

VOTE SHEET

DATE: May 6, 1997

RE: DOCKET NO. 960644-WU - Application for transfer of Certificate No. 291-W in Citrus County from Lands Inc. of Rhinelander to Crystal River Utilities, Inc.

Issue 1: Should the Commission acknowledge the withdrawal of the three protests in this docket? Should the transfer of facilities from Lands Inc. of Rhinelander to Crystal River Utilities, Inc., the amendment of Certificate No. 396-W, and the cancellation of Certificate No. 291-W be approved?

Recommendation: Yes, the Commission should acknowledge the withdrawal of the three protests in this docket. Yes, the transfer of facilities from Lands Inc. of Rhinelander to Crystal River Utilities, Inc., the amendment of Certificate No. 396-W, and the cancellation of Certificate No. 291-W should be approved.

APPROVED

COMMISSIONERS ASSIGNED: Full Commission

COMMISSIONERS' SIGNATURES

MAJORITY

DISSENTING

	
	
	
	

REMARKS/DISSENTING COMMENTS:

PSC/RAR33 (5/90)

*Comm. Deason dissented
on Issue 3.*

DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE

04646 MAY-85

FILED IN REPORTING

Issue 2: What is the rate base of Lands Inc. of Rhinelander at the time of transfer?

Recommendation: The rate base, which for transfer purposes reflects the net book value, is \$31,880 for the water system.

APPROVED

Issue 3: Should an acquisition adjustment be approved?

Recommendation: No, an acquisition adjustment should not be included in the calculation of rate base for transfer purposes.

APPROVED

*Commissioner Deason
dissented.*

Issue 4: Should Crystal River Utilities, Inc. continue to use the rates and charges approved by this Commission for Lands Inc. of Rhinelander?

Recommendation: Yes, Crystal River Utilities, Inc. should continue charging the rates approved for Lands Inc. of Rhinelander. Crystal River's currently approved deposit charges and late fee should also be approved. The tariff should be effective for services provided or connections made on or after the stamped approval date.

APPROVED

Issue 5: Should this docket be closed?

Recommendation: Yes, upon expiration of the 21-day protest period, this docket should be closed if no timely protest is filed by a substantially affected person to the proposed agency action issues.

APPROVED