

ORIGINAL

BEN E. GIRTMAN
Attorney at Law

Telephone: (904) 656-3232
(904) 656-3233
Facsimile: (904) 656-3233

1020 East Lafayette Street
Suite 207
Tallahassee, Florida 32301-4552

September 29, 1997

Jennifer Brubaker, Esq.
Public Service Commission
Division of Legal Services
2540 Shumard Oak Blvd.
Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850

Re: Docket No. 960235-WS, Application for Transfer of Certificate
Nos. 404-W and 341-S in Orange County from Econ Utilities
Corporation to Wedgefield Utilities, Inc.
Docket No. 960263-WS, Application for Amendment of Certificate
Nos. 404-W and 341-S in Orange County by Wedgefield Utilities,
Inc.


Dear Ms. Brubaker:

Pursuant to our telephone conversation today, this letter is
to request that Item #30 in the above referenced docket currently
scheduled for the October 7 Agenda be rescheduled to the next
following agenda. I am required to be in south Florida to attend
a plaintiff's deposition. The current status of plaintiff's health
makes it almost impossible to have the deposition rescheduled.

I have spoken to Charlie Beck at the Office of Public Counsel,
and he has authorized me to inform you that OPC has no objection to
rescheduling this item to the next following agenda.

Thank you for your kind consideration in this matter.

Sincerely yours,


Ben E. Girtman

- ACK _____
- AFA _____
- APP _____
- CAF _____
- CW _____
- CTR _____
- ENC _____
- LEP _____
- LIT _____
- REC _____
- SEC _____
- WAS _____
- OTH _____

Encl.

cc-w/encl:

Commissioner Johnson, Chairman
Charles Beck, Esq.
Mr. Carl Wenz
Mr. Frank Seidman

DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE
10032 SEP 30 5
FPSC-RECORDS/REPORTING

Agenda for
Commission Conference
October 7, 1997

ITEM NO.

CASE

30** DOCKET NO. 960235-WS - Application for transfer of
Certificates Nos. 404-W and 341-S in Orange County from Econ
Utilities Corporation to Wedgefield Utilities, Inc.
DOCKET NO. 960283-WS - Application for amendment of
Certificates Nos. 404-W and 341-S in Orange County by
Wedgefield Utilities, Inc.

Critical Date(s): None

Commissioners Assigned: DS CL GR
Prehrg Officer GR

Staff: LEG: Brubaker, Reyes
WAW: Walker

Issue 1: Should OPC's request for oral argument be granted?

Recommendation: Yes. While the pleadings contain adequate
information, because the matter has not yet gone to formal
hearing, oral argument should be permitted, with each side
allocated five minutes.

Issue 2: Should OPC's Motion for Reconsideration be
granted?

Recommendation: No, the Motion for Reconsideration should
be denied.

Issue 3: Should this Docket be closed?

Recommendation: No, the docket should remain open.

RECEIVED
SEP 29 1997

Office of
BEN E. GIRTMAN