From: Elisabeth Draper

To: Kay Flynn

Subject: fwd: City of New Smyrna Beach

---NOTE------8/14/97-11:42am--I got your email in regards a new docket for New Smyrna Beach where you suggest that the docket title be "...by Utilities Commission" since that is how they are registered. My only concern is that by reading the docket title one does not know which utility we are talking about.

Fwd-by:=Kay=Flynn=====8/14/97=11:53am== Fwd to: Elisabeth Draper

We could add "(f/k/a New Smyrna Beach) "--or whatever their former name was -- to the docket title. What do you think? I did have the same concern, by the

Fwd-by:=Elisabeth-Dra=8/14/97=11:58am--Fwd to: Kay Flynn

Can't we say by Utilities Commission of New Smyrna Beach?

Fwd-by:=Kay=Flynn=====8/14/97=12:04pm== Fwd to: Elisabeth Draper

No, because they indicated to us that their name had changed to simply "Utilities Commission" and we accordingly made the change in MCD records. We would need something from them in order to make another change. (I know I sound like the typical bureaucrat, but we have to be consistent with our records or we would soon have lots of inconsistencies and a big state of confusion.)

Fwd-by:-Elisabeth-Dra-8/14/97-12:07pm--Fwd to: Kay Flynn

OK-whatever you suggest is fine with me. We go with the f/k/a - I just don't know what it means.

Fwd-by:=Kay=Flynn=====8/14/97=12:10pm== Fwd to: Elisabeth Draper

It means "formerly known as." How's th Fwd-by:-Elisabeth-Dra-8/14/97-12:11pm--Fwd to: Kay Flynn

That's fine. Will I get another CASR? Fwd-by:-Kay-Flynn-----

Fwd to: Elisabeth Draper

I'll fix CMS and put one in the interoffice mail to you today.

("f/k/a City of New Smyrna Beach")

AFA ATP ____ C * F 019

ACK

1250 11

le le 5. WAS

STH

DOCUMENT A MARTE DATE

08239 AUG 14 5

FPSC-RECORDS/REPORTING