Commissioners:
JULIA L. JOHNSON, CHARMAN
SUSAN F. CLARK
J. TERRY DEASON
JOE GARCIA
DIANE K. KIESLING



DIVISION OF APPEALS DAVID E. SMETH DIRECTOR (904) 413-4245

Bublic Service Commission

June 26, 1997

Mr. Carroll Webb
Joint Administrative Procedures
Committee
120 Holland Building
Tallahassee, Florida 32399

Re: Docket No. Scale I - Proposed Part VXI - Rules Governing Prepaid Calling Services; Rules 25-24.900, F.A.C., Scope and Waiver; 25-24.905, F.A.C., Terms and Definitions; 25-24.910, F.A.C., Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity Required; 25-24.915, F.A.C., Tariffs or Price Lists; 25-24.920, F.A.C., Standards for Prepaid Calling Services and Consumer Disclosure; 24-24.925, F.A.C., Refunds; 25-24.930, F.A.C., Adequacy of Service; 25-24.935, F.A.C., Discontinuance of Service; 25-24.940, F.A.C., Penalties

Dear Mr. Webb:

SEC _ WAS _ Enclosed are an original and two copies of the following materials concerning the above referenced proposed rule:

ACK	1.	A copy of the rules.	
AFA	2.	A copy of the F.A.W. notice.	
CAF	3.	A statement of facts and circumstances justifying the proposed rules.	
CTR	4.	A federal standards statement.	(6
EAG	5.	A statement of estimated regulatory costs.	. 3
Lina			- +
OPC			10
RCH			0

Mr. Carroll Webb June 26, 1997 Page -2-

If there are any questions with respect to these rules, please do not hesitate to call on me.

Sincerely,

Diana W. Caldwell
Diana W. Caldwell
Associate General Counsel

ADMYXI.MED Enclosures

cc: Division of Records & Reporting

1	1 .	MILES OF THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMUSSION
2		BILES COVERNIUS PERPAID CALLING SERVICES
3		Part. EVI
4		
5	25-24.900	Scope and Maiver
6	25-24.905	Terms and Definitions
7	25-24.910	Certificate of Public Communicace and Necessity
8	17.7	Required
9	25-24.915	Tariffe or Price Lists
10	25-24.920	Standards for Prepaid Calling Services and Consumer
11		Disclosure
12	25-24.925	Refunde
13	25-24.930	Memory of Service
14	25-24.935	Discontinuance of Service
15	25-24.940	Penalties
16		
17	RULES GOV	CHING PRESAID CALLING SERVICES
18	25-24.900	Scope and Maiwer.
19	m	This part applies to companies using their own or resold
20	telecommun	ications networks to provide prepaid calling services
21	(PPCS).	
22	(2)	A company that offers for sale PPCS may petition for a
23	waiver for	any provision of this Part pursuant to Chapter 120.
24	The Comis	sion may grant a waiver to the extent that it determines
	that it is	consistent with the public interest to do so. The

- 1 | Commission may grant the petition in whole or part, may limit the 2 waiver to certain geographic areas, or may impose reasonable 3 alternative regulatory requirements on the petitioning company. In disposing of a petition, the Cosmission may consider: 5 (a) The factors enumerated in Section 364.337(4). Fla. Statutes: 7 The extent to which competitive forces may serve the same function as, or obviate the necessity for, the provision sought to be waived: and Alternative regulatory requirements for the company which 10 (c) may serve the purposes of this Part. Prepaid calling services provided without compensation 12 (3) are exempt from Part XVI.
- 14 Specific Authority: 350,127(2). F.S.
- 15 Law Implemented: 364.01. 364.19. 364.337(4). P.S.
- 16 History: New
- 18 25-24.905 Terms and Definitions.
- 19 For purposes of this part, the definitions to the following terms
- 20 apply:

17

- 21 (1) "Company" means any entity providing prepaid calling
- 22 services using its own or resold telecommunications network to
- 23 provide prepaid calling services.
- 24 (2) "Conversation time" is the time when two-way
- 25 telecommunications is possible.

CODING: Words underlined are additions; words in struck through type are deletions from existing law.

Title of the same

(3) "Prepaid Calling Services (PPCS)" means any prepaid 2 telecommunications service that allows end users to originate 3 calls through an access number and authorization code, whether manually or electronically dialed. "Prepaid Calling Card" or "Card" means any object 5 (4) containing an access number and authorization code that enables an end user to use PPCS. Specific Authority: 350.127(2). F.S. Law Implemented: 364.01, 364.01, 364.051, 364.335, 364.337(4) F.S. 10 History: New 11 12 25-24.910 Certificate of Public Communicace and Mecassity Required. 13 A company shall not provide PPCS without first obtaining a 14 15 certificate of public convenience and necessity as a local 16 exchange company, alternative local exchange company, or 17 interexchange company. The name used as the provider of PPCS 18 printed on the prepaid calling card shall appear identical to the 19 name in which the certificate is issued. If "doing business as" 20 names are used, the names must be registered as fictitious names 21 with the Florida Division of Corporations and the certificate must 22 reflect the names prior to the names being used. The "doing 23 business as" names are considered to be the certificated name. 24 Specific Authority: 350.127(2). F.S.

THE PROPERTY OF THE PARTY OF TH

CODING: Words underlined are additions; words in struck through type are deletions from existing law.

25 Law Implemented: 364.33, 364.335, 364.337(4) P.S.

1	History: New
2	
3	25-24.915 Tariffs and Prices Lists.
4	(1) This section applies to all companies as defined in 25-
5	24.905(1). regardless of certificate type or other tariff or price
6	list requirements.
7	(2) Each company shall file a tariff or price list for PPCS.
8	(3) Rach company shall include in its tariff or price list
9	the following information:
LO	(a) Maximum amount a person will be charged per minute for
11	PPCS. and
12	(b) Applicable surcharges.
13	Specific Authority: 350,127(2). P. S.
L4	Law Implemented: 364.04, 364.051, 364.057, 364.08, 364.09,
1.5	364.10. 364.19. 364.27. 364.337. F.S.
16	History: New
١7	
.8	25-24.920 Standards For Prepaid Calling Services and Consumer
9	Disclosure.
20	(1) The following information shall be legibly printed on the
11	card:
22	(a) The Florida certificated name clearly identified as the
23	provider of the PPCS:
4	(b) Toll-free customer service number:
5	(c) Toll-free Network access number: and

1	(9)	Authorization code. if required to access service.
2	(2)	Each company shall legibly display the following
3	informat	ion either on the card, packaging, or in a prominent area
4	at the p	oint of sale of the PPCS in such a manner that the
5	consumer	may make an informed decision prior to purchase:
6	(a)	Maximum charge per minute for PPCS:
7	(p)	Applicable surcharges; and
8	(5)	Expiration policy, if applicable
9	(3)	Each company shall provide through its customer service
10	number t	he following information:
11	(a)	Certificate number:
12	(P)	Rates and surcharges:
13	(c)	Balance of use in account; and
14	(व)	Expiration date or period, if any.
15	14)	Each company shall provide a live operator to answer
16	incoming	calls 24 hours a day. 7 days a week or shall record end
17	USER COM	plaints. A combination of live operators or recorders may
18	be used.	If a recorder is used, the company shall attempt to
19	contact	each complainent no later than the next business day
20	following	the date of the recording.
21	(5)	The rates displayed in accord with paragraph (2) above
22	shall be	reflected in the tariff or price list for PPCS.
23	(e)	A company shall not reduce the value of a card by more
24	than the	charges printed on the card, packaging, or visible
	Andrew Sales	

- 1 | recharged by the consumer at a rate higher than the rate at
- 2 initial purchase or last recharge. The higher rate and surcharges
- 3 shall be no more than the rates and surcharges in the tariff or
- 4 price list and the consumer shall be informed of the higher
- 5 charges at the time of recharge.
- 6 (7) The billing increment shall not exceed one minute.
- 7 (8) Each company shall only charge for conversation time plus
- 8 applicable surcharges.
- 9 (9) Conversation time of less than a full minute shall not be rounded up beyond the next full minute.
- 11 (10) Cards without a specific empiration period printed on the
- 12 card, and with a belence of service remaining, shall be considered
- 13 active for a minimum of one year from the date of first use, or if
- 14 recharged, from the date of the last recharge.
- 15 (11) If PPCS are sold without a card or printed material.
- 16 tariffed charges and surcharges shall be disclosed at the point of
- 17 sale.
- 18 Specific Authority: 350.127(2). F.S.
- 19 Law Implemented: 364.01, 364.03, 364.19 F.S.
- 20 History: New

21

- 22 25-24.925 Refunds.
- 23 (1) Each company shall have a refund policy that meets the
- 24 following minimum requirements:
- 25 (a) For PPCS that are rendered unusable for reasons beyond

- 1 the consumer's control, and have not exceeded the expiration
- 2 period, each company shall provide a refund equal to the value
- 3 remaining in the account.
- 4 (b) Refunds may be cash or replacement service, at the
- 5 company's option, but must be made to the end user within 60 days
- 6 of notification by the end user.
- 7 (2) Rach company may, but shall not be required, to provide a
- 8 refund when a card has been lost or stolen.
- 9 Specific Authority: 350.127(2). F.S.
- 10 Law Implemented: 364.01, 364.19, F.S.
- 11 History: How
- 12
- 13 25-24.930 Meanagy of Service.
- 14 Each company shall ensure that:
- 15 (1) A minimum of 95 percent of all call attempts shall be
- 16 completed to the called party. Station busies will be counted as
- 17 completed calls.
- 18 (2) A minimum of 95 percent of all call attempts shall be
- 19 completed to a company's toll-free customer service number.
- 20 Station busies will not be counted as completed calls.
- 21 (3) A minimum of 97 percent (allowing for a one-second
- 22 variation) timing accuracy of conversation time shall be achieved.
- 23 Specific Authority: 350.127(2). F.S.
- 24 Lew Implemented: 364.01, 364.19, F.S.
- 25 History: Hee

1	25-24.935 Discontinuence of Service.
2	A company shall be responsible for ensuring, either through its
3	contracts with its distributors or marketing agents, or other
4	means, that end user purchased cards remain usable in accord with
5	Rule 25-24.920(10).
6	Specific Authority: 350.127(2). F.S.
7	Law Implemented: 364.01, 364.19, F.S.
8	History: New
9	
10	25-24.940 Penalties.
11	The Commission shall impose penalties of not less than \$1,000
12	upon a finding that an uncertificated company has provided PPCS
13	within the state of Florida.
14	Specific Authority: 350.127 (2) P.S.
15	Lew Implemented: 364.285 P.S.
16	History: New
17	·
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	·
24	
25	

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

DOCKET NO. 960254-TI

RULE TITLE:	RULE NO.:	
Scope and Waiver	25-24.900	
Terms and Definitions	25-24.905	
Certificate of Public Convenience and		
Necessity Required	25-24.910	
Tariffs or Price Lists	25-24.915	
Standards for Prepaid Calling Services		
and Consumer Disclosure	25-24.920	
Refunds	25-24.925	
Adequacy of Service	25-24.930	
Discontinuance of Service	25-24.935	
Penalties	25-24.940	

PURPOSE AND EFFECT: The purpose of the rule is to increase the customer's knowledge of the prepaid calling service (PPCS) he is purchasing and to protect the customer by ensuring that PPCS service contracts between the provider and distributor are honored. This protects the customer from having service discontinued due to the distributor's failure to pay the underlying carrier for service. The effect is that PPCS providers will provide a more dependable product and customers will be better informed about prepaid calling services.

SUMMARY: The rules require that no company shall provide PPCS without first obtaining a certificate from the Commission. A LEC,

ALEC, or IXC may offer PPCS. Each company is required to file a tariff or price list. The card, its packaging, or the point of sale must disclose certain information and certain information must be printed on the card. The rates displayed or advertised must be in the company's tariffs or price lists. Billing increments shall not exceed one minute and a company may only round up to the next minute. Cards shall be considered active for one year unless an expirtion date or period is printed on the card. A company may not discontinue service after a card is purchased unless the card was lost or stolen. The company must have a refund policy and must provide adequate service. Finally a penalty of not less than \$1,000 shall be imposed for a company operating without a certificate.

SUMMARY OF STATEMENT OF ESTIMATED REGULATORY COST: The major cost identified by the industry related to the cost of compliance with the service standards and disclosure. Some companies stated additional costs may be incurred to separate Florida traffic to comply with the service standard rule. The rule does not require that a company must separate out Florida traffic if the company meets the proposed standards throughout its calling area. Some companies stated that special packaging would be required of Florida cards, thus additional costs would be incurred. Companies estimated costs ranged from \$50,000 to \$776,000. Several companies estimated that additional costs would be associated with equipment and personnel necessary to implement the proposed

rule requirements. No direct impact on small cities or small counties was foreseen. Minimal impact on small business is expected.

Any person who wishes to provide information regarding the statement of estimated regulatory costs, or to provide a proposal for a lower cost regulatory alternative must do so in writing within 21 days of this notice.

SPECIFIC AUTHORITY: 350.127(2) FS.

LAW IMPLEMENTED: 364.04, 364.08, 364.09, 364.10, 364.19, 364.27,

364.33, 364.057, 364.205, 364.335, 364.337(4) FS.

WRITTEN COMMENTS OR SUGGESTIONS ON THE PROPOSED RULE MAY BE SUBMITTED TO THE FPSC, DIVISION OF RECORDS AND REPORTING, WITHIN 21 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THIS NOTICE FOR INCLUSION IN THE RECORD OF THE PROCEEDING.

HEARING: IF REQUESTED WITHIN 21 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THIS NOTICE,
A HEARING WILL BE HELD AT THE TIME, DATE, AND PLACE SHOWN BELOW:
TIME AND DATE: 9:30 A.M., August 14, 1997

PLACE: Room 152, Betty Easley Conference Center, 4075 Esplanade Way, Tallahassee, Florida.

THE PERSON TO BE CONTACTED REGARDING THESE PROPOSED RULES IS:
Director of Appeals, Florida Public Service Commission, 2540
Shumard Oak Blvd., Tallahassee, Florida 32399-0862.

THE FULL TEXT OF THESE PROPOSED RULES ARE:

RULES OF THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION
RULES GOVERNING PREPAID CALLING SERVICES

Part XVI

25-24.900	Scope and Waiver
25-24.905	Terms and Definitions
25-24.910	Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity
	Required
25-24.915	Tariffs or Price Lists
25-24.920	Standards for Prepaid Calling Services and Consumer
	Disclosure
25-24.925	Refunds
25-24.930	Adequacy of Service
25-24.935	Discontinuance of Service
25-24.940	Penalties
RULES GOVERN	ING PREPAID CALLING SERVICES

- 25-24.900 Scope and Maiver.
- (1) This part applies to companies using their own or resold telecommunications networks to provide prepaid calling services (PPCS).
- (2) A company that offers for sale PPCS may petition for a waiver for any provision of this Part pursuant to Chapter 120. The Commission may grant a waiver to the extent that it determines that it is consistent with the public interest to do so. The Commission may grant the petition in whole or part, may limit the waiver to certain geographic areas, or may impose reasonable alternative regulatory requirements on the petitioning company. In disposing of a petition, the Commission may consider:

- (a) The factors enumerated in Section 364.337(4). Fla. Statutes:
- (b) The extent to which competitive forces may serve the same function as, or obviate the necessity for, the provision sought to be waived; and
- (c) Alternative regulatory requirements for the company which may serve the purposes of this Part.
- (3) Prepaid calling services provided without compensation are exempt from Part XVI.

Specific Authority: 350.127(2). F.S.

Law Implemented: 364.01. 364.19. 364.337(4). F.S.

History: New

25-24.905 Terms and Definitions.

For purposes of this part, the definitions to the following terms apply:

- (1) "Company" means any entity providing prepaid calling services using its own or resold telecommunications network to provide prepaid calling services.
- (2) "Conversation time" is the time when two-way telecommunications is possible.
- (3) "Prepaid Calling Services (PPCS)" means any prepaid telecommunications service that allows end users to originate calls through an access number and authorization code, whether manually or electronically dialed.
 - (4) "Prepaid Calling Card" or "Card" means any object

containing an access number and authorization code that enables an end user to use PPCS.

Specific Authority: 350.127(2), F.S.

Law Implemented: 364.01. 364.03. 364.051. 364.335. 364.337(4) F.S. History: New

25-24.910 Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity

A company shall not provide PRCS without first obtaining a certificate of public convenience and necessity as a local exchange company, alternative local exchange company, or interexchange company. The name used as the provider of PPCS printed on the prepaid calling card shall appear identical to the name in which the certificate is issued. If "doing business as" names are used, the names must be registered as fictitious names with the Florida Division of Corporations and the certificate must reflect the names prior to the names being used. The "doing business as" names are considered to be the certificated name.

Specific Authority: 350,127(2), F.S.

Law Implemented: 364.33. 364.335. 364.337(4) F.S.

History: New ____

Required.

25-24.915 Tariffs and Prices Lists.

- (1) This section applies to all companies as defined in 25-24.905(1), regardless of certificate type or other tariff or price list requirements.
 - (2) Each company shall file a tariff or price list for PPCS.

- (3) Each company shall include in its tariff or price list the following information:
- (a) Maximum amount a person will be charged per minute for PPCS, and
 - (b) Applicable surcharges.

Specific Authority: 350.127(2). F.S.

Law Implemented: 364.04. 364.051. 364.057. 364.08. 364.09. 364.10.

364.19. 364.27. 364.337. F.S.

History: New

25-24.920 Standards For Prepaid Calling Services and Consumer Disclosure.

- (1) The following information shall be legibly printed on the card:
- (a) The Florida certificated name clearly identified as the provider of the PPCS:
 - (b) Toll-free customer service number:
 - (c) Toll-free Network access number; and
 - (d) Authorization code, if required to access service.
- (2) Each company shall legibly display the following information either on the card, packaging, or in a prominent area at the point of sale of the PPCS in such a manner that the consumer may make an informed decision prior to purchase:
 - (a) Maximum charge per minute for PPCS:
 - (b) Applicable surcharges; and
 - (c) Expiration policy, if applicable

- (3) Each company shall provide through its customer service
 - (a) Certificate number:
 - (b) Rates and surcharges;

and the same and the

- (c) Balance of use in account; and
- (d) Expiration date or period, if any,
- (4) Each company shall provide a live operator to answer incoming calls 24 hours a day. 7 days a week or shall record end user complaints. A combination of live operators or recorders may be used. If a recorder is used, the company shall attempt to contact each complainant no later than the next business day following the date of the recording.
- (5) The rates displayed in accord with paragraph (2) above shall be reflected in the tariff or price list for PPCS.
- (6) A company shall not reduce the value of a card by more than the charges printed on the card, packaging, or visible display at the point of sale. The service may, however, be recharged by the consumer at a rate higher than the rate at initial purchase or last recharge. The higher rate and surcharges shall be no more than the rates and surcharges in the tariff or price list and the consumer shall be informed of the higher charges at the time of recharge.
 - (7) The billing increment shall not exceed one minute.
- (8) Each company shall only charge for conversation time plus applicable surcharges.

and the second

- (9) Conversation time of less than a full minute shall not be rounded up beyond the next full minute.
- (10) Cards without a specific expiration period printed on the card, and with a balance of service remaining, shall be considered active for a minimum of one year from the date of first use, or if recharged, from the date of the last recharge.
- (11) If PPCS are sold without a card or printed material.

 tariffed charges and surcharges shall be disclosed at the point of sale.

Specific Authority: 350.127(2). F.S.

Law Implemented: 364.01. 364.03. 364.19 F.S.

History: New

25-24.925 Refunds.

- (1) Each company shall have a refund policy that meets the following minimum requirements:
- (a) For PPCS that are rendered unusable for reasons beyond the consumer's control, and have not exceeded the expiration period, each company shall provide a refund equal to the value remaining in the account.
- (b) Refunds may be cash or replacement service, at the company's option, but must be made to the end user within 60 days of notification by the end user.
- (2) Each company may, but shall not be required to provide a refund when a card has been lost or stolen.

 Specific Authority: 350.127(2), F.S.

Law Implemented: 364.01, 364.19, F.S.

History: New

25-24.930 Adequacy of Service.

Each company shall ensure that:

- (1) A minimum of 95 percent of all call attempts shall be completed to the called party. Station busies will be counted as completed calls.
- (2) A minimum of 95 percent of all call attempts shall be completed to a company's toll-free customer service number.

 Station busies will not be counted as completed calls.
- (3) A minimum of 97 percent (allowing for a one-second variation) timing accuracy of conversation time shall be achieved.

 Specific Authority: 350.127(2). F.S.

Law Implemented: 364.01, 364.19, F.S.

History: New

25-24.935 Discontinuance of Service.

A company shall be responsible for ensuring, either through its contracts with its distributors or marketing agents, or other means, that end user purchased cards remain usable in accord with Rule 25-24.920(10).

Specific Authority: 350.127(2). F.S.

Law Implemented: 364.01. 364.19. F.S.

History: New ...

25-24.940 Penalties.

The Commission shall impose penalties of not less than \$1,000

upon a finding that an uncertificated company has provided PPCS within the state of Florida.

Specific Authority: 350.127 (2) F.S.

Law Implemented: 364.285 F.S.

History: New

NAME OF PERSON ORIGINATING PROPOSED RULES: Rick Moses

NAME OF SUPERVISOR OR PERSONS WHO APPROVED THE PROPOSED RULES:

Florida Public Service Commission.

DATE PROPOSED RULES APPROVED: JUNE 10, 1997

DATE NOTICE OF PROPOSED RULE DEVELOPMENT PUBLISHED IN FAW:

JANUARY 3, 1997

If any person decides to appeal any decision of the Commission with respect to any matter considered at the rulemaking hearing, if held, a record of the hearing is necessary. The appellant must ensure that a verbatim record, including testimony and evidence forming the basis of the appeal is made. The Commission usually makes a verbatim record of rulemaking hearings.

Any person requiring some accommodation at this hearing because of a physical impairment should call the Division of Records and Reporting at (904) 413-6770 at least five calendar days prior to the hearing. If you are hearing or speech impaired, please contact the Florida Public Service Commission using the Florida Relay Service, which can be reached at: 1-800-955-8771 (TDD).

MEMORANDUM

May 16, 1997

TO:

DIVISION OF APPEALS (MOORE)

15 Party

FROM:

DIVISION OF RESEARCH AND REGULATORY REVIEW (LEWIS)

SUBJECT:

STATEMENT OF ESTIMATED REGULATORY COST FOR DOCKET NO. 960254-TI, PROPOSED RULES 25-24-900, 25-24.905, 25-24.910, 25-24.915, 95-24.920, 25-24.925, 25-24.930, 25-24.935, and 25-24.940. FAC. PREPAID

CALLING SERVICES

SUMMARY OF THE BLILE

The proposed new rules would establish regulations for providers of prepaid calling services (PPCS). The proposed rules define propaid calling services and provide requirements for certification, tariffs and price lists, service standards and customer disclosure, refunds, adequacy of service, discontinuance of service, and possibles for uncertificated companies.

ESTIMATED NUMBER AND DESCRIPTION OF INDIVIDUALS AND ENTITIES REQUIRED TO COMPLY

All companies that provide propaid calling services using their own or resold telecommunications networks will be required to comply. Companies certified as Local Exchange Companies (LECs), Alternative Local Exchange Companies (ALECs) or Interexchange Companies (DKCs) may provide propaid calling services asserting to the proposed rule. A data request regarding the regulatory coats of the proposed rules was sent to the 124 DKCs known to be providing prepaid calling services, the 12 LECs, 24 unadomly selected ALECs, the Florida Department of Revenue, and all parties that had attended any of the rule development workshops or otherwise expressed an interest in the proposed rules. At the time staff's data request was prepared 124 DKCs provided PPCS according to their tariff filings. However, there are likely many more DKCs operating nationwide whose propaid calling cards could be used to place Florida intrastate calls and the proposed rules would require such companies to obtain certification in Florida. Although LECs and ALECs may provide PPCS, none of these responding indicated they are presently doing so.

DIRECT COSTS TO THE AGENCY AND OTHER STATE OF LOCAL GOVERNMENT ENTITIES

processing requests for cartification; pro-Therefore, should the propos consumers, and particip bould be no furthe ervices may simply still eady exist for accou platory sees The Com from the indu at of service and dis ad on carrying out the pr soe of rules on pre tistica would cape and ordin inace costs an 3 sing and filing tariffs and price lists; evaluation and of the rules. Agency procedures and sufficient staff to; and, processing consu effective, the staff time spent on prepaid calling rvices, staff has spent considerable time fielding as associated with an unregulated industry and be scissed with staff time spent on the following: in an effort to resolve regulatory issues. pany based upon their gross operating sisted with the proposed rules and there The Com nent, dealing with complaints from mer complaints. However, ission will receive annual

collection efforts and alle DOR believes the case calling services is not to There should be no direct costs to other state or least gov companies providing PPCS. DOR did not ide the law for Propries equently, DOR bells The Florida Dapa ier providing t S K F 6 to 0 HT SHEET i of Person aid calling services are subject to texation when used. Marie will be to My my on this for the sollection and remittance of mined that the retail sale of prepaid atting from the proposed rules. ng area and duration of each call. eficial to the agency by siding its er and enforce state tax laws on

ESTIMATED TRANSACTIONAL COSTS TO INDIVIDUALS AND ENTITIES REQUIRED TO COMPLY

egulatory costs were app proposed rules or expe The majority of seapon M in the pro **8** Associatio nd the state of as chard they was either chardy so -Marke Others are very little costs to comply. ciation) also concluded no new nts identified costs in several tially in compliance with F

arces including: certification; proparation and filing of tariffs and price lists; service standards and disclosure; and equipment and personnel.

Certification

Two DXCs estimated cartification costs between \$250 and \$2,000 (cost of Commission's application fee plus costs of legal fees and corporate filings). The Commission presently requires payment of a \$250 application fee with all applications for DXC certification. All of the responding DXCs have already obtained a certificate.

Tariffs and Price Lists

Two companies estimated the costs of preparing and filing tariffs or price lists to be between \$500 and \$4,000 each time new rates must be filed with the Commission.

Service Standards and Dississare

Five DXCs (American Telecom, Cable & Wireless, Inc., AT&T, MCI, and TresCom, USA, Inc.) mentioned that being required to provide Floride-specific information would impose costs. American Telecom believes that additional costs of \$7,500 to \$10,000 per year would be generated as a result of the need to separate out Florida intrastate traffic to comply with proposed Rule 25-24.930, FAC, Adequacy of Service. The proposed rule would require certain service standards to be met, such as 95 percent of all cell attempts to the celled party must be completed. Commission staff generally places intrastate test cells to check that the service standards are being met. It does not appear that the rule necessitates that a company separate out Florida traffic if the company meets the proposed standards throughout its celling area.

American Telecom was also concerned that significant costs (not quantified) would be incurred if proposed Rule 25-24.920, FAC, Standards For Propaid Calling Services and Consumer Disclosure, required it to disclose all rates in addition to its rates for Florida intrastate calls. Information provided during rule development weekshops indicated that most companies use a uniform rate for both interstate and intrastate calls. If American Telecom chose to display only the rate for Florida intrastate calls on its cards or packaging, it would appear to comply with the proposed rule.

AT&T and Cable & Wissless, Inc. also had concerns about Florida-specific disclosure requirements. AT&T stated that changing its point-of-cale materials to include maximum charges per minute, Florida certificate name, refund policy and other required items would cost

approximately \$776,000, based upon its present 4,300 retail locations. estimated a \$600,000 seasos isosses in pedaging costs Cable & Wireless, Inc.

its cards and/or packaging for Florida-specific rate disclosure. Florida consumers accord use in every state and said that it would cost the company between \$50,000 and \$100,000 to after The costs associated with providing Florido-specific infor ing to Calife & Wiseless, Inc. and MCI. MCI has designed its cards for nation would be passed on to

tables. TresCom USA, Inc. also stated the costs of storage and inventory would increase in order costs would increase approximately \$.02 per out due to the need for separate orders for various rate packaging each time its mass change would aid costs of from \$.05 to \$.32 per card and printing to maintain a separate inventory the each rate table though it did not quantify these costs. TresCom USA, Inc. stated the requirement to place rate information on cards and/or

Equipment and Personnel

not be quantified at this time. certificate number. Cable & Wheten, also said it would insur training costs though the costs could it would cost \$10,000 to sevice its data system and train on experience costs of \$2,000 for pen \$35,540 for equipment and pro Atlas Audician, lac. esti alary cost for one additional staff which were estimated to be \$70,996 per year. The company estimated it would incur fixed cost of to included costs for mentions efficient to comply with the prop Though the majority of respon ļ room to be \$31,250 and equipment costs of \$4,000. MCI said state believed existing equipment and personnel would be sing. In addition, RSL COM USA, Inc. estimated an annual I fine, and per call expenses in its recurring costs its line of peales expected to incur costs in these areas. RSL COM USA, Inc. stated it would ployees to provide MCI's Florida tions to be \$49,920. The company

1

cause it to incur costs associated with nervice evaluations performed by Commission staff. Related costs would depend upon the number and étuation of calls and how often such evaluations are One company (Varies Telecom, Inc.) mentioned that passage of the proposed rules might

whether costs would occur in certain areas. For our Both AT&T and MCI stated that the interpretation of the proposed rules would determine tyle, AT&T estimated samuel compliance costs

of \$156,000 if it were held liable for complying with refund requis network provider for a certified company. MCI's concern is whether the Commission intends for he proposed rules to regulate dual-use cards and single-number termination cards in the same er as other PPCS. If so, MCI stated its costs to comp aply with the proposed rule would increase. ats when it acts only as the

allow prepaid calling service office Florids-specific information as significant and urged the Commission to adopt rules that would with the propos in summary, while the antjority of suppor ed rules, others des ings to sense consists extited the costs of complying with rate disclosure and other feats estimated insignificant or no costs to comply

IMPACT ON SMALL BLIS ESS. SMALL CITES OR SMALL COUNTIES

or small counties is form Colleder World, Inc. indicated that its only cost would be \$2,500 to \$4,000 for propering and filing stated that it qualified as a small business as defined in Section 288.703(1), Florida Statutes. tariff. However, the company also stated it me abor of dissepan Of the fourteen DICs may i o is in the business may be reduced. No direct impact on small cities diag to staff's data sequent, only one (Celhalar World, Inc.) y suites benefits from the proposed rules since the

REASONABLE ALTERNATIVE METHODI

Florida, Cox Com TresCom USA, Inc.) sag the proposed rules. Thou Five in mications, Inc. also provided alters ge carriers (AT&T, Cable & Wissiam, Inc., LDDS WorldCom, MCI, and mains methods of scool andly contilled to provide teleco ative methods of the requirements of ications services in

Rate 25-24.915, FAC, Tartille and Price Lists

already have tariffs on file and would not appear to compres which is to require all compa ariff for prepaid services is not required if the company abready lists prepaid services in its current pplicable, shall set firsh the sates and charges for proposed calling services" to clarify that a separate occording to AT&T. Making this chaiffeation would evoid additional costs for those companies that Requiring a separate taciff would impose an additional unquantified regulatory cost, ATET believes the rate should specify that "each company's tariff or price list, as miss officing PPCS to disclose their rates in twiffs or price lists mise the intent of the proposed rule

Rado 25-24/920, FAC, 81 5 In Services and Co

S. S. Sandan

cards and point-of-sale sa the company's Florida certificate. If proposed Rule 25-24.920(1)(a), FAC, is clarified to either tisplayed is so that Con on the card, the purpose of the rate would still be met. equires the name on the card to be identical to the name in which the certificate is issued and states set if a d/b/a is used it me Serence 25-24.910, FAC, or specif forcement purposes. As AT&T points out, another section of the proposed rules (25-24.910) ATET believes to rate store mission staff can easily identify the provider for complaint resolution and į serial. The purpose of requiring the "Florida certificated name" to be That either the Florida certificated name or d/b/a name be listed ared with the Florida Division of Corporations and appear on adad to allow use of registered d/b/a names on prepaid

that since the compan the card only and requiring on little value to the com o cards that are sold on a "dellar valu company to place the marin he maximum cha he card is marked with the se The series The state y say change rates in its tack! or price list on short notice, requiring the N. OK Hali ŀ sast be required on cards, packaging, or point-of-sale material when Plants TracCom USA, let. mgs p per minute on the cord or packaging may ultimately be of ne dat neddenden repr stas provided. Cox Com tives deal with the disclosure. AT&T recommends that ī I fine anather to obtain rates. ding maximum prices be limited mications (Cox) also believes neted printing the value of

"the billing increment shall so rules that would require it to our WorldCom currently charges 2 units (minutes) for directory assistance from any state and opposes LDDS WorldCom is in PPCS officings specifically for Florida e minute." As reflected in its Florida uniff, LDDS sat proposed in 25-24.920(7), FAC, that

policy to be primed be a less bus nationwide in order to lower costs. MCI and Cable & Window, Inc., both suggested that making aius besoque combility of verying state sequi-H and mass or on cards or pack housemer service or via an auto ging as long as the provider's policy complied with the and any of informing on THE REAL PROPERTY. ats be standardized and consistent ated response menu would mers. AT&T also cited the ary to require the refund

The second secon

objective of informing the comm package, or at the point of sale. The altern step (i.e. calling a toll free m idity and completely as the proposed rules. mative methods suggested would not accomplish the imply reading what is available on the card, within the se all require the consumer to take another

date on a card. Cable & Windows built prompt, after the com tates having this option would allow it to chan noci carda a customer reporte lost or stoles. Cable & Wireless su Finally, Cable & Windo mar cates their PIN and Rule 25-24.920(10), FAC: wher option for advising customers of the expiration mber, should be an additional option. The company sacing the expiration date of the card on the voice ge the expiration date upon customer request or puts the following alternative

2 9 stied printed on the card, and with a balance of 7.00 A to only Marie and Sales originally programmed ar, or if the company of one year from the

first use or last racharge is to provide st The purpose of leaving the courage abuse since PPCS are grass extination of cases based upon a company supicion of final is vague, difficult to verify, and may mary to make infinite sourced via a voice prompt weeks not achieve the purpose of the proposed rule. Also, proposed rule require that cauts remain active for one year from date of . Allowing the expiration date to be based only upon what by paid for in advan Manion within the industry and make it easier for

25-24.925, FAC, Refunds

provider. A second intent is to identify the conditions under which a consumer is entitled to a limited to its customers and does not extend to end user customers of providers that purchase consumer from financial loss resulting from events centide his control, such as a card that no longer fund. Whether a con onics because a reseller has fished to pay for services it has puschased from an underlying network educe its etwork time from AT&T for provision of PPCS. AT&T said making this clarification would AT&T would like the proposed rule to clarify that the obligation of a network provider is III complian ty office PPCS as a network provider or a reseller, its refund policy must se cost by \$156,000. The intent of the proposed rule is to protect the

comply with proposed Rule 25-24.925, FAC. Clarification does not appear to be needed to fulfill the intentions of the proposed rule.

25-24.935, FAC, Discontinuous of Service

Both AT&T and LDDS WorldCom strongly suggest that the rule specify that network providers are not liable for failure or default of a carrier purchasing network time and access for resale. Underlying carriers may at times have to disconnect a reseller, according to LDDS WorldCom. The proposed rule holds a PPCS company responsible for ensuring, either through its contracts with its distributors or marketing agents, or other means, that cards remain usable for one year if unused service remains on the card and no expiration date is printed on the card. The purpose of proposed Rule 25-24.935, FAC, is to establish a uniform product that can be counted on to work for a given period of time, regardless of how the product is distributed or marketed. Since the Commission may not have regulatory authority over the entity that is distributing or marketing the card, the proposed rule makes PPCS companies responsible for providing a working card through their contracts with distributors or marketing agents or other means. Removing this responsibility from facilities-based providers would not achieve the purpose of the proposed rule.

KDL:tfe-ppcs

Rules 25-24.900, 25-24.905, 25-24.910, 25-24.915, 25-24.920, 24-24.925, 25-24.930, 25-24.940 Docket No. 960254-TI

STATISMIT OF FACTS AND CIRCUMSTANCES JUSTIFFING BULE

Services to 30,000 cards from one provider of PPCS was deactivated resulting in thousands of dollars of losses to Florida consumers. Other instances have also occurred. Consumer complaints prompted a Commission investigation workshops were held to define the issues and determine resolutions. Commission Order PSC-96-1224-FOF-TI was issued that established the Commission policy. That order became the foundation of the rules.

STATEMENT OF PEDERAL STANDARDS

There is no federal standard on the same subject.