

**BEFORE THE FLORIDA
PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION**

**DOCKET NO. 970001-EI
FLORIDA POWER & LIGHT COMPANY**

JUNE 23, 1997

**IN RE: LEVELIZED FUEL COST RECOVERY
OCTOBER 1997 THROUGH MARCH 1998
AND
CAPACITY COST RECOVERY
OCTOBER 1997 THROUGH SEPTEMBER 1998**

TESTIMONY & EXHIBITS OF:

**R. SILVA
R. L. WADE
M. VILLAR
K. M. DUBIN**

DOCUMENT NO. 97-0001-EI

06271 JUN 23 5

FILED IN PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

FLORIDA POWER & LIGHT COMPANY

TESTIMONY OF RENE SILVA

DOCKET NO. 970001-EI

June 23, 1997

1 **Q** **Please state your name and address.**

2 **A.** **My name is Rene Silva. My business address is 9250 W. Flagler**
3 **Street, Miami, Florida 33174.**

4

5 **Q.** **By whom are you employed and what is your position?**

6 **A.** **I am employed by Florida Power & Light Company (FPL) as Manager**
7 **of Forecasting and Regulatory Response in the Power Generation**
8 **Business Unit.**

9

10 **Q.** **Have you previously testified in this docket?**

11 **A.** **Yes.**

12

13 **Q.** **What is the purpose of your testimony?**

14 **A.** **The purpose of my testimony is to present and explain FPL's**
15 **projections for (1) dispatch costs of heavy fuel oil, light fuel oil, coal**

1 and natural gas, (2) availability of natural gas to FPL, (3) generating
2 unit heat rates and availabilities, and (4) quantities and costs of
3 interchange and other power transactions. These projected values were
4 used as input values to POWRSYM in the calculation of the proposed
5 fuel cost recovery factor for the period April through September, 1997.
6 In addition, my testimony describes the circumstances regarding FPL's
7 request to begin recovery, through the Capacity Cost Recovery Clause,
8 of approximately \$4.7 million per year associated with capacity
9 payments to be made to Jacksonville Electric Authority (JEA) during
10 the "St. Johns River Power Park energy suspension period".

11

12 **Q. Have you prepared or caused to be prepared under your**
13 **supervision, direction and control an Exhibit in this proceeding?**

14 **A. Yes, I have. It consists of pages 1 through 7 of Appendix I of this**
15 **filing.**

16

17 **Q. What are the key factors that could affect FPL's price for heavy**
18 **fuel oil during the October, 1997 through March, 1998 period?**

19 **A. The key factors are (1) demand for crude oil and petroleum products**
20 **(including heavy fuel oil), (2) non-OPEC crude oil production, (3) the**
21 **extent to which OPEC production matches actual demand for OPEC**

1 crude oil, (4) the price relationship between heavy fuel oil and crude
2 oil, and (5) the terms of FPL's heavy fuel oil supply and transportation
3 contracts.

4
5 In general, world demand for crude oil and petroleum products is
6 projected to continue to increase at a moderate rate through 1998 as
7 a result of continued economic growth in the Pacific Rim countries.

8
9 On the supply side, total non-OPEC crude oil production is projected
10 to rise slightly through 1998 due to increases in the North Sea and
11 Latin America. The balance of the projected increase in crude oil
12 demand is projected to be adequately met by a moderate increase in
13 OPEC production, in part due to the resumption of small quantities of
14 Iraqi exports .

15
16 Based on these factors crude oil prices, and consequently heavy fuel
17 oil prices, for the October, 1997 through March, 1998 period will be
18 only slightly higher than at present.

19
20 Q. What is the projected relationship between heavy fuel oil and
21 crude oil prices during the October, 1997 through March, 1998

1 **period?**

2 A. The price of heavy fuel oil on the U. S. Gulf Coast (1.0% sulfur) is
3 projected to be approximately 72% of the price of West Texas
4 Intermediate (WTI) crude oil.

5

6 **Q. Please provide FPL's projection for the dispatch cost of heavy fuel
7 oil for the October, 1997 through March, 1998 period.**

8 A. FPL's projection for the system average dispatch cost of heavy fuel
9 oil, by sulfur grade, by month, is provided on page 3 of Appendix I
10 in dollars per barrel.

11

12 **Q. What are the key factors that could affect the price of light fuel
13 oil?**

14 A. The key factors that affect the price of light fuel oil are similar to
15 those described above for heavy fuel oil.

16

17 **Q. Please provide FPL's projection for the dispatch cost of light fuel
18 oil for the period from October, 1997 through March, 1998.**

19 A. FPL's projection for the average dispatch cost of light oil, by sulfur
20 grade, by month, is shown on page 4 of Appendix I.

21

1 Q. What is the basis for FPL's projections of the dispatch cost of
2 coal?

3 A. FPL's projected dispatch cost of coal is based on FPL's price
4 projection of spot coal delivered to its coal plants.
5

6 For St. Johns River Power Park (SJRPP), annual coal volumes
7 delivered under long-term contracts are fixed on October 1st of the
8 previous year. For Scherer Plant, the annual volume of coal delivered
9 under long-term contracts is set by the terms of the contracts.
10 Therefore, the price of coal delivered under long-term contracts does
11 not affect the daily dispatch decision. The dispatch price of coal for
12 each coal plant is based on the variable component of the coal cost,
13 the projected spot coal price.
14

15 In the case of SJRPP, FPL began to blend petroleum coke with the
16 coal in order to reduce fuel costs, beginning in the spring of 1997. It
17 is anticipated that petroleum coke will represent 15% of the fuel blend
18 at SJRPP. The lower price of petroleum coke is reflected in the
19 weighted average price of fuel delivered to SJRPP.
20

21 Q. Please provide FPL's projection for the dispatch cost of coal for

1 the October, 1997 through March, 1998 period.

2 A. FPL's projected system average dispatch cost of coal, shown on page
3 5 of Appendix I, is about \$1.53 per million BTU, delivered to plant.
4

5 Q. What are the factors that can affect FPL's natural gas prices
6 during the October, 1997 through March, 1998 period?

7 A. In general, the key factors are (1) domestic natural gas demand and
8 supply, (2) natural gas imports, (3) heavy fuel oil prices and (4) the
9 terms of FPL's gas supply and transportation contracts.
10

11 Every year, between the months of April and October, natural gas
12 market inventories are built up as a reserve in preparation for peak
13 winter gas demand. The quantity of natural gas in inventory in April,
14 1997 - the start of the gas "injection" season - while lower than
15 average, was significantly higher than in April, 1996.
16

17 It is projected that by the end of October the inventory level will be
18 adequate to meet winter (1997-1998) demand for natural gas.
19 Consequently, gas prices for the October, 1997 through March, 1998
20 period are projected to be lower than during the same period a year
21 earlier.

1 Q. What are the factors that affect the availability of natural gas to
2 FPL during the October, 1997 through March, 1998 period?

3 A. The key factors are (1) the existing capacity of natural gas
4 transportation facilities into Florida, (2) the portion of that capacity
5 that is contractually allocated to FPL on a firm, "guaranteed" basis
6 each month and (3) the natural gas demand in the State of Florida.

7
8 The current capacity of natural gas transportation facilities into the
9 State of Florida is 1,455,000 million BTU per day (including FPL's
10 firm allocation of 455,000 to 480,000 million BTU per day during this
11 period, depending on the month). Total demand for natural gas in the
12 State during the period (including FPL's firm allocation) is projected
13 to be between 245,000 and 255,000 million BTU per day below the
14 pipeline's total capacity. This projected available pipeline capacity
15 could enable FPL to acquire and deliver additional natural gas, beyond
16 FPL's 455,000 to 480,000 million BTU per day of firm, "guaranteed"
17 allocation, should it be economically attractive, relative to other
18 energy choices.

19
20 Q. Please provide FPL's projections for the dispatch cost and
21 availability (to FPL) of natural gas for the October, 1997 through

1 **March, 1998 period.**

2 A. FPL's projections of the system average dispatch cost and availability
3 of natural gas are provided on page 6 of Appendix I.

4
5 **Q. Please describe how you have developed the projected unit**
6 **Average Net Operating Heat Rates shown on Schedule E4 of**
7 **Appendix II.**

8 A. The projected Average Net Operating Heat Rates were calculated by
9 the POWRSYM model. The current heat rate equations and efficiency
10 factors for FPL's generating units, which present heat rate as a
11 function of unit power level, were used as inputs to POWRSYM for
12 this calculation. The heat rate equations and efficiency factors are
13 updated as appropriate, based on historical unit performance and
14 projected changes due to plant upgrades, fuel grade changes, or results
15 of performance tests.

16
17 **Q. Are you providing the outage factors projected for the period**
18 **October, 1997 through March, 1998?**

19 A. Yes. This data is shown on page 7 of Appendix I.

20
21 **Q. How were the outage factors for this period developed?**

1 A. The unplanned outage factors were developed using the actual
2 historical full and partial outage event data for each of the units. The
3 historical unplanned outage factor of each generating unit was
4 adjusted, as necessary, to eliminate non-recurring events and recognize
5 the effect of planned outages to arrive at the projected factor for the
6 October, 1997 through March, 1998 period.

7

8 Q. Please describe significant planned outages for the October, 1997
9 through March, 1998 period.

10 A. Planned outages at our nuclear units are the most significant in
11 relation to Fuel Cost Recovery. Turkey Point Unit No.4 is scheduled
12 to be out of service for refueling beginning on September 8, 1997 and
13 until October 18, 1997, or eighteen days during the projected period.
14 St. Lucie Unit No.1 will be out of service for refueling beginning on
15 October 20, 1997 and until January 3, 1998, or seventy-five days
16 during the projected period. There are no other significant planned
17 outages during the projected period.

18

19 Q. Are any changes to FPL's generation capacity planned during the
20 April through September, 1997 period?

21 A. Yes. Net Summer Continuous Capability (NSCC) at Pt. Everglades

1 Unit No.4 will increase by 21 MW, from 385 MW to 406 MW, while
2 its Summer Peaking Capability (SPC) will increase by 16 MW, from
3 395 MW to 411 MW. This change had been previously projected to
4 occur during the April through September, 1997 period.

5
6 **Q. Are you providing the projected interchange and purchased power**
7 **transactions forecasted for October, 1997 to March, 1998?**

8 **A. Yes. This data is shown on Schedules E6, E7, E8, and E9 of**
9 **Appendix II of this filing.**

10

11 **Q. In what types of interchange transactions does FPL engage?**

12 **A. FPL purchases interchange power from others under several types of**
13 **interchange transactions which have been previously described in this**
14 **docket: Emergency - Schedule A; Short Term Firm - Schedule B;**
15 **Economy - Schedule C; Extended Economy - Schedule X; Opportunity**
16 **Sales - Schedule OS; UPS Replacement Energy - Schedule R and**
17 **Economic Energy Participation - Schedule EP.**

18 For services provided by FPL to other utilities, FPL has developed
19 amended Interchange Service Schedules, including AF (Emergency),
20 BF (Scheduled Maintenance), CF (Economy), DF (Outage), and XF
21 (Extended Economy). These amended schedules replace and supersede

1 existing Interchange Service Schedules A, B, C, D, and X for services
2 provided by FPL.

3

4 **Q. Does FPL have arrangements other than interchange agreements**
5 **for the purchase of electric power and energy which are included**
6 **in your projections?**

7 **A. Yes. FPL purchases coal-by-wire electrical energy under the 1988**
8 **Unit Power Sales Agreement (UPS) with the Southern Companies.**
9 **FPL has contracts to purchase nuclear energy under the St. Lucie Plant**
10 **Nuclear Reliability Exchange Agreements with Orlando Utilities**
11 **Commission (OUC) and Florida Municipal Power Agency (FMPA).**
12 **FPL also purchases energy from JEA's portion of the SJRPP Units, as**
13 **stated above. Additionally, FPL purchases energy and capacity from**
14 **Qualifying Facilities under existing tariffs and contracts.**

15

16 **Q. Please provide the projected energy costs to be recovered through**
17 **the Fuel Cost Recovery Clause for the power purchases referred**
18 **to above during the October, 1997 to March, 1998 period.**

19 **A. Under the UPS agreement FPL's capacity entitlement during the**
20 **projected period is 913 MW from October, 1997 through March, 1998.**
21 **Based upon the alternate and supplemental energy provisions of UPS,**

1 an availability factor of 100% is applied to these capacity entitlements
2 to project energy purchases. The projected UPS energy (unit) cost for
3 this period, used as input to POWRSYM, is based on data provided
4 by the Southern Companies. For the period, FPL projects the purchase
5 of 1,561,795 MWH of UPS Energy at a cost of \$29,129,990. In
6 addition, we project the purchase of 1,088,327 MWH of UPS
7 Replacement energy (Schedule R) at a cost of \$17,915,970. The total
8 UPS Energy plus Schedule R projections are presented on Schedule
9 E7 of Appendix II.

10

11 Energy purchases from the JEA-owned portion of the St. Johns River
12 Power Park generation are projected to be 1,388,436 MWH for the
13 period at an energy cost of \$20,691,410. FPL's cost for energy
14 purchases under the St. Lucie Plant Reliability Exchange Agreements
15 is a function of the operation of St. Lucie Unit 2 and the fuel costs to
16 the owners. For the period, we project purchases of 261,495 MWH
17 at a cost of \$958,900. These projections are shown on Schedule E7
18 of Appendix II.

19

20 In addition, as shown on Schedule E8 of Appendix II, we project that
21 purchases from Qualifying Facilities for the period will provide

1 3,625,783 MWH at a cost to FPL of \$66,825,038.

2

3 **Q. How were energy costs related to purchases from Qualifying**
4 **Facilities developed?**

5 **A. For those contracts that entitle FPL to purchase "as-available" energy**
6 **we used FPL's fuel price forecasts as inputs to the POWRSYM model**
7 **to project FPL's avoided energy cost that is used to set the price of**
8 **these energy purchases each month. For those contracts that enable**
9 **FPL to purchase firm capacity and energy, the applicable Unit Energy**
10 **Cost mechanism prescribed in the contract is used to project monthly**
11 **energy costs.**

12

13 **Q. Have you projected Schedule A/AF - Emergency Interchange**
14 **Transactions?**

15 **A. No purchases or sales under Schedule A/AF have been projected since**
16 **it is not practical to estimate emergency transactions.**

17

18 **Q. Have you projected Schedule B/BF - Short-Term Firm**
19 **Interchange Transactions?**

20 **A. No commitment for such transactions had been made when projections**
21 **were developed. Therefore, we have estimated that no Schedule BF**

1 sales or Schedule B purchases would be made in the projected period.

2

3

4 **Q. Please describe the method used to forecast the Economy**
5 **Transactions.**

6 **A. The quantity of economy sales and purchase transactions are projected**
7 **based upon historic transaction levels, adjusted to remove non-**
8 **recurring factors.**

9

10 **Q. What are the forecasted amounts and costs of Economy energy**
11 **sales?**

12 **A. We have projected 814,436 MWH of Economy energy sales for the**
13 **period. The projected fuel cost related to these sales is \$19,169,883.**
14 **The projected transaction revenue from the sales is \$24,235,826.**
15 **Eighty percent of the gain for Schedule C is \$4,052,754 and is**
16 **credited to our customers.**

17

18 **Q. In what document are the fuel costs of economy energy sales**
19 **transactions reported?**

20 **A. Schedule E6 of Appendix II provides the total MWH of energy and**
21 **total dollars for fuel adjustment. The 80% of gain is also provided on**

1 Schedule E6 of Appendix II.

2

3 Q. What are the forecasted amounts and costs of Economy energy
4 purchases for the October, 1997 to March, 1998 period?

5 A. The costs of these purchases are shown on Schedule E9 of Appendix
6 II. For the period FPL projects it will purchase a total of 2,392,872
7 MWH at a cost of \$45,368,580. If generated, we estimate that this
8 energy would cost \$52,804,756. Therefore, these purchases are
9 projected to result in savings of \$7,436,176.

10

11 Q. What are the forecasted amounts and cost of energy being sold
12 under the St. Lucie Plant Reliability Exchange Agreement?

13 A. We project the sale of 153,043 MWH of energy at a cost of \$621,700.
14 These projections are shown on Schedule E6 of Appendix II.

15

16 Q. Are you presenting testimony related to the Capacity Cost
17 Recovery clause?

18 A. Yes. Ms. Korel M. Dubin has filed testimony in which she addresses
19 FPL's request that it be authorized to collect, during the next
20 seventeen (17) years, approximately \$4.7 million per year associated
21 with future capacity payments to be made to JEA during the SJRPP

1 energy suspension period. My testimony describes the circumstances
2 that underlie FPL's request.

3

4 **Q. Why does FPL propose to recover, between 1998 and 2014,**
5 **capacity costs to be paid to JEA between 2015 and 2020?**

6 **A.** Because there is a mismatch between the period over which FPL
7 currently anticipates it will continue to receive energy from JEA's
8 ownership share of SJRPP, and the period over which FPL is
9 contractually required to make annual capacity payments to JEA.

10

11 **Q. Please explain this mismatch between capacity and energy under**
12 **the contract with JEA.**

13 **A.** FPL makes capacity payments to JEA at a rate necessary to pay off,
14 by the year 2020, bonds issued by JEA to finance SJRPP. The
15 magnitude of the annual capacity payment is not related to the
16 quantity of energy FPL receives each year. In fact, since SJRPP
17 provides a low-cost source of energy, the plant runs as much as
18 possible, and FPL takes as much of the plant's energy as it can each
19 year, while the capacity payment remains unaffected.

20

21 **Q. Why does this mismatch create a concern?**

1 A. Because the total quantity of energy FPL can take from JEA's
2 ownership share of SJRPP through the year 2020 is limited to
3 80,534,332 MWh. FPL is taking as much SJRPP energy as possible
4 currently, and we project that the energy limit will be reached in 2015.
5 Thereafter FPL will, consistent with the contract, continue making
6 capacity payments through 2020, but would receive no energy from
7 JEA's share of SJRPP ("SJRPP energy suspension").

8
9 Q. How was this energy limit established?

10 A. An Internal Revenue Service (IRS) ruling, which established the tax-
11 exempt status of the municipal bonds used to finance JEA's ownership
12 interest in SJRPP, stipulates that FPL shall not receive more than
13 twenty-five percent (25%) of the nameplate capacity of JEA's
14 ownership share of the plant over the life of the bonds. Under FPL's
15 contract with JEA, FPL will purchase 37.5% of energy produced by
16 JEA's share of the plant, based on a projected plant capacity factor of
17 approximately 67%. This is equivalent to 25% of the plant's total
18 capability.

19
20 Q. Has SJRPP operated at the assumed 67% capacity factor?

21 A. The plant has operated at a 88.2% capacity factor and as a result FPL

1 has received more low-cost energy during the first ten years of
2 operation than had been originally estimated. We project that the plant
3 will operate at an average capacity factor of 92% between 1998 and
4 2014. At that rate, the energy limit of 80,534,332 MWh imposed by
5 the IRS ruling will be reached in 2015.

6

7 **Q. Why doesn't FPL reduce the quantity of energy purchased from**
8 **JEA's share of SJRPP so that the energy limit would not be**
9 **reached until the bonds are paid?**

10 **A. Because we would have to replace the energy not taken from SJRPP**
11 **with more expensive purchases or FPL generation, and as a result our**
12 **customers' costs would increase. In fact, our analysis shows that**
13 **operating SJRPP at a 67% capacity factor in order to reduce the**
14 **annual quantity of SJRPP energy purchases would increase energy**
15 **costs by about \$128 million on a net present value basis between 1998**
16 **and 2020. The net present value of the amount FPL is requesting to**
17 **collect is approximately \$40 million.**

18

19 **Q. Would you please summarize your testimony?**

20 **A. Yes. In my testimony I have presented FPL's fuel price projections**
21 **for the fuel cost recovery period of October, 1997 through March,**

1 1998. In addition, I have presented FPL's projections for generating
2 unit heat rates and availabilities, and the quantities and costs of
3 interchange and other power transactions for the same period. These
4 projections were based on the best information available to FPL, and
5 were used as inputs to POWRSYM in developing the projected Fuel
6 Cost Recovery Factor for the October, 1997 through March, 1998
7 period.

8 My testimony also describes the circumstances underlying FPL's
9 request to begin to recover currently about \$4.7 million per year in
10 future SJRPP capacity costs through the Capacity Clause.

11

12 **Q. Does this conclude your testimony?**

13 **A. Yes, it does.**

14

15

16

BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

FLORIDA POWER & LIGHT COMPANY

TESTIMONY OF R. L. WADE

DOCKET NO. 970001-EI

June 23, 1997

1 **Q. Please state your name and address.**

2 **A. My name is Robert L. Wade. My business address is 700 Universe**
3 **Boulevard, Juno Beach, Florida 33408.**

4

5 **Q. By whom are you employed and what is your position?**

6 **A. I am employed by Florida Power & Light Company (FPL) as Director,**
7 **Business Services in the Nuclear Business Unit.**

8

9 **Q. Have you previously testified in this docket?**

10 **A. Yes, I have.**

11

12 **Q. What is the purpose of your testimony?**

13 **A. The purpose of my testimony is to present and explain FPL's**
14 **projections of nuclear fuel costs for the thermal energy (MMBTU) to**
15 **be produced by our nuclear units and costs of disposal of spent**

1 nuclear fuel. Both of these costs were input values to POWRSYM for
2 the calculation of the proposed fuel cost recovery factor for the period
3 October 1997 through March 1998.
4

5 **Q. What is the basis for FPL's projections of nuclear fuel costs?**

6 A. FPL's nuclear fuel cost projections are developed using energy
7 production at our nuclear units and their operating schedules,
8 consistent with those assumed in POWRSYM, for the period October
9 1997 through March 1998.
10

11 **Q. Please provide FPL's projection for nuclear fuel unit costs and
12 energy for the period October 1997 through March 1998.**

13 A. FPL projects the nuclear units will produce 114,468,963 MBTU of
14 energy at a cost of \$0.333 per MMBTU, excluding spent fuel disposal
15 costs for the period October 1997 through March 1998. Projections
16 by nuclear unit and by month are provided on Schedule E-4 of
17 Appendix II.
18

19 **Q. Please provide FPL's projections for nuclear spent fuel disposal
20 costs for the period October 1997 through March 1998 and what
21 is the basis for FPL's projections.**

1 A. FPL's projections for nuclear spent fuel disposal costs are provided
2 on Schedule E-2 of Appendix II. These projections are based on
3 FPL's contract with the U.S. Department of Energy (DOE), which sets
4 the spent fuel disposal fee at 1 mill per net Kwh generated minus
5 transmission and distribution line losses.

6

7 **Q. Please provide FPL's projection for Decontamination and**
8 **Decommissioning (D&D) costs to be paid in the period October**
9 **1997 through March 1998 and what is the basis for FPL's**
10 **projection.**

11 A. FPL's projection of \$5.42M for D&D costs to be paid during the period
12 October 1997 through March 1998 is included on Schedule E-2 of
13 Appendix II.

14

15 **Q. Are there currently any unresolved disputes under FPL's nuclear**
16 **fuel contracts?**

17 A. Yes. As reported in prior testimonies, there are two unresolved
18 disputes.

19

20 The first dispute is under FPL's contract with DOE for final disposal
21 of spent nuclear fuel. FPL, along with a number of electric utilities,

1 has filed suit against DOE over DOE's denial of its obligation to
2 accept spent nuclear fuel beginning in 1998. A July 23, 1996, ruling
3 by the U.S. Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia said that
4 DOE is required by the Nuclear Waste Policy Act to take title and
5 dispose of spent nuclear fuel from nuclear power plants beginning on
6 January 31, 1998. DOE declined to seek further review of the
7 decision, which was remanded to DOE for further proceedings. On
8 December 17, 1996, DOE advised the electric utilities that it would
9 not begin to dispose of spent nuclear fuel by the unconditional
10 deadline.

11
12 In response to DOE's letter, FPL, other electric utilities, and state
13 utility commissions filed suit on January 31, 1997 in the U.S. Court of
14 Appeals for the District of Columbia requesting that the court
15 authorize the utilities to suspend payments into the Nuclear Waste
16 Fund (NWF) until DOE performs on its unconditional obligation to
17 take title to and dispose of spent nuclear fuel.

18
19 On May 7, 1997, the utilities filed a petition for a writ of mandamus
20 that (1) DOE comply with its statutory obligation and begin disposing
21 of spent nuclear fuel by January 31, 1998 or in the alternative, direct
22 DOE to develop a program that will enable the agency to begin

1 disposing of spent nuclear fuel by January 31, 1998; (2) declaring
2 that the utilities are relieved of the obligation to pay into the NWF and
3 are authorized to place NWF collections into escrow until DOE
4 disposes of the spent nuclear fuel; (3) prohibiting DOE from
5 suspending the contracts with the utilities or from taking any other
6 adverse action under the contracts; and (4) declaring that the
7 suspension of fee payments will not adversely affect the utilities as to
8 timing, manner, or further cost disposal entitlements by reason of
9 such suspension of fee payments. DOE must file a response to the
10 petition on June 6, 1997. The utilities may then reply to DOE's
11 response ten days thereafter.

12
13 Secondly, FPL is currently seeking to resolve a price dispute for
14 uranium enrichment services purchased from the United States (U.S.)
15 Government, prior to July 1, 1993. FPL's contract for enrichment
16 services with the U.S. Government calls for pricing to be calculated
17 in accordance with "Established DOE Pricing Policy". Such policy
18 had always been one of cost recovery, which included costs related
19 to the Decontamination and Decommissioning (D&D) of DOE's
20 enrichment facilities. However, the Energy Policy Act of 1992 (The
21 Act) requires utilities to make separate payments to the U.S. Treasury
22 for D&D, starting in Fiscal Year 1993. FPL has been making such

1 payments. Therefore, D&D should not have been included in the
2 price charged by DOE for deliveries during Fiscal Year 1993, and the
3 price should have been reduced accordingly. FPL filed a claim with
4 the DOE Contracting Officer on July 14, 1995, for a refund for such
5 deliveries. On October 13, 1995, the DOE Contracting Officer
6 officially rejected FPL's claim. On October 11, 1996, FPL, along with
7 five other U.S. utilities and one foreign entity, appealed DOE's
8 rejection of the Fiscal Year 1993 overcharge claim with the U.S. Court
9 of Federal Claims.

10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22

On December 12, 1996, the Court of Federal Claims granted the unopposed motion of all parties to suspend the overcharge proceeding pending the outcome of an appeal to the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit in Barseback Kraft AB v. United States, where the appellants are seeking to recover overcharges for uranium enrichment services under identical contract provisions to those at issue in FPL's overcharge claim. Oral argument was held in the Barseback case on May 7, 1997, and a decision could be issued during the summer of 1997. FPL will reevaluate the validity of its overcharge claim upon issuance of a final decision in the Barseback case.

1 Meanwhile, in a related case, Yankee Atomic Electric Company had
2 been challenging the legality of the United States to impose the D&D
3 fees. On May 6, 1997, a panel of the U.S. Court of Appeals for the
4 Federal Circuit held that the D&D special assessment was lawful
5 under the Energy Policy Act. United States v. Yankee Atomic Electric
6 Co. A lower court had ruled that the D&D special assessment was
7 unlawful. Yankee has until June 20, 1997 to determine whether to
8 seek review from the full panel of the Federal Circuit. FPL will
9 continue to follow this case and will take actions, as appropriate,
10 consistent with the outcome of the appeal.

11

12 **Q. Does this conclude your testimony?**

13 **A. Yes, it does.**

BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

TESTIMONY OF MARIO VILLAR

DOCKET NO. 970001-EI

June 23, 1997

1 **Q. Please state your name and business address.**

2 A. My name is Mario Villar and my business address is 9250 West Flagler Street,
3 Miami, Florida 33174.

4

5 **Q. By whom are you employed and in what capacity?**

6 A. I am employed by Florida Power & Light Company (FPL) as Manager of
7 Wholesale Services in the Power Delivery Business Unit.

8

9 **Q. Please describe your education and professional experience.**

10 A. I have a Bachelor of Science in Electrical Engineering and a Juris Doctor degree,
11 both from the University of Miami. I have also completed the University of
12 Florida's/Florida Power & Light Company's Nuclear Power Engineering Program
13 (a four month, full-time, course of study in Nuclear Reactor Engineering,
14 Technology, and Balance of Plant) and Columbia University's Executive Program
15 in Business Administration. I am a member of the Florida Bar, the Federal
16 Energy Bar Association and the Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers.

1 Additionally, I have completed numerous technical and management courses
2 during my career at FPL.

3
4 I joined FPL in 1973 as an engineer in the Distribution Engineering department.
5 In 1976, I transferred to the Nuclear Licensing department as Licensing Engineer
6 for St. Lucie Nuclear Unit No. 2. In 1980, I joined the System Planning
7 department as Senior Engineer working on special projects (e.g., major
8 generation and transmission facilities; proposed regulations). In 1982, I joined
9 the Governmental Affairs department as an Issues Advisor on State and Federal
10 legislative and regulatory matters. In 1984, I was promoted to Federal
11 Regulatory Representative to represent the Company's interests before
12 regulatory, legislative and executive branch agencies, and trade associations in
13 Washington, D.C. In 1989, I joined the Regulatory Affairs department as State
14 Regulatory Representative. In 1991, I became Manager of Regulatory Issues
15 and Policies, working on various State and Federal regulatory matters. In 1993,
16 I joined the Bulk Power Markets department as Manager of Technical Services
17 and Regulatory Support. In 1996, I became Manager of Wholesale Services.
18 In that capacity, I am responsible for requirements and non-utility generation
19 (QF) contracts and for Power Delivery's contract and tariff filings before the
20 Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, including those related to FERC Orders
21 888 and 888A.

1 Q. What is the purpose of your testimony?

2 A. The purpose of my testimony is to address issues raised at the Prehearing
3 Conference of February 5, 1997, and deferred by Order No. PSC-97-0180-PHO-
4 EI, in connection with FERC's Order 888 requirement that investor owned utilities
5 include the cost of transmission when making Schedule C sales.

6
7 Q. How should transmission costs be accounted for when determining the
8 transaction price of an economy, Schedule C, broker transaction between
9 two directly interconnected utilities?

10 A. Transmission costs should be accounted for by adjusting the buyer's costs in the
11 Broker matching algorithm just like it is done for transactions between non-
12 directly interconnected utilities. FPL proposes to base its customers' Fuel
13 Clause revenues and expenses on the same methodology that has been in
14 existence for years. That methodology results in revenue credits through the
15 Fuel Clause based on the delivered price of the generation quoted on the
16 Broker. Under FERC's new rules for offsystem sales that delivered price now
17 includes transmission costs.

18
19 Prior to FERC Order 888, transmission costs were not included in the Broker
20 price quote for two directly interconnected utilities (e.g., FPL and FPC).
21 Transmission costs were only considered in the matching of two non-directly
22 interconnected utilities (e.g., FPL and Taliahassee) by adjusting the buyer's

1 quote by the transmission charge of the intervening utility. As a result of FERC
2 Order 888, utilities are now required to charge themselves for the use of their
3 own transmission when making offsystem sales. The rationale behind this
4 requirement is so that transmitting utilities do not have a competitive advantage
5 over others that must use the transmitting utilities' transmission system for
6 making sales (i.e., they treat themselves comparably). Therefore, the costs of
7 transmission are to be included for Schedule C broker sales.

8
9 Since the philosophy of the Broker has been that the cost of generation quoted
10 on the Broker should reflect the delivered price of that generation (e.g., Broker
11 quotes have for years been based on the cost of generation at the point of
12 delivery to another system), FPL is treating its sales to directly interconnected
13 utilities in the same manner that all other Broker transactions are treated (or
14 following FERC's principles - in a comparable manner). That is, matches for
15 FPL's Schedule C sales are based on the delivered price of its generation to the
16 delivery point with the directly interconnected utility. That delivered price
17 includes the charge for FPL's transmission pursuant to FPL's FERC filed
18 transmission tariff. Through this methodology FPL's Broker sales are treated the
19 same as Broker sales by other users of FPL's transmission system.

20
21 **Q. If the cost of transmission is used to determine the transaction price of an**
22 **economy, Schedule C, broker transaction between two directly**

1 interconnected utilities, how should the cost of this transaction be
2 recovered?

3 A. As described in more detail below, FPL proposes to flow through the fuel clause
4 for the benefit of its customers the revenues received for transmission service
5 when making Schedule C sales. In order to show the effect of Order 888 on
6 Schedule C purchases and sales on the Broker, I have attached to my testimony
7 two exhibits (Exhibits MV-1 and MV-2) illustrating how FPL's delivered price of
8 product methodology treats a Broker transaction between two directly
9 interconnected utilities both before and after Order 888. Exhibit MV-1 shows the
10 purchase side of Schedule C Broker transactions for directly interconnected
11 utilities. Exhibit MV-2 shows the sales side of such transactions. For illustrative
12 purposes it is assumed that the buying utility's cost of running its own generation
13 to supply the next Mw would be \$30/Mw. The selling utility's incremental cost of
14 generation for sale is \$20/Mw. Transmission charges are assumed to be \$3/Mw.

15
16 Schedule C Purchases

17 Under the process in effect prior to Order 888 and assuming a Broker match
18 between these two utilities, a transaction would take place between them at
19 \$25/Mw $((\$30+\$20)/2)$. The transaction price and the resulting customer charge
20 by the purchasing utility (its regulatory treatment) are shown on Exhibit MV-1
21 under the headings "BEFORE" (FERC Order 888).

1 After Order 888 transmission costs need to be included in a utility's economy
2 sales. The effects of the Order are shown on Exhibit MV-1 under the headings
3 "AFTER". The Broker computer matching would account for these transmission
4 charges by adjusting the buyer's quote by the transmission charge of \$3. The
5 resulting sale would take place at a price of \$23.50 $((\$30 - \$3 + 20) / 2)$. The way
6 the Broker works the buyer in a transaction receives a separate invoice for
7 transmission, thus the total cost to the purchaser is \$26.50 $(\$23.50 + \3
8 $\text{transmission charge})$. This total cost is reflected in the regulatory treatment for
9 recovery of these charges in Exhibit MV-1.

10
11 Schedule C Sales

12 Exhibit MV-2 shows the sales side of a Broker transaction between the same two
13 utilities. Prior to Order 888, the transaction would take place at the same price
14 of \$25 discussed before since there was no charge for transmission. The seller
15 would receive revenues of \$25 and incur costs of \$20 for a gain of \$5. The
16 regulatory treatment of this gain for both customers and seller are shown in
17 Exhibit MV-2 under the headings "BEFORE". In this example, \$4 (80% of the
18 gain) would be credited to customers through the Fuel Clause and \$1 (20%)
19 would be retained by Seller.

20
21 As described above, after Order 888 the transaction price would be \$23.50 and
22 the Seller would separately receive \$3 for transmission. FPL proposes to credit

1 the transmission revenues for these transactions to its customers through the
2 Fuel Clause (i.e., FPL does not propose to either retain these revenues "above
3 the line" as "other revenues", or to treat them as part of the "gain" on the sale
4 and retain 20%). This is shown in the "AFTER" column in Exhibit MV-2 where
5 the \$3 for transmission are treated as a direct credit and 80% (\$2.80) of the
6 \$3.50 gain is also credited to customers. In this case the seller would retain
7 \$0.70 (20%) of the \$3.50 gain.

8
9 **Q. How should transmission costs be accounted for when determining the**
10 **transaction price of an economy, Schedule C, broker transaction that**
11 **requires wheeling between two non-directly interconnected utilities?**

12 **A.** FPL proposes no change in the manner in which transmission costs are
13 accounted for by the Broker for transactions between non-directly interconnected
14 utilities. Since about 1981 the Broker has treated the transmission costs of the
15 intervening utility as part of the costs incurred to deliver the generation to the
16 buyer. Accordingly, the Broker adjusts the buyer's quote to recognize these
17 costs. The adjustment is done in the same manner described in Exhibits MV-1
18 and MV-2 for "AFTER" transactions. The introduction of the transmission cost
19 of the intervening utility does result in a change in the transaction price from that
20 shown in Exhibits MV-1 and MV-2, however, the dollar difference between the
21 total cost of the transactions before and after (Order 888) is the same as that
22 presented for two directly interconnected utilities. As has always been the case

1 with the transmission charge by the intervening utility, the transmission revenues
2 received by such utility are not part of that utility's Fuel Clause filing as it did not
3 have a Schedule C transaction.

4
5 **Q. If the cost of transmission is used to determine the transaction price of an**
6 **economy, Schedule C, broker transaction between two non-directly**
7 **interconnected utilities, how should the cost of this transaction be**
8 **recovered?**

9 **A. FPL again proposes no change in the current regulatory treatment of these**
10 **costs. Transmission costs paid to intervening utilities are part of the total cost**
11 **of Schedule C transactions and should continue to be recovered through the**
12 **Fuel Clause.**

13
14 **Q. Does this conclude your testimony?**

15 **A. Yes, it does.**

**DELIVERED PRICE OF PRODUCT METHODOLOGY (FPL)
SCHEDULE C PURCHASE**

<u>TRANSACTION COMPONENTS</u>	<u>FERC ORDER 888</u>		
	<u>BEFORE</u>	<u>AFTER</u>	
Seller's Cost	\$ 20.00	\$ 20.00	
Buyer's Incremental Cost	\$ 30.00	\$ 30.00	
Transmission Rate	\$ -	\$ 3.00	(Buyer receives a separate invoice for transmission)
Buyer's Incremental Cost minus transmission	\$ -	\$ 27.00	
Agree to split the difference	\$ 25.00	\$ 23.50	

REGULATORY TREATMENT

<u>BEFORE</u>	<u>AFTER</u>	
<u>Flowthrough to:</u>		
<u>Customers</u>	<u>Customers</u>	
\$ (25.00)	\$ (23.50)	Fuel Cost charged to customers through Fuel Clause
\$ -	\$ (3.00)	Transmission charged to customers through Fuel Clause
\$ (25.00)	\$ (26.50)	Total Cost of Transaction

**DELIVERED PRICE OF PRODUCT METHODOLOGY (FPL)
SCHEDULE C SALE**

<u>TRANSACTION COMPONENTS</u>	<u>FERC ORDER 888</u>		
	<u>BEFORE</u>	<u>AFTER</u>	
Seller's Cost	\$ 20.00	\$ 20.00	
Buyer's Incremental Cost	\$ 30.00	\$ 30.00	
Transmission Rate	\$ -	\$ 3.00	(Seller bills transmission charge of \$3.00 separately)
Buyer's Incremental Cost minus transmission	\$ -	\$ 27.00	
Agree to split the difference	\$ 25.00	\$ 23.50	
Broker Price	\$ 25.00	\$ 23.50	
Seller's Fuel Cost	\$ <u>20.00</u>	\$ <u>20.00</u>	
Gain	\$ 5.00	\$ 3.50	

REGULATORY TREATMENT

<u>BEFORE</u>	<u>BEFORE</u>	<u>AFTER</u>	<u>AFTER</u>	
Flowthrough to:	Flowthrough to:	Flowthrough to:	Flowthrough to:	
Customers	Seller	Customers	Seller	
\$ 20.00	\$ -	\$ 20.00	\$ -	Revenue credited to customers through Fuel Clause
\$ (20.00)		\$ (20.00)		Seller's \$20.00 in fuel cost is also charged to the customers so this is a wash
\$ -	\$ -	\$ 3.00	\$ -	Transmission Revenue credited to customers through Fuel Clause
\$ 4.00	\$ -	\$ 2.80	\$ -	Gain (\$3.50) is split 80% to customers via the Fuel Clause and 20% to Seller
\$ -	\$ 1.00	\$ -	\$ 0.70	80% credited to customers through the Fuel Clause
\$ 4.00	\$ 1.00	\$ 5.80	\$ 0.70	20% to Seller

MV-2
DOCKET NO. 970001-EI
FPL WITNESS: M. VILLAR
EXHIBIT NO. _____
PAGE 1 OF 1
JUNE 23, 1997

BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

FLORIDA POWER & LIGHT COMPANY

TESTIMONY OF KOREL M. DUBIN

DOCKET NO. 970001-EI

June 23, 1997

1 **Q. Please state your name and address.**

2 A. My name is Korel M. Dubin and my business address is 9250 West
3 Flagler Street, Miami, Florida 33174.

4

5 **Q. By whom are you employed and in what capacity?**

6 A. I am employed by Florida Power & Light Company (FPL) as Principal
7 Rate Analyst in the Rates and Tariff Administration Department.

8

9 **Q. Have you previously testified in this docket?**

10 A. Yes, I have.

11

12 **Q. What is the purpose of your testimony?**

13 A. The purpose of my testimony is to present for Commission review and
14 approval the fuel factors for the Company's rate schedules for the
15 period October 1997 through March 1998 and the capacity payment
16 factors for the Company's rate schedules for the period October 1997
17 through September 1998. The calculation of the fuel factors is based
18 on projected fuel cost and operational data as set forth in Commission

1 Schedules E1 through E10, H1 and other exhibits filed in this
2 proceeding and data previously approved by the Commission. I am
3 also providing projections of avoided energy costs for purchases from
4 small power producers and cogenerators and an updated ten year
5 projection of Florida Power & Light Company's annual generation mix
6 and fuel prices.

7
8 In addition, my testimony presents the schedules necessary to support
9 the calculation of the Estimated/Actual True-up amounts for the Fuel
10 Cost Recovery Clause (FCR) for the period April 1997 through
11 September 1997 and the Capacity Cost Recovery Clause(CCR) for
12 the period October 1996 through September 1997.

13
14 **Q. Have you prepared or caused to be prepared under your**
15 **direction, supervision or control an exhibit in this proceeding?**

16 **A.** Yes, I have. It consists of various schedules included in Appendices
17 II and III. Appendix II contains the FCR related schedules and
18 Appendix III contains the CCR related schedules.

19
20 FCR Schedules A-1 through A-13 for April 1997 and May 1997 have
21 been filed monthly with the Commission, are served on all parties and
22 are incorporated herein by reference.

23
24 **Q. What is the source of the data which you will present by way of**

1 **testimony or exhibits in this proceeding?**

2 A. Unless otherwise indicated, the actual data is taken from the books
3 and records of FPL. The books and records are kept in the regular
4 course of our business in accordance with generally accepted
5 accounting principles and practices and provisions of the Uniform
6 System of Accounts as prescribed by this Commission.

7

8

FUEL COST RECOVERY CLAUSE

9

10 **Q. What is the proposed levelized fuel factor for which the Company**
11 **requests approval?**

12 A. 1.643¢ per kWh. Schedule E1, Page 3 of Appendix II shows the
13 calculation of this six-month levelized fuel factor. Schedule E2, Page
14 10 of Appendix II indicates the monthly fuel factors for October 1997
15 through March 1998 and also the six-month levelized fuel factor for the
16 period.

17

18 **Q. Has the Company developed a six-month levelized fuel factor for**
19 **its Time of Use rates?**

20 A. Yes. Schedule E1-D, Page 8 of Appendix II provides a six-month
21 levelized fuel factor of 1.734¢ per kWh on-peak and 1.607¢ per kWh
22 off-peak for our Time of Use rate schedules.

23

24 **Q. Were these calculations made in accordance with the procedures**

1 **previously approved in this Docket?**

2 A. Yes, they were.

3

4 **Q. What adjustments are included in the calculation of the six-**
5 **month levelized fuel factor shown on Schedule E1, Page 3 of**
6 **Appendix II?**

7 A. As shown on line 29 of Schedule E1, Page 3, of Appendix II the
8 estimated/actual fuel cost overrecovery for the April 1997 through
9 September 1997 period amounts to \$14,618,648. This
10 estimated/actual overrecovery for the April 1997 through September
11 1997 period plus the final overrecovery of \$13,141,163 for the October
12 1996 through March 1997 period results in a total overrecovery of
13 \$27,759,811. This amount, divided by the projected retail sales of
14 37,770,170 MWH for October 1997 through March 1998 results in a
15 decrease of 0.0735¢ per kWh before applicable revenue taxes. In his
16 testimony for the Generating Performance Incentive Factor, FPL
17 Witness R. Silva calculated a reward of \$5,801,940 for the period
18 ending September 1996, one half (\$2,900,970) of which is being
19 applied to the October 1997 through March 1998 period. This
20 \$2,900,970 divided by the projected retail sales of 37,770,170 MWH
21 during the projected period, results in an increase of 0.0077¢ per kWh,
22 as shown on line 33 of Schedule E1, Page 3 of Appendix II.

23

24 **Q. Please explain the calculation of the FCR Estimated/Actual True-**

1 **up amount you are requesting this Commission to approve.**

2 A. Schedule E1-B, Page 5 of Appendix II shows the calculation of the

3 FCR Estimated/Actual True-up amount. The calculation of the

4 estimated/actual true-up amount for the period April 1997 through

5 September 1997 is an overrecovery, including interest, of \$14,618,648

6 (Column 7, lines C7 plus C8). This amount, when combined with the

7 Final True-up overrecovery of \$13,141,163 (Column 7, line C9a)

8 deferred from the period October 1996 through March 1997,

9 presented in my Final True-up testimony filed on May 20, 1997, results

10 in the End of Period overrecovery of \$27,759,811 (Column 7, line

11 C11).

12

13 This schedule also provides a summary of the Fuel and Net Power

14 Transactions (lines A1 through A7), kWh Sales (lines B1 through B3),

15 Jurisdictional Fuel Revenues (line C1 through C3), the True-up and

16 Interest Provision (lines C4 through C10) for this period, and the End

17 of Period True-up amount (line C11).

18

19 The data for April 1997 and May 1997, columns (1) and (2) reflects the

20 actual results of operations and the data for June 1997 through

21 September 1997, columns (3) through (6), are based on updated

22 estimates.

23

24 The variance calculation of the Estimated/Actual data compared to the

1 original projections for the April 1997 through September 1997 period
2 is provided in Schedule E1-B-1, Page 6 of Appendix II.

3
4 As shown on line A5, the variance in Total Fuel Costs and Net Power
5 Transactions is \$26.4 million or a 3.1% decrease. This variance is
6 mainly due to an approximate \$12.0 million decrease in the Fuel Cost
7 of System Net Generation as shown on line A1a and an approximate
8 \$12 million decrease in Energy Payments to Qualifying Facilities as
9 shown on line A3b.

10
11 The decrease in the Fuel Cost of System Net Generation was primarily
12 due to a reduction in natural gas and heavy oil prices due to milder
13 than anticipated weather. The decrease in Energy Payments to
14 Qualifying Facilities was primarily due to lower than expected
15 deliveries from Indiantown Cogeneration Limited (ICL), Cedar Bay and
16 Florida Crushed Stone contracts.

17
18 The true-up calculations follow the procedures established by this
19 Commission as set forth on Commission Schedule A2 "Calculation of
20 True-Up and Interest Provision" filed monthly with the Commission.

21
22 **Q. Several issues were raised at the Prehearing Conference on**
23 **February 5, 1997, and deferred by Order No. PSC-97-0180-PHO-EI,**
24 **in connection with FERC's Order 888 requirement that investor**

1 base rates (line 8) plus a net overrecovery of \$10,479,736 (line 9).
2 The net overrecovery of \$10,479,736 reflects actual costs for January
3 1997 through May 1997 and revised estimates for June 1997 through
4 September 1997. Actual costs for the period October 1996 through
5 December 1996 were included in the CCR midcourse correction filed
6 on January 16, 1997 and approved by the Commission in Order No.
7 PSC-97-0359-FOF-EI issued on March 31, 1997.

8

9 **Q. Is FPL requesting recovery of any additional costs through the**
10 **CCR?**

11 **A. Yes. FPL is requesting that it be authorized to collect, during the next**
12 **seventeen (17) years, approximately \$4.7 million per year associated**
13 **with future capacity payments to be made to Jacksonville Electric**
14 **Authority (JEA). FPL is requesting to collect this annual amount,**
15 **because there is a mismatch between the period over which FPL**
16 **currently anticipates it will continue to receive energy from JEA's**
17 **ownership share of SJRPP, and the period over which FPL is**
18 **contractually required to make annual capacity payments to JEA. Mr.**
19 **Rene Silva's testimony describes the circumstances that underlie**
20 **FPL's request.**

21

22 **Q. Please explain the SJRPP energy suspension issue.**

23 **A. An Internal Revenue Service (IRS) ruling, which established the tax**
24 **exempt status of the municipal bonds used to finance JEA's ownership**

1 interests in SJRPP stipulates that FPL shall not receive more than
2 twenty-five (25%) of the nameplate capacity of JEA's ownership share
3 of the plant over the life of the bonds. According to FPL's contract
4 with JEA, FPL agreed to purchase 37.5% of energy produced by
5 JEA's share of the plant, based on a projected plant capacity factor of
6 approximately 67%. This is equivalent to 25% of the plant's total
7 capability. Since commercial operation in 1987, the plant has run at
8 a higher capacity factor than projected and, therefore, FPL's
9 customers have received more energy from SJRPP in the early years
10 than originally anticipated. When FPL reaches the 25% limit, which
11 has been calculated to be 80,534,332 mWh, based on the nameplate
12 rating times the life of the bonds, FPL will be suspended from taking
13 energy until the bonds are paid off. FPL is taking as much SJRPP
14 energy as possible currently, and we project that the energy limit will
15 be reached in 2015. Thereafter FPL will, consistent with the contract,
16 continue making capacity payments through 2020, but would receive
17 no energy from JEA's share of SJRPP ("SJRPP energy suspension").

18
19 **Q. How was the \$4.7 million per year amount to be recovered**
20 **through the CCR determined?**

21 **A. Municipal bonds are used to finance JEA's ownership share of**
22 **SJRPP. FPL makes capacity payments based on debt service**
23 **amortization over the life of the bonds. When FPL reaches the**
24 **25% limit, which has been calculated to be 80,534,332 mWh,**

1 based on the nameplate rating times the life of the bonds, FPL
2 will be suspended from taking energy until the bonds are paid off.
3 Based on the average capacity factor for the last five years, FPL
4 has projected that the 80,534,332 mWh limit will be reached in
5 2015. Based on FPL's debt service forecast, from 2015 through
6 2020, FPL is obligated to pay \$80 million in capacity payments.
7 An annual accrual of \$4.7 million collected through the Capacity
8 Cost Recovery Clause over the 17 year period, from 1998
9 through 2015, results in the recovery of the \$80 million needed
10 to make the capacity payments to JEA during the energy
11 suspension period from 2015 through 2020. FPL proposes to
12 update the debt service forecast as well as the five year average
13 capacity factor each year in FPL's Capacity Cost Recovery filing,
14 therefore, the accrual amount will change each year.

15
16 The \$4.7 million annual payment for the SJRPP energy
17 suspension payments will be recorded as a liability on FPL books
18 when received from the customers. FPL proposes to pay the
19 customers a return on the liability until all amounts are paid to
20 JEA during the suspension period. The methodology used to
21 calculate the return requirements to the customer is the same that
22 is being used in determining the return on assets in the Fuel Cost
23 Recovery Clause. For the 12 month period ending September

1 30, 1998, expenses recoverable through the CCR will be reduced
2 by approximately \$291,000, to reflect the return requirements on
3 the suspension payments received during the same period
4 (Appendix III, page 3, line 4b).

5
6 **Q. What is the basis for requesting recovery of costs associated**
7 **with this issue through the Capacity Cost Recovery Clause now?**

8 A. FPL is requesting that \$4.7 million annually associated with the SJRPP
9 energy suspension be recovered through the CCR beginning in 1998
10 because there is a mismatch between the period over which FPL
11 currently anticipates it will continue to receive energy from JEA's
12 ownership share of SJRPP, and the period over which FPL is
13 contractually required to make annual capacity payments to JEA.
14 FPL is requesting to collect this annual amount from 1998 through
15 2014 so that in the years 2015 through 2020, when FPL will receive no
16 energy from JEA's ownership share of SJRPP, FPL's customers would
17 not pay capacity charges.

18
19 For these reasons, FPL believes that it is appropriate to bring this
20 issue forward for Commission consideration and approval at this time.

21
22 **Q. Please describe Page 4 of Appendix III.**

23 A. Page 4 of Appendix III calculates the allocation factors for demand and
24 energy at generation. The demand allocation factors are calculated

1 by determining the percentage each rate class contributes to the
2 monthly system peaks. The energy allocators are calculated by
3 determining the percentage each rate contributes to total kWh sales,
4 as adjusted for losses, for each rate class.

5

6 **Q. Please describe Page 5 of Appendix III.**

7 A. Page 5 of Appendix III presents the calculation of the proposed
8 Capacity Payment Recovery Clause (CCP) factors by rate class.

9

10 **Q. Please explain the calculation of the CCR Estimated/Actual True-
11 up amount you are requesting this Commission to approve.**

12 A. The Estimated/Actual True-up for the period October 1996 through
13 September 1997 is an overrecovery, including interest, of \$10,479,736
14 (Appendix III, page 6, line 7). Appendix III, pages 6 and 7 show the
15 calculation supporting the CCR Estimated/Actual True-up amount.

16

17 **Q. Is this true-up calculation consistent with the true-up
18 methodology used for the other cost recovery clauses?**

19 A. Yes it is. The calculation of the true-up amount follows the procedures
20 established by this Commission as set forth on Commission Schedule
21 A2 "Calculation of True-Up and Interest Provision" for the Fuel Cost
22 Recovery clause.

23

24 The resulting overrecovery of \$10,479,736 has been included in the

1 calculation of the Capacity Cost Recovery factor for the period
2 October 1997 through September 1998.

3

4 **Q. Please explain the calculation of the Interest Provision.**

5 A. Appendix III, pages 9 and 10, show the calculation of the interest
6 provision and follows the same methodology used in calculating the
7 interest provision for the other cost recovery clauses, as previously
8 approved by this Commission.

9

10 The interest provision is the result of multiplying the monthly average
11 true-up amount (line 4) times the monthly average interest rate (line 9).
12 The average interest rate for the months reflecting actual data is
13 developed using the 30 day commercial paper rate as published in the
14 Wall Street Journal on the first business day of the current and
15 subsequent months. The average interest rate for the projected
16 months is the actual rate as of the first business day in June 1997.

17

18 **Q. Have you provided a schedule showing the variances between
19 the Estimated/Actuals and the Original Projections?**

20 A. Yes. Appendix III, page 11, shows the Estimated/Actual capacity
21 charges and applicable revenues compared to the original projections
22 for the period.

23

24 **Q. What is the variance related to capacity charges?**

1 A. As shown in Appendix III, page 11, line 5, the variance related to
2 capacity charges is a \$2.0 million decrease. This variance is primarily
3 due to a \$2.8 million decrease in Cypress Settlement payments and
4 a \$0.6 million decrease in projected revenues from capacity sales.
5 The decrease in Cypress Settlement payments was primarily due to
6 differences in the timing of payments. The decrease in expected
7 revenues from capacity sales is primarily due to the original
8 projections being adjusted to reflect more current market trends.

9
10 **Q. What is the variance in Capacity Cost Recovery revenues?**

11 A. As shown on line 10, Capacity Cost Recovery revenues, net of
12 revenue taxes, are now estimated to be \$3.5 million higher than
13 originally projected.

14
15 **Q. What effective date is the Company requesting for the new
16 factors?**

17 A. The Company is requesting that the new FCR factors become
18 effective with customer billings on cycle day 3 of October 1997 and
19 continue through Customer billings on cycle day 2 of March 1998 and
20 that the new CCR factors become effective with customer billings on
21 cycle day 3 of October 1997 and continue through cycle day 2 of
22 September 1998. This will provide for 6 months of billing on the FCR
23 factors and 12 months of billing on the CCR factors for all our
24 customers.

1

2 **Q. What will be the charge for a Residential customer using 1,000**
3 **kWh effective October 1997?**

4 **A. The total residential bill, excluding taxes and franchise fees, for 1,000**
5 **kWh will be \$74.34. The base bill for 1,000 residential kWh is \$47.46,**
6 **the fuel cost recovery charge from Schedule E1-E, Page 9 of**
7 **Appendix II for a residential customer is \$16.46, the Conservation**
8 **charge is \$2.62, the Capacity Cost Recovery charge is \$6.74, the**
9 **Environmental Cost Recovery charge is \$.31 and the Gross Receipts**
10 **Tax is \$.75. A Residential Bill Comparison (1,000 kWh) is presented**
11 **in Schedule E10, Page 40 of Appendix II.**

12

13 **Q. Does this conclude your testimony.**

A. Yes, it does.

APPENDIX I
FUEL COST RECOVERY
FORECAST ASSUMPTIONS

RS-3
DOCKET NO. 970001-EI
FPL WITNESS: R. SILVA
EXHIBIT _____
PAGES 1-7
JUNE 23, 1997

**APPENDIX I
FUEL COST RECOVERY
FORECAST ASSUMPTIONS**

TABLE OF CONTENTS

<u>PAGE</u>	<u>DESCRIPTION</u>	<u>SPONSOR</u>
3	Projected Dispatch Costs - Heavy Oil	R. Silva
4	Projected Dispatch Costs - Light Oil	R. Silva
5	Projected Dispatch Costs - Coal	R. Silva
6	Projected Natural Gas Price & Availability	R. Silva
7	Projected Unit Availabilities and Outage Schedules	R. Silva

FLORIDA POWER & LIGHT COMPANY

PROJECTED DISPATCH COSTS

HEAVY FUEL OIL (\$/BBL)

OCTOBER, 1997 THROUGH MARCH, 1998

BY SULFUR GRADE	1997			1998		
	OCTOBER	NOVEMBER	DECEMBER	JANUARY	FEBRUARY	MARCH
0.7% SULFUR	\$18.87	\$18.98	\$18.15	\$18.54	\$18.11	\$17.46
1.0% SULFUR	\$18.06	\$17.73	\$17.39	\$17.72	\$17.38	\$16.72
2.0% SULFUR	\$17.79	\$17.39	\$16.96	\$17.29	\$17.13	\$16.54
2.5% SULFUR	\$17.49	\$17.06	\$16.66	\$16.91	\$16.83	\$16.28

FLORIDA POWER & LIGHT COMPANY

PROJECTED DISPATCH COSTS

LIGHT OIL (\$/BBt.)

OCTOBER, 1997 THROUGH MARCH, 1998

BY SULFUR GRADE	1997			1998		
	OCTOBER	NOVEMBER	DECEMBER	JANUARY	FEBRUARY	MARCH
0.3% SULFUR	\$26.45	\$25.49	\$24.58	\$25.77	\$26.71	\$26.79
0.5% SULFUR	\$25.00	\$24.03	\$23.12	\$24.31	\$25.24	\$25.32

FLORIDA POWER & LIGHT COMPANY
 PROJECTED DISPATCH COSTS
 OCTOBER, 1997 THROUGH MARCH, 1998

FUEL TYPE	1997			1998		
	OCTOBER	NOVEMBER	DECEMBER	JANUARY	FEBRUARY	MARCH
COAL	\$1.52	\$1.52	\$1.52	\$1.53	\$1.53	\$1.53

FLORIDA POWER & LIGHT COMPANY

PROJECTED TOTAL NATURAL GAS PRICES AND TRANSPORTATION CAPACITY AVAILABILITY

OCTOBER, 1997 THROUGH MARCH, 1998

NATURAL GAS TRANSPORTATION CAPACITY AVAILABILITY TO FPL BY SERVICE TYPE (MMBTU/DAY) (000'S)	1997			1998		
	OCTOBER	NOVEMBER	DECEMBER	JANUARY	FEBRUARY	MARCH
FIRM TRANSPORTATION	480	630	630	630	630	630
NON-FIRM	255	100	100	100	100	100
DISPATCH WEIGHTED AVERAGE UNIT PRICE BY TYPE OF TRANSPORTATION SERVICE (\$/MMBTU)						
FIRM TRANSPORTATION	\$1.79	\$1.60	\$1.54	\$1.54	\$1.48	\$1.40
NON-FIRM	\$2.48	\$2.51	\$2.43	\$2.43	\$2.34	\$2.23

**FLORIDA POWER & LIGHT
PROJECTED UNIT AVAILABILITIES & OUTAGE SCHEDULES
OCTOBER, 1997 THROUGH MARCH, 1998**

PLANT/UNIT	PROJECTED FORCED OUTAGE FACTOR (%)	PROJECTED MAINTENANCE OUTAGE FACTOR (%)	PLANNED OUTAGE FACTOR (%)	OVERHAUL DATES *
Cape Canaveral 1	2.0	4.4	0.0	NONE
Cape Canaveral 2	1.9	5.0	7.7	11/29/97 - 12/12/97
Cutler 5	2.0	2.0	0.0	NONE
Cutler 6	2.0	2.0	0.0	NONE
Lauderdale 4	1.8	1.8	9.9	(03/14/98 - 03/31/98)
Lauderdale 5	1.9	1.9	5.5	11/08/97 - 11/17/97
Fort Myers 1	1.7	2.8	0.0	NONE
Fort Myers 2	1.8	3.8	23.1	11/08/97 - 12/19/97
Manatee 1	2.0	2.1	0.0	NONE
Manatee 2	5.4	4.0	0.0	NONE
Martin 1	2.0	2.0	0.0	NONE
Martin 2	1.8	4.4	0.0	NONE
Martin 3	2.0	2.0	1.6	02/21/98 - 02/26/98**
Martin 4	2.0	2.0	1.6	02/14/98 - 02/19/98**
Port Everglades 1	1.9	1.9	6.6	11/01/97 - 11/12/97
Port Everglades 2	2.0	2.1	0.0	NONE
Port Everglades 3	2.4	1.8	17.6	(02/28/98 - 03/31/98)
Port Everglades 4	2.1	1.7	30.8	10/18/97 - 12/12/97
Putnam 1	2.0	2.0	0.0	NONE
Putnam 2	1.9	1.9	9.6	10/04/97 - 11/07/97**
Riviera 3	1.7	5.5	17.6	(02/28/98 - 03/31/98)
Riviera 4	2.7	4.8	0.0	NONE
Sanford 3	1.6	2.0	30.8	10/25/97 - 12/19/97
Sanford 4	2.0	5.2	0.0	NONE
Sanford 5	2.0	3.6	0.0	NONE
Turkey Point 1	2.0	3.8	0.0	NONE
Turkey Point 2	2.0	4.9	0.0	NONE
Turkey Point 3	3.1	3.1	0.0	NONE
Turkey Point 4	2.8	2.8	9.9	(10/01/97 - 10/18/97)
St. Lucie 1	4.0	2.5	41.8	10/20/97 - 01/03/98
St. Lucie 2	2.3	2.8	0.0	NONE
SJRPP 1	3.8	2.0	0.0	NONE
SJRPP 2	1.8	1.8	17.6	(02/28/98 - 03/31/98)
Scherer 4	4.3	1.9	6.0	(03/21/98 - 03/31/98)

* Note: Overhaul dates shown in parentheses begin before, or end after the projected period.

** Note: Partial Planned Outage.

**APPENDIX II
FUEL COST RECOVERY
E SCHEDULES**

**KMD-2
DOCKET NO 970001-EI
FPL WITNESS: K. M. DUBIN
EXHIBIT**

**PAGES 1-45
JUNE 23, 1997**

k:\src\dl\admn\append.kmf

TABLE OF CONTENTS

<u>PAGE(S)</u>	<u>DESCRIPTION</u>	<u>SPONSOR</u>
3	Schedule E1 Period Summary of Fuel & Purchased Power Costs and Levelized Fuel Factor	K. M. Dubin
4	Schedule E1-A Calculation of Total True-Up (Projected Period)	K. M. Dubin
5	Schedule E1-B Calculation of Estimated/Actual True-Up	K. M. Dubin
6	Schedule E1-B-1 Estimated/Actual vs. Original Projections	K. M. Dubin
7	Schedule E1-C Calculation of True up Factor	K. M. Dubin
8	Schedule E1-D Time of Use Rate Schedule	K. M. Dubin
9	Schedule E1-E Factors By Rate Group	K. M. Dubin
9a	1996 Actual Energy Losses By Rate Group	K. M. Dubin
10	Schedule E2 Monthly Summary of Fuel & Purchased Power Costs	Dubin/Silva/ Wade
11-12	Schedule E3 Monthly Summary of Generating System Data	R. Silva/R. Wade
13-34	Schedule E4 Monthly Generation and Fuel Cost by Unit	R. Silva/R. Wade
35	Schedule E5 Monthly Fuel Inventory Data	R Silva/R. Wade
36	Schedule E6 Monthly Power Sold Data	R. Silva
37	Schedule E7 Monthly Purchased Power Data	R. Silva
38	Schedule E8 Energy Payment to Qualifying Facilities	R. Silva
39	Schedule E9 Monthly Economy Energy Purchase Data	R. Silva
40	Schedule E10 Residential Bill Comparison	K. M. Dubin
41	Schedule H1 Three Year Historical Comparison	K. M. Dubin
42-45	Cogeneration Tariff Sheets	K. M. Dubin

FLORIDA POWER & LIGHT COMPANY

FUEL AND PURCHASED POWER
COST RECOVERY CLAUSE CALCULATION

ESTIMATED FOR THE PERIOD: OCTOBER 1997 - MARCH 1998

	(a)	(b)	(c)
	DOLLARS	MWH	¢/KWH
1 Fuel Cost of System Net Generation (E3)	\$471,166,040	30,537,574	1.5429
2 Nuclear Fuel Disposal Costs (E2)	9,849,763	10,574,088	0.0932
3 Fuel Related Transactions (E2)	14,333,269	0	0.0000
4 Fuel Cost of Sales to FKEC / CKW (E2)	(10,066,575)	(487,367)	2.0654
5 TOTAL COST OF GENERATED POWER	\$485,282,517	30,050,187	1.6149
6 Fuel Cost of Purchased Power (Exclusive of Economy) (E7)	68,696,270	4,300,053	1.5976
7 Energy Cost of Sched C & X Econ Purch (Broker) (E8)	32,634,820	1,789,187	1.8240
8 Energy Cost of Other Econ Purch (Non-Broker) (E9)	12,733,760	603,685	2.1093
9 Energy Cost of Sched E Economy Purch (E9)	0	0	0.0000
10 Capacity Cost of Sched E Economy Purchases	0	0	0.0000
11 Mission Settlement (E2)	1,402,357	0	0.0000
12 Payments to Qualifying Facilities (E8)	66,825,036	3,625,783	1.8431
13 TOTAL COST OF PURCHASED POWER	\$182,292,245	10,318,708	1.7666
14 TOTAL AVAILABLE KWH (LINE 5 + LINE 12)		40,368,895	
15 Fuel Cost of Economy Sales (E6)	(26,213,340)	(1,116,293)	2.3432
16 Gain on Economy Sales (E6A)	(4,052,754)	(1,116,293)	0.3631
17 Fuel Cost of Unit Power Sales (SL2 Partpts) (E6)	(621,700)	(153,043)	0.4062
18 Fuel Cost of Other Power Sales (E6)	0	0	0.0000
19 TOTAL FUEL COST AND GAINS OF POWER SALES	(\$30,887,794)	(1,269,336)	2.4334
19a Net Inadvertent Interchange	0	0	
20 TOTAL FUEL & NET POWER TRANSACTIONS (LINE 5 + 12 + 18 + 19)	\$636,686,967	39,099,559	1.6284
21 Net Unbilled Sales	(23,242,090) **	(1,427,319)	(0.0614)
22 Company Use	1,910,061 **	117,299	0.0050
23 T & D Losses	41,384,653 **	2,541,471	0.1093
24 SYSTEM MWH SALES (Excl sales to FKEC / CKW)	\$636,686,967	37,868,108	1.6813
25 Wholesale MWH Sales (Excl sales to FKEC / CKW)	\$1,646,663	97,938	1.6813
26 Jurisdictional MWH Sales	\$635,040,304	37,770,170	1.6813
27 Jurisdictional Loss Multiplier	-	-	1.00074
28 Jurisdictional MWH Sales Adjusted for Line Losses	\$635,510,234	37,770,170	1.6826
29 FINAL TRUE-UP EST/ACT TRUE-UP OCT 96 - MAR 97 APR 97 - SEP 97 \$13,141,163 \$14,618,648 overrecovery overrecovery	(27,759,811)	37,770,170	(0.0735)
30 TOTAL JURISDICTIONAL FUEL COST	\$607,750,423	37,770,170	1.6091
31 Revenue Tax Factor			1.01609
32 Fuel Factor Adjusted for Taxes			1.6350
33 GPIF ***	\$2,900,970	37,770,170	0.0077
34 Fuel Factor including GPIF (Line 31 + Line 32)			1.6427
35 FUEL FACTOR ROUNDED TO NEAREST .001 CENTS/KWH			1.643

** For Informational Purposes Only

*** Calculation Based on Jurisdictional KWH Sales

SCHEDULE E - 1A

**CALCULATION OF TOTAL TRUE-UP
(PROJECTED PERIOD)
FLORIDA POWER AND LIGHT COMPANY
FOR THE PERIOD: OCTOBER 1997 THROUGH MARCH 1998**

1. Estimated over/(under) recovery (2 months actual, 4 months estimated period) (Schedule E1-B)	\$ 14,618,648
2. Final True-Up (6 months actual period)	\$ 13,141,163
3. Total over/(under) recovery (Lines 1 + 2) To be included in 6 month projected period (Schedule E1, Line 29)	\$ 27,759,811
2. TOTAL JURISDICTIONAL SALES (MWH) (Projected period)	37,770,170
3. True-Up Factor (Lines 3/4) c/kWh:	0.0735

CALCULATION OF ESTIMATED/ACTUAL TRUE-UP AMOUNT
 COMPANY: FLORIDA POWER & LIGHT COMPANY
 FOR THE PERIOD APRIL 1997 THROUGH SEPTEMBER 1997

ACTUALS THROUGH MAY 1997 - REVISED ESTIMATES FOR JUNE THROUGH SEPTEMBER 1997

LINE NO	(1) ACTUAL APRIL	(2) ACTUAL MAY	(3) ESTIMATED JUNE	(4) ESTIMATED JULY	(5) ESTIMATED AUGUST	(6) ESTIMATED SEPTEMBER	(7) TOTAL PERIOD
A							
1	88,183,182	110,341,310	105,541,140	109,373,430	113,885,990	108,334,910	636,628,172
2	1,321,636	1,539,386	1,926,139	1,864,200	1,926,339	1,417,360	10,197,141
3	496,984	444,718	430,888	436,718	436,718	436,718	2,672,364
4	417,238	411,486	409,934	408,382	402,820	399,277	2,448,947
5	291,842	289,471	287,904	285,315	284,766	283,197	1,721,717
6	(2,084,716)	(797,354)	(2,123,295)	(2,641,923)	(2,214,382)	(2,031,326)	(12,278,309)
7	11,727,342	11,214,175	11,282,640	11,966,790	13,783,730	10,744,890	74,209,365
8	10,803,856	11,640,155	10,982,717	12,140,457	11,726,291	11,692,699	69,211,175
9	3,223,869	3,466,158	3,486,250	3,270,120	3,710,510	10,981,130	43,611,647
10	114,815,243	142,120,726	137,838,419	143,456,594	147,751,392	142,278,670	828,441,622
11	(1,722,671)	(3,310,569)	(1,836,778)	(1,994,171)	(2,009,255)	(2,117,063)	(11,270,008)
12	(62,613)	(19,824)	0	0	0	0	(82,437)
13	48,611	(6,430)	0	0	0	0	42,181
14	(81,683)	(116,331)	0	0	0	0	(198,014)
15	19,877	18,734	0	0	0	0	38,611
16	113,037,341	140,468,563	135,979,641	141,458,423	145,662,137	140,301,697	818,963,311
B							
1	5,865,613,712	6,017,717,818	7,007,950,000	7,563,989,000	7,777,068,000	7,793,974,000	42,846,312,130
2	10,333,763	10,407,814	19,673,000	35,247,000	42,311,000	44,532,000	185,504,596
3	5,876,047,695	6,028,125,632	7,027,623,000	7,599,236,000	7,819,379,000	7,838,506,000	43,231,816,726
4	99,81754	99,82792	99,72006	99,53618	99,45890	99,40145	99,60819
C							
1	126,584,000	130,229,558	151,182,009	163,177,486	187,774,226	168,136,918	907,066,389
2	(12,850,832)	(12,850,832)	(12,850,832)	(12,850,832)	(12,850,832)	(12,850,832)	(77,104,991)
3	595	642	0	0	0	0	1,237
4	113,733,854	117,379,348	138,331,238	150,326,654	154,923,394	135,286,087	829,962,653
5	113,037,341	140,468,563	135,979,641	141,458,423	145,662,137	140,301,697	816,963,531
6	11,859	0	0	0	0	0	11,859
7	82,538	54,069	0	0	0	0	136,607
8	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
9	112,942,943	140,410,293	135,979,641	141,438,423	147,662,138	140,361,697	816,815,945
10	99,81754	99,82792	99,72006	99,53618	99,45890	99,40145	99,60819
11	112,941,188	140,334,364	135,693,253	140,902,280	144,976,831	139,620,533	816,630,156
12	822,251	(22,844,896)	2,636,003	9,424,374	9,946,373	15,662,574	15,523,379
13	(279,399)	(219,964)	(248,134)	(141,180)	(56,762)	62,711	(933,731)
14	(77,104,991)	(63,701,807)	(71,655,818)	(58,812,138)	(64,701,112)	(11,962,709)	(77,104,991)
15	13,141,163	13,141,163	13,141,163	13,141,163	13,141,163	13,141,163	78,855,878
16	12,850,832	12,850,832	12,850,832	12,850,832	12,850,832	12,850,832	77,104,991
17	(50,566,641)	(60,914,674)	(43,675,973)	(21,561,940)	(83,207)	27,759,811	27,759,811

NOTES: (a) Real Time Pricing (RTP) sales are shown at the Customer Base Load (CBL) KW/HR. The incremental/incremental kWh sales are excluded.

(b) Generation Performance Incentive Factor per Order No. PSC-97-0359-FOF-02 is zero.

FLORIDA POWER & LIGHT COMPANY					
FUEL COST RECOVERY CLAUSE					
CALCULATION OF ESTIMATED/ACTUAL VARIANCE					
FOR THE PERIOD APRIL 1997 THROUGH SEPTEMBER 1997					
LINE NO.		(1) ESTIMATED / ACTUAL	(2) ORIGINAL PROJECTIONS (a)	(3) VARIANCE AMOUNT	(4) %
A 1	a Fuel Cost of System Net Generation (c)	\$ 636,628,172	\$ 648,481,370	\$ (11,853,198)	(1.8) %
	b Nuclear Fuel Disposal Costs	10,197,161	10,224,339	(27,178)	(0.3) %
	c Coal Cars Depreciation & Return	2,672,764	2,711,727	(38,963)	(1.4) %
	d Nuclear Thermal Uprate Amortization & Return	2,448,947	2,914,558	(465,611)	(27.0) %
	e Gas Pipelines Depreciation & Return	1,722,717	1,722,717	0	N/A
	f DOE D&D Fund Payment	0	0	0	0.0 %
2	Fuel Cost of Power Sold	(12,270,505)	(18,611,572)	6,341,067	(34.1) %
3 a	Fuel Cost of Purchased Power	74,209,565	72,596,350	1,613,215	2.2 %
	b Energy Payments to Qualifying Facilities	69,215,175	81,519,989	(12,304,814)	(15.1) %
4	Energy Cost of Economy Purchases	43,617,047	53,242,230	(9,625,183)	(18.1) %
5	Total Fuel Costs & Net Power Transactions	\$ 828,441,042	\$ 854,801,708	\$ (26,360,666)	(3.1) %
6	Adjustments to Fuel Cost:				
	a Sales to Fla Keys Elct Coop (FKEC) & City of Key West (CKW)	\$ (11,276,498)	\$ (11,387,249)	\$ 110,751	(1.0) %
	b Reactive and Voltage Control Fuel Revenue	\$ (80,439)	0	(80,439)	N/A
	c Inventory Adjustments	42,181	0	42,181	N/A
	d Non Recoverable Oil/Tank Bottoms	(199,366)	0	(199,366)	N/A
	e Modifications to Burn Low Gravity Oil	38,611	2,087,140	(2,048,529)	(98.2) %
7	Adjusted Total Fuel Costs & Net Power Transactions	\$ 816,965,531	\$ 845,501,599	\$ (28,536,068)	(3.4) %
C 1	Jurisdictional kWh Sales	42,046,312,530	42,644,754,000	(598,441,470)	(1.4) %
2	Sale for Resale	165,304,596	165,869,000	(564,404)	(0.3) %
3	Total Sales (Excluding RTP Incremental)	42,211,617,126	42,810,623,000	(599,005,874)	(1.4) %
4	Jurisdictional Sales % of Total kWh Sales (Line B-6)	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
D 1	Jurisdictional Fuel Revenues (Net of Revenue Taxes)	\$ 907,036,389	\$ 922,606,590	\$ (15,520,201)	(1.7) %
	a Prior Period True-up Provision	(77,104,991)	(77,104,991)	0	0.0 %
	b Generation Performance Incentive Factor Net (b)	0	0	0	N/A
	c Oil Backout Revenues, Net of revenue Taxes	1,237	0	1,237	N/A
3	Jurisdictional Fuel Revenues Applicable to Period	\$ 829,982,635	\$ 845,501,599	\$ (15,518,964)	(1.8) %
4 a	Adjusted Total Fuel Costs & Net Power Transactions (Line A-7)	\$ 816,965,531	\$ 845,501,599	\$ (28,536,068)	(3.4) %
	b Nuclear Fuel Expense - 100% Retail	11,859	0	11,859	N/A
	c RTP Incremental Fuel - 100% Retail	138,627	0	138,627	N/A
	d D&D Fund Payments - 100% Retail (Line A 1 e)	0	0	0	N/A
	e Adj. Total Fuel Costs & Net Power Transactions - Excluding 100% Retail Items (D4a-D4b-D4c-D4d)	816,815,045	845,501,599	(28,686,554)	(3.4) %
6	Jurisdictional Total Fuel Costs & Net Power Transactions	\$ 814,430,256	\$ 845,501,599	\$ (31,071,343)	(3.7) %
7	True-up Provision for the Period- Over/(Under) Recovery (Line D3 - Line D6)	\$ 15,552,379	\$ 0	\$ 15,552,379	N/A
8	Interest Provision for the Month	(933,731)	0	(933,731)	N/A
9	True-up & Interest Provision Beg. of Period - Over/(Under) Recovery	(77,104,991)	(77,104,991)	0	0.0 %
	a Deferred True-up Beginning of Period - Over/(Under) Recovery	13,141,163	0	13,141,163	N/A
10	Prior Period True-up Collected/(Refunded) This Period	77,104,991	77,104,991	0	0.0 %
11	End of Period Net True-up Amount Over/(Under) Recovery (Lines D7 through D10)	\$ 27,759,811	\$ 0	\$ 27,759,811	N/A
	(a) Per Schedule E-2, filed January 16, 1997.				
	(b) Generation Performance Incentive Factor per Order No. PSC-96-1172-POF-EL ((81,947,105* 98.4167)/6)				
	(c) Includes payments for Mission Settlement.				

SCHEDULE E - 1C

**CALCULATION OF GENERATING PERFORMANCE
INCENTIVE FACTOR AND TRUE - UP FACTOR
FLORIDA POWER AND LIGHT COMPANY
FOR THE PERIOD: OCTOBER 1997 THROUGH MARCH 1998**

1. TOTAL AMOUNT OF ADJUSTMENTS:	(24,858,841)
A. GENERATING PERFORMANCE INCENTIVE REWARD (PENALTY)	\$2,900,970
B. TRUE-UP (OVER)/UNDER RECOVERED	\$ (27,759,811)
2. TOTAL JURISDICTIONAL SALES (MWH)	37,770,170
3. ADJUSTMENT FACTORS c/kWh:	(0.0658)
A. GENERATING PERFORMANCE INCENTIVE FACTOR	0.0077
B. TRUE-UP FACTOR	(0.0735)

FLORIDA POWER & LIGHT COMPANY

SCHEDULE E - 1D

DETERMINATION OF FUEL RECOVERY FACTOR
TIME OF USE RATE SCHEDULES

OCTOBER 1997 - MARCH 1998

NET ENERGY FOR LOAD (%)

		FUEL COST (%)
ON PEAK	28.22	29.72
OFF PEAK	71.78	70.28
	100.00	100.00

FUEL RECOVERY CALCULATION

	TOTAL	ON-PEAK	OFF-PEAK
1 TOTAL FUEL & NET POWER TRANS	\$636,686,967	\$189,223,367	\$447,463,600
2 MWH SALES	37,868,108	10,686,380	27,181,728
3 COST PER KWH SOLD	1.6813	1.7707	1.6482
4 JURISDICTIONAL LOSS FACTOR	1.00074	1.00074	1.00074
5 JURISDICTIONAL FUEL FACTOR	1.6826	1.7720	1.6474
6 TRUE-UP	(0.0735)	(0.0735)	(0.0735)
7			
8 TOTAL	1.6091	1.6985	1.5739
9 REVENUE TAX FACTOR	1.01609	1.01609	1.01609
10 RECOVERY FACTOR	1.6350	1.7258	1.5992
11 GPIF	0.0077	0.0077	0.0077
12 RECOVERY FACTOR including GPIF	1.6427	1.7335	1.6069
13 RECOVERY FACTOR ROUNDED TO NEAREST .001 c/KWH	1.643	1.734	1.607

HOURS: ON-PEAK	23.16 %
OFF-PEAK	76.84 %

FLORIDA POWER & LIGHT COMPANY

SCHEDULE E - 1E

FUEL RECOVERY FACTORS - BY RATE GROUP
(ADJUSTED FOR LINE/TRANSFORMATION LOSSES)

OCTOBER 1997 - MARCH 1998

(1) GROUP	(2) RATE SCHEDULE	(3) AVERAGE FACTOR	(4) FUEL RECOVERY LOSS MULTIPLIER	(5) FUEL RECOVERY FACTOR
A	RS-1, GS-1, SL-2	1.643	1.00213	1.646
A-1*	SL-1, OL-1	1.627	1.00213	1.630
B	GSD-1	1.643	1.00212	1.646
C	GSLD-1 & CS-1	1.643	1.00179	1.646
D	GSLD-2, CS-2, OS-2 & MET	1.643	0.99591	1.636
E	GSLD-3 & CS-3	1.643	0.95658	1.571
A	RST-1, GST-1 ON-PEAK OFF-PEAK	1.734 1.607	1.00213 1.00213	1.737 1.610
B	GSDT-1 ON-PEAK CILC-1(G) OFF-PEAK	1.734 1.607	1.00212 1.00212	1.737 1.610
C	GSLDT-1 & ON-PEAK CST-1 OFF-PEAK	1.734 1.607	1.00179 1.00179	1.737 1.610
D	GSLDT-2 & ON-PEAK CST-2 OFF-PEAK	1.734 1.607	0.99591 0.99591	1.726 1.600
E	GSLDT-3, CST-3, ON-PEAK CILC -1(T) OFF-PEAK & ISST-1(T)	1.734 1.607	0.95658 0.95658	1.658 1.537
F	CILC -1(D) & ON-PEAK ISST-1(D) OFF-PEAK	1.734 1.607	0.99785 0.99785	1.730 1.603

* WEIGHTED AVERAGE 16% ON-PEAK AND 84% OFF-PEAK

Florida Power & Light Company
1988 Actual Energy Losses by Rate Class

Line No	Rate Class	Delivered MWH Sales	Expansion Factor	Delivered Energy at Generation	Delivered Efficiency	Losses	Fuel Cost Recovery \$/MWh	
1	GS-1 Sec	41,304,530	1.072937537	44,317,160	0.830021	5,913,001	1.00213	
2	GS-1 Sec	4,768,033	1.072937537	5,138,235	0.830021	948,393	1.00213	
3	GS-1 Pk	4,767	1.048417900	5,019	0.866641	222		
4	GS-1 Sec	17,488,317	1.072937537	18,771,275	0.830021	1,278,058		
5	Subtotal GS-1	17,500,590	1.072937537	18,776,294	0.830027	1,278,280	1.00212	
6	GS-2 Pk	20,857	1.048417900	21,826	0.866641		2.27724	
7	GS-2 Pk	86,833	1.048417900	90,717	0.866641	4,524		
8	GS-2 Sec	8,845,175	1.072937537	7,344,445	0.830021	499,270		
9	Subtotal GS-2	8,931,899	1.072937537	7,435,162	0.830026	603,264	1.00182	
10	GS-3 Pk	10,200	1.048417900	10,677	0.866641	474		
11	GS-3 Pk	168,201	1.072937537	205,108	0.830021	13,807		
12	Subtotal GS-3	178,401	1.071691254	215,785	0.825390	14,301	1.00080	
13	Subtotal GS/SL/CS/ST	7,131,372	1.072937537	7,648,947	0.832354	617,575	1.00179	
14	GS-2 PM	237,855	1.048417900	248,888	0.866641	11,031		
15	GS-2 Sec	939,572	1.072937537	997,188	0.830021	67,706		
16	Subtotal GS/SL/ST-2	1,177,427	1.061937228	1,346,076	0.836736	78,817	0.89708	
17	GS-3 Pk	6,305	1.048417900	6,602	0.866641	297		
18	GS-3 Sec	106,302	1.072937537	116,168	0.830021	7,866		
19	Subtotal GS-3	112,607	1.071455419	122,770	0.833307	8,163	1.00175	
20	Subtotal GS/SL/ST-2/3	1,390,034	1.061937228	1,568,846	0.836638	87,981	0.89741	
21	GS-5 Tm	698,332	1.034172188	613,717	0.876388	14,485	0.89658	
22	GS-5 Tm	0	1.034172188	0	0.800000	0	0.80000	
23	Subtotal GS/SL/ST-2/3/5	698,332	1.034172188	613,717	0.876388	14,485	0.89658	
24	ISST-1 Sec	666	1.072937537	703	0.832021	48	1.00213	
25	ISST-1 Pk	36,722	1.048417900	38,427	0.866641	1,706		
26	ISST-1 Sec	17,837	1.072937537	19,138	0.830021	1,301		
27	Subtotal ISST-1	55,225	1.055697938	57,568	0.847788	3,055	0.89546	
28	ISST-1 Tm	88,768	1.034172188	91,826	0.876388	2,170	0.89638	
29	CLC D Pk	436,108	1.048417900	468,202	0.866641	20,187		
30	CLC D Sec	2,088,182	1.072937537	2,238,221	0.832021	152,188		
31	Subtotal CLC D	2,524,290	1.068369913	2,686,424	0.836913	172,375	0.89765	
32	CLC G Sec	217,866	1.072937537	233,864	0.832021	16,000	1.00213	
33	Subtotal CLC D / CLC G	2,742,156	1.068369913	2,920,288	0.836624	188,375	0.89819	
34	CLC T Tm	1,148,304	1.034172188	1,178,061	0.876388	27,767	0.89488	
35	ISST-D & CLC-D	3,621,822	1.068369913	3,894,328	0.836913	172,401	0.89785	
36	GS-1 & CLC-1 (G)	17,717,870	1.072937537	18,918,148	0.832027	1,200,278	1.00212	
37	MET Pk	83,212	1.048417900	87,876	0.866641	3,863	0.87736	
38	GS-2, GS/SL/ST, CS2, & MET	1,348,763	1.069276427	1,477,684	0.837944	81,841	0.89581	
39	OL-1 Sec	190,979	1.072937537	198,343	0.832021	7,366	1.00213	
40	OL-1 Sec	334,180	1.072937537	368,569	0.832021	24,375	1.00213	
41	Subtotal OL-1 / SL-1	436,171	1.072937537	466,912	0.832021	31,741	1.00213	
42	SL-2 Sec	72,472	1.072937537	77,798	0.832021	5,266	1.00213	
43	RTP-1 Pk	0	1.048417900	0	0.200000	0		
44	RTP-1 Sec	60,839	1.072937537	64,407	0.832021	4,378		
45	Subtotal RTP-1	60,839	1.072937537	64,407	0.832021	4,378	1.00213	
46	RTP-2 Pk	1,406	1.048417900	1,471	0.866641	65		
47	RTP-2 Sec	110,987	1.072937537	118,095	0.832021	6,828		
48	Subtotal RTP-2	112,393	1.072937537	119,566	0.832511	6,893	1.00182	
49	RTP-3 Tm	25,352	1.034172188	25,905	0.876388	613	0.89658	
50	Total FPSC	77,448,837	1.071448629	82,895,507	0.833314	6,583,473	1.00074	
51	Total FERC Rates	1,331,141	1.034483381	1,383,892	0.876120	32,581		
52	Total Company	78,779,978	1.070854832	84,279,400	0.834008	6,616,054		
53	Company Use	172,036	1.072937537	184,503	0.832021	12,548		
54	Total FPL	78,607,942	1.070854832	84,094,897	0.834008	6,578,566	1.00000	
55	Breakdown of Sales by Voltage							
56	Transmission	3,176,983	1.034172188	3,262,757	0.876388	76,794		
57	Primary	938,861	1.048417900	982,487	0.866641	43,626		
58	Secondary	74,867,155	1.072937537	80,106,758	0.832021	5,445,801		
59	Total	78,779,978	1.070854832	84,279,400	0.834008	6,586,021		

FLORIDA POWER & LIGHT COMPANY
 FUEL & PURCHASED POWER COST RECOVERY CLAUSE CALCULATION
 FOR THE PERIOD OCTOBER 1997 - MARCH 1998

SCHEDULE E2

LINE NO.	(a) OCTOBER	(b) NOVEMBER	(c) ESTIMATED DECEMBER	(d) JANUARY	(e) FEBRUARY	(f) MARCH	(g) TOTAL PERIOD	LINE NO.
A1 FUEL COST OF SYSTEM GENERATION	\$91,943,470	\$77,867,010	\$81,053,470	\$77,333,000	\$67,084,500	\$75,884,590	\$471,166,040	A1
1a NUCLEAR FUEL DISPOSAL	1,426,712	1,413,102	1,367,518	1,884,123	1,783,604	1,974,704	9,849,763	1a
1b COAL CAR INVESTMENT	436,080	433,995	431,910	429,825	427,741	425,656	2,585,207	1b
1c NUCLEAR THERMAL UPRATE	395,725	392,173	388,621	435,798	482,975	479,423	2,574,715	1c
1d GAS LATERAL ENHANCEMENTS	281,627	280,058	278,489	276,920	275,351	273,782	1,666,227	1d
1e DOE DECONTAMINATION AND DECOMMISSIONING COSTS	0	5,420,000	0	0	0	0	5,420,000	1e
1f LOW GRAVITY FUEL MODIFICATIONS	0	0	0	0	0	2,087,140	2,087,140	1f
2 FUEL COST OF POWER SOLD	(2,567,057)	(6,638,173)	(4,681,015)	(4,891,482)	(5,939,433)	(6,170,634)	(30,887,794)	2
3 FUEL COST OF PURCHASED POWER	10,614,490	10,673,490	11,263,900	13,099,910	12,021,410	11,023,100	68,696,270	3
3a MISSION SETTLEMENT	1,108,357	147,000	0	0	147,000	0	1,402,357	3a
3b QUALIFYING FACILITIES	12,176,373	9,167,575	12,393,309	12,299,565	9,863,984	10,924,232	66,825,038	3b
4 ENERGY COST OF ECONOMY PURCHASES	10,647,850	12,273,390	8,568,570	4,793,230	4,515,470	4,570,070	45,368,580	4
4a FUEL COST OF SALES TO FKEC / CKW	(1,918,224)	(1,857,342)	(1,653,037)	(1,551,181)	(1,562,984)	(1,523,807)	(10,066,575)	4a
5 TOTAL FUEL & NET POWER TRANSACTIONS (SUM OF LINES A-1 THRU A-4)	\$124,545,403	\$109,572,248	\$109,411,735	\$104,109,708	\$99,099,618	\$99,948,256	\$636,686,967	5
6 SYSTEM KWH SOLD (MWH) (Excl sales to FKEC / CKW)	7,505,797	6,269,952	5,969,875	6,158,598	6,021,896	5,941,990	37,868,108	6
7 COST PER KWH SOLD (\$/KWH)	1.6593	1.7476	1.8327	1.6905	1.4796	1.6821	1.6813	7
7a JURISDICTIONAL LOSS MULTIPLIER	1.00074	1.00074	1.00074	1.00074	1.00074	1.00074	1.00074	7a
7b JURISDICTIONAL COST (\$/KWH)	1.6606	1.7489	1.8341	1.6917	1.4807	1.6833	1.6826	7b
9 TRUE-UP (\$/KWH)	(0.0619)	(0.0739)	(0.0776)	(0.0752)	(0.0770)	(0.0781)	(0.0735)	9
10 TOTAL	1.5987	1.6750	1.7565	1.6165	1.4037	1.6052	1.6091	10
11 REVENUE TAX FACTOR 0.01609	0.0257	0.0270	0.0283	0.0260	0.0228	0.0258	0.0259	11
12 RECOVERY FACTOR ADJUSTED FOR TAXES	1.6244	1.7020	1.7848	1.6425	1.4263	1.6310	1.6350	12
13 GPIF (\$/KWH)	0.0065	0.0077	0.0081	0.0079	0.0080	0.0082	0.0077	13
14 RECOVERY FACTOR including GPIF	1.6309	1.7097	1.7929	1.6504	1.4343	1.6392	1.6427	14
15 RECOVERY FACTOR ROUNDED TO NEAREST .001 \$/KWH	1.631	1.710	1.793	1.650	1.434	1.639	1.643	15

10

Generating System Comparative Data by Fuel Type

	Oct-97	Nov-97	Dec-97	Jan-98	Feb-98	Mar-98	Total
Fuel Cost of System Net Generation (\$)							
1 Heavy Oil	\$30,318,910	\$23,910,090	\$29,281,890	\$19,875,420	\$18,430,000	\$24,158,350	\$145,974,660
2 Light Oil	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$101,610	\$0	\$0	\$101,610
3 Coal	\$9,988,790	\$10,011,120	\$9,686,770	\$10,251,260	\$9,367,190	\$7,185,020	\$56,490,150
4 Gas	\$45,960,520	\$38,620,180	\$36,927,000	\$39,817,090	\$32,347,340	\$36,850,390	\$230,522,520
5 Nuclear	\$5,675,250	\$5,325,620	\$5,157,810	\$7,287,620	\$6,939,970	\$7,690,830	\$38,077,100
6 Orimulsion	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
7 Total	\$91,943,470	\$77,867,010	\$81,053,470	\$77,333,000	\$67,084,500	\$75,884,590	\$471,166,040
System Net Generation (MWH)							
8 Heavy Oil	1,300,717	1,028,291	1,210,423	822,236	781,917	1,055,714	6,199,298
9 Light Oil	0	0	0	1,268	0	0	1,268
10 Coal	587,857	593,914	577,245	609,137	555,481	420,906	3,344,540
11 Gas	2,189,865	1,783,250	1,431,058	1,704,319	1,579,298	1,730,590	10,418,380
12 Nuclear	1,531,629	1,517,018	1,468,082	2,022,676	1,914,765	2,119,918	10,574,088
13 Orimulsion	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
14 Total	5,610,069	4,922,473	4,686,808	5,159,636	4,831,461	5,327,128	30,537,574
Units of Fuel Burned							
15 Heavy Oil (BBLs)	1,988,060	1,561,881	1,857,804	1,278,326	1,199,684	1,596,040	9,481,795
16 Light Oil (BBLs)	0	0	0	3,354	0	0	3,354
17 Coal (TONS)	303,208	302,964	292,388	310,367	283,335	219,735	1,711,997
18 Gas (MCF)	18,825,494	14,529,423	10,876,396	13,813,371	12,756,386	14,130,563	84,931,633
19 Nuclear (MBTU)	16,850,332	16,375,812	15,847,560	21,836,600	20,671,904	22,886,752	114,468,960
20 Orimulsion (BBLs)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
BTU Burned (MMBTU)							
21 Heavy Oil	12,723,581	9,996,040	11,889,941	8,181,286	7,677,978	10,214,654	60,683,479
22 Light Oil	0	0	0	19,555	0	0	19,555
23 Coal	5,935,831	5,940,988	5,779,141	6,095,074	5,559,362	4,240,551	33,550,966
24 Gas	18,825,494	14,529,423	10,876,396	13,813,371	12,756,386	14,130,563	84,931,633
25 Nuclear	16,850,332	16,375,812	15,847,560	21,836,600	20,671,904	22,886,752	114,468,960
26 Orimulsion	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
27 Total	54,335,238	46,842,263	44,393,038	49,945,885	46,665,650	51,472,520	293,654,593

Generating System Comparative Data by Fuel Type

	Oct-97	Nov-97	Dec-97	Jan-98	Feb-98	Mar-98	Total
Generation Mix (%MWH)							
28 Heavy Oil	20.19%	20.89%	25.83%	15.94%	16.18%	19.82%	20.30%
29 Light Oil	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.02%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
30 Coal	10.48%	12.07%	12.32%	11.81%	11.50%	7.90%	10.95%
31 Gas	39.03%	36.23%	30.53%	33.03%	32.69%	32.49%	34.12%
32 Nuclear	27.30%	30.82%	31.32%	39.20%	39.63%	39.79%	34.63%
33 Orimulsion	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
34 Total	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%
Fuel Cost per Unit							
35 Heavy Oil (\$/BBL)	15.2505	15.3085	15.7616	15.5480	15.3624	15.1364	15.3953
36 Light Oil (\$/BBL)	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	30.2952	0.0000	0.0000	30.2952
37 Coal (\$/ton)	32.9437	33.0439	33.1298	33.0295	33.0605	32.6986	32.9966
38 Gas (\$/MCF)	2.4414	2.6581	3.3952	2.8825	2.5358	2.6079	2.7142
39 Nuclear (\$/MBTU)	0.3368	0.3252	0.3255	0.3337	0.3357	0.3360	0.3326
40 Orimulsion (\$/BBL)	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Fuel Cost per MMBTU (\$/MMBTU)							
41 Heavy Oil	2.3829	2.3920	2.4627	2.4294	2.4004	2.3651	2.4055
42 Light Oil	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	5.1961	0.0000	0.0000	5.1961
43 Coal	1.6828	1.6851	1.6762	1.6819	1.6849	1.6944	1.6837
44 Gas	2.4414	2.6581	3.3952	2.8825	2.5358	2.6079	2.7142
45 Nuclear	0.3368	0.3252	0.3255	0.3337	0.3357	0.3360	0.3326
46 Orimulsion	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
BTU burned per KWH (BTU/KWH)							
46 Heavy Oil	9,782	9,721	9,823	9,950	9,819	9,676	9,769
47 Light Oil	0	0	0	15,422	0	0	15,422
48 Coal	10,097	10,003	10,012	10,006	10,008	10,075	10,032
49 Gas	8,597	8,148	7,600	8,105	8,077	8,165	8,152
50 Nuclear	11,002	10,795	10,795	10,796	10,796	10,796	10,825
51 Orimulsion	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Generated Fuel Cost per KWH (cents/KWH)							
52 Heavy Oil	2.3309	2.3252	2.4191	2.4172	2.3570	2.2883	2.3547
53 Light Oil	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	8.0134	0.0000	0.0000	8.0134
54 Coal	1.6992	1.6856	1.6781	1.6829	1.6863	1.7070	1.6890
55 Gas	2.0988	2.1657	2.5804	2.3362	2.0482	2.1294	2.2127
56 Nuclear	0.3705	0.3511	0.3513	0.3603	0.3624	0.3628	0.3601
57 Orimulsion	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
58 Total	1.6389	1.5819	1.7294	1.4988	1.3885	1.4245	1.5429

Date: 5/13/97

Company: Florida Power & Light

Schedule E4

Page: 1

Estimated For The Period of : Oct-97

(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)
Plant Unit	Net Capb (MW)	Net Gen (MWH)	Capac FAC (%)	Equip Avail FAC (%)	Net Out FAC (%)	Avg Net Heat Rate (BTU/KWH)	Fuel Type	Fuel Burned (Units)	Fuel Heat Value (BTU/Unit)	Fuel Burned (MMBTU)	As Burned Fuel Cost (\$)	Fuel Cost per KWH (C/KWH)
1 TRKY O 1	404	29,415	49.9	94.2	74.8	10,170	Heavy Oil BBLs ->	44,710	6,400,002	286,143	753,609	2.5620
2		114,688					Gas MCF ->	1,179,388	1,000,000	1,179,388	2,628,477	2.2919
3												
4 TRKY O 2	403	11,938	45.7	93.1	76.4	10,204	Heavy Oil BBLs ->	18,136	6,399,987	116,068	305,685	2.5606
5		119,720					Gas MCF ->	1,227,330	1,000,000	1,227,330	2,456,830	2.0521
6												
7 TRKY N 3	717	466,105	93.2	90.5	98.9	11,114	Nuclear MBTU ->	5,180,202	1,000,000	5,180,202	1,550,435	0.3326
8												
9 TRKY N 4	717	174,899	34.8	83.2	97.3	11,073	Nuclear MBTU ->	1,936,663	1,000,000	1,936,663	646,071	0.3694
10												
11 FT LAUD4	452	304,493	98.0	88.7	95.5	7,895	Gas MCF ->	2,403,905	1,000,000	2,403,905	4,266,931	1.4013
12												
13 FT LAUD5	452	304,493	98.0	93.5	95.5	7,895	Gas MCF ->	2,403,905	1,000,000	2,403,905	4,266,931	1.4013
14												
15 PT EVER1	212	1,751	11.6	92.9	80.2	11,135	Heavy Oil BBLs ->	2,891	6,400,104	18,503	45,393	2.5930
16		15,929					Gas MCF ->	178,363	1,000,000	178,363	422,816	2.6544
17												
18 PT EVER2	213	1,340	8.8	95.9	72.6	11,318	Heavy Oil BBLs ->	2,242	6,400,080	14,348	35,199	2.6278
19		12,159					Gas MCF ->	138,423	1,000,000	138,423	327,868	2.6965
20												
21 PT EVER3	396	32,092	72.8	87.3	78.8	10,215	Heavy Oil BBLs ->	48,972	6,399,996	313,423	768,923	2.3960
22		173,044					Gas MCF ->	1,781,982	1,000,000	1,781,982	4,226,969	2.4439
23												
24 PT EVER4	397	10,293	37.1	80.9	80.8	10,326	Heavy Oil BBLs ->	15,843	6,400,009	101,398	248,761	2.4167
25		92,640					Gas MCF ->	961,478	1,000,000	961,478	2,268,642	2.4489
26												
27 RIV 3	292	170,761	83.5	78.6	88.4	9,924	Heavy Oil BBLs ->	264,302	6,400,000	1,691,533	3,831,398	2.2437
28		3,620					Gas MCF ->	38,995	1,000,000	38,995	69,216	1.9119
29												
30 RIV 4	292	112,108	54.9	92.5	83.4	10,033	Heavy Oil BBLs ->	175,246	6,399,999	1,121,576	2,540,380	2.2660
31		2,597					Gas MCF ->	29,292	1,000,000	29,292	51,994	2.0022
32												

Date: 5/13/97

Company: Florida Power & Light

Schedule E4

Page: 2

Estimated For The Period of : Oct-97

(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)
Plant Unit	Net Capb (MW)	Net Gen (MWH)	Capac FAC (%)	Equip Avail FAC (%)	Net Out FAC (%)	Avg Net Heat Rate (BTU/KWH)	Fuel Type	Fuel Burned (Units)	Fuel Heat Value (BTU/Unit)	Fuel Burned (MMBTU)	As Burned Fuel Cost (\$)	Fuel Cost per KWH (C/KWH)
33 ST LUC 1	853	401,713	66.5	72.7	98.4	10,934	Nuclear MBTU ->	4,392,252	1,000,000	4,392,252	1,656,319	0.4123
34												
35 ST LUC 2	726	488,912	95.0	82.6	98.5	10,925	Nuclear MBTU ->	5,341,217	1,000,000	5,341,217	1,822,422	0.3728
36												
37 CAP CN 1	400	184,233	66.1	92.5	76.9	9,603	Heavy Oil BBLs ->	275,343	6,400,000	1,762,196	4,238,552	2.3006
38		4,802					Gas MCF ->	53,028	1,000,000	53,028	94,124	1.9601
39												
40 CAP CN 2	400	170,301	65.9	89.3	82.2	9,602	Heavy Oil BBLs ->	253,617	6,399,999	1,623,145	3,904,096	2.2925
41		18,214					Gas MCF ->	186,932	1,000,000	186,932	331,804	1.8217
42												
43 SANFRD 3	147	738	7.2	74.2	73.6	10,715	Heavy Oil BBLs ->	1,156	6,400,139	7,399	18,249	2.4721
44		6,644					Gas MCF ->	71,698	1,000,000	71,698	127,264	1.9155
45												
46 SANFRD 4	394	13,707	33.6	90.9	87.6	10,153	Heavy Oil BBLs ->	20,743	6,400,006	132,753	327,413	2.3886
47		80,761					Gas MCF ->	826,385	1,000,000	826,385	1,466,833	1.8163
48												
49 SANFRD 5	394	57,737	37.2	92.5	81.5	9,986	Heavy Oil BBLs ->	87,690	6,400,001	561,217	1,384,144	2.3973
50		46,911					Gas MCF ->	483,753	1,000,000	483,753	858,663	1.8304
51												
52 PUTNAM 1	272	114,998	66.4	94.4	87.0	9,087	Gas MCF ->	1,044,932	1,000,000	1,044,932	1,854,755	1.6129
53												
54 PUTNAM 2	272	128,939	74.4	91.4	87.3	9,084	Gas MCF ->	1,171,349	1,000,000	1,171,349	2,079,144	1.6125
55												
56 MANATE 1	805	101,036	17.6	95.9	65.5	10,107	Heavy Oil BBLs ->	159,549	6,399,998	1,021,116	2,506,056	2.4804
57												
58 MANATE 2	805	148,539	25.8	90.6	74.2	10,133	Heavy Oil BBLs ->	235,170	6,400,001	1,505,086	3,693,830	2.4868
59												
60 FT MY 1	142	46,563	45.8	81.3	77.0	10,293	Heavy Oil BBLs ->	74,889	6,400,004	479,290	1,113,381	2.3911
61												
62 FT MY 2	415	203,544	68.6	82.9	89.7	9,434	Heavy Oil BBLs ->	300,025	6,400,000	1,920,182	4,460,497	2.1914
63												

Estimated For The Period of : Oct-97

(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)
Plant Unit	Net Capb (MW)	Net Gen (MWH)	Capac FAC (%)	Equiv Avail FAC (%)	Net Out FAC (%)	Avg Net Heat Rate (BTU/KWH)	Fuel Type	Fuel Burned (Units)	Fuel Heat Value (BTU/Unit)	Fuel Burned (MMBTU)	As Burned Fuel Cost (\$)	Fuel Cost per KWH (C/KWH)
64 CUTLER 5	72	37	0.1	96.0	80.8	12,453	Gas MCF ->	459	1,000,000	459	814	2.2120
65												
66 CUTLER 6	145	107	0.1	96.0	72.6	11,646	Gas MCF ->	1,251	1,000,000	1,251	2,220	2.0670
67												
68 MARTIN 1	821	1,174	1.3	96.0	42.7	11,072	Heavy Oil BBLs ->	1,919	6,399,917	12,281	36,516	3.1109
69		6,635					Gas MCF ->	74,178	1,000,000	74,178	131,667	1.9844
70												
71 MARTIN 2	821	3,448	4.7	83.1	47.7	11,036	Heavy Oil BBLs ->	5,616	6,400,061	35,945	106,880	3.1001
72		23,918					Gas MCF ->	266,065	1,000,000	266,065	472,266	1.9745
73												
74 MARTIN 3	460	307,025	98.7	94.2	93.9	7,000	Gas MCF ->	2,149,122	1,000,000	2,149,122	3,814,694	1.2425
75												
76 MARTIN 4	460	307,385	98.8	93.7	93.9	7,000	Gas MCF ->	2,151,650	1,000,000	2,151,650	3,819,176	1.2425
77												
78 FM GT	636	0	0.0	95.0		0	Light Oil BBLs ->	0	5,333,333	2	8	8.0000
79												
80 FL GT	792	107	0.0	92.0	77.6	15,195	Gas MCF ->	1,628	1,000,000	1,628	2,890	2.6984
81												
82 PE GT	396	2	0.0	92.0	79.4	17,143	Gas MCF ->	32	1,000,000	32	56	3.1111
83												
84 SJRPP 10	116	83,566	0.0	94.2	100.0	9,477	Coal TONS ->	32,302	24,517,007	791,936	1,270,944	1.5209
85												
86 SJRPP 20	116	83,746	0.0	87.9	100.0	9,402	Coal TONS ->	32,117	24,517,000	787,417	1,263,692	1.5090
87												
88 SCHER #4	605	420,545	96.5	90.8	96.5	10,359	Coal TONS ->	238,790	18,243,998	4,356,477	7,454,154	1.7725
89												
90 TOTAL	16,402	5,610,069				9,685				54,335,267	82,027,991	1.4622

15

Estimated For The Period of : Nov-97

(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)
Plant Unit	Net Capb (MW)	Net Gen (MWH)	Capac FAC (%)	Equiv Avail FAC (%)	Net Out FAC (%)	Avg Net Heat Rate (BTU/KWH)	Fuel Type	Fuel Burned (Units)	Fuel Heat Value (BTU/Unit)	Fuel Burned (MMBTU)	As Burned Fuel Cost (\$)	Fuel Cost per KWH (C/KWH)
1 TRKY O 1	404	22,720	27.5	94.2	73.6	10,027	Heavy Oil BBLs ->	33,969	6,399,998	217,404	570,623	2.5115
2		59,877					Gas MCF ->	610,838	1,000,000	610,838	1,245,067	2.0794
3												
4 TRKY O 2	403	7,577	11.5	93.1	70.0	10,059	Heavy Oil BBLs ->	11,306	6,400,021	72,361	189,924	2.5065
5		26,754					Gas MCF ->	272,962	1,000,000	272,962	524,744	1.9614
6												
7 TRKY N 3	717	497,174	93.2	90.5	100.0	10,792	Nuclear MBTU ->	5,365,685	1,000,000	5,365,685	1,806,850	0.3232
8												
9 TRKY N 4	717	506,776	95.0	83.2	100.0	10,792	Nuclear MBTU ->	5,469,315	1,000,000	5,469,315	1,827,315	0.3606
10												
11 FT LAUD4	452	329,616	98.0	88.7	100.0	7,831	Gas MCF ->	2,581,229	1,000,000	2,581,229	5,231,151	1.5870
12												
13 FT LAUD5	452	210,982	62.7	93.5	100.0	7,834	Gas MCF ->	1,652,922	1,000,000	1,652,922	3,304,914	1.5664
14												
15 PT EVER1	212	3,271	2.9	92.9	55.0	11,210	Heavy Oil BBLs ->	5,536	6,400,047	35,431	87,560	2.6769
16		1,321					Gas MCF ->	16,041	1,000,000	16,041	33,286	2.5205
17												
18 PT EVER2	213	4,717	3.5	95.9	56.2	11,151	Heavy Oil BBLs ->	8,013	6,400,020	51,281	126,728	2.6869
19		791					Gas MCF ->	10,137	1,000,000	10,137	21,034	2.6578
20												
21 PT EVER3	396	127,011	52.3	87.3	73.6	9,926	Heavy Oil BBLs ->	194,013	6,400,001	1,241,684	3,066,523	2.4160
22		27,224					Gas MCF ->	289,323	1,000,000	289,323	681,574	2.5036
23												
24 PT EVER4	387		0.0	80.9		0						
25												
26 RIV 3	292	152,021	75.6	78.6	80.9	9,883	Heavy Oil BBLs ->	233,496	6,399,999	1,494,376	3,433,478	2.2585
27		12,204					Gas MCF ->	128,736	1,000,000	128,736	265,219	2.1733
28												
29 RIV 4	292	103,721	68.6	92.5	76.8	10,100	Heavy Oil BBLs ->	160,715	6,400,000	1,028,578	2,365,389	2.2805
30		45,244					Gas MCF ->	475,933	1,000,000	475,933	954,860	2.1105
31												

Estimated For The Period of : Nov-97

(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)
Plant Unit	Net Capb (MW)	Net Gen (MWH)	Capac FAC (%)	Equiv Avail FAC (%)	Net Out FAC (%)	Avg Net Heat Rate (BTU/KWH)	Fuel Type	Fuel Burned (Units)	Fuel Heat Value (BTU/Unit)	Fuel Burned (MMBTU)	As Burned Fuel Cost (\$)	Fuel Cost per KWH (C/KWH)
32 ST LUC 1	853		0.0	72.7		0						
33												
34 ST LUC 2	726	513,068	95.0	82.6	100.0	10,799	Nuclear MBTU ->	5,540,812	1,000,000	5,540,812	1,891,454	0.3687
35												
36 CAP CN 1	400	74,118	42.2	92.5	70.4	9,760	Heavy Oil BBLs ->	109,634	6,399,999	701,659	1,700,498	2.2943
37		51,403					Gas MCF ->	523,406	1,000,000	523,406	1,055,817	2.0540
38												
39 CAP CN 2	400	62,181	58.0	89.3	74.7	9,797	Heavy Oil BBLs ->	91,681	6,400,002	586,757	1,422,825	2.2882
40		110,425					Gas MCF ->	1,104,335	1,000,000	1,104,335	2,226,496	2.0163
41												
42 SANFRD 3	147		0.0	74.2		0						
43												
44 SANFRD 4	394	34,166	11.7	90.9	75.6	10,193	Gas MCF ->	348,262	1,000,000	348,262	716,136	2.0961
45												
46 SANFRD 5	394	17,474	20.7	92.5	75.6	10,052	Heavy Oil BBLs ->	26,215	6,400,000	167,776	414,268	2.3708
47		43,070					Gas MCF ->	440,829	1,000,000	440,829	906,349	2.1044
48												
49 PUTNAM 1	272	65,504	32.4	94.4	89.4	9,090	Gas MCF ->	595,420	1,000,000	595,420	1,220,434	1.8631
50												
51 PUTNAM 2	272	79,449	39.3	91.4	89.7	9,082	Gas MCF ->	721,531	1,000,000	721,531	1,474,316	1.8557
52												
53 MANATE 1	805	82,743	13.8	95.9	56.4	10,113	Heavy Oil BBLs ->	130,746	6,400,000	836,777	2,064,066	2.4946
54												
55 MANATE 2	805	61,938	10.3	90.6	59.6	10,171	Heavy Oil BBLs ->	96,437	6,399,999	629,994	1,553,976	2.5089
56												
57 FT MY 1	142	43,915	41.6	81.3	67.3	10,250	Heavy Oil BBLs ->	70,335	6,400,002	450,147	1,061,197	2.4165
58												
59 FT MY 2	415	264,586	85.7	82.9	86.5	9,369	Heavy Oil BBLs ->	387,316	6,400,000	2,478,824	5,842,146	2.2080
60												
61 CUTLER 5	72	2	0.0	96.0	76.0	12,210	Gas MCF ->	18	1,000,000	18	37	2.4667
62												

17

Date: 5/13/97

Company: Florida Power & Light

Schedule E4

Page: 6

Estimated For The Period of : Nov-97

(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)
Plant Unit	Net Capb (MW)	Net Gen (MWH)	Capac FAC (%)	Equiv Avail FAC (%)	Net Out FAC (%)	Avg Net Heat Rate (BTU/KWH)	Fuel Type	Fuel Burned (Units)	Fuel Heat Value (BTU/Unit)	Fuel Burned (MMBTU)	As Burned Fuel Cost (\$)	Fuel Cost per KWH (C/KWH)
63 CUTLER 6	145	5	0.0	96.0	65.0	11,415	Gas MCF ->	59	1,000,000	59	123	2.3654
64												
65 MARTIN 1	821	234	0.0	96.0	30.0	10,237	Gas MCF ->	2,391	1,000,000	2,391	4,961	2.1246
66												
67 MARTIN 2	821	297	1.5	83.1	41.0	10,746	Heavy Oil BBLs ->	467	6,399,401	2,990	8,890	2.9973
68		8,940					Gas MCF ->	96,351	1,000,000	96,351	199,928	2.2344
69												
70 MARTIN 3	460	337,822	98.7	94.2	100.0	6,891	Gas MCF ->	2,328,021	1,000,000	2,328,021	4,717,998	1.3966
71												
72 MARTIN 4	460	338,219	98.8	93.7	100.0	6,891	Gas MCF ->	2,330,759	1,000,000	2,330,759	4,723,544	1.3966
73												
74 FM GT	636		0.0	95.0		0						
75												
76 FL GT	792	3	0.0	92.0	77.0	15,195	Gas MCF ->	42	1,000,000	42	87	3.2222
77												
78 PE GT	396	0	0.0	92.0		0	Gas MCF ->	0	1,000,000	0	0	
79												
80 SJRPP 10	116	86,352	0.0	94.2	100.0	9,389	Coal TONS ->	33,070	24,517,020	810,766	1,306,511	1.5130
81												
82 SJRPP 20	116	86,537	0.0	87.9	100.0	9,316	Coal TONS ->	32,882	24,516,986	806,170	1,299,105	1.5012
83												
84 SCHER #4	605	421,025	93.5	90.8	93.5	10,270	Coal TONS ->	237,012	18,243,998	4,324,052	7,405,506	1.7589
85												
86 TOTAL	16,402	4,922,483				9,516				46,842,381	68,754,907	1.3968

Date: 5/13/97

Company: Florida Power & Light

Schedule E4

Page: 7

Estimated For The Period of : Dec-97

(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)
Plant Unit	Net Capb (MW)	Net Gen (MWH)	Capac FAC (%)	Equiv Avail FAC (%)	Net Out FAC (%)	Avg Net Heat Rate (BTU/KWH)	Fuel Type	Fuel Burned (Units)	Fuel Heat Value (BTU/Unit)	Fuel Burned (MMBTU)	As Burned Fuel Cost (\$)	Fuel Cost per KWH (C/KWH)
1 TRKY O 1	404	48,850	17.6	94.2	61.3	9,864	Heavy Oil BBLs ->	74,399	6,399,998	476,146	1,255,638	2.5704
2		2,279					Gas MCF ->	28,191	1,000,000	28,191	60,494	2.6539
3												
4 TRKY O 2	403	6,158	2.1	93.1	46.4	10,150	Heavy Oil BBLs ->	9,587	6,399,975	61,354	161,870	2.6284
5		0					Gas MCF ->	1,151	1,000,000	1,151	2,967	
6												
7 TRKY N 3	717	483,304	93.6	90.5	100.0	10,792	Nuclear MBTU ->	5,216,001	1,000,000	5,216,001	1,563,165	0.3234
8												
9 TRKY N 4	717	488,260	94.6	83.2	100.0	10,792	Nuclear MBTU ->	5,269,483	1,000,000	5,269,483	1,762,536	0.3610
10												
11 FT LAUD4	452	317,454	97.5	88.7	99.7	7,836	Gas MCF ->	2,487,664	1,000,000	2,487,664	6,329,432	1.9938
12												
13 FT LAUD5	452	317,112	97.4	93.5	99.6	7,836	Gas MCF ->	2,484,998	1,000,000	2,484,998	6,322,560	1.9938
14												
15 PT EVER1	212	6,643	4.4	92.9	55.1	11,080	Heavy Oil BBLs ->	11,295	6,399,996	72,285	184,730	2.7807
16		0					Gas MCF ->	1,325	1,000,000	1,325	3,341	
17												
18 PT EVER2	213	5,015	3.3	95.9	59.2	11,034	Heavy Oil BBLs ->	8,501	6,399,976	54,403	138,836	2.7885
19		0					Gas MCF ->	929	1,000,000	929	2,317	
20												
21 PT EVER3	396	115,592	40.5	87.3	62.8	10,019	Heavy Oil BBLs ->	180,107	6,400,000	1,152,685	2,949,365	2.5515
22		0					Gas MCF ->	5,455	1,000,000	5,455	13,860	
23												
24 PT EVER4	387	28,287	10.2	80.9	53.3	10,135	Heavy Oil BBLs ->	44,285	6,399,993	283,426	727,464	2.5717
25		0					Gas MCF ->	3,268	1,000,000	3,268	8,425	
26												
27 RIV 3	292	134,732	64.9	78.6	74.5	9,940	Heavy Oil BBLs ->	208,544	6,399,999	1,334,683	3,132,073	2.3247
28		1,720					Gas MCF ->	21,633	1,000,000	21,633	48,750	2.7175
29												
30 RIV 4	292	120,569	59.0	92.5	74.4	10,025	Heavy Oil BBLs ->	187,639	6,400,001	1,200,868	2,819,522	2.3385
31		3,522					Gas MCF ->	43,078	1,000,000	43,078	92,066	2.6142
32												

Date: 5/13/97

Company: Florida Power & Light

Schedule E4

Page: 8

Estimated For The Period of : Dec-97

(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)
Plant Unit	Net Capb (MW)	Net Gen (MWH)	Capac FAC (%)	Equip Avail FAC (%)	Net Cut FAC (%)	Avg Net Heat Rate (BTU/KWH)	Fuel Type	Fuel Burned (Units)	Fuel Heat Value (BTU/Unit)	Fuel Burned (MMBTU)	As Burned Fuel Cost (\$)	Fuel Cost per KWH (C/KWH)
33 ST LUC 1	853		0.0	72.7		0						
34												
35 ST LUC 2	726	496,518	95.0	82.6	100.0	10,799	Nuclear MBTU ->	5,362,076	1,000,000	5,362,076	1,832,114	0.3690
36												
37 CAP CN 1	400	125,878	44.7	92.5	62.9	9,660	Heavy Oil BBLs ->	188,859	6,400,000	1,208,696	2,994,085	2.3786
38		2,756					Gas MCF ->	33,928	1,000,000	33,928	73,014	2.6491
39												
40 CAP CN 2	400	70,709	24.6	89.3	64.2	9,609	Heavy Oil BBLs ->	105,773	6,400,000	676,947	1,678,337	2.3736
41		0					Gas MCF ->	2,484	1,000,000	2,484	6,405	
42												
43 SANFRD 3	147	376	0.4	74.2	76.7	10,221	Heavy Oil BBLs ->	582	6,400,447	3,726	9,373	2.4922
44		0					Gas MCF ->	118	1,000,000	118	305	
45												
46 SANFRD 4	394	54,153	20.3	90.9	61.5	9,923	Heavy Oil BBLs ->	82,994	6,399,998	531,161	1,336,214	2.4675
47		3,394					Gas MCF ->	39,886	1,000,000	39,886	85,476	2.5184
48												
49 SANFRD 5	394	85,531	30.9	92.5	67.0	9,820	Heavy Oil BBLs ->	130,362	6,399,998	834,318	2,098,063	2.4530
50		2,128					Gas MCF ->	26,497	1,000,000	26,497	57,441	2.6995
51												
52 PUTNAM 1	272	51,516	26.3	94.4	82.2	9,179	Gas MCF ->	472,877	1,000,000	472,877	1,199,082	2.3276
53												
54 PUTNAM 2	272	60,693	31.0	91.4	83.3	9,154	Gas MCF ->	555,577	1,000,000	555,577	1,406,412	2.3173
55												
56 MANATE 1	805	74,162	12.8	95.9	46.1	10,290	Heavy Oil BBLs ->	119,244	6,399,998	763,162	1,912,799	2.5792
57												
58 MANATE 2	805	59,179	10.2	90.6	55.9	10,332	Heavy Oil BBLs ->	95,535	6,399,998	611,425	1,533,248	2.5909
59												
60 FT MY 1	142	43,452	42.5	81.3	64.7	10,319	Heavy Oil BBLs ->	70,056	6,399,995	448,361	1,084,307	2.4954
61												
62 FT MY 2	415	230,257	77.1	82.9	79.2	9,413	Heavy Oil BBLs ->	338,649	6,399,999	2,167,354	5,239,438	2.2755
63												

Estimated For The Period of : Dec-97

(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)
Plant Unit	Net Capb (MW)	Net Gen (MWH)	Capac FAC (%)	Equiv Avail FAC (%)	Net Out FAC (%)	Avg Net Heat Rate (BTU/KWH)	Fuel Type	Fuel Burned (Units)	Fuel Heat Value (BTU/Unit)	Fuel Burned (MMBTU)	As Burned Fuel Cost (\$)	Fuel Cost per KWH (C/KWH)
64 CUTLER 5	72	12	0.0	96.0	83.4	12,210	Gas MCF ->	148	1,000,000	148	378	3.1240
65												
66 CUTLER 6	145	33	0.0	96.0	75.1	11,415	Gas MCF ->	379	1,000,000	379	969	2.9187
67												
68 MARTIN 1	821	315	0.4	96.0	35.9	10,811	Heavy Oil BBLs ->	506	6,400,040	3,237	9,623	3.0559
69		1,820					Gas MCF ->	19,837	1,000,000	19,837	50,854	2.7949
70												
71 MARTIN 2	821	565	2.3	83.1	43.6	10,733	Heavy Oil BBLs ->	988	6,400,293	5,684	16,901	2.9892
72		13,048					Gas MCF ->	140,437	1,000,000	140,437	350,817	2.6886
73												
74 MARTIN 3	460	326,234	98.5	94.2	99.9	6,898	Gas MCF ->	2,250,329	1,000,000	2,250,329	5,725,798	1.7551
75												
76 MARTIN 4	460	327,295	98.8	93.7	100.0	6,892	Gas MCF ->	2,255,586	1,000,000	2,255,586	5,739,262	1.7535
77												
78 FM GT	636	0	0.0	95.0		0	Light Oil BBLs ->	0	4,000,000	0	2	
79												
80 FL GT	792	41	0.0	92.0	84.2	15,195	Gas MCF ->	621	1,000,000	621	1,595	3.9093
81												
82 PE GT	396	1	0.0	92.0		0	Gas MCF ->	12	1,000,000	12	30	4.2857
83												
84 SJRPP 10	116	83,555	0.0	94.2	99.9	9,389	Coal TONS ->	30,878	25,472,978	786,560	1,242,396	1.4869
85												
86 SJRPP 20	116	83,604	0.0	87.9	100.5	9,316	Coal TONS ->	30,655	25,472,970	780,874	1,233,413	1.4753
87												
88 SCHER #4	605	410,086	94.1	90.8	94.1	10,270	Coal TONS ->	230,854	18,243,999	4,211,708	7,210,965	1.7584
89												
90 TOTAL	16,402	4,686,808				9,472				44,393,050	71,706,527	1.5300

21

Estimated For The Period of : Jan-98

(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)
Plant Unit	Net Capb (MW)	Net Gen (MWH)	Capac FAC (%)	Equiv A/rail FAC (%)	Net Out FAC (%)	Avg Net Heat Rate (BTU/KWH)	Fuel Type	Fuel Burned (Units)	Fuel Heat Value (BTU/Unit)	Fuel Burned (MMBTU)	As Burned Fuel Cost (\$)	Fuel Cost per KWH (C/KWH)
1 TRKY O 1	404	14,497	9.6	94.2	36.6	10,793	Heavy Oil BBLs ->	22,435	6,400,000	143,581	378,803	2.6131
2		14,346					Gas MCF ->	167,723	1,000,000	167,723	371,172	2.5873
3												
4 TRKY O 2	403	14,694	12.4	93.1	34.3	10,961	Heavy Oil BBLs ->	22,752	6,399,995	145,615	384,169	2.6146
5		22,597					Gas MCF ->	263,118	1,000,000	263,118	582,279	2.5768
6												
7 TRKY N 3	717	506,776	95.0	90.5	100.0	10,792	Nuclear MBTU ->	5,469,315	1,000,000	5,469,315	1,612,353	0.3182
8												
9 TRKY N 4	717	497,174	93.2	83.2	100.0	10,792	Nuclear MBTU ->	5,365,685	1,000,000	5,365,685	1,771,213	0.3563
10												
11 FT LAUD4	452	315,844	93.9	88.7	98.8	7,851	Gas MCF ->	2,479,696	1,000,000	2,479,696	5,487,568	1.7374
12												
13 FT LAUD5	452	325,010	96.6	93.5	99.0	7,841	Gas MCF ->	2,548,471	1,000,000	2,548,471	5,639,765	1.7353
14												
15 PT EVER1	212	3,266	2.1	92.9	42.3	11,509	Heavy Oil BBLs ->	5,735	6,400,024	36,707	94,795	2.9024
16		0					Gas MCF ->	885	1,000,000	885	1,958	979.0000
17												
18 PT EVER2	213	3,030	1.9	95.9	38.5	11,717	Heavy Oil BBLs ->	5,402	6,399,974	34,573	89,286	2.9437
19		0					Gas MCF ->	929	1,000,000	929	2,056	
20												
21 PT EVER3	396	41,087	16.4	87.3	30.9	11,483	Heavy Oil BBLs ->	71,001	6,400,002	454,408	1,173,512	2.8561
22		7,099					Gas MCF ->	98,896	1,000,000	98,896	218,857	3.0831
23												
24 PT EVER4	387	24,454	13.1	80.9	27.2	11,629	Heavy Oil BBLs ->	41,202	6,400,001	263,692	680,987	2.7847
25		13,296					Gas MCF ->	175,300	1,000,000	175,300	387,940	2.9177
26												
27 RIV 3	292	125,609	57.8	78.6	66.9	10,001	Heavy Oil BBLs ->	195,706	6,399,999	1,252,519	2,904,827	2.3126
28		0					Gas MCF ->	3,701	1,000,000	3,701	8,189	
29												
30 RIV 4	292	106,798	49.2	92.5	61.4	10,134	Heavy Oil BBLs ->	168,273	6,399,999	1,076,947	2,497,643	2.3387
31		0					Gas MCF ->	5,327	1,000,000	5,327	11,789	
32												

Estimated For The Period of : Jan-98

(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)
Plant Unit	Net Capb (MW)	Net Gen (MWH)	Capac FAC (%)	Equiv Avail FAC (%)	Net Out FAC (%)	Avg Net Heat Rate (BTU/KWH)	Fuel Type	Fuel Burned (Units)	Fuel Heat Value (BTU/Unit)	Fuel Burned (MMBTU)	As Burned Fuel Cost (\$)	Fuel Cost per KWH (C/KWH)
33 ST LUC 1	853	505,658	79.7	72.7	100.0	10,799	Nuclear MBTU ->	5,460,788	1,000,000	5,460,788	2,041,789	0.4038
34												
35 ST LUC 2	726	513,068	95.0	82.6	100.0	10,799	Nuclear MBTU ->	5,540,812	1,000,000	5,540,812	1,862,257	0.3630
36												
37 CAP CN 1	400	66,164	32.9	92.5	45.5	10,070	Heavy Oil BBLs ->	101,298	6,399,999	648,308	1,600,821	2.4192
38		31,659					Gas MCF ->	336,779	1,000,000	336,779	745,292	2.3541
39												
40 CAP CN 2	400	33,471	33.0	89.3	56.6	10,033	Heavy Oil BBLs ->	49,993	6,400,004	319,954	789,944	2.3601
41		64,786					Gas MCF ->	665,839	1,000,000	665,839	1,473,503	2.2744
42												
43 SANFRD 3	147	2,072	7.4	74.2	48.3	11,242	Heavy Oil BBLs ->	3,281	6,399,939	20,995	52,753	2.5464
44		5,967					Gas MCF ->	69,380	1,000,000	69,380	153,539	2.5731
45												
46 SANFRD 4	394	11,972	21.9	90.9	38.6	10,834	Heavy Oil BBLs ->	18,802	6,400,003	120,334	302,355	2.5256
47		52,196					Gas MCF ->	574,857	1,000,000	574,857	1,272,160	2.4373
48												
49 SANFRD 5	394	22,121	28.5	92.5	46.1	10,603	Heavy Oil BBLs ->	34,262	6,400,008	219,276	550,962	2.4907
50		61,390					Gas MCF ->	666,220	1,000,000	666,220	1,474,346	2.4016
51												
52 PUTNAM 1	272	47,323	23.4	94.4	77.3	9,217	Gas MCF ->	436,159	1,000,000	436,159	965,219	2.0396
53												
54 PUTNAM 2	272	59,791	29.5	91.4	77.5	9,177	Gas MCF ->	548,693	1,000,000	548,693	1,214,257	2.0308
55												
56 MANATE 1	805	48,548	8.1	95.9	31.9	10,771	Heavy Oil BBLs ->	81,703	6,400,007	522,902	1,317,100	2.7130
57												
58 MANATE 2	805	26,149	4.4	90.6	53.2	10,328	Heavy Oil BBLs ->	42,198	6,400,002	270,069	680,257	2.6015
59												
60 FT MY 1	142	36,757	34.8	81.3	53.0	10,432	Heavy Oil BBLs ->	59,914	6,399,995	383,449	920,664	2.5047
61												
62 FT MY 2	415	239,609	77.6	82.9	78.4	9,382	Heavy Oil BBLs ->	351,269	6,400,001	2,248,122	5,397,750	2.2527
63												

23

 Estimated For The Period of : Jan-98

(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)
Plant Unit	Net Capb (MW)	Net Gen (MWH)	Capac FAC (%)	Equiv Avail FAC (%)	Net Out FAC (%)	Avg Net Heat Rate (BTU/KWH)	Fuel Type	Fuel Burned (Units)	Fuel Heat Value (BTU/Unit)	Fuel Burned (MMBTU)	As Burned Fuel Cost (\$)	Fuel Cost per KWH (C/KWH)
64 CUTLER 5	72	119	0.2	96.0	55.8	13,376	Gas MCF ->	1,587	1,000,000	1,587	3,512	2.9587
65												
66 CUTLER 6	145	334	0.3	96.0	76.7	11,793	Gas MCF ->	3,938	1,000,000	3,938	8,715	2.6101
67												
68 MARTIN 1	821	1,032	0.6	96.0	37.9	10,822	Heavy Oil BBLs ->	1,623	6,400,111	10,389	30,891	2.9924
69		2,716					Gas MCF ->	30,173	1,000,000	30,173	66,774	2.4587
70												
71 MARTIN 2	821	906	1.3	83.1	32.2	11,170	Heavy Oil BBLs ->	1,476	6,400,081	9,448	28,092	3.1010
72		7,274					Gas MCF ->	81,915	1,000,000	81,915	181,279	2.4923
73												
74 MARTIN 3	460	334,382	97.7	94.2	99.2	6,903	Gas MCF ->	2,308,151	1,000,000	2,308,151	5,107,937	1.5276
75												
76 MARTIN 4	460	336,559	98.3	93.7	99.4	6,896	Gas MCF ->	2,320,816	1,000,000	2,320,816	5,135,965	1.5260
77												
78 FM GT	636	238	0.1	95.0	96.0	13,420	Light Oil BBLs ->	547	5,830,075	3,191	15,527	6.5294
79												
80 FL GT	792	243	0.3	92.0	99.7	15,102	Light Oil BBLs ->	602	5,830,317	3,512	18,474	7.6119
81		1,633					Gas MCF ->	24,820	1,000,000	24,820	54,926	3.3627
82												
83 PE GT	396	787	0.3	92.0	99.9	16,336	Light Oil BBLs ->	2,205	5,829,946	12,853	67,614	8.5892
84		9					Gas MCF ->	152	1,000,000	152	336	3.7753
85												
86 SJRPP 10	116	86,403	0.0	94.2	100.0	9,389	Coal TONS ->	32,505	24,956,978	811,217	1,282,825	1.4847
87												
88 SJRPP 20	116	85,621	99.6	87.9	99.6	9,315	Coal TONS ->	31,957	24,957,033	797,557	1,261,224	1.4730
89												
90 SCHER #4	605	437,113	97.1	90.8	97.1	10,263	Coal TONS ->	245,906	18,244,002	4,486,301	7,707,216	1.7632
91												
92 TOTAL	16,402	5,159,644				9,660				49,946,039	68,085,246	1.3196

Estimated For The Period of : Feb-98

(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)
Plant Unit	Net Capb (MW)	Net Gen (MWH)	Capac FAC (%)	Equiv Avail FAC (%)	Net Out FAC (%)	Avg Net Heat Rate (BTU/KWH)	Fuel Type	Fuel Burned (Units)	Fuel Heat Value (BTU/Unit)	Fuel Burned (MMBTU)	As Burned Fuel Cost (\$)	Fuel Cost per KWH (C/KWH)
1 TRKY O 1	404	10,102	8.1	94.2	40.7	10,625	Heavy Oil BBLs ->	15,635	6,400,004	100,065	263,389	2.6072
2		11,811					Gas MCF ->	132,773	1,000,000	132,773	257,422	2.1795
3												
4 TRKY O 2	403	6,633	10.3	93.1	39.9	10,753	Heavy Oil BBLs ->	10,232	6,400,004	65,487	172,399	2.5993
5		21,370					Gas MCF ->	235,629	1,000,000	235,629	455,498	2.1315
6												
7 TRKY N 3	717	457,733	95.0	90.5	100.0	10,792	Nuclear MBTU ->	4,940,026	1,000,000	4,940,026	1,457,166	0.3183
8												
9 TRKY N 4	717	449,060	93.2	83.2	100.0	10,792	Nuclear MBTU ->	4,846,426	1,000,000	4,846,426	1,601,051	0.3565
10												
11 FT LAUD4	452	292,851	96.4	88.7	99.4	7,845	Gas MCF ->	2,297,369	1,000,000	2,297,369	4,340,069	1.4820
12												
13 FT LAUD5	452	295,641	97.3	93.5	99.6	7,836	Gas MCF ->	2,316,755	1,000,000	2,316,755	4,376,405	1.4803
14												
15 PT EVER1	212	840	0.6	92.9	65.3	10,876	Heavy Oil BBLs ->	1,404	6,399,900	8,983	23,021	2.7422
16		0					Gas MCF ->	148	1,000,000	148	271	
17												
18 PT EVER2	213	815	0.6	95.9	63.6	10,987	Heavy Oil BBLs ->	1,372	6,399,796	8,782	22,506	2.7618
19		0					Gas MCF ->	155	1,000,000	155	284	
20												
21 PT EVER3	396	71,413	27.4	87.3	46.6	10,458	Heavy Oil BBLs ->	115,083	6,399,999	736,531	1,888,573	2.6446
22		1,372					Gas MCF ->	24,668	1,000,000	24,668	49,077	3.5778
23												
24 PT EVER4	387	33,888	17.7	80.9	38.3	10,765	Heavy Oil BBLs ->	54,380	6,399,995	348,031	892,673	2.6342
25		12,110					Gas MCF ->	147,120	1,000,000	147,120	277,257	2.2895
26												
27 RIV 3	292	126,267	69.6	78.6	78.4	9,924	Heavy Oil BBLs ->	194,480	6,399,999	1,244,674	2,832,826	2.2435
28		10,342					Gas MCF ->	111,012	1,000,000	111,012	204,257	1.9750
29												
30 RIV 4	292	92,921	59.2	92.5	71.9	10,113	Heavy Oil BBLs ->	144,641	6,400,002	925,702	2,107,676	2.2683
31		23,236					Gas MCF ->	248,936	1,000,000	248,936	457,616	1.9694
32												

25

Date: 5/13/97

Company: Florida Power & Light

Schedule E4

Page: 14

Estimated For The Period of : Feb-98

(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)
Plant Unit	Net Capb (MW)	Net Gen (MWH)	Capac FAC (%)	Equiv Avail FAC (%)	Net Out FAC (%)	Avg Net Heat Rate (BTU/KWH)	Fuel Type	Fuel Burned (Units)	Fuel Heat Value (BTU/Unit)	Fuel Burned (MMBTU)	As Burned Fuel Cost (\$)	Fuel Cost per KWH (C/KWH)
33 ST LUC 1	853	544,555	95.0	72.7	100.0	10,799	Nuclear MBTU ->	5,880,849	1,000,000	5,880,849	2,198,849	0.4038
34												
35 ST LUC 2	726	463,417	95.0	82.6	100.0	10,799	Nuclear MBTU ->	5,004,604	1,000,000	5,004,604	1,682,906	0.3632
36												
37 CAP CN 1	400	64,159	35.2	92.5	52.2	9,943	Heavy Oil BBLs ->	96,667	6,400,000	618,666	1,513,565	2.3591
38		30,478					Gas MCF ->	322,333	1,000,000	322,333	603,722	1.9809
39												
40 CAP CN 2	400	46,424	46.3	89.3	62.9	9,930	Heavy Oil BBLs ->	69,215	6,400,000	442,976	1,084,092	2.3352
41		78,155					Gas MCF ->	794,050	1,000,000	794,050	1,483,466	1.8981
42												
43 SANFRD 3	147	409	4.1	74.2	56.4	11,049	Heavy Oil BBLs ->	647	6,399,722	4,142	10,389	2.5407
44		3,680					Gas MCF ->	41,035	1,000,000	41,035	80,259	2.1810
45												
46 SANFRD 4	394	4,587	16.6	90.9	44.1	10,680	Heavy Oil BBLs ->	7,233	6,400,041	46,292	116,073	2.5308
47		39,358					Gas MCF ->	423,014	1,000,000	423,014	805,745	2.0472
48												
49 SANFRD 5	394	17,001	28.8	92.5	53.9	10,395	Heavy Oil BBLs ->	26,043	6,399,997	166,873	417,812	2.4576
50		59,137					Gas MCF ->	624,735	1,000,000	624,735	1,182,122	1.9990
51												
52 PUTNAM 1	272	38,876	21.3	94.4	78.3	9,192	Gas MCF ->	357,353	1,000,000	357,353	683,099	1.7571
53												
54 PUTNAM 2	272	47,965	26.2	91.4	78.8	9,168	Gas MCF ->	439,734	1,000,000	439,734	847,820	1.7676
55												
56 MANATE 1	805	33,789	6.2	95.9	35.8	10,529	Heavy Oil BBLs ->	55,587	6,399,998	355,755	895,225	2.6495
57												
58 MANATE 2	805	13,263	2.5	90.6	51.3	10,323	Heavy Oil BBLs ->	21,392	6,399,992	136,907	344,470	2.5972
59												
60 FT MY 1	142	35,547	37.3	81.3	57.4	10,377	Heavy Oil BBLs ->	57,633	6,399,999	368,852	872,991	2.4559
61												
62 FT MY 2	415	223,277	80.1	82.9	81.9	9,376	Heavy Oil BBLs ->	327,116	6,400,000	2,093,543	4,954,722	2.2191
63												

Date: 5/13/97

Company: Florida Power & Light

Schedule E4

Page: 15

Estimated For The Period of : Feb-98

(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)
Plant Unit	Net Capb (MW)	Net Gen (MWH)	Capac FAC (%)	Equiv Avail FAC (%)	Net Out FAC (%)	Avg Net Heat Rate (BTU/KWH)	Fuel Type	Fuel Burned (Units)	Fuel Heat Value (BTU/Unit)	Fuel Burned (MMBTU)	As Burned Fuel Cost (\$)	Fuel Cost per KWH (C/KWH)
64 CUTLER 5	72	9	0.0	96.0	86.8	12,210	Gas MCF ->	107	1,000,000	107	196	2.2273
65												
66 CUTLER 6	145	21	0.0	96.0	82.7	11,415	Gas MCF ->	244	1,000,000	244	449	2.0981
67												
68 MARTIN 1	821	317	0.3	96.0	39.0	10,806	Heavy Oil BBLS ->	505	6,400,277	3,232	9,609	3.0331
69		1,578					Gas MCF ->	17,248	1,000,000	17,248	31,683	2.0073
70												
71 MARTIN 2	821	268	0.4	83.1	48.1	10,611	Heavy Oil BBLS ->	420	6,400,048	2,686	7,987	2.9791
72		2,113					Gas MCF ->	22,578	1,000,000	22,578	41,476	1.9631
73												
74 MARTIN 3	460	303,915	98.3	94.2	99.7	6,893	Gas MCF ->	2,094,929	1,000,000	2,094,929	3,959,371	1.3028
75												
76 MARTIN 4	460	305,252	98.7	93.7	99.8	6,893	Gas MCF ->	2,104,009	1,000,000	2,104,009	3,976,880	1.3028
77												
78 FM GT	636	0	0.0	95.0		0	Light Oil BBLS ->	0	8,000,000	1	4	4.0000
79												
80 FL GT	792	37	0.0	92.0	86.6	15,195	Gas MCF ->	561	1,000,000	561	1,031	2.7940
81												
82 PE GT	396	1	0.0	92.0		0	Gas MCF ->	16	1,000,000	16	30	3.3333
83												
84 SJRPP 10	116	78,076	0.0	94.2	100.0	9,389	Coal TONS ->	29,375	24,937,969	732,563	1,159,753	1.4854
85												
86 SJRPP 20	116	77,469	99.8	87.9	99.8	9,316	Coal TONS ->	28,919	24,937,957	721,176	1,141,726	1.4738
87												
88 SCHER #4	605	399,936	98.4	90.8	98.4	10,266	Coal TONS ->	225,041	18,244,001	4,105,643	7,065,715	1.7667
89												
90 TOTAL	16,402	4,831,470				9,659				46,665,773	58,852,673	1.2181

27

 Estimated For The Period of : Mar-98

(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)
Plant Unit	Net Capb (MW)	Net Gen (MWH)	Capac FAC (%)	Equiv Avail FAC (%)	Net Out FAC (%)	Avg Net Heat Rate (BTU/KWH)	Fuel Type	Fuel Burned (Units)	Fuel Heat Value (BTU/Unit)	Fuel Burned (MMBTU)	As Burned Fuel Cost (\$)	Fuel Cost per KWH (C/KWH)
1 TRKY O 1	404	33,597	19.1	94.2	64.6	9,953	Heavy Oil BBLs ->	50,493	6,400,004	323,154	840,920	2.5030
2		23,938					Gas MCF ->	249,507	1,000,000	249,507	493,712	2.0625
3												
4 TRKY O 2	403	18,023	23.8	93.1	63.3	10,108	Heavy Oil BBLs ->	27,021	6,399,999	172,936	450,022	2.4970
5		53,463					Gas MCF ->	549,645	1,000,000	549,645	1,087,587	2.0343
6												
7 TRKY N 3	717	506,776	95.0	90.5	100.0	10,792	Nuclear MBTU ->	5,469,315	1,000,000	5,469,315	1,614,400	0.3186
8												
9 TRKY N 4	717	497,174	93.2	83.2	100.0	10,792	Nuclear MBTU ->	5,365,685	1,000,000	5,365,685	1,773,758	0.3568
10												
11 FT LAUD4	452	166,858	49.6	88.7	99.6	7,854	Gas MCF ->	1,310,509	1,000,000	1,310,509	2,547,429	1.5267
12												
13 FT LAUD5	452	328,936	97.8	93.5	99.9	7,832	Gas MCF ->	2,576,155	1,000,000	2,576,155	5,051,014	1.5356
14												
15 PT EVER1	212	9,438	6.0	92.9	53.7	11,046	Heavy Oil BBLs ->	16,060	6,400,019	102,784	259,169	2.7460
16		0					Gas MCF ->	1,472	1,000,000	1,472	2,912	
17												
18 PT EVER2	213	6,864	4.3	95.9	46.4	11,348	Heavy Oil BBLs ->	11,929	6,399,998	76,348	192,509	2.8045
19		0					Gas MCF ->	1,548	1,000,000	1,548	3,064	
20												
21 PT EVER3	396	2,400	0.8	87.3	30.3	11,520	Heavy Oil BBLs ->	4,224	6,399,938	27,036	69,287	2.8874
22		0					Gas MCF ->	606	1,000,000	606	1,113	
23												
24 PT EVER4	387	89,995	34.2	80.9	62.5	9,968	Heavy Oil BBLs ->	138,403	6,400,002	885,781	2,233,580	2.4819
25		8,351					Gas MCF ->	94,525	1,000,000	94,525	184,000	2.2032
26												
27 RIV 3	292	5,801	3.6	78.6	74.5	10,065	Heavy Oil BBLs ->	8,939	6,400,000	57,206	129,935	2.2401
28		2,064					Gas MCF ->	21,943	1,000,000	21,943	40,310	1.9532
29												
30 RIV 4	292	162,185	79.5	92.5	91.3	9,894	Heavy Oil BBLs ->	249,310	6,400,001	1,595,583	3,524,904	2.1734
31		10,533					Gas MCF ->	113,290	1,000,000	113,290	215,322	2.0442
32												

Estimated For The Period of : Mar-98

(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)
Plant Unit	Net Capb (MW)	Net Gen (MWH)	Capac FAC (%)	Equiv Avail FAC (%)	Net Out FAC (%)	Avg Net Heat Rate (BTU/KWH)	Fuel Type	Fuel Burned (Units)	Fuel Heat Value (BTU/Unit)	Fuel Burned (MMBTU)	As Burned Fuel Cost (\$)	Fuel Cost per KWH (C/KWH)
33 ST LUC 1	853	602,900	95.0	72.7	100.0	10,799	Nuclear MBTU ->	6,510,940	1,000,000	6,510,940	2,437,844	0.4044
34												
35 ST LUC 2	726	513,068	95.0	82.6	100.0	10,799	Nuclear MBTU ->	5,540,812	1,000,000	5,540,812	1,864,823	0.3635
36												
37 CAP CN 1	400	140,899	52.6	92.5	72.4	9,585	Heavy Oil BBLs ->	208,604	6,400,000	1,335,062	3,164,678	2.2461
38		15,736					Gas MCF ->	166,346	1,000,000	166,346	319,192	2.0285
39												
40 CAP CN 2	400	114,348	63.5	89.3	79.5	9,625	Heavy Oil BBLs ->	167,777	6,399,999	1,073,774	2,545,179	2.2258
41		74,616					Gas MCF ->	745,072	1,000,000	745,072	1,454,505	1.9493
42												
43 SANFRD 3	147	151	1.4	74.2	69.9	10,701	Heavy Oil BBLs ->	234	6,399,230	1,496	3,700	2.4585
44		1,354					Gas MCF ->	14,606	1,000,000	14,606	28,894	2.1337
45												
46 SANFRD 4	394	15,111	38.0	90.9	66.0	10,176	Heavy Oil BBLs ->	22,864	6,400,004	146,327	362,059	2.3959
47		96,391					Gas MCF ->	988,343	1,000,000	988,343	1,954,565	2.0277
48												
49 SANFRD 5	394	44,338	46.0	92.5	71.9	10,044	Heavy Oil BBLs ->	66,817	6,400,002	427,626	1,058,192	2.3867
50		90,498					Gas MCF ->	926,696	1,000,000	926,696	1,827,767	2.0197
51												
52 PUTNAM 1	272	68,661	33.9	94.4	85.7	9,108	Gas MCF ->	625,369	1,000,000	625,369	1,227,421	1.7877
53												
54 PUTNAM 2	272	84,175	41.6	91.4	85.6	9,100	Gas MCF ->	765,963	1,000,000	765,963	1,500,452	1.7825
55												
56 MANATE 1	805	79,400	13.3	95.9	52.5	10,188	Heavy Oil BBLs ->	126,394	6,399,997	808,918	2,011,954	2.5339
57												
58 MANATE 2	805	8,432	1.4	90.6	50.4	10,263	Heavy Oil BBLs ->	13,521	6,400,043	86,537	215,239	2.5528
59												
60 FT MY 1	142	57,317	54.3	81.3	72.5	10,175	Heavy Oil BBLs ->	91,121	6,400,002	583,173	1,330,586	2.3215
61												
62 FT MY 2	415	263,098	85.2	82.9	88.2	9,376	Heavy Oil BBLs ->	385,439	6,400,001	2,466,811	5,635,305	2.1419
63												

Date: 5/13/97

Company: Florida Power & Light

Schedule E4

Page: 18

Estimated For The Period of : Mar-98

(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)
Plant Unit	Net Capb (MW)	Net Gen (MWH)	Capac FAC (%)	Equiv Avail FAC (%)	Net Out FAC (%)	Avg Net Heat Rate (BTU/KWH)	Fuel Type	Fuel Burned (Units)	Fuel Heat Value (BTU/Unit)	Fuel Burned (MMBTU)	As Burned Fuel Cost (\$)	Fuel Cost per KWH (C/KWH)
64 CUTLER 5	72	73	0.1	96.0	41.8	14,626	Gas MCF ->	1,063	1,000,000	1,063	2,103	2.8927
65												
66 CUTLER 6	145	260	0.2	96.0	50.5	12,250	Gas MCF ->	3,190	1,000,000	3,190	6,313	2.4243
67												
68 MARTIN 1	821	1,643	2.1	96.0	38.1	10,812	Heavy Oil BBLS ->	2,634	6,400,084	16,856	50,119	3.0510
69		11,056					Gas MCF ->	120,453	1,000,000	120,453	238,377	2.1560
70												
71 MARTIN 2	821	2,676	3.2	83.1	40.6	10,754	Heavy Oil BBLS ->	4,257	6,400,023	27,245	81,010	3.0266
72		16,652					Gas MCF ->	180,601	1,000,000	180,601	357,408	2.1464
73												
74 MARTIN 3	460	337,335	98.6	94.2	100.0	6,891	Gas MCF ->	2,324,671	1,000,000	2,324,671	4,557,927	1.3512
75												
76 MARTIN 4	460	338,626	98.9	93.7	100.0	6,891	Gas MCF ->	2,333,564	1,000,000	2,333,564	4,575,367	1.3512
77												
78 FM GT	636	4	0.0	95.0	81.8	13,420	Light Oil BBLS ->	10	5,821,053	55	269	6.5610
79												
80 FL GT	792	991	0.2	92.0	97.6	15,195	Gas MCF ->	15,053	1,000,000	15,053	29,789	3.0072
81												
82 PE GT	396	22	0.0	92.0	89.7	17,143	Gas MCF ->	374	1,000,000	374	739	3.3899
83												
84 SJRPP 10	116	86,435	0.0	94.2	100.0	9,389	Coal TONS ->	32,543	24,937,992	811,550	1,283,345	1.4848
85												
86 SJRPP 20	116	5,547	6.5	87.9	100.0	9,316	Coal TONS ->	2,072	24,937,796	51,676	81,762	1.4740
87												
88 SCHER #4	605	328,924	73.1	90.8	98.5	10,268	Coal TONS ->	185,120	18,243,997	3,377,325	5,819,911	1.7694
89												
90 TOTAL	16,402	5,327,132				9,662				51,472,571	66,741,741	1.2529

Estimated For The Period of : Oct-97 Thru Mar-98

(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)
Plant Unit	Net Capb (MW)	Net Gen (MWH)	Capac FAC (%)	Equip Avail FAC (%)	Net Out FAC (%)	Avg Net Heat Rate (BTU/KWH)	Fuel Type	Fuel Burned (Units)	Fuel Heat Value (BTU/Unit)	Fuel Burned (MMBTU)	As Burned Fuel Cost (\$)	Fuel Cost per KWH (C/KWH)
1 TRKY O 1	404	159,181	22.0	0.0	63.3	10,139	Heavy Oil BBLs ->	241,640	6,400,000	1,546,493	4,062,982	2.5524
2		226,939					Gas MCF ->	2,368,420	1,000,000	2,368,420	5,056,344	2.2281
3												
4 TRKY O 2	403	65,022	17.6	0.0	58.7	10,306	Heavy Oil BBLs ->	99,035	6,399,997	633,821	1,664,069	2.5592
5		243,904					Gas MCF ->	2,549,834	1,000,000	2,549,834	5,109,905	2.0950
6												
7 TRKY N 3	717	2,917,866	93.7	0.0	99.5	10,844	Nuclear MBTU ->	31,640,543	1,000,000	31,640,543	9,404,399	0.3223
8												
9 TRKY N 4	717	2,613,342	83.9	0.0	99.8	10,811	Nuclear MBTU ->	28,253,255	1,000,000	28,253,255	9,381,944	0.3590
10												
11 FT LAUD4	452	1,727,115	88.0	0.0	98.7	7,851	Gas MCF ->	13,560,372	1,000,000	13,560,372	28,202,580	1.6329
12												
13 FT LAUD5	452	1,782,174	90.8	0.0	98.8	7,846	Gas MCF ->	13,983,206	1,000,000	13,983,206	28,961,589	1.6251
14												
15 PT EVER1	212	25,208	4.6	0.0	61.6	11,139	Heavy Oil BBLs ->	42,921	6,400,019	274,693	694,668	2.7557
16		17,250					Gas MCF ->	198,233	1,000,000	198,233	464,584	2.6933
17												
18 PT EVER2	213	21,780	3.8	0.0	57.2	11,283	Heavy Oil BBLs ->	37,459	6,399,992	239,734	605,064	2.7780
19		12,950					Gas MCF ->	152,121	1,000,000	152,121	356,623	2.7538
20												
21 PT EVER3	396	389,595	34.8	0.0	61.4	10,240	Heavy Oil BBLs ->	613,401	6,400,000	3,925,766	9,918,183	2.5458
22		208,738					Gas MCF ->	2,200,930	1,000,000	2,200,930	5,193,450	2.4880
23												
24 PT EVER4	387	186,918	18.6	0.0	52.5	10,418	Heavy Oil BBLs ->	294,114	6,400,000	1,882,328	4,783,465	2.5591
25		126,397					Gas MCF ->	1,381,691	1,000,000	1,381,691	3,126,264	2.4734
26												
27												
28 RIV 3	292	715,191	58.7	0.0	77.9	9,932	Heavy Oil BBLs ->	1,105,468	6,400,000	7,074,992	16,264,477	2.2741
29		29,950					Gas MCF ->	326,020	1,000,000	326,020	633,941	2.1167
30												

Date: 5/13/97

Company: Florida Power & Light

Schedule E4
Page: 20

Estimated For The Period of :							Oct-97	Thru	Mar-98	-----		
(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)
Plant Unit	Net Capb (MW)	Net Gen (MWH)	Capac FAC (%)	Equiv Avail FAC (%)	Net Out FAC (%)	Avg Net Heat Rate (BTU/KWH)	Fuel Type	Fuel Burned (Units)	Fuel Heat Value (BTU/Unit)	Fuel Burned (MMBTU)	As Burned Fuel Cost (\$)	Fuel Cost per KWH (C/KWH)
31 RIV 4	292	698,303	61.8	0.0	76.6	10,039	Heavy Oil BBLs ->	1,085,824	6,400,000	6,949,274	15,855,514	2.2708
32		85,131					Gas MCF ->	915,856	1,000,000	915,856	1,783,647	2.0952
33												
34 ST LUC 1	853	2,054,827	55.5	0.0	99.7	10,826	Nuclear MBTU ->	22,244,828	1,000,000	22,244,828	8,334,801	0.4056
35		0						0		0	0	0.0000
36												
37 ST LUC 2	726	2,988,051	94.7	0.0	99.7	10,820	Nuclear MBTU ->	32,330,331	1,000,000	32,330,331	10,955,986	0.3667
38												
39 CAP CN 1	400	655,451	45.6	0.0	63.9	9,732	Heavy Oil BBLs ->	980,404	6,400,000	6,274,586	15,211,999	2.3208
40		136,833					Gas MCF ->	1,435,819	1,000,000	1,435,819	2,891,161	2.1129
41												
42 CAP CN 2	400	497,434	48.6	0.0	71.5	9,746	Heavy Oil BBLs ->	738,055	6,400,000	4,723,554	11,424,473	2.2967
43		346,197					Gas MCF ->	3,498,713	1,000,000	3,498,713	6,978,179	2.0151
44												
45 SANFRD 3	147	3,745	3.3	0.0	58.7	10,967	Heavy Oil BBLs ->	5,900	6,399,976	37,757	94,464	2.5221
46		17,645					Gas MCF ->	196,838	1,000,000	196,838	390,261	2.2117
47												
48												
49 SANFRD 4	394	99,530	23.7	0.0	59.7	10,295	Heavy Oil BBLs ->	152,635	6,400,002	976,866	2,444,114	2.4557
50		306,266					Gas MCF ->	3,200,747	1,000,000	3,200,747	6,300,915	2.0573
51												
52 SANFRD 5	394	244,201	32.0	0.0	64.5	10,132	Heavy Oil BBLs ->	371,369	6,400,000	2,376,887	5,923,441	2.4256
53		303,133					Gas MCF ->	3,168,731	1,000,000	3,168,731	6,306,688	2.0805
54												
55 PUTNAM 1	272	366,878	32.7	0.0	84.3	9,130	Gas MCF ->	3,532,109	1,000,000	3,532,109	7,150,010	1.8481
56												
57 PUTNAM 2	272	461,013	39.0	0.0	84.4	9,117	Gas MCF ->	4,202,847	1,000,000	4,202,847	8,522,401	1.8486
58												
59 MANATE 1	805	419,677	12.0	0.0	48.8	10,267	Heavy Oil BBLs ->	673,224	6,399,999	4,308,630	10,707,200	2.5513
60												

Estimated For The Period of :													
							Oct-97	Thru	Mar-98				
(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	
Plant Unit	Net C:ipb (MW)	Net Gen (MWH)	Capac FAC (%)	Equiv Avail FAC (%)	Net Out FAC (%)	Avg Net Heat Rate (BTU/KWH)	Fuel Type	Fuel Burned (Units)	Fuel Heat Value (BTU/Unit)	Fuel Burned (MMBTU)	As Burned Fuel Cost (\$)	Fuel Cost per KWH (C/KWH)	
61 MANATE 2	805	317,500	9.1	0.0	63.2	10,205	Heavy Oil BBLs ->	506,253	6,400,001	3,240,018	8,021,020	2.5263	
62													
63 FT MY 1	142	263,551	42.7	0.0	65.4	10,295	Heavy Oil BBLs ->	423,949	6,400,000	2,713,272	6,383,126	2.4220	
64													
65 FT MY 2	415	1,424,370	79.0	0.0	83.8	9,390	Heavy Oil BBLs ->	2,089,815	6,400,000	13,374,817	31,529,856	2.2136	
66													
67 CUTLER 5	72	251	0.1	0.0	58.0	13,492	Gas MCF ->	3,381	1,000,000	3,381	7,040	2.8093	
68													
69 CUTLER 6	145	762	0.1	0.0	65.6	11,899	Gas MCF ->	9,061	1,000,000	9,061	18,789	2.4674	
70													
71 MARTIN 1	821	4,481	0.8	0.0	39.0	10,880	Heavy Oil BBLs ->	7,187	6,400,056	45,994	136,758	3.0523	
72		24,039					Gas MCF ->	264,280	1,000,000	264,280	524,316	2.1811	
73													
74 MARTIN 2	821	8,160	2.2	0.0	42.4	10,884	Heavy Oil BBLs ->	13,125	6,400,043	83,998	249,760	3.0608	
75		71,952					Gas MCF ->	787,948	1,000,000	787,948	1,603,174	2.2281	
76													
77 MARTIN 3	460	1,946,712	97.4	0.0	98.8	6,912	Gas MCF ->	13,455,222	1,000,000	13,455,222	27,883,725	1.4323	
78													
79 MARTIN 4	460	1,953,336	97.8	0.0	98.8	6,909	Gas MCF ->	13,496,383	1,000,000	13,496,383	27,970,194	1.4319	
80													
81 FM GT	636	242	0.0	0.0	100.0	13,420	Light Oil BBLs ->	557	5,829,715	3,249	15,810	6.5304	
82													
83													
84 FL GT	792	2,812	0.1	0.0	100.0	15,138	Gas MCF ->	42,724	1,000,000	42,724	90,318	3.2124	
85		243					Light Oil BBLs ->	602	5,830,317	3,512	18,474	7.6119	
86													
87 PE GT	396	34	0.0	0.0	100.0	16,362	Gas MCF ->	585	1,000,000	585	1,191	3.4927	
88		787					Light Oil BBLs ->	2,205	5,829,946	12,853	67,614	8.5892	
89													
90 SJRPP 10	116	504,386	100.1	0.0	100.0	9,407	Coal TONS ->	190,672	24,883,532	4,744,590	7,545,774	1.4960	
91													

Estimated For The Period of :							Oct-97	Thru	Mar-98			
(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)
Plant Unit	Net Capb (MW)	Net Gen (MWH)	Capac FAC (%)	Equiv Avail FAC (%)	Net Out FAC (%)	Avg Net Heat Rate (BTU/KWH)	Fuel Type	Fuel Burned (Units)	Fuel Heat Value (BTU/Unit)	Fuel Burned (MMBTU)	As Burned Fuel Cost (\$)	Fuel Cost per KWH (C/KWH)
92 SJRPP 20	116	422,525	83.9	0.0	99.8	9,336	Coal TONS ->	158,603	24,872,685	3,941,870	6,280,922	1.4865
93												
94 SCHER #4	605	2,417,630	92.0	0.0	96.2	10,263	Coal TONS ->	1,362,722	18,243,999	24,861,505	42,663,467	1.7647
95												
96 TOTAL	16,402	30,537,607				9,616				293,655,082	416,169,085	1.3628
	=====	=====				=====				=====	=====	=====

System Quarterly Fuel Cost

Inventory Analysis

Estimated For the Period of: October 1997 thru March 1998

	October 1997	November 1997	December 1997	January 1998	February 1998	March 1998	Total
Heavy Oil							
1 Purchase:							
2 Units (000Lb)	1,800,304	1,461,115	1,868,408	1,175,327	1,148,790	1,729,149	9,211,463
3 Unit Cost (0000Lb)	13,4380	15,4818	18,2043	18,4840	18,0998	14,8848	18,4289
4 Amount (0)	28,298,000	22,625,000	30,119,000	18,182,000	17,246,000	25,588,000	142,094,000
5							
6 Burned:							
7 Units (000Lb)	1,888,009	1,961,882	1,867,802	1,278,327	1,199,685	1,688,040	9,481,795
8 Unit Cost (0000Lb)	15,2005	15,2005	15,7818	15,6480	15,3804	15,1364	15,3853
9 Amount (0)	30,318,800	23,910,000	29,291,885	19,875,414	18,429,995	24,151,344	145,974,628
10							
11 Ending Inventory:							
12 Units (000Lb)	2,598,276	3,485,810	3,484,415	2,391,316	3,340,393	3,483,504	3,483,504
13 Unit Cost (0000Lb)	16,5395	16,4386	16,8844	16,8864	16,8181	16,3347	13,3347
14 Amount (0)	58,750,512	57,489,334	58,302,281	56,888,807	55,504,277	56,902,025	56,902,025
15							
16 Light Oil							
17							
18							
19 Purchase:							
20 Units (000Lb)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
21 Unit Cost (0000Lb)							
22 Amount (0)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
23							
24 Burned:							
25 Units (000Lb)	0	0	0	3,354	0	9	3,363
26 Unit Cost (0000Lb)				30,2897		29,8889	30,2997
27 Amount (0)	8	0	2	101,818	4	269	101,898
28							
29 Ending Inventory:							
30 Units (000Lb)	150,075	150,075	150,075	148,721	148,721	148,711	148,711
31 Unit Cost (0000Lb)	30,3423	30,3423	30,3423	30,3423	30,3423	30,3423	30,3423
32 Amount (0)	4,553,818	4,553,818	4,553,814	4,451,999	4,451,995	4,451,725	4,451,725
33							
34 Coal - Supp							
35							
36							
37 Purchase:							
38 Units (Tons)	79,555	82,303	49,800	87,299	56,702	40,822	338,591
39 Unit Cost (0000Tons)	30,8341	30,8448	39,0897	39,4697	39,8048	39,4034	39,5548
40 Amount (0)	2,189,000	2,580,000	1,989,000	2,381,000	2,240,000	1,601,000	13,290,000
41							
42 Burned:							
43 Units (Tons)	64,419	65,982	61,833	64,482	58,294	34,815	348,278
44 Unit Cost (0000Tons)	30,3461	30,5078	40,2585	39,4688	39,4805	39,4389	39,6585
45 Amount (0)	2,534,638	2,505,617	2,475,808	2,544,047	2,301,478	1,388,107	13,828,889
46							
47 Ending Inventory:							
48 Units (Tons)	92,119	78,370	86,437	89,274	87,882	83,989	83,889
49 Unit Cost (0000Tons)	29,4551	39,0873	38,7183	38,6238	38,6232	38,6778	38,6778
50 Amount (0)	2,634,596	3,108,723	2,672,327	2,289,218	2,227,883	2,463,740	2,463,740
51							
52 Coal - SCHERER							
53							
54							
55 Purchase:							
56 Units (0000Tons)	8,882,794	4,512,882	4,888,743	8,127,203	4,798,892	3,298,002	28,871,856
57 Unit Cost (0000Tons)	1,7130	1,7151	1,7110	1,7249	1,7281	1,7279	1,7190
58 Amount (0)	11,278,000	7,739,000	7,912,000	8,944,000	8,278,000	8,881,000	42,503,000
59							
60 Burned:							
61 Units (0000Tons)	4,338,485	4,324,047	4,211,700	4,488,309	4,108,848	3,277,289	24,881,518
62 Unit Cost (0000Tons)	1,7110	1,7138	1,7121	1,7179	1,7210	1,7232	1,7180
63 Amount (0)	7,484,154	7,402,808	7,210,868	7,707,216	7,088,716	5,819,911	42,683,487
64							
65 Ending Inventory:							
66 Units (0000Tons)	8,510,103	8,798,308	8,182,381	8,793,948	7,483,468	7,484,161	7,484,161
67 Unit Cost (0000Tons)	1,7111	1,7129	1,7121	1,7179	1,7214	1,7226	1,7226
68 Amount (0)	9,889,187	8,882,173	10,828,194	11,879,409	12,882,397	12,816,584	12,816,584
69							
70 Gas							
71							
72 Burned:							
73 Units (000CF)	18,778,819	14,488,609	10,821,813	13,341,064	12,888,710	14,074,417	84,898,832
74 Unit Cost (0000CF)	2,4479	2,6861	3,4134	2,8977	2,6479	2,8183	2,7251
75 Amount (0)	45,980,580	38,820,430	36,827,040	39,817,450	32,247,580	38,850,390	230,823,480
76							
77							
78 Nuclear							
79							
80							
81 Burned:							
82 Units (0000Tons)	16,880,334	16,378,812	16,847,880	21,208,800	20,871,805	22,886,732	114,468,881
83 Unit Cost (0000Tons)	0,3388	0,3382	0,3385	0,3387	0,3387	0,3389	0,3386
84 Amount (0)	5,673,247	5,325,819	5,157,815	7,287,822	6,888,872	7,890,283	38,077,100

POWER SOLD

Estimated For the Period of : October 1997 Thru March 1998

(1) Month	(2) Sold To	(3) Type & Schedule	(4) Total MWH Sold	(5) MWH Wheeled From Other Systems	(6) MWH From Own Generation	(7A) Fuel Cost (Cents / KWH)	(7B) Total Cost Cents / KWH	(8) Total \$ For Fuel Adjustment (6) * (7A)
October 1997		C	55,807		55,807	2.461	3.295	1,373,422
		OS	28,360		28,360	2.461	3.295	697,928
		S			0			0
	St. Lucie Rel.		29,918		29,918	0.412	0.412	123,360
	80% of Gain							372,347
Total			114,085	0	114,085	1.924	2.539	2,567,057
November 1997		C	183,674		183,674	2.354	3.058	4,323,686
		OS	54,377		54,377	2.354	3.058	1,280,035
		S			0			0
	St. Lucie Rel.		0		0	0.000	0.000	0
	80% of Gain							1,034,452
Total			238,051	0	238,051	2.354	3.058	6,638,173
December 1997		C	129,744		129,744	2.52C	3.144	3,289,537
		OS	30,309		30,309	2.520	3.144	763,799
		S			0			0
	St. Lucie Rel.		0		0	0.000	0.000	0
	80% of Gain							647,679
Total			160,053	0	160,053	2.520	3.144	4,681,015
January 1998		C	141,250		141,250	2.358	2.938	3,330,672
		OS	31,910		31,910	2.358	2.938	752,440
		S			0			0
	St. Lucie Rel.		37,662		37,662	0.406	0.406	152,970
	80% of Gain							655,400
Total			210,822	0	210,822	2.009	2.486	4,891,482
February 1998		C	145,907		145,907	2.287	2.833	3,336,894
		OS	78,769		78,769	2.287	2.833	1,801,447
		S			0			0
	St. Lucie Rel.		40,559		40,559	0.404	0.404	163,770
	80% of Gain							637,322
Total			265,235	0	265,235	1.999	2.462	5,939,433
March 1998		C	158,054		158,054	2.237	2.795	3,535,672
		OS	78,132		78,132	2.237	2.795	1,747,808
		S			0			0
	St. Lucie Rel.		44,904		44,904	0.404	0.404	181,600
	80% of Gain							705,554
Total			281,090	0	281,090	1.944	2.413	6,170,634
Period Total		C	814,436		814,436	2.354	2.976	19,169,883
		OS	301,857		301,857	2.333	2.949	7,043,457
		S	0		0			0
	St. Lucie Rel.		153,043		153,043	0.406	0.406	621,700
	80% of Gain							4,052,754
Total			1,269,336	0	1,269,336	2.114	2.433	30,897,794

Date: 5/13/97

Company: Florida Power & Light

Schedule: E7

Page: 1

Purchased Power
(Exclusive of Economy Energy Purchases)
Estimated for the Period of : October 1997 thru March 1998

(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8A)	(8B)	(9)
Month	Purchase From	Type & Schedule	Total Mwh Purchased	Mwh For Other Utilities	Mwh For Interruptible	Mwh For Firm	Fuel Cost (Cents/Kwh)	Total Cost (Cents/Kwh)	Total \$ For Fuel Adj (7) x (8A)
1997	Sou. Co. (UPS + R)		361,052			361,052	1.733		6,605,220
October	St. Lucie Rel.		42,784			42,784	0.373		159,600
	SJRPP		250,968			250,968	1.534		3,849,670
Total			674,804			674,804	1.573		10,614,490
1997	Sou. Co. (UPS + R)		377,634			377,634	1.739		6,566,680
November	St. Lucie Rel.		44,901			44,901	0.369		165,500
	SJRPP		259,333			259,333	1.520		3,941,280
Total			681,868			681,868	1.565		10,673,460
1997	Sou. Co. (UPS + R)		428,950			428,950	1.746		7,489,730
December	St. Lucie Rel.		43,452			43,452	0.369		160,300
	SJRPP		250,749			250,749	1.441		3,613,870
Total			723,151			723,151	1.558		11,263,900
1998	Sou. Co. (UPS + R)		504,579			504,579	1.812		9,145,350
January	St. Lucie Rel.		44,901			44,901	0.363		163,000
	SJRPP		256,440			256,440	1.479		3,791,560
Total			805,920			805,920	1.625		13,099,910
1998	Sou. Co. (UPS + R)		467,338			467,338	1.803		8,425,610
February	St. Lucie Rel.		40,556			40,556	0.363		147,300
	SJRPP		232,973			232,973	1.480		3,448,500
Total			740,867			740,867	1.623		12,021,410
1998	Sou. Co. (UPS + R)		490,569			490,569	1.797		8,813,370
March	St. Lucie Rel.		44,901			44,901	0.363		163,200
	SJRPP		137,973			137,973	1.483		2,046,530
Total			673,443			673,443	1.637		11,023,100
Period	Sou. Co. (UPS + R)		2,650,122			2,650,122	1.775		47,045,060
Total	St. Lucie Rel.		261,495			261,495	0.367		958,900
	SJRPP		1,388,436			1,388,436	1.490		20,691,410
Total			4,300,053			4,300,053	1.598		68,696,270

Energy Payment to Qualifying Facilities

Estimated for the Period of : October 1997 thru March 1998

(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8A)	(8B)	(9)
Month	Purchase From	Type & Schedule	Total Mwh Purchased	Mwh For Other Utilities	Mwh For Interruptible	Mwh For Firm	Fuel Cost (Cents/Kwh)	Total Cost (Cents/Kwh)	Total \$ For Fuel Adj (7) x (8A)
1997 October	Qual. Facilities		650,418			650,418	1.872	1.872	12,176,373
Total			650,418			650,418	1.872	1.872	12,176,373
1997 November	Qual. Facilities		472,260			472,260	1.941	1.941	9,167,575
Total			472,260			472,260	1.941	1.941	9,167,575
1997 December	Qual. Facilities		670,102			670,102	1.849	1.849	12,393,309
Total			670,102			670,102	1.849	1.849	12,393,309
1998 January	Qual. Facilities		678,427			678,427	1.813	1.813	12,299,565
Total			678,427			678,427	1.813	1.813	12,299,565
1998 February	Qual. Facilities		550,524			550,524	1.792	1.792	9,863,984
Total			550,524			550,524	1.792	1.792	9,863,984
1998 March	Qual. Facilities		604,053			604,053	1.808	1.808	10,924,232
Total			604,053			604,053	1.808	1.808	10,924,232
Period Total	Qual. Facilities		3,625,783			3,625,783	1.843	1.843	66,825,038
Total			3,625,783			3,625,783	1.843	1.843	66,825,038

Economy Energy Purchases

Estimated For the Period of : October 1997 Thru March 1998

(1) Month	(2) Purchase From	(3) Type & Schedule	(4) Total MWH Purchased	(5) Transaction Cost (Cents/KWH)	(6) Total \$ For Fuel ADJ (4) * (5)	(7A) Cost If Generated (Cents / KWH)	(7B) Cost If Generated (\$)	(8) Fuel Savings (7B) - (6)	
1									
2	October	Florida	C	341,805	1.824	6,234,510	2.126	7,266,760	1,032,250
3	1997	Non-Florida	C	207,742	2.124	4,413,340	2.426	5,040,719	627,379
4									
5	Total			549,546	1.938	10,647,850	2.240	12,307,479	1,659,629
6									
7									
8	November	Florida	C	493,411	1.824	8,999,830	2.126	10,489,932	1,490,102
9	1997	Non-Florida	C	155,807	2.101	3,273,560	2.403	3,744,097	470,537
10									
11	Total			649,218	1.890	12,273,390	2.192	14,234,029	1,960,639
12									
13									
14	December	Florida	C	352,318	1.824	6,426,320	2.196	7,736,945	1,310,625
15	1997	Non-Florida	C	103,215	2.076	2,142,250	2.448	2,526,211	383,961
16									
17	Total			455,534	1.881	8,568,570	2.253	10,263,156	1,694,586
18									
19									
20	January	Florida	C	181,270	1.824	3,306,370	2.101	3,808,488	502,118
21	1998	Non-Florida	C	70,401	2.112	1,486,860	2.389	1,681,870	195,010
22									
23	Total			251,671	1.905	4,793,230	2.182	5,490,358	697,128
24									
25									
26	February	Florida	C	219,679	1.824	4,006,960	2.116	4,652,817	645,857
27	1998	Non-Florida	C	23,862	2.131	508,510	2.425	578,665	70,155
28									
29	Total			243,542	1.854	4,515,470	2.148	5,231,482	716,012
30									
31									
32	March	Florida	C	200,703	1.824	3,660,830	2.115	4,244,877	584,047
33	1998	Non-Florida	C	42,658	2.131	909,240	2.422	1,033,375	124,135
34									
35	Total			243,361	1.878	4,570,070	2.169	5,278,252	708,182
36									
37	Period	Florida	C	1,789,187	1.824	32,634,820	2.135	38,199,819	5,564,999
38	Total	Non-Florida	C	603,685	2.109	12,733,760	2.419	14,604,937	1,871,177
39									
40	Total			2,392,872	1.896	45,368,580	2.207	52,804,756	7,436,176
41									

COMPANY: FLORIDA POWER & LIGHT COMPANY

SCHEDULE E10

	APRIL 97 - SEPT 97	OCT 97 - MARCH 98	DIFFERENCE	
			\$	%
BASE	\$47.46	\$47.46	0	0.00%
FUEL	\$21.90	\$16.46	-5.5	-25.05%
CONSERVATION	\$2.62	\$2.62	0	0.00%
CAPACITY PAYMENT	\$5.03	\$6.74	1.71	34.00%
ENVIRONMENTAL	<u>\$0.17</u>	<u>\$0.31</u>	0.14	82.35%
SUBTOTAL	\$77.24	\$73.59	-3.65	-4.73%
GROSS RECEIPTS TAX	<u>\$0.79</u>	<u>\$0.75</u>	<u>(\$0.04)</u>	<u>-5.06%</u>
TOTAL	<u>\$78.03</u>	<u>\$74.34</u>	<u>(\$3.69)</u>	<u>-4.73%</u>

GENERATING SYSTEM COMPARATIVE DATA BY FUEL TYPE

	PERIOD			
	OCT - MAR 1984 - 1985 (COLUMN 1)	OCT - MAR 1985 - 1986 (COLUMN 2)	OCT - MAR 1986 - 1987 (COLUMN 3)	OCT - MAR 1987 - 1988 (COLUMN 4)

	DIFFERENCE (%) FROM PRIOR PERIOD		
	change from (COLUMN 1)	change from (COLUMN 2)	change from (COLUMN 3)

FUEL COST OF SYSTEM NET GENERATION (\$)				
1 HEAVY OIL	156,775,187	79,472,625	107,469,820	145,974,680
2 LIGHT OIL	1,004,742	122,269	33,282	101,619
3 COAL	43,732,845	65,397,375	47,267,830	56,489,180
4 GAS	198,518,623	234,228,690	270,301,220	230,822,820
5 NUCLEAR	47,002,152	45,207,784	44,545,480	38,077,100
6 OTHER (ORIMULSION)	0	0	0	0
7 TOTAL (\$)	417,030,531	417,528,933	469,697,540	471,168,040

	(90.0)	35.2	35.8
	(87.8)	(80.9)	334.4
	29.0	(16.2)	18.8
	41.8	14.4	(14.7)
	(3.6)	(1.7)	(14.9)
	0.0	0.0	0.0
	0.1	12.5	0.4

SYSTEM NET GENERATION (MWH)				
8 HEAVY OIL	7,418,584	3,342,179	4,203,207	6,199,298
9 LIGHT OIL	18,844	1,607	379	1,208
10 COAL	2,720,359	3,295,699	2,789,048	3,344,840
11 GAS	8,272,046	11,684,421	11,508,568	10,418,380
12 NUCLEAR	1,738,442	10,427,491	11,838,080	10,974,086
13 OTHER (ORIMULSION)	0	0	0	0
14 TOTAL (MWH)	28,164,275	28,645,997	30,317,379	30,537,574

	(64.9)	25.8	47.5
	(90.9)	(79.3)	238.1
	21.0	(15.9)	20.8
	40.0	(0.7)	(9.3)
	6.9	13.5	(19.7)
	0.0	0.0	0.0
	1.6	6.8	0.7

UNITS OF FUEL BURNED				
15 HEAVY OIL (BBB)	11,202,602	4,828,440	6,309,829	8,481,795
16 LIGHT OIL (BBB)	32,828	4,284	840	3,354
17 COAL (TON)	1,283,129	1,293,692	1,459,262	1,711,827
18 GAS (MCF)	61,269,204	85,891,441	85,087,265	84,931,633
19 NUCLEAR (MMBTU)	107,834,485	110,965,098	126,059,292	114,468,880
20 OTHER (TONS)	0	0	0	0

	(26.3)	27.7	60.3
	(98.9)	(80.4)	229.2
	(5.5)	18.4	17.8
	56.5	(0.8)	(10.7)
	3.2	14.4	(8.8)
	0.0	0.0	0.0

BTU'S BURNED (MMBTU)				
21 HEAVY OIL	71,802,008	31,212,135	40,382,800	60,883,479
22 LIGHT OIL	158,934	25,002	4,900	18,605
23 COAL	28,841,628	29,791,013	37,688,639	33,590,988
24 GAS	61,269,204	85,891,441	85,087,265	84,931,633
25 NUCLEAR	107,834,485	110,965,098	126,059,292	114,468,880
26 OTHER (ORIMULSION)	0	0	0	0
27 TOTAL (MMBTU)	287,425,861	270,944,896	280,120,196	293,654,583

	(58.4)	38.8	60.3
	(88.8)	(80.2)	298.1
	33.2	(15.3)	21.2
	56.5	(0.8)	(10.7)
	3.2	14.4	(8.8)
	0.0	0.0	0.0
	1.3	7.1	1.2

GENERATION MIX (%MWH)				
28 HEAVY OIL	28.31	11.67	13.88	20.30
29 LIGHT OIL	0.07	0.01	0.00	0.00
30 COAL	9.65	11.49	8.13	10.96
31 GAS	29.30	40.44	37.95	34.12
32 NUCLEAR	34.61	38.40	39.05	34.83
33 OTHER (ORIMULSION)	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
34 TOTAL (%)	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

	-	-	-
	-	-	-
	-	-	-
	-	-	-
	-	-	-
	-	-	-
	-	-	-

FUEL COST PER UNIT				
35 HEAVY OIL (\$/MWH)	14.0901	16.0894	17.0321	15.3653
36 LIGHT OIL (\$/MWH)	30.8158	28.5479	27.8452	30.2952
37 COAL (\$/TON)	34.7042	44.9931	32.3826	32.9966
38 GAS (\$/MCF)	2.7182	2.4635	2.8416	2.7142
39 NUCLEAR (\$/MMBTU)	0.4371	0.4083	0.3909	0.3326
40 OTHER (\$/TON)	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000

	14.4	5.9	(8.6)
	(7.4)	(2.5)	8.8
	29.7	(28.9)	1.9
	(8.4)	15.4	(4.5)
	(6.6)	(14.1)	(5.2)
	0.0	0.0	0.0

FUEL COST PER MMBTU (\$/MMBTU)				
41 HEAVY OIL	2.2082	2.5340	2.6613	2.4055
42 LIGHT OIL	6.3292	4.9310	4.7729	5.1861
43 COAL	1.8477	1.7246	1.7099	1.6537
44 GAS	2.7182	2.4635	2.8416	2.7142
45 NUCLEAR	0.4371	0.4083	0.3909	0.3326
46 OTHER (ORIMULSION)	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
47 TOTAL (\$/MMBTU)	1.5284	1.5410	1.6183	1.6045

	14.8	5.0	(8.6)
	(7.5)	(3.2)	8.9
	4.7	(1.9)	(1.4)
	(8.4)	15.4	(4.5)
	(6.6)	(14.1)	(5.2)
	0.0	0.0	0.0
	(1.2)	6.0	(0.9)

BTU BURNED PER KWH (\$/KWH)				
48 HEAVY OIL	9.695	9.384	9.607	9.789
49 LIGHT OIL	9.301	13.728	13.087	15.422
50 COAL	8.758	8.937	9.999	10.032
51 GAS	7.408	8.278	8.264	8.152
52 NUCLEAR	11.023	10.842	10.725	10.825
53 OTHER (ORIMULSION)	0	0	0	0
54 TOTAL (\$/KWH)	9.458	9.458	9.569	9.816

	(3.2)	2.4	1.9
	44.5	(4.8)	18.0
	1.9	0.8	0.3
	11.8	(0.2)	(1.4)
	(3.5)	0.8	0.9
	0.0	0.0	0.0
	(0.3)	1.2	0.5

GENERATED FUEL COST PER KWH (\$/KWH)				
55 HEAVY OIL	2.1408	2.3779	2.5568	2.3547
56 LIGHT OIL	6.0932	8.1882	8.2373	8.0134
57 COAL	1.6078	1.7127	1.7097	1.8890
58 GAS	2.9130	2.0392	2.3482	2.2127
59 NUCLEAR	0.4815	0.4345	0.3783	0.2601
60 OTHER (ORIMULSION)	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
61 TOTAL (\$/KWH)	1.4797	1.4575	1.5488	1.5420

	11.1	7.5	(7.8)
	21.8	1.1	28.5
	6.0	(0.4)	(1.0)
	1.3	15.2	(8.8)
	(8.9)	(13.4)	(4.3)
	0.0	0.0	0.0
	(1.0)	6.3	(0.4)

*DISTILLATE (GAL, MWH & \$) USED FOR FIRING, NOT STANDBY, ETC. IS INCLUDED IN FOSSIL STEAM PLANTS.

(Continued from Sheet No. 10.100)

ESTIMATED AS-AVAILABLE AVOIDED ENERGY COST

For informational purposes only, the estimated incremental As-Available Energy costs for the next four semi-annual periods are as follows. In addition, As-Available Energy cost payments will include .0018¢/kWh for variable operation and maintenance expenses.

Applicable Period	On-Peak ¢/KWH	Off-Peak ¢/KWH	Average ¢/KWH
October 1, 1997 - March 31, 1998	2.22	2.12	2.14
April 1, 1998 - September 30, 1998	2.37	2.17	2.22
October 1, 1998 - March 31, 1999	2.28	2.16	2.19
April 1, 1999 - September 30, 1999	2.44	2.18	2.25

A MW block size ranging from 35MW to 72 MW has been used to calculate the estimated As-Available Energy cost.

DELIVERY VOLTAGE ADJUSTMENT

The Company's actual hourly As-Available Energy costs shall be adjusted according to the delivery voltage by the following multipliers:

Delivery Voltage	Adjustment Factor
Transmission Voltage Delivery	1.0000
Primary Voltage Delivery	1.0217
Secondary Voltage Delivery	1.0476

For informational purposes the Company's projected annual generation mix and fuel prices are as follows:

PROJECTED ANNUAL GENERATION MIX AND FUEL PRICES

Year	Generation by Fuel Type (%)			Price by Fuel Type (\$/MMBTU)							
	Nuclear	Oil	Gas	Coal	Purchased Power	Ori*	Nuclear	Oil	Gas	Coal	Ori*
1997	26	20	26	8	20	0	.44	2.84	2.43	1.57	0
1998	25	16	32	8	19	0	.44	2.74	2.11	1.59	0
1999	26	12	31	8	18	4	.45	2.84	2.17	1.62	1.50
2000	24	9	29	7	19	12	.46	2.97	2.26	1.64	1.50
2001	25	11	28	7	19	12	.47	3.10	2.40	1.66	1.52
2002	24	11	27	7	20	11	.48	3.31	2.57	1.69	1.55
2003	23	11	26	7	21	11	.49	3.48	2.74	1.73	1.57
2004	23	11	27	7	21	11	.50	3.65	2.92	1.76	1.62
2005	23	12	28	7	20	11	.50	3.84	3.18	1.80	1.66
2006	21	9	33	7	19	10	.51	4.02	3.43	1.82	1.71

*Orimulsion

NOTE: The Company's forecasts are for illustrative purposes, and are subject to frequent revision. Amounts may not add to 100% due to rounding.

(Continued on Sheet No. 10.102)

(Continued from Sheet No. 10.102)

Customer Rate Schedule	Charge(\$)	Customer Rate Schedule	Charge(\$)
GS-1	9.00	CST-1	110.00
GST-1	12.30	GSLD-2	170.00
GSD-1	35.00	GSLDT-2	170.00
GSDT-1	41.50	CS-2	170.00
RS-1	5.65	CST-2	170.00
RST-1	8.95	GSLD-3	400.00
GSLD-1	41.00	CS-3	400.00
GSLDT-1	41.00	CST-3	400.00
CS-1	110.00	GSLDT-3	400.00

B. Interconnection Charge for Non-Variable Utility Expenses:

The Qualifying Facility shall bear the cost required for interconnection, including the metering. The Qualifying Facility shall have the option of (i) payment in full for the interconnection costs upon completion of the interconnection facilities (including the time value of money during the construction) and providing a surety bond, letter of credit or comparable assurance of payment acceptable to the Company adequate to cover the interconnection costs, (ii) payment of monthly invoices from the Company for actual costs progressively incurred by the Company in installing the interconnection facilities, or (iii) upon a showing of credit worthiness, making equal monthly installment payments over a period no longer than thirty-six (36) months toward the full cost of interconnection. In the latter case, the Company shall assess interest at the rate then prevailing for the thirty (30) days highest grade commercial paper rate, such rate to be specified by the Company thirty (30) days prior to the date of each installment payment by the Qualifying Facility.

C. Interconnection Charge for Variable Utility Expenses:

The Qualifying Facility shall be billed monthly for the cost of variable utility expenses associated with the operation and maintenance of the interconnection facilities. These include (a) the Company's inspections of the interconnection facilities and (b) maintenance of any equipment beyond that which would be required to provide normal electric service to the Qualifying Facility if no sales to the Company were involved.

In lieu of payments for actual charges, the Qualifying Facility may pay a monthly charge equal to a percentage of the installed cost of the interconnection facilities necessary for the sale of energy to the Company. The applicable percentages are as follows:

Equipment Type	Charge
Metering Equipment	0.233%
Distribution Equipment	0.250%
Transmission Equipment	0.123%

D. Taxes and Assessments

The Qualifying Facility shall be billed monthly an amount equal to any taxes, assessments or other impositions, for which the Company is liable as a result of its purchases of As-Available Energy produced by the Qualifying Facility. In the event the Company receives a tax benefit as a result of its purchases of As-Available Energy produced by the Qualifying Facility, the Qualifying Facility shall be entitled to a refund in an amount equal to such benefit.

TERMS OF SERVICE

- (1) It shall be the Qualifying Facility's responsibility to inform the Company of any change in the Qualifying Facility's electric generation capability.

(Continue on Sheet No. 10.104)

(Continued from Sheet No. 10.203)

(2) **Payments Starting on January 1, 1997:**

The firm energy rate, in cents per kilowatt-hour (¢/kWh), shall be the following on an hour-by-hour basis: (a) to the extent that FPL's Avoided Unit would have operated, the Company's Avoided Unit Fuel Cost (as defined below), and (b) to the extent that the Company's Avoided Unit would not have been operated, the Company's as-available avoided energy costs calculated by the Company in accordance with Rule 25-17.0825, F.A.C., and FPL's Rate Schedule COG-1, as they may each be amended from time to time. The Company's Avoided Unit Fuel Cost, in cents per kilowatt-hour (¢/kWh) shall be defined as the product of: (a) the average monthly inventory charge-out price of coal burned at the St. Johns River Power Park (as can be calculated from the Company's Fuel Cost Recovery A-3 Schedule) with an appropriate adjustment for delivery to the Martin site in cents per million Btu; (b) an average annual heat rate of 8.42 million Btu per megawatt-hour based on the 1997 907 MW Company KCC Avoided Unit; and (c) an additional .139 cents per kilowatt-hour in mid-1990 \$ for variable operation and maintenance expenses which will be escalated based on the actual Consumer Price Index.

Calculations of payments to the QF shall be based on the sum, over all hours of the billing period, of the product of each hour's avoided energy cost times the purchases by the Company for that hour. All purchases shall be adjusted for losses from the point of metering to the point of interconnection. The calculation of the Company's avoided energy cost reflects the delivery of energy from the geographical area of the Company in which the QF is located. Energy payments to QFs located outside the Company's service territory reflect the region in which the interchange point for the delivery of energy is located.

ESTIMATED AS-AVAILABLE ENERGY COST

For informational purposes only, the estimated incremental avoided energy costs for the next four semi-annual periods are as follows. In addition, avoided energy cost payments will include .001¢/kWh for variable operation and maintenance expenses.

Applicable Period	On-Peak ¢/kWh	Off-Peak ¢/kWh	Average ¢/kWh
October 1, 1997 - March 31, 1998	2.22	2.12	2.14
April 1, 1998 - September 30, 1998	2.37	2.17	2.22
October 1, 1998 - March 31, 1999	2.28	2.16	2.19
April 1, 1999 - September 30, 1999	2.44	2.18	2.25

A MW block size ranging from 35 MW to 72 MW has been used to calculate the estimated avoided energy cost.

ESTIMATED FIRM ENERGY COST

The estimated avoided fuel costs listed below are associated with the Company's Avoided Unit and are based on current estimates of the delivered price of coal to the St. Johns River Power Park coal-fired unit.

SUMMARY										
Year	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006
1997	1.71	1.69	1.72	1.75	1.72	1.74	1.75	1.77	1.76	1.76

DELIVERY VOLTAGE ADJUSTMENT

Energy payments to the QFs within the Company's service territory shall be adjusted according to the delivery voltage by the following multipliers:

Delivery Voltage	Adjustment Factor
Transmission Voltage Delivery	1.0000
Primary Voltage Delivery	1.0217
Secondary Voltage Delivery	1.0476

(Continued on Sheet No. 10.205)

(Continued from Sheet No. 10.205)

B. Interconnection Charge for Non-Variable Utility Expenses

The QF shall bear the cost required for interconnection, including the metering. The QF shall have the option of (i) payment in full for the interconnection costs including the time value of money during the construction of the interconnection facilities and providing a surety bond, letter of credit or comparable assurance of payment acceptable to the Company adequate to cover the interconnection cost estimates, (ii) payment of monthly invoices from the Company for actual costs progressively incurred by the Company in installing the interconnection facilities, or (iii) upon a showing of credit worthiness, making equal monthly installment payments over a period no longer than thirty-six (36) months toward the full cost of interconnection. In the latter case, the Company shall assess interest at the rate then prevailing for thirty (30) day highest grade commercial paper, such rate to be specified by the Company thirty (30) days prior to the date of each installment payment by the QF.

C. Interconnection Charge for Variable Utility Expenses

The QF shall be billed monthly for the variable utility expenses associated with the operation and maintenance of the interconnection facilities. These include (a) the Company's inspections of the interconnection facilities and (b) maintenance of any equipment beyond that which would be required to provide normal electric service to the QF if no sales to the Company were involved.

In lieu of payment for actual charges, the QF may pay a monthly charge equal to a percentage of the installed cost of the interconnection facilities. The applicable percentages are as follows:

<u>Equipment Type</u>	<u>Charge</u>
Metering Equipment	0.233%
Distribution Equipment	0.250%
Transmission Equipment	0.123%

D. Taxes and Assessments

In the event that FPL becomes liable for additional taxes, including interest and/or penalties arising from the Internal Revenue Service's determination, through audit, ruling or other authority, that FPL's early, levelized or early levelized capacity payments to the QF are not fully deductible when paid (additional tax liability), FPL may bill the QF monthly for the costs, including carrying charges, interest and/or penalties, associated with the fact that all or a portion of these early, levelized or early levelized capacity payments are not currently deductible for federal and/or state income tax purposes. FPL, at its option, may offset these costs against amounts due the QF hereunder. These costs would be calculated so as to place FPL in the same economic position in which it would have been if the entire early, levelized or early levelized capacity payments had been deductible in the period in which the payments were made. If FPL decides to appeal the Internal Revenue Service's determination, the decision as to whether the appeal should be made through the administrative or judicial process or both, and all subsequent decisions pertaining to the appeal (both substantive and procedural), shall rest exclusively with FPL.

TERMS OF SERVICE

- (1) It shall be the QF's responsibility to inform the Company of any change in its electric generation capability.
- (2) Any electric service delivered by the Company to a QF located in the Company's service area shall be subject to the following terms and conditions:
 - (a) A QF shall be metered separately and billed under the applicable retail rate schedule, whose terms and conditions shall pertain.
 - (b) A security deposit will be required in accordance with FPSC Rules 25-17.082(5) and 25-6.097, F.A.C., and the following:
 - (i) In the first year of operation, the security deposit should be based upon the singular month in which the QF's projected purchases from the Company exceed, by the greatest amount, the Company's estimated purchases from the QF. The security deposit should be equal to twice the amount of the difference estimated for that month. The deposit is required upon interconnection.
 - (ii) For each year thereafter, a review of the actual sales and purchases between the QF and the Company will be conducted to determine the actual month of maximum difference. The security deposit should be adjusted to equal twice the greatest amount by which the actual monthly purchases by the QF exceed the actual sales to the Company in that month.

(Continued on Sheet No. 10.207)

APPENDIX III
CAPACITY COST RECOVERY

KMD-3
DOCKET NO 970001-EI
FPL WITNESS: K. M. DUBIN
EXHIBIT _____

PAGES 1-11
JUNE 23, 1997

**APPENDIX III
CAPACITY COST RECOVERY**

TABLE OF CONTENTS

<u>PAGE(S)</u>	<u>DESCRIPTION</u>	<u>SPONSOR</u>
3	Capacity Payments	K. Dubin
4	Calculation of Energy & Demand Allocation % By Rate Class	K. Dubin
5	Calculation of Capacity Recovery Factor	K. Dubin
6	Calculation of Final True-Up Amount of \$10,479,736 for the Period October, 1996 through September, 1997	K. Dubin
7,9	Calculation of Estimated/Actual True-Up Amount of \$54,153,821 for the Period October, 1996 Through March, 1997, and Interest Provision	K. Dubin
8,10	Calculation of Estimated/Actual True-Up Amount of \$10,479,736 for the Period April through September, 1997, and Interest Provision	K. Dubin
11	Calculation of Estimated/Actual True-Up Variances for the Period for the Period October, 1996 through September, 1997	K. Dubin

FLORIDA POWER & LIGHT COMPANY
 CALCULATION OF ENERGY & DEMAND ALLOCATION % BY RATE CLASS
 OCTOBER 1997 THROUGH SEPTEMBER 1998

Rate Class	(1) AVG 12CP Load Factor at Meter (%)	(2) Projected Sales at Meter (kwh)	(3) Projected AVG 12 CP at Meter (kW)	(4) Demand Loss Expansion Factor	(5) Energy Loss Expansion Factor	(6) Projected Sales at Generation (kwh)	(7) Projected AVG 12 CP at Generation (kW)	(8) Percentage of Sales at Generation (%)	(9) Percentage of Demand at Generation (%)
RS1	58.222%	43,499,784,003	8,528,956	1.097986885	1.072937537	46,672,551,108	9,364,682	53.88189%	61.62900%
GS1	67.623%	5,013,568,753	846,347	1.097986885	1.072937537	5,379,246,109	929,278	6.18711%	6.11558%
GSD1	79.333%	18,321,877,523	2,636,404	1.097911508	1.072930283	19,658,097,236	2,894,538	22.81038%	19.04698%
OS2	116.281%	21,846,103	2,145	1.061933170	1.046417800	22,860,151	2,278	0.02629%	0.01499%
GSLD1/CS1	82.492%	7,469,585,408	1,033,667	1.096464128	1.072577208	8,011,707,047	1,133,379	9.21492%	7.45877%
GSLD2/CS2	88.362%	1,338,828,241	172,964	1.084787869	1.067887822	1,429,718,374	187,629	1.84444%	1.23479%
GSLD3/CS3	86.822%	630,688,107	82,924	1.031685726	1.024172189	645,933,219	85,552	0.74294%	0.56302%
ISST1D	157.977%	649,890	47	1.097986885	1.072937537	697,259	52	0.00080%	0.00034%
SST1T	42.960%	93,144,443	24,751	1.031685726	1.024172189	95,395,948	25,535	0.10972%	0.16805%
SST1D	125.616%	57,333,557	5,210	1.081884680	1.055087838	60,491,939	5,637	0.06958%	0.03710%
CILC D/CILC G	90.957%	2,870,028,677	360,202	1.088932382	1.068725084	3,067,271,639	392,236	3.52792%	2.58131%
CILC T	101.023%	1,203,092,113	135,949	1.031685726	1.024172189	1,232,173,483	140,257	1.41722%	0.92303%
MET	71.265%	87,146,114	13,960	1.061933170	1.046417800	91,193,338	14,825	0.10489%	0.09756%
OL1/SL1	585.192%	460,469,397	8,963	1.097986885	1.072937537	494,054,890	9,863	0.56825%	0.06491%
SL2	100.003%	75,889,711	8,663	1.097986885	1.072937537	81,424,920	9,512	0.09385%	0.06260%
TOTAL		81,143,934,000	13,861,172			86,942,816,660	15,195,253	100.00%	100.00%

- (1) AVG 12 CP load factor based on actual calendar data.
- (2) Projected kwh sales for the period October 1997 through September 1998.
- (3) Calculated: Col(2)/(8760 hours * Col(1))
- (4) Based on 1996 demand losses.
- (5) Based on 1996 energy losses.
- (6) Col(2) * Col(5).
- (7) Col(3) * Col(4).
- (8) Col(6) / total for Col(6)
- (9) Col(7) / total for Col(7)

FLORIDA POWER & LIGHT COMPANY
 CALCULATION OF CAPACITY PAYMENT RECOVERY FACTOR
 OCTOBER 1997 THROUGH SEPTEMBER 1998

Rate Class	(1) Percentage of Sales at Generation (%)	(2) Percentage of Demand at Generation (%)	(3) Energy Related Cost (\$)	(4) Demand Related Cost (\$)	(5) Total Capacity Costs (\$)	(6) Projected Sales at Meter (kwh)	(7) Billing KW Load Factor (%)	(8) Projected Billed KW at Meter (kw)	(9) Capacity Recovery Factor (\$/kw)	(10) Capacity Recovery Factor (\$/kwh)
RS1	53.68189%	61.62300%	\$19,637,732	\$273,294,270	\$293,132,002	43,499,784,003	-	-	-	0.00674
GS1	6.18711%	6.11558%	\$2,286,399	\$27,119,580	\$29,405,989	5,013,586,753	-	-	-	0.00597
GSD1	22.61038%	19.04686%	\$8,355,493	\$84,477,772	\$92,828,265	18,321,877,523	48.29734%	43,209,950	2.15	-
OS2	0.02629%	0.01499%	\$9,715	\$86,473	\$76,188	21,946,103	-	-	-	0.00349
GSLD1/CS1	9.21492%	7.45977%	\$3,405,303	\$33,075,978	\$36,481,281	7,469,585,408	61.64309%	16,599,279	2.20	-
GSLD2/CS2	1.64444%	1.23479%	\$607,690	\$5,475,687	\$6,083,377	1,338,828,241	66.57246%	2,754,909	2.21	-
GSLD3/CS3	0.74294%	0.58302%	\$274,548	\$2,498,717	\$2,771,265	630,688,107	66.94134%	1,290,817	2.15	-
ISST1D	0.00080%	0.00034%	\$298	\$1,508	\$1,804	649,890	64.14112%	1,388	**	-
SST1T	0.10972%	0.16805%	\$40,548	\$745,219	\$785,765	93,144,443	10.90609%	1,169,944	**	-
SST1D	0.06958%	0.03710%	\$25,713	\$164,520	\$190,233	57,333,557	79.74097%	98,493	**	-
CILC D/CILC G	3.52792%	2.59131%	\$1,303,716	\$11,446,841	\$12,750,557	2,870,028,677	69.22591%	5,079,307	2.25	-
CILC T	1.41722%	0.92303%	\$523,723	\$4,093,184	\$4,616,907	1,203,092,113	75.46840%	2,183,790	2.11	-
MET	0.10489%	0.09750%	\$38,781	\$432,631	\$471,392	87,148,114	59.65019%	200,135	2.38	-
OL1/SL1	0.58825%	0.05491%	\$209,992	\$287,844	\$497,838	460,469,387	-	-	-	-
SL2	0.06365%	0.06280%	\$34,608	\$277,600	\$312,208	75,889,711	-	-	-	-
TOTAL			\$36,954,235	\$443,450,834	\$480,405,069	81,143,934,000		73,247,812		

CAPACITY RECOVERY FACTORS FOR STANDBY RATES

Reservation Demand =	[Total col 5]/(Doc 2, Total col 7)(10) (Doc 2, col 4)
Charge (RDC) =	12 months
Sum of Daily Demand =	[Total col 5]/(Doc 2, Total col 7)(10) (Doc 2, col 4)
Charge (SDD) =	12 months
CAPACITY RECOVERY FACTOR	
RDC	SDD
** (\$/kw)	** (\$/kwh)
\$0.29	\$0.14
\$0.27	\$0.13
\$0.29	\$0.14

Note: There are currently no customers taking service on Schedule ISST1(T). Should any customer begin taking service on this schedule during the period, they will be billed using the ISST1(D) Factor.

- (1) Obtained from Document No. 2
- (2) Obtained from Document No. 2
- (3) (Total Capacity Costs/13) * Col (1)
- (4) (Total Capacity Costs/13 * 12) * Col (2)
- (5) Col (3) + Col (4)
- (6) Projected kWh sales for the period October 1997 through September 1998
- (7) (kWh sales / 8760 hours) / ((avg customer MCP)/(8760 hours))
- (8) Col (6) / ((7) * 730) For GSD-1, only 83.265% of KW are billed due to 10 KW exemption
- (9) Col (5) / (8)
- (10) Col (5) / (6)

FLORIDA POWER & LIGHT COMPANY
 CAPACITY COST RECOVERY CLAUSE
 SUMMARY OF NET TRUE-UP AMOUNT FOR THE
 TWELVE MONTH ESTIMATED/ACTUAL PERIOD
 OCTOBER 1996 THROUGH SEPTEMBER 1997

1. True-up Amount (including interest) for the six month period ended March 31, 1997 (Page 7, Column 7, Lines 14 & 15)	\$17,922,987
2. Less: Midcourse Correction Over Recovery for the same six month period (a)	13,739,028
3. Net True-up: Over/(Under) Recovery for the period	<u>\$ 4,183,961</u>
4. True-up Amount for the six month period ended September 30, 1997 (Page 8, Column 7, Line 16)	\$ 5,469,475
5. Plus: Interest on Over/(Under) Recovery for the same six month period (Page 8, Column 7, Line 17)	826,300
6. Net True-up: Over/(Under) Recovery for the period	<u>\$ 6,295,775</u>
7. Total True-up: Over/(Under) Recovery to be carried forward to the October 1997 through September 1998 period	<u>\$10,479,736</u>

Notes: (a) Approved at the February 1997 Hearing
 FPSC Order No. PSC-97-0359-FOF-EI.

() Denotes an underrecovery

FLORIDA POWER & LIGHT COMPANY
CAPACITY COST RECOVERY CLAUSE
CALCULATION OF ESTIMATED ACTUAL TRUSE-UP AMOUNT
FOR THE PERIOD OCTOBER 1988 THROUGH MARCH 1989

	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)
	ACTUAL OCTOBER	ACTUAL NOVEMBER	ACTUAL DECEMBER	ACTUAL JANUARY	ACTUAL FEBRUARY	ACTUAL MARCH	TOTAL
1. Unit Power (UP) Capacity Charges	10,200,308.00	10,200,122.00	8,624,658.00	10,256,827.00	11,200,053.00	10,837,213.00	62,300,328.00
2. SPPCP Capacity Charges	6,556,488.62	6,424,116.19	6,402,356.86	6,840,370.55	6,432,255.16	7,174,890.60	39,632,961.00
3. Qualifying Facilities (QF) Capacity Charges	23,300,387.81	23,438,554.34	25,417,912.49	24,691,824.10	24,824,453.35	24,114,862.89	143,665,918.00
4. Cymess Settlement - Capacity	0.00	1,634,000.18	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	1,634,000.18
5. Revenues from Capacity Sales	(200,523.32)	(642,000.42)	(1,117,819.38)	(817,868.13)	(210,028.46)	(141,167.17)	(3,006,869.82)
6. Total Company Capacity Charges	<u>39,026,503.91</u>	<u>41,138,978.26</u>	<u>38,338,607.89</u>	<u>41,665,821.52</u>	<u>42,136,731.11</u>	<u>41,785,818.52</u>	<u>248,378,888.52</u>
7. Arbitrated Separation Factor (AS)	97,32111%	97,32111%	97,32111%	97,32111%	97,32111%	97,32111%	97,32111%
8. Arbitrated Capacity Charges	38,765,948.00	40,041,090.00	37,303,713.00	38,865,148.00	41,012,154.00	40,670,802.00	237,758,157.00
9. Capacity-related amounts included in Base Rates (PPSC Portion Only) (B)	(4,745,468.00)	(4,745,468.00)	(4,745,468.00)	(4,745,468.00)	(4,745,468.00)	(4,745,468.00)	(28,472,796.00)
10. Arbitrated Capacity Charges Authorized for Recovery through CCR Charge (Sum of Revenue Taxes)	34,020,480.00	35,295,622.00	32,558,245.00	34,119,680.00	36,266,686.00	35,925,334.00	209,785,361.00
11. Capacity Cost Recovery Revenues (Sum of Revenue Taxes)	35,148,277.22	34,024,895.15	31,878,838.73	33,919,438.87	33,468,815.24	33,274,862.13	204,424,047.24
12. Prior Period True-up Provision	3,525,428.00	3,525,428.00	3,525,428.00	3,525,428.00	3,525,428.00	3,525,428.00	21,152,574.00
13. Capacity Cost Recovery Revenues Applicable to Current Period (Sum of Revenue Taxes)	41,674,208.22	37,550,324.15	35,404,266.73	37,444,867.87	36,994,243.24	36,800,290.13	228,576,871.24
14. True-up Provision for Month - Over(Under) Recovery (Line 13 - Line 10)	7,654,628.22	2,263,602.15	2,844,120.73	1,525,184.87	727,568.24	874,875.13	18,200,269.34
15. Interest Provision for Month	268,611.42	279,538.48	287,157.77	283,103.09	291,503.85	257,612.55	1,632,727.24
16. True-up & Interest Provision Beginning of Month - Over(Under) Recovery	42,305,151.09	46,762,308.64	46,716,888.28	45,322,515.70	44,000,374.71	41,468,000.00	42,305,151.09
17. Deferred True-up - Over(Under) Recovery	15,078,208.00	15,078,208.00	15,078,208.00	15,078,208.00	15,078,208.00	15,078,208.00	15,078,208.00
18. Prior Period True-up Provision - Canceled (Reversed) This Month	(3,525,428.00)	(3,525,428.00)	(3,525,428.00)	(3,525,428.00)	(3,525,428.00)	(3,525,428.00)	(21,152,574.00)
19. End of Period True-up - Over(Under) Recovery (Sum of Lines 14 through 18)	61,769,612.64	60,774,822.28	60,660,771.79	59,663,620.71	58,547,261.50	54,153,620.58	54,153,620.58

Note: (a) Per E. Sorley's Testimony Appendix B, Page 3, Docket No. 890001-02, filed June 24, 1989.
(b) Per PPSC Order No. PPSC-88-002-707-03, issued September 5, 1984, Docket No. 840001-02, as adjusted in August 1983, per E. L. Holtzman's Testimony Appendix H, Docket No. 830001-02, filed July 4, 1983.

FLORIDA POWER & LIGHT COMPANY
CAPACITY COST RECOVERY CLAUSE
CALCULATION OF ESTIMATED/ACTUAL TRUE-UP AMOUNT
FOR THE PERIOD APRIL THROUGH SEPTEMBER 1987

LINE NO.	(1) ACTUAL APRIL 1987	(2) ACTUAL MAY 1987	(3) ESTIMATED JUNE 1987	(4) ESTIMATED JULY 1987	(5) ESTIMATED AUGUST 1987	(6) ESTIMATED SEPTEMBER 1987	(7) TOTAL
1.	8,898,821.00	10,541,688.00	10,818,103.00	10,818,103.00	10,818,103.00	10,818,103.00	63,007,891.00
2.	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
3.	24,382,888.08	24,825,875.18	28,178,423.00	28,178,423.00	28,178,423.00	28,178,423.00	182,102,333.26
4.	8,893,948.28	7,198,279.82	8,738,265.00	8,738,265.00	8,738,265.00	8,738,265.00	41,833,388.18
5.	102,334.51	162,334.51	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	264,669.02
6.	1,671,847.41	88,102.08	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	1,867,947.41
7.	(168,891.81)	(118,871.47)	(278,124.00)	(282,381.00)	(257,728.00)	(234,881.00)	(1,335,948.26)
8.	42,824,865.35	42,844,568.14	45,207,887.00	45,273,410.00	45,278,882.00	45,306,800.00	288,578,516.49
9.	87,33111%	87,33111%	87,33111%	87,33111%	87,33111%	87,33111%	87,33111%
10.	41,882,014.13	41,508,371.18	44,048,788.65	44,055,112.48	44,089,840.33	44,081,888.81	258,484,788.59
11.	(4,745,488.00)	(4,745,488.00)	(4,745,488.00)	(4,745,488.00)	(4,745,488.00)	(4,745,488.00)	(26,472,788.00)
12.	26,038,548.13	26,780,865.18	28,304,323.65	28,319,848.48	28,324,174.33	28,348,402.81	200,892,088.59
13.	27,280,341.82	27,578,475.13	28,660,348.17	28,671,839.01	28,802,943.71	28,820,871.32	188,891,818.95
14.	6,328,310.00	6,328,310.00	6,328,310.00	6,328,310.00	6,328,310.00	6,328,310.00	48,988,888.00
15.	26,896,891.82	25,908,785.13	28,871,858.17	41,308,848.01	42,289,353.71	42,384,181.32	238,481,478.95
16.	(1,327,898.51)	(894,120.00)	(372,887.48)	2,940,202.52	2,988,178.33	3,897,778.51	8,488,478.37
17.	233,478.06	187,833.81	148,487.16	112,178.16	81,915.79	61,811.50	808,300.52
18.	48,988,857.50	48,547,125.55	31,853,216.41	22,887,838.11	16,821,807.79	11,548,282.83	48,988,857.50
19.	4,183,883.00	4,183,883.00	4,183,883.00	4,183,883.00	4,183,883.00	4,183,883.00	4,183,883.00
20.	(8,328,310.00)	(8,328,310.00)	(8,328,310.00)	(8,328,310.00)	(8,328,310.00)	(8,328,310.00)	(48,988,888.00)
21.	44,731,088.55	35,738,312.41	27,141,802.11	21,005,870.79	15,728,255.83	10,478,738.39	10,478,738.39

Notes: (a) Per R. Minley's Testimony Appendix B, Page 3, Docket No. 860001-85, filed June 24, 1986.
(b) Per FP&C Order No. PSC-86-1882-POF-83, Docket No. 840001-83, as adjusted in August 1983, per E.L. Huffman's Testimony Appendix N, Docket No. 830001-83, filed July 8, 1983.

FLORIDA POWER & LIGHT COMPANY
CAPACITY COST RECOVERY CLAUSE
CALCULATION OF ESTIMATED ACTUAL INTEREST PROVISION
FOR THE PERIOD OCTOBER 1988 THROUGH MARCH 1987

(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)
ACTUAL OCTOBER	ACTUAL NOVEMBER	ACTUAL DECEMBER	ACTUAL JANUARY	ACTUAL FEBRUARY	ACTUAL MARCH	TOTAL
\$57,383,467	\$81,780,813	\$80,794,822	\$80,480,772	\$58,083,031	\$58,547,282	nb
61,512,801	60,518,884	60,113,614	56,890,538	56,265,718	53,894,208	nb
118,896,268	122,299,698	120,908,436	118,201,299	115,308,769	110,441,479	nb
\$59,448,004	\$81,149,798	\$80,454,768	\$59,690,000	\$57,884,894	\$55,272,032	nb
5.44000%	5.30000%	5.40000%	5.00000%	5.40000%	5.42000%	nb
5.30000%	5.40000%	5.80000%	5.60000%	5.40000%	5.74000%	nb
10.82000%	10.82000%	11.80000%	11.80000%	10.80000%	11.17000%	nb
5.41000%	5.41000%	5.70000%	5.70000%	5.40000%	5.80000%	nb
0.45000%	0.45125%	0.47500%	0.47500%	0.43333%	0.46542%	nb
\$208,011	\$773,028	\$597,158	\$293,169	\$291,504	\$297,013	\$1,632,772

1. Beginning True-up Amount
2. Ending True-up Amount
Before Interest
3. Total Beginning & Ending
True-up Amount (Lines 1-2)
4. Average True-up Amount
(50 % of Line 3)
5. Interest Rate - First day of
Reporting Business Month
6. Interest Rate - First day of
Subsequent Business Month
7. Total Interest Rate
(Lines 5-6)
8. Average Interest Rate
(50 % of Line 7)
9. Monthly Average Interest Rate
(1/12 of Line 8)
10. Interest Provision for the Month
(Line 4 X Line 9)

FLORIDA POWER & LIGHT COMPANY
CAPACITY COST RECOVERY CLAUSE
CALCULATION OF THE ESTIMATED ACTUAL INTEREST PROVISION
FOR THE PERIOD APRIL THROUGH SEPTEMBER 1987

LINE NO.	(1) ACTUAL 1987	(2) ACTUAL 1987	(3) ACTUAL 1987	(4) ACTUAL 1987	(5) ACTUAL 1987	(6) ACTUAL 1987	(7) TOTAL	LINE NO.
1.	\$54,153,820	\$44,731,089	\$35,726,312	\$27,161,802	\$21,006,871	\$15,729,258		1.
2.	44,487,813	35,548,658	27,055,355	20,893,695	15,843,740	10,418,724		2.
3.	99,651,633	80,279,747	62,771,667	48,075,497	36,849,811	26,147,982		3.
4.	\$48,325,717	\$45,139,874	\$31,365,824	\$24,037,748	\$18,324,805	\$13,072,890		4.
5.	5.74000%	5.62000%	5.60000%	5.60000%	5.60000%	5.60000%		5.
6.	5.62000%	5.60000%	5.60000%	5.60000%	5.60000%	5.60000%		6.
7.	11.30000%	11.22000%	11.20000%	11.20000%	11.20000%	11.20000%		7.
8.	5.60000%	5.61000%	5.60000%	5.60000%	5.60000%	5.60000%		8.
9.	0.47333%	0.46730%	0.46687%	0.46687%	0.46687%	0.46687%		9.
10.	\$233,475	\$187,654	\$148,467	\$112,179	\$85,518	\$61,012	\$238,389	10.

NOTE: Columns and rows may not add due to rounding.

FLORIDA POWER & LIGHT COMPANY
CAPACITY COST RECOVERY CLAUSE
CALCULATION OF ESTIMATED/ACTUAL TRUE-UP VARIANCES
FOR THE PERIOD APRIL THROUGH SEPTEMBER 1997

	(1) ESTIMATED / ACTUAL	(2) ORIGINAL PROJECTIONS	(3) VARIANCE	(4) PERCENTAGE CHANGE
1. Qualifying Facilities (QF) Capacity Charges	\$ 162,102,533	\$ 162,460,908	\$ (358,375)	(0.2) %
2. Unit Power (UPS) Capacity Charges	104,245,878	103,693,764	552,114	0.5 %
3. Cypress Settlement - Capacity	1,567,047	4,384,368	(2,817,321)	(64.3) %
4. Revenues from Capacity Sales	(1,335,948)	(1,948,363)	612,415	(31.4) %
5. Total Company Capacity Charges	<u>266,579,510</u>	<u>268,590,677</u>	<u>(2,011,167)</u>	(0.7) %
6. Jurisdictional Separation Factor (a)	97.33111%	97.33111%	0	N/A
7. Jurisdictional Capacity Charges	259,464,797	261,422,287	(1,957,490)	(0.7) %
8. Capacity related amounts included in Base Rates (FPSC Portion Only) (b)	(28,472,796)	(28,472,796)	0	N/A
9. Jurisdictional Capacity Charges Authorized for Recovery through CCR Clause	<u>\$ 230,992,001</u>	<u>\$ 232,949,491</u>	<u>\$ (1,957,490)</u>	(0.8) %
10. Capacity Cost Recovery Revenues (Net of Revenue Taxes)	\$ 186,491,617	\$ 182,979,631	3,511,986	1.9 %
11. Prior Period True-up Provision	49,969,860	49,969,860	0	N/A
12. Capacity Cost Recovery Revenues Applicable to Current Period (Net of Revenue Taxes)	<u>\$ 236,461,477</u>	<u>\$ 232,949,491</u>	<u>\$ 3,511,986</u>	1.5 %
13. True-up Provision for Month - Over/(Under) Recovery (Line 13 - Line 10)	5,469,476	0	5,469,476	N/A
14. Interest Provision for Month	826,300	0	826,300	N/A
15. True-up & Interest Provision Beginning of Month - Over/(Under) Recovery	49,969,857	49,969,857	0	N/A
16. Deferred True-up - Over/(Under) Recovery	4,183,963	0.00	4,183,963	N/A
17. Prior Period True-up Provision - Collected/(Refunded) this Month	(49,969,860)	(49,969,860)	0	N/A
18. End of Period True-up - Over/(Under) Recovery (Sum of Lines 14 through 18)	<u>\$ 10,479,736</u>	<u>\$ -</u>	<u>\$ 10,479,736</u>	N/A