

VOTE SHEET

DATE: May 19, 1997

RE: DOCKET NO. 88888-TP - Generic consideration of incumbent local exchange (ILEC) business office practices and tariff provisions in the implementation of intraLATA presubscription.

Issue 1: Should the Commission prohibit GTEFL, Sprint-LEC, and the small ILECs (ILECs) from utilizing terminology that suggests ownership of the intraLATA toll calling area when referring to the intraLATA service areas in directories and bill inserts?

Recommendation: Yes. The Commission should prohibit GTEFL, Sprint-LEC, and the small ILECs (ILECs) from utilizing terminology that suggests ownership of the intraLATA toll calling area when referring to the intraLATA service areas in directories and bill inserts and instead, to refer to the intraLATA toll calling area as "local toll."






APPROVED

COMMISSIONERS ASSIGNED: Full Commission

COMMISSIONERS' SIGNATURES

MAJORITY

DISSENTING

	
	_____
	_____
	_____

REMARKS/DISSENTING COMMENTS:

PSC/RAR33 (5/90)

*Commissioner Deason
dissented.*

DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE

04957 MAY 1997

FPSC-RECORDS/REPORTING

• Vote Sheet
• Docket No. 970526-TP
• May 19, 1997

Issue 2: Should the Commission require GTEFL, Sprint-LEC, and the small ILECs (ILECs) to place a new customer who is undecided regarding a choice of intraLATA carriers in a 'no-PIC' status until a choice is made?
Recommendation: Yes. The Commission should require GTEFL, Sprint-LEC, and the small ILECs (ILECs) to place a new customer who is undecided regarding a choice of intraLATA carriers in a 'no-PIC' status until a choice is made.

APPROVED

Issue 3: Should the Commission require GTEFL, Sprint-LEC, and the small ILECs (ILECs) to put in place competitively-neutral customer contact protocols?

Recommendation: Yes. The Commission should require GTEFL, Sprint-LEC, and the small ILECs (ILECs) to put in place competitively-neutral customer contact protocols. For a period of 18 months from issuance of the order from this recommendation, the Commission should not allow the ILECs to initiate marketing efforts designed to dissuade customers from changing intraLATA carriers, and should refrain from initiating communications with existing customers about their intraLATA services when customers contact the ILECs for reasons other than selecting their intraLATA carrier. The Commission should require the ILECs to process all intraLATA PIC changes for their local customers.

becomes final

the date

APPROVED

with noted modifications

Vote Sheet
Docket No. 970526-TP
May 19, 1997

Issue 4: Should the Commission require GTEFL, Sprint-LEC, and small ILECs (ILECs) to put in place tariff provisions as they relate to the One Free PIC and the Two-For-One PIC for their existing customers?

Recommendation: Yes. The Commission should require GTEFL, Sprint-LEC, and small ILECs (ILECs) to put in place tariff provisions as they relate to the One Free PIC and the Two-For-One PIC for their existing customers as specified in staff analysis. The One Free PIC change and the Two-For-One PIC change should run for a period of 90 days from the date of conversion of the ILEC's last end-office switch to provide intralATA equal access. If the ILEC has completed the conversion of its switches, the 90 days should run from the date ~~the Order from this recommendation is issued~~. At the expiration of the 90-day One Free PIC, the end user will be assessed the respective ILEC's PIC change charge. Also at the expiration of the 90-day Two-For-One PIC change, the end user will be assessed the 30% rate additive in addition to the one PIC change charge. Pending further investigation of the appropriate cost recovery mechanism for intralATA presubscription, the Commission, on an interim basis, should require the ILECs to track their costs with sufficient detail to verify the costs in a generic proceeding. Further, the Commission should require the ILECs to perform an intralATA PIC change charge cost study with the major cost drivers identified in order to consider recovery of these costs in a generic proceeding.

this order becomes final

APPROVED *with noted modification*

Issue 5: Should Docket No. 970526-TP be closed?

Recommendation: Yes. If the Commission approves staff's recommendations in Issues Nos. 1 through 4, and if no person(s) whose substantial interests are affected files a protest within 21 days of issuance of the Order, this docket should be closed.

APPROVED