

VOTE SHEET

DATE: May 6, 1997

RE: DOCKET NO. 961276-WU - Request for variance from Order No. PSC-96-1190-
FOF-WS in Flagler County by Palm Coast Utility Corporation.

Issue 1: Should the Commission grant the utility's Request for Oral
Argument?

Recommendation: No. The Commission should deny PCUC's Request for Oral
Argument on its Motion for Reconsideration or, Alternatively, Clarification.





APPROVED

COMMISSIONERS ASSIGNED: Full Commission

COMMISSIONERS' SIGNATURES

MAJORITY

DISSENTING

	_____
	_____
	_____
	_____

REMARKS/DISSENTING COMMENTS:

PSC/RAR33 (5/90)

DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE

04651 MAY-85

FPC-RECORDS/REPORTING

Vote Sheet
Docket No. 961276-WU
May 6, 1997

Issue 2: Should the Commission grant PCUC's Motion for Reconsideration or, Alternatively, Clarification?

Recommendation: The utility's Motion for Reconsideration or, Alternatively, Clarification should be denied. However, the Commission should, on its own motion, correct Order No. PSC-97-1180-FOF-WS to remove PCUC from the list of utilities whose gross-up authority was revoked by that order.

96

MODIFIED

Approved as modified.

Issue 3: Should this docket be closed?

Recommendation: Yes, if Issue 2 of this recommendation is approved, there is no further action required, and this docket should be closed.

APPROVED