		472
May	4	2	142		248		361		482
June	5	0	151		257		370		493
July	Ē	8	159		266		380		504
August	6	7	168		275		390		514
September	7	5	176		284		400		525
October	8	3	185		293		410		535
November	9	2	193		302		420		546
December	10	0	202		312		429		557

NOTES:

- 2. The number of remaining ERC's is 75
- 3. If the number of remaining ERC's has not connected by December 31, 2001, the maximum charge of \$ 557 remains in effect after December 31, 2001.

SSU/ SALT SPRINGS

SCHEDULE 10-D STAFF RECOMMENDED

ALLOWANCE FOR FUNDS PRUDENTLY INVESTED

WASTEWATER TREATMENT AND DISPOSAL

	:	1997	1998	1999	2000		2001
January	\$	6	\$ 82	\$ 157	\$ 237	\$	323
February		13	88	164	245		331
March		19	94	170	252		338
April		25	100	177	259		346
May		31	107	184	266	•	353
June		38	113	190	273		361
July		44	119	197	280		369
August		50	125	204	287		376
September		56	132	210	294		384
October		63	138	217	301		391
November		69	144	224	308		399
December		75	150	230	316		406

NOTES:

- 2. The number of remaining ERC's is 316
- 3. If the number of remaining ERC's has not connected by December 31, 2001, the maximum charge of \$ 406 remains in effect after December 31, 2001.

SSU/ SILVER LAKE ESTATES/WESTERN SHORES SCHEDULE 10-A STAFF RECOMMENDED

ALLOWANCE FOR FUNDS PRUDENTLY INVESTED

WATER SUPPLY AND TREATMENT

	1	997	1	1998	1999	:	2000	2001
January	\$	2	\$	21	\$ 41	\$	63	\$ 86
February		3		23	43		65	88
March		5		24	45		67	90
April		6		26	46		68	92
May		8		28	48		70	94
June		10		29	50		72	96
July		11		31	52		74	98
August		13		33	54		76	100
September		15		34	55		78	103
October		16		36	57		80 -	105
November		18		38	59		82	107
December		19		39	61		84	109

NOTES:

1. The amounts indicated above are per ERC. (ERC = 217 gpd)

2. The number of remaining ERC's is 744

3. If the number of remaining ERC's has not connected by December 31, 2001, the maximum charge of \$ 109 remains in effect after December 31, 2001.

SSU/ SILVER LAKE ESTATES/WESTERN SHORES SCHEDULE 10-B STAFF RECOMMENDED

ALLOWANCE FOR FUNDS PRUDENTLY INVESTED

WATER TRANSMISSION AND DISTRIBUTION

	1	.997	1	L998		1999		2000	2001
January	\$	2	\$	28	\$	55	\$	84	\$ 115
February		4	•	30	•	57	•	86	118
March		6		32		59		. 89	121
April		9		34		62		92	124
May		11		37		64		94	127
June		13		39		67		97	130
July		15		41		69		100	133
August		17		43		71		102	135
September		19		46		74		105	138
October		21		48		76		107	141
November		23		50		79		110	144
December		26		52		81		113	147

NOTES:

 The amounts indicated above are per ERC. (ERC = 217 gpd)

2. The number of remaining ERC's is 342

3. If the number of remaining ERC's has not connected by December 31, 2001, the maximum charge of \$ 147 remains in effect after December 31, 2001.

SCHEDULE 10-A STAFF RECOMMENDED

ALLOWANCE FOR FUNDS PRUDENTLY INVESTED

WATER SUPPLY AND TREATMENT

	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001
January	\$2	\$ 22	\$ 43	\$ 66	\$ 90
February	3	24	45	68	92
March	5	26	47	70	94
April	7	27	49	72	96
Мау	9	29	51	74	98
June	10	31	52	76	100
July	12	33	54	78	102
August	14	34	56	80	105
September	15	36	58	81	107
October	17	38	60	83	109
November	19	40	62	85	111
December	21	41	64	87	113

NOTES:

and a second second

 The amounts indicated above are per ERC. (ERC = 217 gpd)

2. The number of remaining ERC's is 57

3. If the number of remaining ERC's has not connected by December 31, 2001, the maximum charge of \$ 113 remains in effect after December 31, 2001. ~

SCHEDULE 10-B STAFF RECOMMENDED

ALLOWANCE FOR FUNDS PRUDENTLY INVESTED

WATER TRANSMISSION AND DISTRIBUTION

	19	997		1998		1999		2000		2001
January	\$	14	\$	181	\$	356	\$	547	\$	700
February	Y	28	۲	195	Ŷ	372	4	564	Ŷ	700
March		42		210		388		581		700
April		55		224		403		598		700
May		69		239		419		615		700
June		83		253		435		633		700
July		97		268		451	•	650		700
August	:	111		282		467		667		700
September	:	125		297		482		684		700
October	:	139		311		498		700		700
November	:	152		326		514		700		700
December	:	166		340		530		700		700
									-	

NOTES:

 The amounts indicated above are per ERC. (ERC = 217 gpd)

2. The number of remaining ERC's is 27

3. If the number of remaining ERC's has not connected by December 31, 2001, the maximum charge of \$ 700 remains in effect after December 31, 2001.

SCHEDULE 10-C STAFF RECOMMENDED

ALLOWANCE FOR FUNDS PRUDENTLY INVESTED

WASTEWATER COLLECTION AND PUMPING

	1	997	1	L998	1999	2000	2001
January	\$	3	\$	40	\$ 76	\$ 113	\$ 151
February		6		43	79	116	154
March		9		46	83	120	157
April		12		49	86	123	160
May		15		52	89	126	163
June		18		55	92	129	167
July		22		58	95	132	170
August		25		61	98	135	173
September		28		64	101	138	176
October		31		67	104	141	179
November		34		70	107	144	182
December		37		73	110	148	186

NOTES:

1. The amounts indicated above are per ERC. (ERC = 155 gpd)

2. The number of remaining ERC's is 27

3. If the number of remaining ERC's has not connected by December 31, 2001, the maximum charge of \$ 186 remains in effect after December 31, 2001.

SCHEDULE 10-D STAFF RECOMMENDED

.

ALLOWANCE FOR FUNDS PRUDENTLY INVESTED

WASTEWATER TREATMENT AND DISPOSAL

	-	1997	1998	1999	2000		2001
January	\$	19	\$ 246	\$ 478	\$ 726	\$	994
February		38	265	498	749	1	,018
March		57	284	519	771	1	,042
April		76	303	539	793	1	,065
May		94	323	560	815	l	,089
June		113	342	581	837	· 1	,113
July		132	361	601	859	1	,137
August		151	380	622	881	1	,161
September		170	399	642	904	1	,185
October		189	419	663	926	1	,208
November		208	438	684	948	1	,232
December		227	457	704	970	1	,256

NOTES:

 The amounts indicated above are per ERC. (ERC = 155 gpd)

2. The number of remaining ERC's is 17

3. If the number of remaining ERC's has not connected by December 31, 2001, the maximum charge of \$1,256 remains in effect after December 31, 2001. SSU/ SOUTH FORTY

SCHEDULE 10-C STAFF RECOMMENDED

ALLOWANCE FOR FUNDS PRUDENTLY INVESTED

WASTEWATER COLLECTION AND PUMPING

	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001
January	\$ 39	\$ 507	\$ 988	\$1,300	\$1,300
February	78	547	1,030	1,300	1,300
March	117	587	1,073	1,300	1,300
April	156	627	1,116	1,300	1,300
May	195	666	1,159	1,300	1,300
June	234	706	1,202	1,300	1,300
July	273	746	1,244	1,300	1,300
August	312	786	1,287	1,300	1,300
September	351	825	1,300	1,300	1,300
October	390	865	1,300	1,300	1,300
November	428	905	1,300	1,300	1,300
December	467	945	1,300	1,300	1,300

NOTES:

 The amounts indicated above are per ERC. (ERC = 155 gpd)

2. The number of remaining ERC's is 17

3. If the number of remaining ERC's has not connected by December 31, 2001, the maximum charge of \$1,300 remains in effect after December 31, 2001. SSU/ SOUTH FORTY

SCHEDULE 10-D STAFF RECOMMENDED

ALLOWANCE FOR FUNDS PRUDENTLY INVESTED

WASTEWATER TREATMENT AND DISPOSAL

	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001
January	\$47	\$ 605	\$1,166	\$1,300	\$1,300
February	93	652	1,215	1,300	1,300
March	140	698	1,265	1,300	1,300
April	186	745	1,300	1,300	1,300
May	233	791	1,300	1,300	1,300
June	279	838	1,300	1,300	1,300
July	326	884	1,300	1,300	1,300
August	373	931	1,300	1,300	1,300
September	419	977	1,300	1,300	1,300
October	466	1,024	1,300	1,300	1,300
November	512	1,070	1,300	1,300	1,300
December	559	1,116	1,300	1,300	1,300

NOTES :

- 2. The number of remaining ERC's is 22
- 3. If the number of remaining ERC's has not connected by December 31, 2001, the maximum charge of \$1,300 remains in effect after December 31, 2001.

SSU/ SPRING GARDENS

SCHEDULE 10-B STAFF RECOMMENDED

ALLOWANCE FOR FUNDS PRUDENTLY INVESTED

WATER TRANSMISSION AND DISTRIBUTION

	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001
January	\$ 1	\$ 11	\$ 21	\$ 32	\$ 44
February	2	12	22	33	45
March	2	13	23	34	46
April	3	13	24	35	48
May	4	14	25	36	49
June	5	15	26	37	50
July	6	16	27	38	51
August	7	17	28	39	52
September	7	18	29	40	53
October	8	19	29	41	54
November	9	19	30	42	55
December	10	20	31	43	56

NOTES:

1. The amounts indicated above are per ERC. (ERC \approx 217 gpd)

- 2. The number of remaining ERC's is 47
- 3. If the number of remaining ERC's has not connected by December 31, 2001, the maximum charge of \$ 56 remains in effect after December 31, 2001.

SSU/ SPRING GARDENS

SCHEDULE 10-C STAFF RECOMMENDED

ALLOWANCE FOR FUNDS PRUDENTLY INVESTED

WASTEWATER COLLECTION AND PUMPING

	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001
January	\$ 3	\$ 35	\$ 66	\$ 100	\$ 136
February	5	37	69	103	139
March	8	40	72	106	142
April	11	42	75	109	145
May	13	45	78	112	148
June	16	48	80	115	152
July	19	50	83	118	155
August	21	53	86	121	158
September	24	56	89	124	1 61
October	27	58	92	127	164
November	29	61	94	130	167
December	32	64	97	133	170

NOTES:

- 2. The number of remaining ERC's is 47
- 3. If the number of remaining ERC's has not connected by December 31, 2001, the maximum charge of \$ 170 remains in effect after December 31, 2001.

SSU/ STONE MOUNTAIN

SCHEDULE 10-B STAFF RECOMMENDED

.

ALLOWANCE FOR FUNDS PRUDENTLY INVESTED

WATER TRANSMISSION AND DISTRIBUTION

	1:	997	-	1998	1999	:	2000	2001
January	\$	1	\$	13	\$ 25	\$	38	\$ 53
February		2		14	26		40	54
March		3		15	27		41	56
April		4		16	28		42	57
May		5		17	30		43	58
June		6		18	31		44	60
July		7		19	32		46	61
August		8		20	33		47	62
September		9		21	34		48	63
October		10		22	35		49	65
November		11		23	36		50	66
December		12		24	37		52	67

NOTES :

 The amounts indicated above are per ERC. (ERC = 217 gpd)

2. The number of remaining ERC's is 14

3. If the number of remaining ERC's has not connected by December 31, 2001, the maximum charge of \$ 67 remains in effect after December 31, 2001. SSU/ ST. JOHNS HIGHLANDS

SCHEDULE 10-B STAFF RECOMMENDED

ALLOWANCE FOR FUNDS PRUDENTLY INVESTED

WATER TRANSMISSION AND DISTRIBUTION

	-	L997	1998	1999	2000		2001
January	\$	1	\$ 8	\$ 16	\$ 24	Ş	33
February		1	9	16	25	•	34
March		2	9	17	25		34
April		2	10	18	26		35
May		3	10	18	27		36
June		4	11	19	28		37
July		4	12	20	28		38
August		5	12	20	29		39
September		5	13	21	30		39
October		6	14	22	31		40
November		7	14	22	31		41
December		7	15	23	32		42

NOTES:

 The amounts indicated above are per ERC. (ERC = 217 gpd)

- 2. The number of remaining ERC's is 32
- 3. If the number of remaining ERC's has not connected by December 31, 2001, the maximum charge of \$ 42 remains in effect after December 31, 2001.

-168-

SUGAR MILL

SCHEDULE 10-A STAFF RECOMMENDED

ALLOWANCE FOR FUNDS PRUDENTLY INVESTED

WATER SUPPLY AND TREATMENT

	1	997	1998		1999		2000	•	2001
January	\$	2	\$ 27	\$	52	\$	78	\$	106
February	•	4	29	т	54	Ŧ	80	4	109
March		6	31		56		83		111
April		8	33		58		85		114
Мау		10	35		60		87		116
June		12	37		63		90		119
July		14	39		65		92		121
August		17	41		67		95	•	124
September		19	43		69		97		126
October		21	45		71		99		129
November		23	47		74		102		131
December		25	49		76		104		134

NOTES:

- 2. The number of remaining ERC's is 840
- 3. If the number of remaining ERC's has not connected by December 31, 2001, the maximum charge of \$ 134 remains in effect after December 31, 2001.

SUGAR MILL

SCHEDULE 10-B STAFF RECOMMENDED

ALLOWANCE FOR FUNDS PRUDENTLY INVESTED

WATER TRANSMISSION AND DISTRIBUTION

	-	L997	1998	1999	2000	2001
				_	-	
January	\$	0	\$ 3	\$ 6	\$ 9	\$ 12
February		0	3	6	9	13
March		1	4	6	10	13
April		1	4	7	10	13
May		1	4	7	10	14
June		1	4	7	10	14
July		2	4	7	11	14
August		2	5	8	11	15
September		2	5	8	11	15
October		2	5	8	12	15
November		3	5	9	12 -	15
December		3	6	9	12	16

NOTES: 1. The amounts indicated above are per ERC. (ERC = 217 gpd)

2. The number of remaining ERC's is 756

3. If the number of remaining ERC's has not connected by December 31, 2001, the maximum charge of \$ 16 remains in effect after December 31, 2001. \checkmark

SUGAR MILL

SCHEDULE 10-D STAFF RECOMMENDED

ALLOWANCE FOR FUNDS PRUDENTLY INVESTED

WASTEWATER TREATMENT AND DISPOSAL

	1997	1998	1999	2000		2001
January	\$ 6	\$ 75	\$ 146	\$ 223	\$	305
February	12	81	153	229		312
March	17	87	159	236		319
April	23	93	165	243		326
Мау	29	99	172	250		334
June	35	104	178	257	•	341
July	40	110	184	263		348
August	46	116	191	270		356
September	52	122	197	277		363
October	58	128	203	284		370
November	63	134	209	290		378
December	69	140	216	297		385

NOTES:

- 2. The number of remaining ERC's is 382
- 3. If the number of remaining ERC's has not connected by December 31, 2001, the maximum charge of \$ 385 remains in effect after December 31, 2001.

~

SSU/ SUGARMILL WOODS

SCHEDULE 10-A STAFF RECOMMENDED

ALLOWANCE FOR FUNDS PRUDENTLY INVESTED

WATER SUPPLY AND TREATMENT

	1	997	-	1998	1999	:	2000	2001
January	\$	2	\$	28	\$ 54	\$	82	\$ 113
February		4		30	56		85	116
March		6		32	59		88	119
April		8		34	61		90	121
May		11		36	63		93	124
June		13		39	66		95	127
July		15		41	68		98	130
August		17		43	70		100	133
September		19		45	73		103	135
October		21		47	75		105	138
November		23		49	78		108	141
December		25		52	80		110	144

NOTES:

- 2. The number of remaining ERC's is4,282
- 3. If the number of remaining ERC's has not connected by December 31, 2001, the maximum charge of \$ 144 remains in effect after December 31, 2001.

SSU/ SUGARMILL WOODS

SCHEDULE 10-B STAFF RECOMMENDED

ALLOWANCE FOR FUNDS PRUDENTLY INVESTED

WATER TRANSMISSION AND DISTRIBUTION

		1997	7 1998		1999	2000	2001
January	\$	3	\$	41	\$ 81	\$ 124	\$ 172
February	•	6		45	85	128	176
March		10		48	88	132	180
April		13		51	92	136	184
May		16		54	95	140	189
June		19		58	99	144	193
July		22		61	102	148	197
August	•	25		64	106	152	202
September		29		68	110	156	206
October		32		71	113	159	210
November		35		74	117	163	214
December		38		77	120	167	219

NOTES: 1. The amounts indicated above are per ERC. (ERC = 217 gpd)

- 2. The number of remaining ERC's is5,497
- 3. If the number of remaining ERC's has not connected by December 31, 2001, the maximum charge of \$ 219 remains in effect after December 31, 2001.

-173-

SSU/ SUGARMILL WOODS

SCHEDULE 10-C STAFF RECOMMENDED

.

ALLOWANCE FOR FUNDS PRUDENTLY INVESTED

WASTEWATER COLLECTION AND PUMPING

	1	997	1	L998	1999	2000		2001
January	\$	6	\$	73	\$ 142	\$ 217	\$	300
February		11		78	148	224		307
March		17		84	154	231		315
April		22		90	160	238		322
May		28		95	167	245		330
June		33		101	173	251	•	337
July		39		107	179	258		345
August		45		113	185	265		352
September		50		118	192	272		360
October		56		124	198	279		367
November		61		130	204	286		374
December		67		135	210	292		382

NOTES:

 The amounts indicated above are per ERC. (ERC = 155 gpd)

2. The number of remaining ERC's is5,583

3. If the number of remaining ERC's has not connected by December 31, 2001, the maximum charge of \$ 382 remains in effect after December 31, 2001. SSU/ SUGARMILL WOODS

SCHEDULE 10-D STAFF RECOMMENDED

ALLOWANCE FOR FUNDS PRUDENTLY INVESTED

WASTEWATER TREATMENT AND DISPOSAL

	199	7	1998	1999	2000		2001
-	_	- 4					1 5 6
January	\$	3\$	5 42	\$ 83	\$ 126	\$	172
February		7	46	86	129		176
March	1	0	49	90	133		180
April	1	3	52	93	137		184
May	1	6	56	97	141		188
June	2	0	59	100	145		192
July	2	3	62	104	149		197
August	2	6	66	108	152		201
September	2	9	69	111	156		205
October	3	3	72	115	160		209
November	3	6	76	118	164	•	213
December	3	9	79	122	168		217

NOTES:

 The amounts indicated above are per ERC. (ERC = 155 gpd)

2. The number of remaining ERC's is3,398

3. If the number of remaining ERC's has not connected by December 31, 2001, the maximum charge of \$ 217 remains in effect after December 31, 2001.

SSU/ SUNNY HILLS

SCHEDULE 10-A STAFF RECOMMENDED

ALLOWANCE FOR FUNDS PRUDENTLY INVESTED

WATER SUPPLY AND TREATMENT

	1	L997	1998		1999	2000		2001
January	\$	2	\$	30	\$ 56	\$ 85	\$	115
February		5		32	59	87		117
March		7		34	61	90		120
April		9		36	63	92		123
May		11		39	66	95		125
June		14		41	68	97		128
July		16		43	70	100		131
August		18		45	73	102		133
September		21		47	75	105	•	136
October		23		50	78	107		139
November		25		52	80	110		141
December		27		54	82	112		144

NOTES:

- 1. The amounts indicated above are per ERC. (ERC = 217 gpd)
- 2. The number of remaining ERC's is 233
- 3. If the number of remaining ERC's has not connected by December 31, 2001, the maximum charge of \$ 144 remains in effect after December 31, 2001.

.....

SSU/ SUNNY HILLS

SCHEDULE 10-B STAFF RECOMMENDED

ALLOWANCE FOR FUNDS PRUDENTLY INVESTED

WATER TRANSMISSION AND DISTRIBUTION

	1	997	1	L998	1999	2000	2001
January	\$	4	\$	50	\$ 99	\$ 152	\$ 209
February		8		54	103	156	214
March		12		58	107	161	220
April		15		62	112	166	225
May		19		66	116	171	230
June		23		70	121	175	235
July		27		74	125	180	240
August		31		78	129	185	246
September		35		82	134	190	251
October		38		86	138	195	256
November		42		90	142	199	261
December		46		94	147	204	266

NOTES:

 The amounts indicated above are per ERC. (ERC = 217 gpd)

2. The number of remaining ERC's is4,942

3. If the number of remaining ERC's has not connected by December 31, 2001, the maximum charge of \$ 266 remains in effect after December 31, 2001.

.

.....

4

SSU/ SUNNY HILLS

SCHEDULE 10-C STAFF RECOMMENDED

ALLOWANCE FOR FUNDS PRUDENTLY INVESTED

WASTEWATER COLLECTION AND PUMPING

	199	7	1998		1999		2000		2001
January	\$	7 \$	5 95	\$	185	\$	281	\$	384
February	1	•	102	Ŷ	192	Ŷ	289	ب	393
-		-							
March	2	2	110		200		298		402
Aprìl	2	9	117		208		306		412
May	3	6	125		216		315		421
June	4	4	132		224		323		430
July	5	1	139		232		332		439
August	5	8	147		240		341		449
September	6	6	154		248		349		458
October	7	3	162		256		358		467
November	8	0	169		264		366	-	476
December	8	8	177		272		375		485

NOTES:

- 2. The number of remaining ERC's is 327
- 3. If the number of remaining ERC's has not connected by December 31, 2001, the maximum charge of \$ 485 remains in effect after December 31, 2001.

SSU/ SUNNY HILLS

SCHEDULE 10-D STAFF RECOMMENDED

ALLOWANCE FOR FUNDS PRUDENTLY INVESTED

WASTEWATER TREATMENT AND DISPOSAL

1	997	1	998		1999		2000		2001
ė	7	Ċ	86	ć	164	ć	246	¢	333
Ş		ų		Ŷ		Ŷ	-	Ŷ	
	13		92		170		253		340
	20		99		177		260		348
	26		105		184		267		355
	33		112		191		275		363
	40		118		198		282		371
	46		125		204		289		378
	53		131		211		296		386
	59		137		218		303		394
	66		144		225		311		401
	73		150		232		318		409
	79		157		239		325		416
	1	13 20 26 33 40 46 53 59 66 73	\$ 7 \$ 13 20 26 33 40 46 53 59 66 73	\$ 7 \$ 86 13 92 20 99 26 105 33 112 40 118 46 125 53 131 59 137 66 144 73 150	\$ 7 8 6 1 3 9 2 0 9 9 2 6 10 5 3 3 11 2 4 0 11 8 4 6 12 5 5 3 13 1 5 9 13 7 6 6 14 4 7 3 150	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$

NOTES:

 The amounts indicated above are per ERC. (ERC = 155 gpd)

2. The number of remaining ERC's is 123

3. If the number of remaining ERC's has not connected by December 31, 2001, the maximum charge of \$ 416 remains in effect after December 31, 2001. SSU/ SUNSHINE PARKWAY

SCHEDULE 10-A STAFF RECOMMENDED

ALLOWANCE FOR FUNDS PRUDENTLY INVESTED

WATER SUPPLY AND TREATMENT

	1	997	1998		1999		2000		2001
January	\$	1	\$ 11	\$	21	\$	32	\$	44
February	т	2	12	7	22	-	33	.1	45
March		3	13		23		34		46
April		3	14		24		35		47
Мау		4	14		25		36		48
June		5	15		26		37		49
July		6	16		27		38		50
August		7	17		27		39		51
September		8	18		28		40		52
October		8	19		29		41		53
November		9	19		30		42		54
December		10	20		31		43		55

NOTES:

 The amounts indicated above are per ERC. (ERC = 217 gpd)

2. The number of remaining ERC's is 195

3. If the number of remaining ERC's has not connected by December 31, 2001, the maximum charge of \$ 55 remains in effect after December 31, 2001.

13852

. . .

SSU/ SUNSHINE PARKWAY

SCHEDULE 10-B STAFF RECOMMENDED

ALLOWANCE FOR FUNDS PRUDENTLY INVESTED

WATER TRANSMISSION AND DISTRIBUTION

	19	97	1998	1999	2000	2001
January	\$	33 \$	429	\$ 700	\$ 700	\$ 700
February		66	463	700	700	700
March		99	498	700	700	700
April	1	31	532	700	700	700
Мау	1	64	567	700	700	700
June	1	97	602	700	700	700
July	2	30	636	700	700	700
August	2	63	671	700	700	700
September	2	96	700	700	700	700
October	3	28	700	700	700	700
November	3	61	700	700	700	700
December	3	94	700	700	700	700

NOTES :

 The amounts indicated above are per ERC. (ERC = 217 gpd)

- 2. The number of remaining ERC's is 25
- 3. If the number of remaining ERC's has not connected by December 31, 2001, the maximum charge of \$ 700 remains in effect after December 31, 2001.

-

SSU/ SUNSHINE PARKWAY

SCHEDULE 10-C STAFF RECOMMENDED

ALLOWANCE FOR FUNDS PRUDENTLY INVESTED

WASTEWATER COLLECTION AND PUMPING

	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001
January	\$57	\$ 743	\$1,300	\$1,300	\$1,300
February	114	802	1,300	1,300	1,300
March	171	861	1,300	1,300	1,300
April	228	920	1,300	1,300	1,300
May	285	979	1,300	1,300	1,300
June	342	1,038	1,300	1,300	1,300
July	399	1,097	1,300	1,300	1,300
August	456	1,156	1,300	1,300	1,300
September	513	1,216	1,300	1,300	1,300
October	570	1,275	1,300	1,300	1,300
November	627	1,300	1,300	1,300	1,300
December	684	1,300	1,300	1,300	1,300

NOTES:

 The amounts indicated above are per ERC. (ERC = 155 gpd)

- 2. The number of remaining ERC's is 45
- 3. If the number of remaining ERC's has not connected by December 31, 2001, the maximum charge of \$1,300 remains in effect after December 31, 2001.

SSU/ SUNSHINE PARKWAY

SCHEDULE 10-D STAFF RECOMMENDED

ALLOWANCE FOR FUNDS PRUDENTLY INVESTED

WASTEWATER TREATMENT AND DISPOSAL

	1997	1998	8 1999	2000	2001
January	\$ 52	\$ 67	7 \$1,300	\$1,300	\$1,300
February	104	73	0 1,300	1,300	1,300
March	156	78	3 1,300	1,300	1,300
April	208	83	6 1,300	1,300	1,300
May	260	88	9 1,300	1,300	1,300
June	312	94:	2 1,300	1,300	1,300
July	364	99	5 1,300	1,300	1,300
August	416	1,04	8 1,300	1,300	1,300
September	468	1,10	1 1,300	1,300	1,300
October	520	1,15	4 1,300	1,300	1,300
November	572	1,20	7 1,300	1,300	1,300
December	624	1,25	9 1,300	1,300	1,300

NOTES:

 The amounts indicated above are per ERC. (ERC = 155 gpd)

- 2. The number of remaining ERC's is 28
- 3. If the number of remaining ERC's has not connected by December 31, 2001, the maximum charge of \$1,300 remains in effect after December 31, 2001.



-183-

Ŧ

SSU/ TROPICAL ISLES

SCHEDULE 10-C STAFF RECOMMENDED

ALLOWANCE FOR FUNDS PRUDENTLY INVESTED

WASTEWATER COLLECTION AND PUMPING

	1	L997	1998	1999	2000	2001
January	\$	3	\$ 44	\$ 86	\$ 132	\$ 182
February		7	48	90	137	187
March		10	51	94	141	191
April		14	55	98	145	196
May		17	58	102	149	200
June		20	62	105	153	205
July		24	65	109	157	209
August		27	69	113	161	214
September		30	72	117	165	218
October		34	76	121	170	223
November		37	79	124	174	227
December		41	83	128	178	232

NOTES :

 The amounts indicated above are per ERC. (ERC = 155 gpd)

2. The number of remaining ERC's is 36

3. If the number of remaining ERC's has not connected by December 31, 2001, the maximum charge of \$ 232 remains in effect after December 31, 2001.

13856

SSU/ TROPICAL ISLES

SCHEDULE 10-D STAFF RECOMMENDED

ALLOWANCE FOR FUNDS PRUDENTLY INVESTED

WASTEWATER TREATMENT AND DISPOSAL

	199	7	1998	1999	2000		2001
January	\$1	3 \$	\$ 164	\$ 319	\$ 486	\$	665
February	2	5	176	333	501		681
March	3	8	189	347	516		697
April	5	0	202	360	530		713
May	e	3	215	374	545		729
June	7	5	228	388	560		745
July	8	8	241	402	575		761
August	10	1	254	416	590		778
September	11	3	267	429	605	·	794
October	12	6	279	443	620		810
November	13	8	292	457	634		826
December	15	1	305	471	649		842

NOTES:

 The amounts indicated above are per ERC. (ERC = 155 gpd)

2. The number of remaining ERC's is 28

3. If the number of remaining ERC's has not connected by December 31, 2001, the maximum charge of \$ 842 remains in effect after December 31, 2001.

SSU/ TROPICAL PARK

SCHEDULE 10-B STAFF RECOMMENDED

ALLOWANCE FOR FUNDS PRUDENTLY INVESTED

WATER TRANSMISSION AND DISTRIBUTION

	1	997	1	.998	1999	2	2000		2001
_		_						r	
January	\$	2	\$	27	\$ 53	\$	81	\$	111
February		4		29	55		83		114
March		6		31	58		86		116
April		8		34	60		88		119
May		10		36	62		91		122
June		12		38	65		93		124
July		15		40	67		96		127
August		17		42	69		98		130
September		19		44	71		101		132
October		21		46	74		103		135
November		23		49	76		106		138
December		25		51	78		108		140

NOTES :

 The amounts indicated above are per ERC. (ERC = 217 gpd)

2. The number of remaining ERC's is 137

3. If the number of remaining ERC's has not connected by December 31, 2001, the maximum charge of \$ 140 remains in effect after December 31, 2001. SSU/ UNIVERSITY SHORES

SCHEDULE 10-A STAFF RECOMMENDED

ALLOWANCE FOR FUNDS PRUDENTLY INVESTED

WATER SUPPLY AND TREATMENT

	1	997		1998	1999	2000	2001
January	\$	0	\$	3	\$ 6	\$ 9	\$ 13
February		0	-	3	7	10	13
March		1		4	7	10	14
April		1		4	7	10	14
May		1		4	7	11	14
June		1		4	. 8	11	14
July		2		5	8	11	15
August		2		5	8	11	15
September		2		5	8	12	15
October		2		5	9	12	16
November		3		6	9	12	16
December		3		6	9	13	16

NOTES:

 The amounts indicated above are per ERC. (ERC = 217 gpd)

2. The number of remaining ERC's is1,795

3. If the number of remaining ERC's has not connected by December 31, 2001, the maximum charge of \$ 16 remains in effect after December 31, 2001.

ţ

•

SSU/ UNIVERSITY SHORES

SCHEDULE 10-B STAFF RECOMMENDED

ALLOWANCE FOR FUNDS PRUDENTLY INVESTED

WATER TRANSMISSION AND DISTRIBUTION

	1	997		1998		1999		2000		2001
Tabliani	\$	3	\$	41	\$	81	\$	124	\$	171
January	ş	_	ę		Ą		ş		ခု	
February		6		44		84		128		175
March		9		48		88		132		179
April		13		51		92		136		184
May		16		54		95		140		188
June		19		57		99		144		192
July		22		61		102		147		196
August		25		64		106		151		201
September		28		67		110		155		205
October		31		71		113		159		209
November		35		74		117		163		213
December		38		77		120		167	•	217

NOTES:

1. The amounts indicated above are per ERC. (ERC = 217 gpd)

2. The number of remaining ERC's is1,073

3. If the number of remaining ERC's has not connected by December 31, 2001, the maximum charge of \$ 217 remains in effect after December 31, 2001.

13860

.

SSU/ UNIVERSITY SHORES

SCHEDULE 10-C STAFF RECOMMENDED

ALLOWANCE FOR FUNDS PRUDENTLY INVESTED

WASTEWATER COLLECTION AND PUMPING

	19	97	1998	1:	999	2000	:	2001
Tonuomi	÷	7	\$ 89	\$	175 \$	267	\$	366
January	\$	'	•	•	•		Ş	
February		14	96		182	275		375
March		20	103	:	190	283		384
April		27	110	:	197	291		393
May		34	117	:	205	300		402
June		41	124	:	213	308		411
July		48	131	:	220	316		420
August		55	139	:	228	324		429
September		61	146		236	333		438
October		68	153	:	243	341		446
November		75	160	1	251	349		455
December		82	167		258	357		464

NOTES:

 The amounts indicated above are per ERC. (ERC = 155 gpd)

2. The number of remaining ERC's is 550

3. If the number of remaining ERC's has not connected by December 31, 2001, the maximum charge of \$ 464 remains in effect after December 31, 2001.

13861

-189-

SSU/LAKE/VALENCIA TERRACE

SCHEDULE 10-D STAFF RECOMMENDED

ALLOWANCE FOR FUNDS PRUDENTLY INVESTED

WASTEWATER TREATMENT AND DISPOSAL

	1	997	1	L998	1999	:	2000	2001
January	\$	2	\$	21	\$ 40	\$	61	\$ 84
February		3		22	42		63	86
March		5		24	44		65	88
April		6		25	45		67	90
May		8		27	47		69	92
June		9		29	49		70	94
July		11		30	51		72	96
August		13		32	52		74	98
September		14		34	54		76	100
October		16		35	56		78	102
November		17		37	58		80	104
December		19		38	59		82	106

NOTES :

 The amounts indicated above are per ERC. (ERC = 155 gpd)

2. The number of remaining ERC's is 85

3. If the number of remaining ERC's has not connected by December 31, 2001, the maximum charge of \$ 106 remains in effect after December 31, 2001. SSU/ VENETIAN VILLAGE

SCHEDULE 10-B STAFF RECOMMENDED

ALLOWANCE FOR FUNDS PRUDENTLY INVESTED

WATER TRANSMISSION AND DISTRIBUTION

	1	997	L998	1999	:	2000	2001
_		_	_			_	_
January	\$	0	\$ 2	\$ 4	\$	7	\$ 9
February		0	2	5		7	9
March		1	3	5		7	10
April		1	3	5		7	10
May		1	3	5		7	10
June		1	3	5		8	10
July		l	3	5		8	11
August		1	3	6		8	11
September		2	4	6		8	11
October		2	4	б		9	11
November		2	4	6		9	11
December		2	4	6		9	12

NOTES:

.....

 The amounts indicated above are per ERC. (ERC = 217 gpd)

2. The number of remaining ERC's is 78

3. If the number of remaining ERC's has not connected by December 31, 2001, the maximum charge of \$ 12 remains in effect after December 31, 2001. SSU/ VENETIAN VILLAGE

SCHEDULE 10-C STAFF RECOMMENDED

ALLOWANCE FOR FUNDS PRUDENTLY INVESTED

WASTEWATER COLLECTION AND PUMPING

	1	997	1998	1999	2000	2001
January	\$	8	\$ 107	\$ 208	\$ 315	\$ 429
February		17	116	217	325	440
March		25	124	226	334	450
April		33	132	235	343	460
May		41	141	243	353	470
June		50	149	252	362	480
July		58	157	261	372	490
August		66	166	270	381	500
September		74	174	279	391	511
October		83	182	288	400	521
November		91	191	297	410	531
December		99	199	306	419	541

NOTES: 1. The a

 The amounts indicated above are per ERC. (ERC = 155 gpd)

2. The number of remaining ERC's is 15

3. If the number of remaining ERC's has not connected by December 31, 2001, the maximum charge of \$ 541 remains in effect after December 31, 2001.

SSU/ WELAKA/SARATOGA HARBOUR

SCHEDULE 10-A STAFF RECOMMENDED

ALLOWANCE FOR FUNDS PRUDENTLY INVESTED

WATER SUPPLY AND TREATMENT

	1997		1998		1999		2000		2001
January	\$	3 \$	\$ 34	\$	67	\$	102	\$	140
February		5	37		70		105		144
March		8	40		73		109		147
April	1	1	43		76		112		150
May	1	3	45		79		115		154
June	1	6	48		82		118		157
July	1	9	51		85		121		161
August	2	1	53		88		124		164
September	2	4	56		90		127		167
October	2	б	59		93		131		171
November	2	9	62		96		134		174
December	3	2	64		99		137		178

NOTES :

 The amounts indicated above are per ERC. (ERC = 217 gpd)

2. The number of remaining ERC's is 250

3. If the number of remaining ERC's has not connected by December 31, 2001, the maximum charge of \$ 178 remains in effect after December 31, 2001.

SSU/ WELAKA/SARATOGA HARBOUR

SCHEDULE 10-B STAFF RECOMMENDED

ALLOWANCE FOR FUNDS PRUDENTLY INVESTED

WATER TRANSMISSION AND DISTRIBUTION

	1	1997		1998		1999		2000		2001
January	\$	3	\$	33	\$	65	\$	99	\$	137
February		5		36		68		102		140
March		8		38		70		106		144
April		10		41		73		109		147
May		13		43		76		112		150
June		15		46		79		115		154
July		18		49		82		118		157
August		20		51		85		121		161
September		23		54		88		124		164
October		25		57		90		127		167
November		28		59		93		130		171
December		30		62		96		134		174

NOTES:

 The amounts indicated above are per ERC. (ERC = 217 gpd)

2. The number of remaining ERC's is 114

3. If the number of remaining ERC's has not connected by December 31, 2001, the maximum charge of \$ 174 remains in effect after December 31, 2001. SSU/ WESTMONT

SCHEDULE 10-B STAFF RECOMMENDED

ALLOWANCE FOR FUNDS PRUDENTLY INVESTED

WATER TRANSMISSION AND DISTRIBUTION

	1997	1998		1999		2000		2001
January	\$ l	\$	13	\$	25	\$	38	\$ 51
February	2		14		26		39	53
March	3		15		27		40	54
April	4		16		28		41	55
May	5		17		29		42	56
June	6		18		30		43	58
July	7		19		31		44	59
August	8		20		32		46	60
September	9		21		33		47	61
October	10		22		34		48	63
November	11		23		35		49	64
December	12		24		36		50	65

NOTES:

 The amounts indicated above are per ERC. (ERC = 217 gpd)

2. The number of remaining ERC's is 26

3. If the number of remaining ERC's has not connected by December 31, 2001, the maximum charge of \$ 65 remains in effect after December 31, 2001. SSU/ WOODMERE

SCHEDULE 10-A STAFF RECOMMENDED

ALLOWANCE FOR FUNDS PRUDENTLY INVESTED

WATER SUPPLY AND TREATMENT

	1997		1998		1999		2000		2001
January	\$	1	\$	19	\$	38	\$	57	\$ 78
February		3		21		39		59	80
March		4		22		41		61	82
April		6		24		42		62	83
May		7		25		44		64	85
June		9		27		46		66	87
July		10		28		47		67 .	89
August		12		30		49		69	91
September		13		31		51		71	93
October		15		33		52		73	95
November		16		34		54		74	96
December		18		36		55		76	98

NOTES :

 The amounts indicated above are per ERC. (ERC = 217 gpd)

2. The number of remaining ERC's is 101

3. If the number of remaining ERC's has not connected by December 31, 2001, the maximum charge of \$ 98 remains in effect after December 31, 2001. SSU/ WOOTENS

SCHEDULE 10-B STAFF RECOMMENDED

ALLOWANCE FOR FUNDS PRUDENTLY INVESTED

WATER TRANSMISSION AND DISTRIBUTION

	1	997	1998		1999		2000		2001
_		_				_			
January	\$	0	\$	5	\$. 10	\$	15	\$ 20
February		1		5		10		15	21
March		1		6		11		16	21
April		2		6		11		16	22
May		2		6		11		17	22
June		2		7		12		17	23
July		3		7		12		18	23
August		3		8		13		18	24
September		3		8		13		19	24
October		4		8		14		19	25
November		4		9		14		19	25
December		5		9		14		20	26

NOTES:

.

 The amounts indicated above are per ERC. (ERC = 217 gpd)

2. The number of remaining ERC's is 25

3. If the number of remaining ERC's has not connected by December 31, 2001, the maximum charge of \$ 26 remains in effect after December 31, 2001. SSU/ ZEPHYR SHORES

SCHEDULE 10-B STAFF RECOMMENDED

ALLOWANCE FOR FUNDS PRUDENTLY INVESTED

WATER TRANSMISSION AND DISTRIBUTION

	:	1997 1998		1998	1999		2000			2001
January	\$	1	\$	9	\$	17	\$	26	\$	36
February		l		9		18		27		36
March		2		10		18		27		37
April		3		11		19		28		38
May		3		11		20		29		39
June		4		12		21		30		40
July		5		13		21		31		41
August		5		13		22		31		42
September		6		14		23		32	•	43
October		7		15		24		33		43
November		7		15		24		34		44
December		8		16		25	•	35		45

NOTES:

 The amounts indicated above are per ERC. (ERC = 217 gpd)

2. The number of remaining ERC's is 145

3. If the number of remaining ERC's has not connected by December 31, 2001, the maximum charge of \$ 45 remains in effect after December 31, 2001. SSU/ ZEPHYR SHORES

SCHEDULE 10-C STAFF RECOMMENDED

ALLOWANCE FOR FUNDS PRUDENTLY INVESTED

WASTEWATER COLLECTION AND PUMPING

	199	7	1998		1999		2000	2001
January	\$	6 \$	\$73	\$	143	\$	219	\$ 300
February	I	1	79		150		226	307
March	1	7	85		156		232	315
April	2	3	91		162		239	322
May	2	8	97		168		246	329
June	3	4	102		175		252	336
July	3	9	108		181		259	344
August	4	5	114		187	•	266	351
September	5	1	120		193		273	358
October	<u> </u>	6	126		200		279	365
November	e	2	131		206		286	373
December	e	8	137		212		293	380

NOTES ;

 The amounts indicated above are per ERC. (ERC = 155 gpd)

- 2. The number of remaining ERC's is 148
- 3. If the number of remaining ERC's has not connected by December 31, 2001, the maximum charge of \$ 380 remains in effect after December 31, 2001.

SSU/ ZEPHYR SHORES

SCHEDULE 10-D STAFF RECOMMENDED

.

.

ALLOWANCE FOR FUNDS PRUDENTLY INVESTED

WASTEWATER TREATMENT AND DISPOSAL

	1997		1998		1999		2000		2001
_									
January	\$	1	\$	13	\$	25	\$	38	\$ 52
February		2		14		26		39	53
March		3		15		27		40	5 5
April		4		16		28		42	56
May		5		17		29		43	57
June		6		18		30		44	58
July		7		19		31		45	60
August		8		20		33		46	61
September	,	9		21		34		47	62
October		10		22		35		49	63
November		11		23		36		50	65
December		12		24		37		51	66

NOTES:

 The amounts indicated above are per ERC. (ERC = 155 gpd)

- 2. The number of remaining ERC's is 819
- 3. If the number of remaining ERC's has not connected by December 31, 2001, the maximum charge of \$ 66 remains in effect after December 31, 2001.