

ORIGINAL

1 BELL SOUTH TELECOMMUNICATIONS, INC.
 2 DIRECT TESTIMONY OF DANIEL M. BAEZA
 3 BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION
 4 DOCKET NOS. 960833-TP, 960846-TP, 960757-TP, 971140-TP, 960916-TP
 5 NOVEMBER 13, 1997

6
7
8 Q. PLEASE STATE YOUR NAME AND BUSINESS ADDRESS.

9
10 A. My name is Daniel M. Baeza. My business address is 6451 North
11 Federal Highway, Fort Lauderdale, Florida.

12
13 Q. BY WHOM ARE YOU EMPLOYED AND IN WHAT CAPACITY?

14
15 A. I am employed by BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc. (hereinafter
16 referred to as "BellSouth" or "the Company") as a Director in
17 Infrastructure Planning for the states of Florida, Alabama, Mississippi,
18 and Louisiana.

19
20 Q. PLEASE SUMMARIZE YOUR EDUCATIONAL BACKGROUND,
21 WORK EXPERIENCE, AND CURRENT RESPONSIBILITIES.

22
23 A. I received a bachelor of science degree in electrical engineering in
24 1974, and a master of science degree in electrical engineering in 1979,
25 both from the University of Miami. Also, I have qualified as a registered

1 professional engineer in the state of Florida. For the past twenty-three
2 years, I have been an employee of BellSouth. From 1974 to mid-1979,
3 I held various assignments within the Florida Planning and Engineering
4 Department, including circuit engineering, switch engineering, and
5 engineering staff. In 1979 I joined the Network Operations Department
6 as a budget analyst and software developer. I returned to the Network
7 Planning and Engineering Department in 1982 and managed the
8 operation of the E911 automatic location identification system for
9 BellSouth. In 1987, I accepted a rotational assignment with Bell
10 Communications Research in New Jersey, providing project
11 management for the development of new operations support systems.
12 In 1990, I returned to Planning and Engineering in Florida. I presently
13 hold the position of Director in Infrastructure Planning where I
14 am responsible for interoffice facility, switching, and fundamental loop
15 planning.

16

17 Q. WHAT IS THE PURPOSE OF YOUR TESTIMONY?

18

19 A. As a Director in Infrastructure Planning, I know and understand the
20 technology that is deployed in the BellSouth network today and how
21 that network is expected to evolve in the future. The purpose of my
22 testimony is to bring to bear that knowledge in discussing the
23 appropriateness of the network design underlying BellSouth's
24 unbundled network element cost studies. Additionally, I will provide
25 definitions for certain network terminology used in the study and

1 discuss the appropriateness of certain key assumptions on which the
2 study is founded.

3

4 Q. PLEASE DESCRIBE THE NETWORK INFRASTRUCTURE DESIGN
5 USED IN THE COST STUDY.

6

7 A. As is the case with any good cost study, the network design of a
8 TSLRIC study should (1) include forward-looking, incremental costs,
9 and (2) be based on the incumbent LEC's existing wire center locations
10 and the most efficient technology available. My testimony focuses on
11 this last point.

12

13 Q. WHAT TECHNOLOGIES ARE ASSUMED IN THE COST STUDY?

14

15 A. The interoffice infrastructure in the study consists of fiber transmission
16 facilities with sufficient electronics to provide for both 64 kbps (voice
17 grade) and 1.544 mbps (DS1) of transmitted information. This design
18 incorporates SONET OC3, OC12 and OC48 rings.

19

20 The loop design provides for copper loops for distances from the
21 central office up to 12 kilofeet. Distances beyond 12 kilofeet are
22 designed to be served with digital loop carrier (DLC) and fiber feeder
23 facilities. For the majority of the loops served by DLC, Next Generation
24 Digital Loop Carrier is provided.

25

1 For loops less than 12 kilofeet, the designs reflect the use of 26 gauge
2 copper cable, and if required, 24 gauge cable as feeder facilities. All
3 distribution plant cable has been designed to use 26 gauge cable as
4 well. Bridged tap in the feeder and distribution plant is designed to a
5 maximum of 2500 feet.

6
7 All of the technical terms and designs mentioned will receive greater
8 treatment further in the body of my testimony.

9
10 Q. PLEASE DEFINE SONET OC12 RINGS, DIGITAL LOOP CARRIER,
11 NEXT GENERATION DIGITAL LOOP CARRIER AND BRIDGED TAPS
12 AS THEY RELATE TO THIS DESIGN.

13
14 A. SONET stands for Synchronous Optical Network. It is a family of
15 transmission channels that provide for speeds from ~DS3 (45Mb/s) to
16 2.4 Gb/s and higher. "OC" stands for Optical Carrier and, in
17 conjunction with a numerical identifier, indicates the transport rate at
18 which information is carried. Thus, a SONET OC12 facility would be a
19 synchronous optical network facility operating at "Optical Carrier rate
20 12" (or 600 mb/s). Such a facility would carry in excess of 8,000
21 narrowband channels of up to 64 Kb/s each.

22
23 The use of SONET Rings in this design provides the most efficient
24 interoffice design. Not only are greater transport bandwidths available
25 with SONET, optical interfaces become standardized allowing for cost

1 efficiency. This technology also provides self-healing capabilities that
2 prevent many service interruptions and improves the reliability of the
3 network. Digital Loop Carrier (DLC) is equipment used in the loop to
4 multiplex multiple voice grade circuits onto one or more DS1 facilities
5 for transmission to the central office switch. The remote terminal, so
6 called because it is in the field (i.e., loop), takes the voice grade circuits
7 from the distribution plant and performs the multiplexing function. Once
8 the DS1s reach the central office switch, termination is provided on a
9 Central Office Terminal (COT). The COT performs analog-to-
10 digital/digital-to-analog functions in the process of demultiplexing the
11 DS1s to voice grade circuits. This method of demultiplexing allows the
12 DLC to operate in universal mode. Universal merely means providing
13 the ability to demultiplex to a voice grade level and terminate that circuit
14 wherever it needs to go. This is as opposed to integrated technology
15 which terminates the DS1s into the switch without an intervening
16 demultiplexing/analog to digital conversion step. The universal
17 operation is used in both Series 5 DLC and Next Generation DLC.
18 Integrated DLC is not used in the cost study since BellSouth must be
19 able to provision a loop on a stand-alone basis.

20
21 As it relates to the cost study's network design, DLC provides for a
22 more efficient use of facilities by reducing the number of copper pairs
23 required in the feeder plant. In the case of this study, Next Generation
24 DLC (NGDLC) was used in the design for the vast majority of DLC
25 requirements. NGDLC is a new loop transport platform. NGDLC

1 enables greater flexibility and increased capabilities over DLC including
2 integrated add-drop multiplexing, modular channel shelves and timeslot
3 interchange. These advantages increase the efficiency of the
4 infrastructure design.

5
6 In the design of a distribution route, a single pair of wires comprising a
7 telephone line may be routed from the central office to several streets
8 within a subdivision. When that pair is assigned on one of the streets
9 to become a customer's telephone line, the pair of wires on the other
10 streets becomes unusable and is referred to as bridged tap. Bridged
11 tap refers to that situation where a cable pair exists in two different
12 locations. The pair of wires can be used in either location, but not in
13 both. The unused portion of the pair is called "bridged tap". The
14 network design of the cost study only uses bridged taps to a maximum
15 of 2500 feet so that signal degradation can be minimized.

16
17 These technologies I have just described are appropriate for the
18 underlying design of an unbundled network element cost study. They
19 meet the criteria for providing the least cost most efficient technology
20 available as well as offering the advantages of current technological
21 innovation.

22

23

24 Q. THE COST STUDIES THAT ARE BEING PRESENTED BY
25 BELLSOUTH ARE BUILT ON A NUMBER OF ASSUMPTIONS,

1 INCLUDING SUCH THINGS AS "UTILIZATION" LEVELS AND THE
2 NECESSITY FOR WHAT IS CALLED "BRIDGED TAP". CAN YOU
3 ADDRESS THESE ASSUMPTIONS AND THEIR VALIDITY?

4
5 A. Yes. In any study which seeks to calculate what something will cost in
6 the future, it is necessary to make assumptions about future conditions.
7 For instance, what technology will be deployed in the interoffice
8 network next year, or two years from now? We have a number of
9 techniques for making such assumptions. In most cases, these
10 "assumptions" are estimates that BellSouth subject matter experts can
11 make based on their experience with the network and their knowledge
12 of what has occurred in the past with regard to that network and what
13 new technologies will be available in the future. I will address certain of
14 these assumptions and explain why they are valid and appropriate for
15 these studies.

16
17 Q. PLEASE EXPLAIN THE FACTORS THAT DETERMINE
18 "UTILIZATION" FACTOR AND "FILL" FACTOR LEVELS IN THE
19 NETWORK.

20
21 A. One of the primary assumptions in BellSouth's cost studies involves
22 the "fill" factors or the "utilization" factors that we use as we plan and
23 place our network. Obviously a 600 pair cable that only has 300 pairs
24 working, or a utilization factor of 50%, presents the situation where the
25 working 300 pairs have to recover, all other things being equal, the cost

1 of the 300 spare pairs. In some respects it might be better if there were
2 450 or 500 working pairs so the cost of each pair would be minimized in
3 terms of the spare capacity that has to be maintained. On the other
4 hand, while you do not want to have 300 spare pairs laying idle, if you
5 are digging a trench and putting cable down Flagler St. in Miami, you
6 want to put enough cable in the first time so that you do not have to dig
7 the street up again in six months in order to lay a second cable to meet
8 the additional demand for service in that area. It should be obvious,
9 but I will say so anyway, that the major cost in placing cable, as in the
10 example above, is not in the difference in the cost of a 300 pair cable
11 and a 600 pair cable, but in the cost of digging up the street to place
12 the cable. Clearly you want to place cable, and for that matter, any
13 plant, in a manner which minimizes the cost of doing so, whether you
14 are talking about the actual cost of placing the plant, or the cost of
15 carrying spare capacity.

16
17 Further, the "utilization" of the network turns in many instances on the
18 portion of the network which is being reviewed. A good example is the
19 difference in the "utilization" factors for feeder and distribution plant. In
20 the feeder plant, we expect a utilization factor of about 70%, while in
21 the distribution plant, the fill factor would be expected to range around
22 40%.

23
24 Feeder fill factors or utilization rates represent the number of assigned
25 pairs versus the number of available pairs. This measurement for both

1 copper and fiber is taken at the main distributing frame of each switch
2 on which feeder cable terminates. Not only is it aggregated at the wire
3 center switch for initial measurement, but is further aggregated to
4 provide a state total utilization rate. BellSouth's copper feeder
5 utilization rate runs generally around 70% and 75% for fiber. There are
6 good reasons why that is so.

7
8 BellSouth's analyses indicate that the most economic feeder cable
9 deployment alternative is to size the cable to meet between seven and
10 ten years of demand. That means that in a relatively constant growth
11 rate environment, we would reinforce a feeder cable route every ten
12 years or so. So, why isn't the utilization rate at 100% if cable is sized
13 for seven to ten year demand? The reasons are several. First, actual
14 growth is never constant. A feeder cable sized for ten year demand in
15 1987 may or may not have achieved the forecasted demand by 1997.
16 If demand moved faster than the forecast, relief may have occurred
17 earlier than anticipated and, as such, caused the utilization rate on that
18 feeder to lower with the availability of more pairs on additional cable
19 diluting the original feeder cable utilization rate. Also, growth may not
20 have transpired according to prediction, resulting, again in a lower than
21 anticipated utilization rate.

22
23 Secondly, some pairs or fibers in a feeder cable may be unusable
24 because of defects. This obviously lowers the utilization rate on that
25 cable.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

Finally, cable only comes in so many sizes. BellSouth has to consider the economic efficiency of standardizing on certain size cables. This can sometimes result in the placement of more pairs or fibers than are needed because of available packaging. The greater economic necessity is served though the individual feeder utilization rate may suffer slightly.

The results of the factors I have described above have caused BellSouth's feeder utilization rates to run approximately 70% for copper and 75% for fiber feeder for many years. Exhibit DMB-1 to my testimony demonstrates that BellSouth has a better than average utilization rate as compared to other RBOCs. I do not expect these factors to change dramatically over time.

In the case of distribution utilization, BellSouth will place a distribution cable down a street according to the number of forecasted units to be served and the number of projected lines per unit. Now, since cable only comes in certain sizes, an exact match of cable size to pairs forecasted may never take place. This begins the creation of less than 100% utilization.

The lessening of the fill factor goes on from that point. Take this example for instance. A new distribution route is required to serve

1 a new subdivision. The subdivision will provide homes for 25 families.
2 It will consist of one main street with 7 houses and three side streets
3 with 6 houses each.

4
5 BellSouth's review and sizing of this new route would be to place 1.5
6 pairs for each living unit. (As an aside, 1.5 pairs per living unit is the
7 BellSouth default where specific requirements are not known. The
8 number can be less or more.) In order to do so, a 25 pair cable would
9 have to be placed down each street. So what happens to utilization
10 with this example?

11
12 *First of all, you start out with 1.5 pairs per unit calculating out to 10.5*
13 *pairs on the main street and 9 pairs on the side streets. So you start*
14 *with an approximate average 37.5% utilization factor if all pairs are*
15 *occupied. If only one house per street acquires any additional line*
16 *service, the factor lowers even more since that 1.5 pair per unit doesn't*
17 *get used by every unit. Also, some families move out and others move*
18 *into the subdivision, causing churn in the pairs and some pairs become*
19 *defective. All of these instances effect the fill on that cable. So it's*
20 *easily seen that, in the distribution, fill factors are lowered by a variety*
21 *of situations. Those factors are:*

- 22
- 23 -The very frequent mismatch between cable sizes
 - 24 and houses on a street.
 - 25 -The need to account for future demand without the

1 expense and disruption of deploying more facilities.

2 -The probability of defective pairs.

3 -The need to account for churn requirements.

4

5 BellSouth has found that these utilization limiting factors are constant in
6 most cases, particularly in the distribution environment. It should be
7 noted that even with growth in additional line requirements, ALEC
8 demand for unbundled loops will cause even more churn for
9 BellSouth's facilities. In BellSouth, one in five access lines disconnect
10 or move at a given location. That activity doesn't always occur
11 concurrently. In placing cable, consideration also has to be given to
12 churn and sufficient pairs must be available to handle dual or
13 nonconcurrent service activity which is likely to increase with the
14 presence of multiple Local Exchange Companies. As a result, cable
15 sizing requirements will increase, and thus help ensure that utilization
16 factors will remain relatively constant.

17

18 While we do not measure our fill factor at the individual route level, the
19 examples I have provided demonstrate how these experiences clearly
20 affect our overall fill factor even when measured at a more aggregate
21 level. In short, our experience has shown that our actual distribution
22 plant, on average, has a "fill" factor of about 40% and our actual feeder
23 plant has a "fill" factor of 70% for copper and 75% for fiber. There is no
24 reason to believe that our experience in the future will be different

25

1 Q. PLEASE EXPLAIN THE DIFFERENCE BETWEEN "OBJECTIVE" AND
2 "ACTUAL" FILL FACTORS.

3

4 A. You have to understand the difference between an "objective" fill
5 factor and the "actual" fill factor in order to appreciate why it is
6 appropriate to use projections of the actual fill factors in cost studies.
7 Consider for example a central office switch approaching exhaust.
8 Eventually, the switch completely exhausts, and does not have the
9 capacity to add a single customer. If the company waits until the day
10 that happens, some folks are going to be without telephone service for
11 a long time. Therefore, we don't wait until plant is exhausted to plan its
12 replacement or expansion. Instead, we set a target and when we
13 reach that target, we begin planning to replace or expand the facility in
14 question. For instance, we may know that when a switch hits 90% of
15 its ultimate capacity, we had better have a second switch ready to turn
16 on. In order to accomplish that, we may have to begin when that first
17 switch hits 70% capacity, because of the lead times involved. Those
18 targets, the objective fill factors that we plan for, are just that, targets.
19 They do not represent the level at which the network is operating. In
20 fact, in my example, where one switch was either replaced or
21 expanded, the actual utilization rate would vary widely depending on
22 the date the utilization was checked. On the day of exhaust, the switch
23 would be operating at 100%. On the day after, the replacement switch
24 or the expanded switch, could be operating at 50% or lower.

25

1 Q. PLEASE SUMMARIZE YOUR POINTS REGARDING UTILIZATION
2 FACTORS?

3

4 A. I have looked at the Florida state feeder and distribution utilization
5 factors for BellSouth. (They are 65.70 for copper feeder, 38.80 for
6 copper distribution, and 74.0 for fiber feeder.) They are reasonable
7 and represent what I believe that our utilization factors will be in the
8 future. The Commission knows, of course, and other parties to the
9 proceeding should know as well that we have not planned our network
10 and the utilization factors we have in order to increase or decrease our
11 costs to new entrants in the local telephone service arena. We have
12 planned our networks to serve our customers efficiently and effectively
13 and that fact is reflected in our utilization factors.

14

15 Q. CAN YOU PROVIDE SOME ADDITIONAL INFORMATION ON WHY
16 BELL SOUTH USES A MINIMUM SIZE CABLE OF 25 PAIRS?

17

18 A. Yes. BellSouth has determined that 25 pair cable is the most
19 economically efficient cable size to use in our network. Savings from
20 standardizing to a 25 pair minimum rather using a variety of smaller
21 sizes provides BellSouth with the ability to gain economies of scale
22 when negotiating with cable vendors. Additionally, savings are accrued
23 from reduced inventory and warehousing needs and reduced training
24 and administrative costs.

25

1 **Instead of making the loop less expensive, using a smaller size could**
2 **lead to higher costs. The truth is that one-sixth of a six pair cable is**
3 **more expensive that one-twenty fifth of a 25 pair cable. Frankly, the**
4 **major cost is the installation of the cable. In that light, BellSouth finds it**
5 **more economic to lay enough cable the first time to serve forecasted**
6 **future demand, thus preventing further digging up of streets and**
7 **driveways and saving the costs such activity would incur. Finally, not**
8 **only are smaller cable sizes more expensive, but because they use**
9 **coarser gauge wire, we consider them inappropriate to a forward**
10 **looking design.**

11

12 **Q. ARE THERE DEVICES AVAILABLE TO RAISE UTILIZATION RATES?**

13

14 **A. Yes. Specifically, the Digital Additional Main Line or DAML is**
15 **frequently mentioned for utilization rate increases by allowing the**
16 **placement of smaller distribution cables. The assertion that DAML**
17 **is more economical than provisioning additional cable pairs is only true**
18 **on a selected basis. DAML is less expensive if demand is only**
19 **temporary. If demand is permanent and ongoing, the correct solution is**
20 **to size the distribution cable to provide for the projected demand.**

21

22 **Q. PLEASE EXPLAIN WHAT "BRIDGED TAP" IS AND HOW IT IS**
23 **REFLECTED IN THE NETWORK?**

24

25

1 A. We have attempted to engineer our existing network in the most
2 efficient manner and presumably we and others will do the same in the
3 future. This means that we will do things that at first blush may seem
4 confusing. "Bridged tap" is one of those things, although I understand
5 that even AT&T has agreed that a reasonable amount of "bridged tap"
6 in the network is necessary.

7
8 Simply stated, "bridged tap" refers to that situation where a cable pair
9 exists in two different locations. The pair of wires can be used in either
10 location, but not in both. The unused portion of the pair is called
11 "bridged tap".

12
13 A common example of where this occurs is in a subdivision. To
14 illustrate how this occurs, imagine a subdivision that has a main street,
15 with 20 houses, and a cross street that runs off of and perpendicular to
16 the main street so that the streets form a "T". For our purposes, we will
17 assume the cross street has another 20 homes on it. A hundred pair
18 distribution cable might be run down the main street in front of all of the
19 houses on the main street. At the cross street, a second fifty pair
20 distribution cable might be "tapped" into the first cable. That is, at the
21 cross street, a fifty pair cable might be multiplexed onto the hundred pair
22 cable that runs down the main street of the subdivision. If the cable
23 pairs in the 100 pair cable are numbers 1 to 100, it should be easy to
24 see that 50 of the pairs that enter the subdivision run the length of the
25 main street and the length of the cross street. If a pair is used at the

1 first house on the cross street, it obviously cannot be used further on
2 down the main street beyond the point where the multiple was made.
3 The portion beyond the splice is "bridged tap". On the other hand, if
4 the house on the cross street disconnects its service, the pair is freed
5 up and a subscriber who lives on the main street beyond the multiple
6 could then use the pair. In such circumstances, it is clearly preferable
7 to have a reasonable amount of "bridged tap" than to have to run a
8 second cable from the central office to serve the cross street.

9
10 Some might say that tapering and splicing cable to serve the cross
11 street would be more efficient. That isn't necessarily the case.
12 Opening the sheath, cutting the cable and splicing the new cable are
13 not free. As well, costs are incurred in training, warehousing and
14 inventorying splicing equipment and in the maintenance of those
15 splices. Bridged tap reduces the need for these expenditures where it
16 can be used.

17
18 This example also can be used to illustrate another form of "bridged
19 tap". When a cable pair is used to serve the first house in the
20 subdivision, that cable pair continues to exist in the 100 pair cable
21 beyond the point where the first house's drop wire is spliced.
22 However, it is clear that the additional length of the already utilized
23 cable pair cannot be used again. This is actually called "end tap" and,
24 as can be seen, is unavoidable.

25

1 Our planning involves a reasonable amount of both types of "bridged
2 tap". It is unavoidable, and in the case of my first example, is actually
3 desirable in many cases, since it avoids the necessity of building
4 additional plant to serve our customers.

5

6 Q. THE STUDY ASSUMES THAT AERIAL CABLE DROP LENGTH IS AN
7 AVERAGE 250 FEET AND BURIED CABLE DROPS ARE AN
8 AVERAGE OF 200 FEET. CAN YOU EXPLAIN WHERE THESE
9 FIGURES CAME FROM?

10

11 A. Yes. These assumptions were derived via a review by a BellSouth
12 Subject Matter Expert (See Exhibit DMB-2 for a list of BellSouth SMEs
13 providing assumptions to the cost study) of the average length of aerial
14 and buried drops in the states of the BellSouth region. The method
15 used to acquire this information consisted of contacting the Installation
16 and Maintenance Managers in the state for information based on their
17 knowledge of the areas they serve. These managers are responsible
18 for the installation of drop wire and would have the best working
19 knowledge of average lengths without actually measuring individual
20 drops. The Subject Matter Expert averaged their responses and
21 provided a state total. Additionally, for buried service wire, the
22 BellSouth group that administers master contracts for burying the drop
23 was consulted and provided footage information from those contracts
24 as a cross check. The assumptions therefore were developed from

25

1 actual BellSouth information that considered the variety of
2 demographics for drops in the region.

3

4 Drop wire really only comes into play at the residential
5 and small business level. Apartment buildings , strip shopping
6 centers, malls and office buildings don't have drop wire. Obviously,
7 in residential areas, drop length will vary. In Florida, a fair amount of
8 the state is rural. The same is true of a great deal of the BellSouth
9 region. BellSouth chose to use state statistics rather than use old loop
10 surveys covering the entire nation. Any calculation using national data
11 like that supplied by the 1983 loop survey made available from
12 Bellcore that includes the New York City, Boston, Los Angeles and
13 Chicago will reflect drop lengths heavily influenced by dense
14 metropolitan environments. A more rural environment, by its nature,
15 contains drops that can be quite long. Additionally, even suburban
16 areas are not made up of 100% quarter acre lots and houses next to
17 the street. Other assumptions used by other models, such as houses
18 and buildings being place closer to the front of a lot to mitigate snow
19 removal, simply don't apply in Florida as it might in New York or
20 Illinois.

21

22 I believe that the drop lengths reflect in BellSouth's unbundled loop
23 study accurately reflect the demographics of Florida. Additionally, I
24 believe that there is no basis to conclude that length of these drops
25 would be expected to change in the future. While changes in

1 demographics will occur over time, it is highly unlikely that such
2 changes will be apparent within the "long run" element of this study.

3

4 Q. HOW DOES THE STUDY HANDLE ADSL/HDSL?

5

6 A. The assumption used in the network design for this cost study is that
7 only the transmission facility will be provided. Using a transmission
8 facility only assumption limits the provisioning of ADSL/HDSL to
9 compatible loops of 100% copper at a distance from the central office
10 of 9 kilofeet for HDSL and 18 kilofeet for ADSL. The assumption is that
11 BellSouth will provide the copper pairs where available, and it will be up
12 to the service provider to install the necessary equipment to provide the
13 ADSL/HDSL capability. This approach allows a requesting service
14 provider the least complicated access to the customer as far as costs
15 for the loop. I must make an important point here. These types of
16 loops are not standard loops and may require substantial non-recurring
17 costs to provision. Any offering of such loops must make provision for
18 the substantial non-recurring costs associated with these kinds of
19 loops.

20

21 Q. ARE THERE OTHER ISSUES THAT NEED TO BE MADE CLEAR IN
22 SO FAR AS THE STUDY ASSUMPTIONS ARE CONCERNED?

23

24 A. Yes, there are a few more. I will handle these by topic as follows:

25

1 **STRUCTURE:**

2 Some cost study models assume that sharing of structures such as
3 poles, conduit and trenches occurs 100% of the time. This is a
4 ludicrous assumption. It is in BellSouth's best interest to share
5 structure because it is the most economic course of action. We have
6 official practices on how to provide shared structure. It isn't, however,
7 the most practical or possible course all the time.

8
9 In the case of trenching, timing is a prevailing issue. In a multitude of
10 developments, power is required up front, so the electric utility
11 company comes in early and digs trenches to bury its facilities. For
12 BellSouth it would be a poor economic decision to place investment
13 that will not be used just to joint trench.

14
15 Joint use of poles is the most prevalent arrangement. Even in this
16 arena, joint use may not always be possible. In the case of joint use
17 with a power company, high voltage lines eliminate the possibility due
18 to the interference they cause to telecommunications. If the company
19 owning the pole must make costly adjustments to accommodate a
20 sharing utility, the cost would be passed along to the requester and
21 may not make the shared use an economic choice. With the
22 Telecommunications Act, the cost of any rearrangement must be born
23 by the cost-causer and may eliminate sharing on the basis of
24 economics.

25

1 Conduit is a third possible sharing arrangement. Customarily,
2 BellSouth has owned the vast majority of conduit it uses. Although
3 power companies own conduit, safety issues preclude most sharing
4 possibilities. Until the advent of ALECs, telecommunication utilities
5 sharing has not been in great demand. BellSouth allows sharing in
6 conduits we own only with other communications carriers.

7

8 **BUILDING ENTRANCE TERMINALS:**

9 Although unexposed plant should not require costly station protection, it
10 is very difficult to determine positively that no exposure to electrical
11 interference (lightening or power contact) exists. In a very metropolitan
12 environment where everything is underground, it may be possible to
13 leave off station protection. In most cases, in my opinion, it is better to
14 be safe than sorry. BellSouth has an obligation to protect its
15 customers, their service, our craftspeople and our equipment from
16 damage stemming from such exposure. One would assume that an
17 ALEC would have the same desire.

18

19 **MULTIPLE VENDORS:**

20 Certain ALECs contend that BellSouth should always provide prices for
21 technology used in its cost study from the least cost vendor. If we were
22 pricing a hypothetical fairy tale network, that would be an appropriate
23 method. We are not doing any such thing. We are providing costs for
24 an unbundled network element based on a forward looking narrowband

25

1 network design. It is inappropriate to suppose that the least cost
2 vendor is always satisfactory from a technological perspective.

3
4 In the same vein, the use of multiple vendors is an appropriate
5 activity. It would be imprudent of BellSouth to participate in
6 exclusive vendor relationships when multiple vendors allow better price
7 leverage and greater ability to meet technological demand.

8

9 REMOTES PER OC3 RING:

10 An average of ten remotes has been quoted by the ALECs as the
11 appropriate assumption for the number of remotes on an OC-3 Ring.
12 In fact, in some instances that may well be true. In other instances, all
13 the capacity is used up at the first node, precluding any additional. It is
14 BellSouth's experience that an average of three nodes is appropriate
15 for the design of this loop cost study.

16

17 SIX VS FOUR FIBER SONET RINGS:

18 BellSouth's six fiber SONET Ring design considers the needs of our
19 customers to have continuous quality service. With two fibers to
20 transmit, two fibers to receive and two fibers for system upgrades and
21 rapid service restoral, we can assure this fact. One would think that a
22 competitive environment would require this type of service
23 assurance to attract and keep subscribers. BellSouth considers such
24 a design to be part of a forward looking cost effective narrowband
25 network.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

EXPENSIVE OPTICAL LINE INTERFACE UNITS:

It has been stated that BellSouth uses the most expensive Optical LineInterface Unit (OLIU) Card for the Lucent DDM2000 OC-3 SONET multiplexer. While it is true that the long range OLIU card is not always necessary in the loop, there are very good reasons to use it. First the difference in material price at a DS0 level is very small. In the DDM2000 system, the difference is an additional \$.12 per card or \$.24 for the two cards the system requires. For the Fujitsu FLM-150 system, there is no difference in material price between intermediate and long range optic cards. For the LiteSpan 2000 system, the material price is an additional \$1.09 at the DS0 level.

In addition to these small price differences, there are significant advantages to stocking only one card that can be used for all applications. Inventory and stocking procedures are simplified which reduces costs. Installation, testing and maintenance are also made much easier when only one type of OLIU is required.

HIGH PRICED DS1 PLUG-IN CARDS:

Certain ALECs have asserted that BellSouth selected the highest priced DS1 plug-in card for the DDM2000 thus inflating the multiplexer investment. The same situation as that found in the OLIU requirement applies here; stocking and inventory procedures are simplified with use of one type of card causing a reduction in costs. There are also

1 maintenance reasons for using these particular cards. These cards are
2 equipped for performance monitoring. Availability of such a feature
3 minimizes service outages and reduces dispatch time for service
4 technicians. While the price difference at the DS0 level between the
5 two cards is \$3.26 for the DDM2000, it is only \$.75 for Fujitsu
6 equipment. Finally, Fujitsu is considering not offering the DS1 card.

7

8 Q. PLEASE SUMMARIZE YOUR TESTIMONY.

9

10 A. My testimony has described the network design used as the
11 infrastructure basis in the unbundled network element cost studies,
12 defined certain complex technical terminology, provided the basis for
13 the use of that technology, and discussed certain assumptions about
14 infrastructure design that have been misunderstood by some.

15

16 The design of the infrastructure and the assumptions relating to that
17 design are founded on well understood industry principles of
18 engineering. The assumptions and methodology are consistent with
19 the requirements of cost studies in general and provide the most
20 efficient technology available for the provision of a reliable narrowband
21 telecommunications network.

22

23 Q. DOES THAT CONCLUDE YOUR TESTIMONY?

24

25 A. Yes, it does.

EXHIBIT NO. DMB-1

| RBOC | Working Facilities | Available Equipped Facilities | Total Feeder Utilization |
|------------------|-----------------------|-------------------------------------|--------------------------------|
| Ameritech | 19,714,345 | 31,957,236 | 61.69% |
| Bell Atlantic | 23,514,796 | 56,613,562 | 41.54% |
| BellSouth | 24,682,894 | 36,022,283 | 68.52% |
| Nynex | 20,176,270 | 33,494,241 | 60.24% |
| Pacific Telesis | 16,124,521 | 17,495,721 | 92.16% |
| Southwestern B | 15,917,610 | 23,990,229 | 66.35% |
| US West | 15,347,150 | 24,246,870 | 63.30% |

| data name | source | District Manager |
|--|-------------------|------------------|
| Utilization Percent: Cable Rack for Cable Support Structure | Bill McAllister | ZIER, E M |
| 1.3.1 Application Cost - Circuit Capacity Management Disconnect | Bill McAllister | ZIER, E M |
| Utilization Percent: Cable Rack for Cable Support Structure | Bill McAllister | ZIER, E M |
| 1.3.1 Application Cost - Circuit Capacity Management Install First | Bill McAllister | ZIER, E M |
| 1.3.1 Application Cost - Circuit Capacity Management Disconnect | Bill McAllister | ZIER, E M |
| 1.3.1 Application Cost - Circuit Capacity Management Install First | Bill McAllister | ZIER, E M |
| 2-Wire Analog DID Trunk Port-CPG Engineering-Disconnect 1st | Bob Warren | HASKEW, JOHN R |
| 4-Wire ISDN DS1 Digital Trunk Port-CPG Engineering-Disconnect | Bob Warren | HASKEW, JOHN R |
| 4-Wire Analog Voice Grade Port-CPG Engineering-Disconnect 1st | Bob Warren | HASKEW, JOHN R |
| 4-Wire Analog Voice Grade Port-CPG Engineering-Disconnect | Bob Warren | HASKEW, JOHN R |
| 2-Wire ISDN Digital Line Side Port-CPG Engineering-Disconnect | Bob Warren | HASKEW, JOHN R |
| 2-Wire ISDN Digital Line Side Port-CPG Engineering-Install Add. | Bob Warren | HASKEW, JOHN R |
| 2-Wire ISDN Digital Line Side Port-CPG Engineering - JFC | Bob Warren | HASKEW, JOHN R |
| 2-Wire Analog DID Trunk Port-CPG Engineering-JFC | Bob Warren | HASKEW, JOHN R |
| 4-Wire ISDN Digital Trunk Port-CPG Engineering-Disconnect 1st | Bob Warren | HASKEW, JOHN R |
| 2-Wire Analog DID Trunk Port-CPG Engineering-Install Add. | Bob Warren | HASKEW, JOHN R |
| 4-Wire ISDN DS1 Digital Trunk Port-CPG Engineering-Install 1st | Bob Warren | HASKEW, JOHN R |
| 2-Wire Analog DID Trunk Port-CPG Engineering-Disconnec Add. | Bob Warren | HASKEW, JOHN R |
| 2-Wire ISDN Digital Line Side Port-CPG Engineering-Install 1st | Bob Warren | HASKEW, JOHN R |
| 2-Wire ISDN Digital Line Side Port-CPG Engineering-Disconnect | Bob Warren | HASKEW, JOHN R |
| 4-Wire Analog Voice Grade Port-CPG Engineering - JFC | Bob Warren | HASKEW, JOHN R |
| 4-Wire Analog Voice Grade Port-CPG Engineering-Install 1st | Bob Warren | HASKEW, JOHN R |
| 4-Wire ISDN DS1 Digital Trunk Port-CPG Engineering - JFC | Bob Warren | HASKEW, JOHN R |
| 4-Wire Analog Voice Grade Port-CPG Engineering-Install Add. | Bob Warren | HASKEW, JOHN R |
| 2-Wire Analog DID Trunk Port-CPG Engineering-Install 1st | Bob Warren | HASKEW, JOHN R |
| 4-Wire ISDN DS1 Digital Trunk Port-CPG Engineering-Install Add. | Bob Warren | HASKEW, JOHN R |
| L.1 Service Order - WMC - Install/Disconnect Addl | Carlton/E. Landry | MUNIZ, CARLOS A |
| L.1 Service Order - WMC - Install/Disconnect 1st | Carlton/E. Landry | MUNIZ, CARLOS A |
| L.2 Service Order - WMC - Install/Disconnect 1st | Carlton/E. Landry | MUNIZ, CARLOS A |
| SL.1 Service Order - WMC - Install/Disconnect Add. | Carlton/E. Landry | MUNIZ, CARLOS A |
| SL.2 Service Order - WMC - Install/Disconnect Addl | Carlton/E. Landry | MUNIZ, CARLOS A |
| SL.2 Service Order - WMC - Install/Disconnect 1st | Carlton/E. Landry | MUNIZ, CARLOS A |
| SL.1 Service Order - WMC - Install/Disconnect 1st | Carlton/E. Landry | MUNIZ, CARLOS A |
| L.2 Service Order - WMC - Install/Disconnect Addl | Carlton/E. Landry | MUNIZ, CARLOS A |
| L.3 Service Order - WMC - Install/Disconnect Addl | Carlton/T. June | MUNIZ, CARLOS A |
| L.3 Service Order - WMC - Install/Disconnect 1st | Carlton/T. June | MUNIZ, CARLOS A |
| F.2 LIDB ISUP Octets per message | Charles Martin | SHORES, JOAN R |
| F.2 LIDB Ratio ISUP Octets to total | Charles Martin | SHORES, JOAN R |
| F.2 LIDB Utilization (Eng. Guideline) | Charles Martin | SHORES, JOAN R |
| F.2 LIDB Ratio TCAP Octets to total | Charles Martin | SHORES, JOAN R |
| F.2 LIDB TCAP Octets per message | Charles Martin | SHORES, JOAN R |
| Buried Drop Contractor \$ | Chuck Edwards | MUNIZ, CARLOS A |
| Buried Drop Travel Time | Chuck Edwards | MUNIZ, CARLOS A |
| Job Function Code | Chuck Edwards | MUNIZ, CARLOS A |
| NID Material \$ (1-6 pair) | Chuck Edwards | MUNIZ, CARLOS A |
| Job Function Code - Buried Drop | Chuck Edwards | MUNIZ, CARLOS A |
| Job Function Code - Aerial Drop | Chuck Edwards | MUNIZ, CARLOS A |
| Buried Drop Telco - Install & Terminate Time | Chuck Edwards | MUNIZ, CARLOS A |
| Install NID Time | Chuck Edwards | MUNIZ, CARLOS A |
| Aerial Drop Average Length | Chuck Edwards | MUNIZ, CARLOS A |
| Buried Drop Travel Time | Chuck Edwards | MUNIZ, CARLOS A |
| Buried Drop Material \$ (5-pair) | Chuck Edwards | MUNIZ, CARLOS A |
| Aerial Drop Telco - Install & Terminate Time | Chuck Edwards | MUNIZ, CARLOS A |
| Aerial Drop Contractor \$ | Chuck Edwards | MUNIZ, CARLOS A |
| Buried Drop Exempt Material \$ | Chuck Edwards | MUNIZ, CARLOS A |
| Aerial Drop Travel Time | Chuck Edwards | MUNIZ, CARLOS A |
| Aerial Drop Travel Time | Chuck Edwards | MUNIZ, CARLOS A |
| Job Function Code | Chuck Edwards | MUNIZ, CARLOS A |
| Buried Drop Average Length | Chuck Edwards | MUNIZ, CARLOS A |
| Aerial Drop Material \$ (2-pair) | Chuck Edwards | MUNIZ, CARLOS A |

| | | |
|---|---------------|----------------|
| Install NID Time | Chuck Edwards | MUNIZ,CARLOS A |
| Aerial Drop Telco - Install & Terminate Time | Chuck Edwards | MUNIZ,CARLOS A |
| Aerial Drop Average Length | Chuck Edwards | MUNIZ,CARLOS A |
| Job Function Code - Aerial Drop | Chuck Edwards | MUNIZ,CARLOS A |
| Job Function Code - Buried Drop | Chuck Edwards | MUNIZ,CARLOS A |
| Buried Drop Exempt Material \$ | Chuck Edwards | MUNIZ,CARLOS A |
| Aerial Drop Number of Pairs | Chuck Edwards | MUNIZ,CARLOS A |
| Aerial Drop Contractor\$ | Chuck Edwards | MUNIZ,CARLOS A |
| Aerial Drop Exempt Material \$ | Chuck Edwards | MUNIZ,CARLOS A |
| Buried Drop Average Length | Chuck Edwards | MUNIZ,CARLOS A |
| Buried Drop Material \$ (5-pair) | Chuck Edwards | MUNIZ,CARLOS A |
| Job Function Code - Buried Drop (Note 2) | Chuck Edwards | MUNIZ,CARLOS A |
| Aerial Drop Material \$ (2-pair) | Chuck Edwards | MUNIZ,CARLOS A |
| Buried Drop Contractor\$ | Chuck Edwards | MUNIZ,CARLOS A |
| NID Material \$ (1-6 pair) | Chuck Edwards | MUNIZ,CARLOS A |
| Install NID Time (Note 2) | Chuck Edwards | MUNIZ,CARLOS A |
| NID Material \$ (1-6 pair) (Note 2) | Chuck Edwards | MUNIZ,CARLOS A |
| Buried Drop Travel Time - NID (Note 2) | Chuck Edwards | MUNIZ,CARLOS A |
| Material-Interface - 2nd Pair NID (Note 2) | Chuck Edwards | MUNIZ,CARLOS A |
| Buried Drop Number of Pairs | Chuck Edwards | MUNIZ,CARLOS A |
| Material-Protector - 2nd Pair NID (Note 2) | Chuck Edwards | MUNIZ,CARLOS A |
| Job Function Code (Note 2) | Chuck Edwards | MUNIZ,CARLOS A |
| Buried Drop Telco - Install & Terminate Time | Chuck Edwards | MUNIZ,CARLOS A |
| Buried Drop Number of Pairs | Chuck Edwards | MUNIZ,CARLOS A |
| Aerial Drop Number of Pairs | Chuck Edwards | MUNIZ,CARLOS A |
| Aerial Drop Exempt Material \$ | Chuck Edwards | MUNIZ,CARLOS A |
| 2-Wire ISDN Digital Line Side Port-CO Install&Mtce-Ckt.&Fac-JFC | Dan Stinson | MUNIZ,CARLOS A |
| 4-Wire ISDN DS1 Digital Trunk Port-CO Install&Mtce-Ckt&Fac- | Dan Stinson | MUNIZ,CARLOS A |
| 4-Wire ISDN DS1 Digital Trunk Port-CO Install&Mtce-Ckt&Fac- | Dan Stinson | MUNIZ,CARLOS A |
| 4-Wire Analog Voice Grade Port-CO Install&Mtce-Ckt&Fac- | Dan Stinson | MUNIZ,CARLOS A |
| 4-Wire Analog Voice Grade Port-CO Install&Mtce-Ckt&Fac- | Dan Stinson | MUNIZ,CARLOS A |
| 2-Wire ISDN Digital Line Side-CO Install&Mtce-Ckt&Fac- | Dan Stinson | MUNIZ,CARLOS A |
| 2-Wire Analog Line Port-CO Install&Mtce-Ckt&Fac-Disconnect 1st | Dan Stinson | MUNIZ,CARLOS A |
| 2-Wire Analog DID Trunk Port-CO Install&Mtce-Ckt&Fac- | Dan Stinson | MUNIZ,CARLOS A |
| 2-Wire Analog DID Trunk Port-CO Install&Mtce-Ckt&Fac- | Dan Stinson | MUNIZ,CARLOS A |
| 2-Wire Analog Line Port-CO Install&Mtce-Ckt&Fac-Disconnect | Dan Stinson | MUNIZ,CARLOS A |
| 2-Wire ISDN Digital Line Side-CO Install&Mtce-Ckt&Fac- | Dan Stinson | MUNIZ,CARLOS A |
| 1.3.18 Security Escort - Basic, Per Half Hour CO Install & Mtce | Dan Stinson | MUNIZ,CARLOS A |
| 4-Wire ISDN DS1 Digital Trunk Port-CO Install&Mtce-Ckt.&Fac- | Dan Stinson | MUNIZ,CARLOS A |
| 4-Wire Analog Voice Grade Port-CO Install&Mtce-Ckt.&Fac-JFC | Dan Stinson | MUNIZ,CARLOS A |
| 2-Wire Analog DID Trunk Port-CO Install&Mtce-Ckt.&Fac-JFC | Dan Stinson | MUNIZ,CARLOS A |
| 2-Wire Analog Line Port-CO Install&Mtce-Ckt.&Fac-JFC | Dan Stinson | MUNIZ,CARLOS A |
| 2-Wire Analog DID Trunk Port-CO Install&Mtce-Ckt&Fac-Install | Dan Stinson | MUNIZ,CARLOS A |
| 1.3.18 Security Escort - Basic, Per Half Hour CO Install & Mtce | Dan Stinson | MUNIZ,CARLOS A |
| 1.3.19 Security Escort - Overtime, Per Half Hour CO Install & | Dan Stinson | MUNIZ,CARLOS A |
| 1.3.19 Security Escort - Overtime, Per Half Hour CO Install & | Dan Stinson | MUNIZ,CARLOS A |
| 1.3.20 Security Escort - Premium, Per Half Hour CO Install & Mtce | Dan Stinson | MUNIZ,CARLOS A |
| 4-Wire ISDN DS1 Digital Trunk Port-CO Install&Mtce-Ckt&Fac- | Dan Stinson | MUNIZ,CARLOS A |
| 4-Wire ISDN DS1 Digital Trunk Port-CO Install&Mtce-Ckt&Fac- | Dan Stinson | MUNIZ,CARLOS A |
| 4-Wire Analog Voice Grade Port-CO Install&Mtce-Ckt&Fac-Install | Dan Stinson | MUNIZ,CARLOS A |
| 4-Wire Analog Voice Grade Port-CO Install&Mtce-Ckt&Fac-Install | Dan Stinson | MUNIZ,CARLOS A |
| 2-Wire ISDN Digital Line Side Port-CO Install&Mtce-Ckt&Fac- | Dan Stinson | MUNIZ,CARLOS A |
| 2-Wire ISDN Digital Line Side Port-CO Install&Mtce-Ckt&Fac- | Dan Stinson | MUNIZ,CARLOS A |
| 2-Wire Analog Line Port-CO Install&Mtce-Ckt&Fac-Install Add. | Dan Stinson | MUNIZ,CARLOS A |
| 2-Wire Analog Line Port-CO Install&Mtce-Ckt&Fac-Install 1st | Dan Stinson | MUNIZ,CARLOS A |
| 2-Wire Analog DID Trunk Port-CO Install&Mtce-Ckt&Fac-Install | Dan Stinson | MUNIZ,CARLOS A |
| 1.3.20 Security Escort - Premium, Per Half Hour CO Install & Mtce | Dan Stinson | MUNIZ,CARLOS A |
| 1.3.18 Security Escort - Basic, Per Half Hour CO Install & Mtce | Dan Stinson | MUNIZ,CARLOS A |
| 1.3.18 Security Escort - Basic, Per Half Hour CO Install & Mtce | Dan Stinson | MUNIZ,CARLOS A |
| 1.3.20 Security Escort - Premium, Per Half Hour CO Install & Mtce | Dan Stinson | MUNIZ,CARLOS A |
| 1.3.20 Security Escort - Premium, Per Half Hour CO Install & Mtce | Dan Stinson | MUNIZ,CARLOS A |

| | | |
|--|--------------------|-----------------|
| I.3.19 Security Escort - Overtime, Per Half Hour CO Install & | Dan Stinson | MUNIZ,CARLOS A |
| I.3.19 Security Escort - Overtime, Per Half Hour CO Install & | Dan Stinson | MUNIZ,CARLOS A |
| F.1 800 Access Queries for 1996 | David Finn | MCLAUGHLIN,R L |
| 2-Wire Analog DID Trunk Port-LNA-Disconnect Add. | Elaine Billie | CHARLES,WILLIAM |
| 2-Wire Analog DID Trunk Port-LNA-Disconnect 1st | Elaine Billie | CHARLES,WILLIAM |
| 2-Wire Analog DID Trunk Port-LNA-Install 1st | Elaine Billie | CHARLES,WILLIAM |
| 2-Wire Analog DID Trunk Port-LNA-Install Add. | Elaine Billie | CHARLES,WILLIAM |
| 4-Wire ISDN DS1 Digital Trunk Port-LNA-JFC | Elaine Billie | CHARLES,WILLIAM |
| 4-Wire Analog Voice Grade Port-LNA-JFC | Elaine Billie | CHARLES,WILLIAM |
| 2-Wire ISDN Digital Line Side Port-LNA-JFC | Elaine Billie | CHARLES,WILLIAM |
| 2-Wire Analog Line Port-LNA-JFC | Elaine Billie | CHARLES,WILLIAM |
| 2-Wire Analog Line Port-LNA-Install 1st | Elaine Billie | CHARLES,WILLIAM |
| 2-Wire Analog DID Trunk Port-LNA-JFC | Elaine Billie | CHARLES,WILLIAM |
| 2-Wire ISDN Digital Line Side Port-LNA-Install 1st | Elaine Billie | CHARLES,WILLIAM |
| 2-Wire ISDN Digital Line Side Port-LNA-Disconnect 1st | Elaine Billie | CHARLES,WILLIAM |
| 2-Wire ISDN Digital Line Side Port-LNA-Install Add. | Elaine Billie | CHARLES,WILLIAM |
| 4-Wire Analog Voice Grade Port-LNA-Install Add. | Elaine Billie | CHARLES,WILLIAM |
| 4-Wire Analog Voice Grade Port-LNA-Install 1st | Elaine Billie | CHARLES,WILLIAM |
| 4-Wire Analog Voice Grade Port-LNA-Disconnect Add. | Elaine Billie | CHARLES,WILLIAM |
| 4-Wire Analog Voice Grade Port-LNA-Disconnect 1st | Elaine Billie | CHARLES,WILLIAM |
| 2-Wire Analog Line Port-LNA-Install Add. | Elaine Billie | CHARLES,WILLIAM |
| 2-Wire ISDN Digital Line Side Port-LNA-Disconnect Add. | Elaine Billie | CHARLES,WILLIAM |
| 2-Wire Analog Line Port-LNA-Disconnect 1st | Elaine Billie | CHARLES,WILLIAM |
| 2-Wire Analog Line Port-LNA-Disconnect Add. | Elaine Billie | CHARLES,WILLIAM |
| 2-Wire Analog Line Port-RCMAG-Install Add. | Frank Eberle | HASKEW,JOHN R |
| 2-Wire Analog DID Trunk Port-RCMAG-JFC | Frank Eberle | HASKEW,JOHN R |
| 2-Wire Analog DID Trunk Port-RCMAG-Disconnect Add. | Frank Eberle | HASKEW,JOHN R |
| 2-Wire Analog DID Trunk Port-RCMAG-Disconnect 1st | Frank Eberle | HASKEW,JOHN R |
| 2-Wire Analog Line Port-RCMAG-Disconnect 1st | Frank Eberle | HASKEW,JOHN R |
| 2-Wire Analog Line Port-RCMAG-Disconnect Add. | Frank Eberle | HASKEW,JOHN R |
| 2-Wire Analog DID Trunk Port-RCMAG-Install 1st | Frank Eberle | HASKEW,JOHN R |
| 4-Wire Analog Voice Grade Port-RCMAG-Disconnect Add. | Frank Eberle | HASKEW,JOHN R |
| 2-Wire Analog Line Port-RCMAG-Install 1st | Frank Eberle | HASKEW,JOHN R |
| 4-Wire Analog Voice Grade Port-RCMAG-JFC | Frank Eberle | HASKEW,JOHN R |
| 4-Wire Analog Voice Grade Port-RCMAG-Install Add. | Frank Eberle | HASKEW,JOHN R |
| 4-Wire Analog Voice Grade Port-RCMAG-Disconnect 1st | Frank Eberle | HASKEW,JOHN R |
| 2-Wire Analog Line Port-RCMAG-JFC | Frank Eberle | HASKEW,JOHN R |
| 4-Wire Analog Voice Grade Port-RCMAG-Install 1st | Frank Eberle | HASKEW,JOHN R |
| 2-Wire Analog DID Trunk Port-RCMAG-Install Add. | Frank Eberle | HASKEW,JOHN R |
| L.2 Travel - SSIM - Install Addl -2W Design | Guilbeau/E. Landry | MUNIZ,CARLOS A |
| L.2 Travel - SSIM - Disconnect 1st/Addl -2W Design | Guilbeau/E. Landry | MUNIZ,CARLOS A |
| SL.1 Connect & Turn Up - I&M - Install 1st/Addl | Guilbeau/E. Landry | MUNIZ,CARLOS A |
| L.2 Connect & Turn-Up - I&M - Disconnect 1st/Addl - 2W | Guilbeau/E. Landry | MUNIZ,CARLOS A |
| L.2 Service Order - SSIM - 2W Designed - Install/Disconnect Addl | Guilbeau/E. Landry | MUNIZ,CARLOS A |
| L.2 Connect & Turn-Up - SSIM - Disconnect 1st/Addl - 2W | Guilbeau/E. Landry | MUNIZ,CARLOS A |
| L.2 Service Order - SSIM - 2W Designed - Disconnect 1st | Guilbeau/E. Landry | MUNIZ,CARLOS A |
| SL.1 Travel - I&M Dispatched - Install/Disconnect Addl | Guilbeau/E. Landry | MUNIZ,CARLOS A |
| L.2 Travel - I&M - Install Addl -2W Nondesign | Guilbeau/E. Landry | MUNIZ,CARLOS A |
| L.2 Travel - I&M - Disconnect 1st/Addl -2W Nondesign | Guilbeau/E. Landry | MUNIZ,CARLOS A |
| SL.1 Connect & Turn Up - I&M - Disconnect 1st/Addl | Guilbeau/E. Landry | MUNIZ,CARLOS A |
| SL.1 Travel - I&M Dispatched - Install/Disconnect 1st | Guilbeau/E. Landry | MUNIZ,CARLOS A |
| SL.1 Service Order -I&M - Install/Disconnect 1st | Guilbeau/E. Landry | MUNIZ,CARLOS A |
| L.2 Travel - SSIM - Install 1st -2W Design | Guilbeau/E. Landry | MUNIZ,CARLOS A |
| L.2 Service Order - SSIM - 2W Designed - Install 1st | Guilbeau/E. Landry | MUNIZ,CARLOS A |
| L.2 Connect & Turn-Up - I&M - Install 1st/Addl -2W Nondesign | Guilbeau/E. Landry | MUNIZ,CARLOS A |
| SL.1 Service Order - I&M - Install/Disconnect Addl | Guilbeau/E. Landry | MUNIZ,CARLOS A |
| L.2 Travel - I&M - Install 1st -2W Nondesign | Guilbeau/E. Landry | MUNIZ,CARLOS A |
| L.3 Travel - I&M - Disconnect 1st/Addl | Guilbeau/T. June | MUNIZ,CARLOS A |
| L.3 Connect & Turn-Up - I&M - Disconnect 1st/Addl | Guilbeau/T. June | MUNIZ,CARLOS A |
| L.3 Connect & Turn-Up - I&M - Install 1st/Addl | Guilbeau/T. June | MUNIZ,CARLOS A |
| L.3 Travel - I&M - Install 1st | Guilbeau/T. June | MUNIZ,CARLOS A |

| | | |
|---|--------------------|----------------|
| L.3 Travel - I&M - Install Addl | Guilbeau/T. June | MUNIZ,CARLOS A |
| SL.2 Travel - SSIM - Disconnect 1st | Hulsey/E. Landry | MUNIZ,CARLOS A |
| L.2 Connect & Turn-Up - SSIM - Install 1st/Addl -4W Voice | Hulsey/E. Landry | MUNIZ,CARLOS A |
| SL.2 Connect & Turn-Up - SSIM - Install 1st/Addl | Hulsey/E. Landry | MUNIZ,CARLOS A |
| L.2 Connect & Turn-Up - SSIM - Install 1st/Addl - ISDN | Hulsey/E. Landry | MUNIZ,CARLOS A |
| SL.2 Service Order - SSIM - Install/Disconnect Addl | Hulsey/E. Landry | MUNIZ,CARLOS A |
| SL.2 Service Order - SSIM - Disconnect 1st | Hulsey/E. Landry | MUNIZ,CARLOS A |
| L.2 Service Order - SSIM - 4W Designed & ISDN - | Hulsey/E. Landry | MUNIZ,CARLOS A |
| SL.2 Connect & Turn-Up - SSIM - Disconnect 1st/Addl | Hulsey/E. Landry | MUNIZ,CARLOS A |
| L.2 Travel - SSIM - Install/Disconnect Addl - ISDN, 4W Voice | Hulsey/E. Landry | MUNIZ,CARLOS A |
| SL.2 Travel - SSIM - Install/Disconnect Addl | Hulsey/E. Landry | MUNIZ,CARLOS A |
| L.2 Connect & Turn-Up - SSIM - Install 1st/Addl -2W Designed | Hulsey/E. Landry | MUNIZ,CARLOS A |
| L.2 Connect & Turn-Up - SSIM - Disconnect 1st/Addl - ISDN | Hulsey/E. Landry | MUNIZ,CARLOS A |
| L.1 Travel - SSIM Technician Dispatched - Install/Disconnect 1st | Hulsey/E. Landry | MUNIZ,CARLOS A |
| L.2 Travel - SSIM - Install/Disconnect 1st - ISDN, 4W Voice | Hulsey/E. Landry | MUNIZ,CARLOS A |
| L.1 Service Order - SSIM - Install/Disconnect 1st | Hulsey/E. Landry | MUNIZ,CARLOS A |
| L.2 Service Order - SSIM - 4W Designed & ISDN - | Hulsey/E. Landry | MUNIZ,CARLOS A |
| SL.2 Service Order - SSIM - Install 1st | Hulsey/E. Landry | MUNIZ,CARLOS A |
| L.1 Service Order - SSIM - Install/Disconnect Addl | Hulsey/E. Landry | MUNIZ,CARLOS A |
| L.1 Travel - SSIM Technician Dispatched - Install/Disconnect Addl | Hulsey/E. Landry | MUNIZ,CARLOS A |
| SL.2 Travel - SSIM - Install 1st | Hulsey/E. Landry | MUNIZ,CARLOS A |
| L.1 Connect & Turn Up - SSIM - Install 1st/Addl | Hulsey/E. Landry | MUNIZ,CARLOS A |
| L.1 Connect & Turn Up - SSIM - Disconnect 1st/Addl | Hulsey/E. Landry | MUNIZ,CARLOS A |
| L.2 Connect & Turn-Up - SSIM - Disconnect 1st/Addl - 4W Voice | Hulsey/E. Landry | MUNIZ,CARLOS A |
| Network Reliability Center (Minutes) | J. Birmunson | HORTON,R L |
| % X-box Investment to Apply to Feeder | J.Jackson | JACKSON,J V |
| % X-box Investment to Apply to Feeder | J.Jackson | JACKSON,J V |
| B.1 NID to NID XC RJ11 NID | Jerry Reeder, Rick | MUNIZ,CARLOS A |
| F.1 800 Access Port RTU (Vendor EF&I) - NEW | Joe Badgett | MCLAUGHLIN,R L |
| F.1 800 Access STP Port Investment (Vendor EF&I) - NEW | Joe Badgett | MCLAUGHLIN,R L |
| F.2 LIDB Total B LINKS, YE01 | Joe Badgett | MCLAUGHLIN,R L |
| F.2 LIDB Total C LINKS, YE01 | Joe Badgett | MCLAUGHLIN,R L |
| F.2 LIDB Total D LINKS YE01 | Joe Badgett | MCLAUGHLIN,R L |
| F.2 LIDB STP RTU Per Port, NEW (Vendor EF&I) | Joe Badgett | MCLAUGHLIN,R L |
| F.2 LIDB Customer LINKS 1996 | Joe Badgett | MCLAUGHLIN,R L |
| F.2 LIDB Total BST SSP LINKS 1996 | Joe Badgett | MCLAUGHLIN,R L |
| F.2 LIDB STP Investment per Port, NEW (Vendor EF&I) | Joe Badgett | MCLAUGHLIN,R L |
| F.2 LIDB Average Vendor Price Per Site - Outside STP Footprint | Joe Badgett | MCLAUGHLIN,R L |
| F.2 LIDB Integrated Digital Services Terminals (EF&I) - Software | Joe Badgett | MCLAUGHLIN,R L |
| F.2 LIDB Links Monitoring System (Vendor EF&I) - Software | Joe Badgett | MCLAUGHLIN,R L |
| F.2 LIDB Integrated Digital Services Terminals (EF&I) - Hardware | Joe Badgett | MCLAUGHLIN,R L |
| F.2 LIDB Links Monitoring System (Vendor EF&I) - Hardware | Joe Badgett | MCLAUGHLIN,R L |
| DISC'S-Channels/Plug-In-Universal-RT | John Jackson | JACKSON,J V |
| SLC Series 5-Channels/Channel Bank-Universal-RT | John Jackson | JACKSON,J V |
| SLC Series 5-Channels/Plug-In-Universal-COT | John Jackson | JACKSON,J V |
| Utilization-Remote Terminal | John Jackson | JACKSON,J V |
| LiteSpan 2000-Channels/Plug-In-Universal-COT | John Jackson | JACKSON,J V |
| LiteSpan 2000-Channels/Plug-In-Universal-RT | John Jackson | JACKSON,J V |
| DISC'S-Channels/Channel Bank-Universal-COT | John Jackson | JACKSON,J V |
| Probability Of Occurrence-FLM-150 | John Jackson | JACKSON,J V |
| SLC Series 5-Channels/Channel Bank-Universal-COT | John Jackson | JACKSON,J V |
| DISC'S-Channels/Plug-In-Universal-RT | John Jackson | JACKSON,J V |
| SLC Series 5-# Channels-Universal-COT | John Jackson | JACKSON,J V |
| SLC Series 5-# Channels-Universal-RT | John Jackson | JACKSON,J V |
| LiteSpan 2000-# Channels-Universal-COT | John Jackson | JACKSON,J V |
| LiteSpan 2000-# Channels-Universal-RT | John Jackson | JACKSON,J V |
| LiteSpan 2000-Channels/Channel Bank-Universal-COT | John Jackson | JACKSON,J V |
| LiteSpan 2000-Channels/Channel Bank-Universal-RT | John Jackson | JACKSON,J V |
| DISC'S-# Channels-Universal-COT | John Jackson | JACKSON,J V |
| LiteSpan 2000-# Channels-Universal-COT | John Jackson | JACKSON,J V |
| DISC'S-Channels/Channel Bank-Universal-RT | John Jackson | JACKSON,J V |

| | | |
|---|--------------|--------------|
| Utilization Probability-DISC's | John Jackson | JACKSON, J V |
| LiteSpan 2000-# Channels-Universal-RT | John Jackson | JACKSON, J V |
| LiteSpan 2000-Channels/Channel Bank-Universal-COT | John Jackson | JACKSON, J V |
| LiteSpan 2000-Channels/Channel Bank-Universal-RT | John Jackson | JACKSON, J V |
| DISC'S-# Channels-Universal-COT | John Jackson | JACKSON, J V |
| DISC'S-# Channels-Universal-RT | John Jackson | JACKSON, J V |
| SLC Series 5-Channels/Plug-In-Universal-RT | John Jackson | JACKSON, J V |
| Utilization Probability-LiteSpan 2000 | John Jackson | JACKSON, J V |
| DISC'S-Channels/Plug-In-Universal-COT | John Jackson | JACKSON, J V |
| Utilization Probability-Optimal | John Jackson | JACKSON, J V |
| DISC'S-Time Slots Required-Universal-COT | John Jackson | JACKSON, J V |
| DISC'S-Time Slots Required-Universal-RT | John Jackson | JACKSON, J V |
| LiteSpan 2000-Time Slots Required-Universal-COT | John Jackson | JACKSON, J V |
| Utilization | John Jackson | JACKSON, J V |
| SLC Series 5-Time Slots Required-Universal-COT | John Jackson | JACKSON, J V |
| Utilization Probability-Optimal | John Jackson | JACKSON, J V |
| SLC Series 5-Time Slots Required-Universal-RT | John Jackson | JACKSON, J V |
| Utilization Probability-SLC Series 5 | John Jackson | JACKSON, J V |
| Utilization Percent: Copper Feeder | John Jackson | JACKSON, J V |
| DISC'S-# Channels-Universal-RT | John Jackson | JACKSON, J V |
| Utilization-Central Office | John Jackson | JACKSON, J V |
| Units Per DS1-DDM-2000-OC-3 | John Jackson | JACKSON, J V |
| Units Per DS1-DISC*S | John Jackson | JACKSON, J V |
| Units Per DS1-FLM-150 | John Jackson | JACKSON, J V |
| Probability Of Occurrence-DDM-2000 OC-3 | John Jackson | JACKSON, J V |
| Utilization Percent: Copper Distribution | John Jackson | JACKSON, J V |
| Probability Of Occurrence-FLM-150 | John Jackson | JACKSON, J V |
| Bridge Tap Utilization & Assignment - Feeder | John Jackson | JACKSON, J V |
| Utilization Percent: Fiber Feeder (DLC) (N/A ADSL & HDSL) | John Jackson | JACKSON, J V |
| Loop Length For Fbr/Cu Breakpoint-Fdr. (NA ADSL & HDSL) | John Jackson | JACKSON, J V |
| Bridge Tap Utilization & Assignment - Feeder | John Jackson | JACKSON, J V |
| Utilization Percent: Copper Distribution | John Jackson | JACKSON, J V |
| Utilization Percent: Copper Feeder | John Jackson | JACKSON, J V |
| Utilization Percent: Fiber Feeder (DLC) (N/A ADSL & HDSL) | John Jackson | JACKSON, J V |
| Loop Length For Fbr/Cu Breakpoint-Fdr. (NA ADSL & HDSL) | John Jackson | JACKSON, J V |
| Units Per DS1-LiteSpan 2000 | John Jackson | JACKSON, J V |
| Probability Of Occurrence-FLM-150 | John Jackson | JACKSON, J V |
| Probability Of Occurrence-DDM-2000 OC-3 | John Jackson | JACKSON, J V |
| Probability Of Occurrence-LiteSpan 2000 | John Jackson | JACKSON, J V |
| Utilization-Central Office | John Jackson | JACKSON, J V |
| Units Per DS1-DDM-2000-OC-3 | John Jackson | JACKSON, J V |
| Units Per DS1-DISC*S | John Jackson | JACKSON, J V |
| Units Per DS1-FLM-150 | John Jackson | JACKSON, J V |
| Probability Of Occurrence-LiteSpan 2000 | John Jackson | JACKSON, J V |
| Utilization-Remote Terminal | John Jackson | JACKSON, J V |
| LiteSpan 2000-Time Slots Required-Universal-RT | John Jackson | JACKSON, J V |
| Probability Of Occurrence-DDM-2000 OC-3 | John Jackson | JACKSON, J V |
| Probability Of Occurrence-LiteSpan 2000 | John Jackson | JACKSON, J V |
| Utilization-Central Office | John Jackson | JACKSON, J V |
| Units Per DS1-DDM-2000-OC-3 | John Jackson | JACKSON, J V |
| Units Per DS1-DISC*S | John Jackson | JACKSON, J V |
| Units Per DS1-FLM-150 | John Jackson | JACKSON, J V |
| Units Per DS1-LiteSpan 2000 | John Jackson | JACKSON, J V |
| Utilization-Remote Terminal | John Jackson | JACKSON, J V |
| Units Per DS1-LiteSpan 2000 | John Jackson | JACKSON, J V |
| LiteSpan 2000-Channels/Plug-In-Universal-COT | John Jackson | JACKSON, J V |
| LiteSpan 2000-Time Slots Required-Universal-RT | John Jackson | JACKSON, J V |
| Utilization Probability-SLC Series 5 | John Jackson | JACKSON, J V |
| Utilization Probability-LiteSpan 2000 | John Jackson | JACKSON, J V |
| Utilization Probability-DISC's | John Jackson | JACKSON, J V |
| DISC'S-Channels/Plug-In-Universal-COT | John Jackson | JACKSON, J V |

| | | |
|--|---------------|-----------------|
| DISC'S-Time Slots Required-Universal-COT | John Jackson | JACKSON, J V |
| DISC'S-Time Slots Required-Universal-COT | John Jackson | JACKSON, J V |
| DISC'S-Time Slots Required-Universal-RT | John Jackson | JACKSON, J V |
| LiteSpan 2000-Channels/Plug-In-Universal-RT | John Jackson | JACKSON, J V |
| LiteSpan 2000-Time Slots Required-Universal-COT | John Jackson | JACKSON, J V |
| LiteSpan 2000-Time Slots Required-Universal-RT | John Jackson | JACKSON, J V |
| SLC Series 5-Time Slots Required-Universal-COT | John Jackson | JACKSON, J V |
| SLC Series 5-Time Slots Required-Universal-RT | John Jackson | JACKSON, J V |
| SLC Series 5-Channels/Plug-In-Universal-RT | John Jackson | JACKSON, J V |
| SLC Series 5-Channels/Plug-In-Universal-COT | John Jackson | JACKSON, J V |
| SLC Series 5-Channels/Plug-In-Universal-COT | John Jackson | JACKSON, J V |
| LiteSpan 2000-Channels/Plug-In-Universal-COT | John Jackson | JACKSON, J V |
| SLC Series 5-# Channels-Universal-RT | John Jackson | JACKSON, J V |
| DISC'S-# Channels-Universal-RT | John Jackson | JACKSON, J V |
| LiteSpan 2000-Channels/Channel Bank-Universal-RT | John Jackson | JACKSON, J V |
| LiteSpan 2000-Channels/Channel Bank-Universal-COT | John Jackson | JACKSON, J V |
| LiteSpan 2000-# Channels-Universal-RT | John Jackson | JACKSON, J V |
| DISC'S-# Channels-Universal-COT | John Jackson | JACKSON, J V |
| LiteSpan 2000-Channels/Plug-In-Universal-RT | John Jackson | JACKSON, J V |
| DISC'S-Time Slots Required-Universal-RT | John Jackson | JACKSON, J V |
| DISC'S-Channels/Channel Bank-Universal-COT | John Jackson | JACKSON, J V |
| DISC'S-Channels/Channel Bank-Universal-RT | John Jackson | JACKSON, J V |
| SLC Series 5-Time Slots Required-Universal-RT | John Jackson | JACKSON, J V |
| SLC Series 5-Time Slots Required-Universal-COT | John Jackson | JACKSON, J V |
| SLC Series 5-Channels/Channel Bank-Universal-COT | John Jackson | JACKSON, J V |
| SLC Series 5-Channels/Channel Bank-Universal-RT | John Jackson | JACKSON, J V |
| SLC Series 5-# Channels-Universal-COT | John Jackson | JACKSON, J V |
| SLC Series 5-# Channels-Universal-RT | John Jackson | JACKSON, J V |
| LiteSpan 2000-# Channels-Universal-COT | John Jackson | JACKSON, J V |
| DISC'S-Channels/Channel Bank-Universal-COT | John Jackson | JACKSON, J V |
| DISC'S-Channels/Plug-In-Universal-COT | John Jackson | JACKSON, J V |
| SLC Series 5-Channels/Plug-In-Universal-RT | John Jackson | JACKSON, J V |
| DISC'S-Channels/Plug-In-Universal-RT | John Jackson | JACKSON, J V |
| Utilization Probability-Optimal | John Jackson | JACKSON, J V |
| Utilization Probability-LiteSpan 2000 | John Jackson | JACKSON, J V |
| Utilization Probability-SLC Series 5 | John Jackson | JACKSON, J V |
| LiteSpan 2000-Time Slots Required-Universal-COT | John Jackson | JACKSON, J V |
| DISC'S-Channels/Channel Bank-Universal-RT | John Jackson | JACKSON, J V |
| SLC Series 5-Channels/Channel Bank-Universal-COT | John Jackson | JACKSON, J V |
| SLC Series 5-Channels/Channel Bank-Universal-RT | John Jackson | JACKSON, J V |
| SLC Series 5-# Channels-Universal-COT | John Jackson | JACKSON, J V |
| Utilization Probability-DISC's | John Jackson | JACKSON, J V |
| % X-box Investment to Apply to Distribution | K.Gardner, | KINSEY, LINDA M |
| % X-box Investment to Apply to Distribution | K.Gardner, | KINSEY, LINDA M |
| 4-Wire ISDN DS1 Digital Trunk Port-TCG-Disconnect Add. | Ken Collins | HASKEW, JOHN R |
| 2-Wire Analog DID Trunk Port-TCG-Disconnect Add. | Ken Collins | HASKEW, JOHN R |
| 4-Wire ISDN DS1 Digital Trunk Port-TCG-Disconnect 1st | Ken Collins | HASKEW, JOHN R |
| 4-Wire ISDN DS1 Digital Trunk Port-TCG-Install Add. | Ken Collins | HASKEW, JOHN R |
| 2-Wire Analog DID Trunk Port-TCG-JFC | Ken Collins | HASKEW, JOHN R |
| 2-Wire Analog DID Trunk Port-TCG-Disconnect 1st | Ken Collins | HASKEW, JOHN R |
| 2-Wire Analog DID Trunk Port-TCG-Install 1st | Ken Collins | HASKEW, JOHN R |
| 4-Wire ISDN DS1 Digital Trunk Port-TCG-Install 1st | Ken Collins | HASKEW, JOHN R |
| 2-Wire Analog DID Trunk Port-TCG-Install Add. | Ken Collins | HASKEW, JOHN R |
| 4-Wire ISDN DS1 Digital Trunk Port-TCG-JFC | Ken Collins | HASKEW, JOHN R |
| POTS Plug-Ins Material Price | Mike Zitzmann | KINSEY, LINDA M |
| Common Plug-Ins Material Price - 4 Wire | Mike Zitzmann | KINSEY, LINDA M |
| Common Plug-Ins Material Price - 2 Wire | Mike Zitzmann | KINSEY, LINDA M |
| Telephone Plant Index | Mike Zitzmann | KINSEY, LINDA M |
| I.3.1 Application Cost - INAC Disconnect First | Nancy Kallus | SHORES, JOAN R |
| I.3.1 Application Cost - INAC Install First | Nancy Kallus | SHORES, JOAN R |
| I.3.1 Application Cost - INAC Install First | Nancy Kallus | SHORES, JOAN R |

| | | |
|---|-------------------|-----------------|
| I.3.1 Application Cost - INAC Disconnect First | Nancy Kallus | SHORES,JOAN R |
| F.2 LIDB NISC/INSAC Translations, min per LINK, connect or | Pat Mealar | ECHOLS,CHARLEN |
| F.2 LIDB NISC/INSAC Translations Time - minutes | Pat Mealar | ECHOLS,CHARLEN |
| H.9 Selective Routing Probability-5ESS | Ramiro Martinez | HASKEW,JOHN R |
| H.9 Selective Routing Probability-DMS | Ramiro Martinez | HASKEW,JOHN R |
| H.9 Customized Routing - Provisioning hours (build & test) per | Ramiro Martinez | HASKEW,JOHN R |
| H.9 Customized Routing - Provisioning hours (build & test) per | Ramiro Martinez | HASKEW,JOHN R |
| H.9 Selective Routing JFC | Ramiro Martinez | HASKEW,JOHN R |
| POTS 5ESS - Per Growth Line | Randy Falls | PARKER,BONNIE S |
| I.3.1 Application Cost - Outside Plant Engineering Install First | Ron Harris | KINSEY,LINDA M |
| I.3.5 Cable Installation Cost Per Cable - Outside Plant Engineering | Ron Harris | KINSEY,LINDA M |
| I.3.1 Application Cost - Outside Plant Engineering Disconnect | Ron Harris | KINSEY,LINDA M |
| I.3.1 Application Cost - Outside Plant Engineering Disconnect | Ron Harris | KINSEY,LINDA M |
| I.3.5 Cable Installation Cost Per Cable - Outside Plant Engineering | Ron Harris | KINSEY,LINDA M |
| I.3.1 Application Cost - Outside Plant Engineering Install First | Ron Harris | KINSEY,LINDA M |
| I.3.5 Cable Installation Cost Per Cable - Outside Plant Engineering | Ron Harris | KINSEY,LINDA M |
| I.3.5 Cable Installation Cost Per Cable - Outside Plant Engineering | Ron Harris | KINSEY,LINDA M |
| 2-Wire Analog DID Trunk Port-CPG Service Order-Disconnect Add. | Sharon Smith | CHARLES,WILLIAM |
| 2-Wire Analog Line Port-CPG Engineering-Disconnect 1st | Sharon Smith | CHARLES,WILLIAM |
| 2-Wire Analog DID Trunk Port-CPG Service Order-Disconnect 1st | Sharon Smith | CHARLES,WILLIAM |
| 4-Wire Analog Voice Grade Port-CPG Service Order-Install Add. | Sharon Smith | CHARLES,WILLIAM |
| 4-Wire Analog Voice Grade Port-CPG Service Order-Disconnect | Sharon Smith | CHARLES,WILLIAM |
| 2-Wire Analog Line Port-CPG Service Order-Install Add. | Sharon Smith | CHARLES,WILLIAM |
| 2-Wire Analog Line Port-CPG Service Order-Disconnect Add. | Sharon Smith | CHARLES,WILLIAM |
| 4-Wire Analog Voice Grade Port-CPG Service Order-Disconnect | Sharon Smith | CHARLES,WILLIAM |
| 2-Wire Analog Line Port-CPG Service Order-JFC | Sharon Smith | CHARLES,WILLIAM |
| 4-Wire Analog Voice Grade Port-CPG Service Order-Install 1st | Sharon Smith | CHARLES,WILLIAM |
| 2-Wire Analog Line Port-CPG Engineering-Disconnect Add. | Sharon Smith | CHARLES,WILLIAM |
| 2-Wire Analog Line Port-CPG Engineering - JFC | Sharon Smith | CHARLES,WILLIAM |
| 2-Wire Analog DID Trunk Port-CPG Service Order-JFC | Sharon Smith | CHARLES,WILLIAM |
| 2-Wire Analog DID Trunk Port-CPG Service Order-Install Add. | Sharon Smith | CHARLES,WILLIAM |
| 2-Wire Analog DID Trunk Port-CPG Service Order-Install 1st | Sharon Smith | CHARLES,WILLIAM |
| 2-Wire Analog Line Port-CPG Engineering-Install 1st | Sharon Smith | CHARLES,WILLIAM |
| 2-Wire Analog Line Port-CPG Engineering-Install Add. | Sharon Smith | CHARLES,WILLIAM |
| 2-Wire Analog Line Port-CPG Service Order-Install 1st | Sharon Smith | CHARLES,WILLIAM |
| 4-Wire Analog Voice Grade Port-CPG Service Order-JFC | Sharon Smith | CHARLES,WILLIAM |
| 2-Wire Analog Line Port-CPG Service Order-Disconnect 1st | Sharon Smith | CHARLES,WILLIAM |
| Utilization Percent: Trunk Distributing Frame | Sheila Coffey/Tom | THOMPSON,STANL |
| Utilization Percent: Trunk Distributing Frame | Sheila Coffey/Tom | THOMPSON,STANL |
| L.1 Engineering - CPG - Disconnect 1st | Smith/E. Landry | CHARLES,WILLIAM |
| SL.2 Service Order - CPG - Install/Disconnect Addl | Smith/E. Landry | CHARLES,WILLIAM |
| L.1 Engineering - CPG - Disconnect Addl | Smith/E. Landry | CHARLES,WILLIAM |
| L.2 Service Order - CPG - Install/Disconnect Add(exclude | Smith/E. Landry | CHARLES,WILLIAM |
| L.2 Engineering - CPG - Disconnect 1st/Addl (exclude Nondesign) | Smith/E. Landry | CHARLES,WILLIAM |
| L.2 Service Order - CPG - Install/Disconnect 1st(exclude | Smith/E. Landry | CHARLES,WILLIAM |
| L.1 Engineering - CPG - Install 1st/Addl | Smith/E. Landry | CHARLES,WILLIAM |
| L.2 Engineering - CPG - Install 1st/Addl (exclude Nondesign) | Smith/E. Landry | CHARLES,WILLIAM |
| SL.2 Engineering - CPG - Disconnect 1st/Addl | Smith/E. Landry | CHARLES,WILLIAM |
| SL.2 Service Order - CPG - Install/Disconnect 1st | Smith/E. Landry | CHARLES,WILLIAM |
| SL.2 Engineering - CPG - Install 1st/Addl | Smith/E. Landry | CHARLES,WILLIAM |
| DSO Equivalents - Copper | Stan Forv | JACKSON,J V |
| DSO Equivalents - Fiber (Feeder) (NA ADSL & HDSL) | Stan Forv | JACKSON,J V |
| Maximum Forward-Looking Bridge Tap Length - Feeder | Stan Forv | JACKSON,J V |
| DSO Equivalents - Fiber (Feeder) (NA ADSL & HDSL) | Stan Forv | JACKSON,J V |
| Maximum Forward-Looking Bridge Tap Length - Feeder | Stan Forv | JACKSON,J V |
| DSO Equivalents - Copper | Stan Forv | JACKSON,J V |
| L.2 Connect & Turn-Up - CO I&M - Install 1st/Addl | Stinson/E. Landry | MUNIZ,CARLOS A |
| SL.2 Connect & Turn-Up - CO I&M - Disconnect 1st/Addl | Stinson/E. Landry | MUNIZ,CARLOS A |
| L.1 Connect & Turn Up - CO I&M - Disconnect 1st/Addl | Stinson/E. Landry | MUNIZ,CARLOS A |
| L.2 Connect & Turn-Up - CO I&M - Disconnect 1st/Addl | Stinson/E. Landry | MUNIZ,CARLOS A |
| SL.2 Connect & Turn-Up - CO I&M - Install 1st/Addl | Stinson/E. Landry | MUNIZ,CARLOS A |

| | | |
|---|-------------------|----------------|
| L.1 Connect & Turn Up - CO I&M - Install 1st/Addl | Stinson/E. Landry | MUNIZ,CARLOS A |
| L.3 Connect & Turn-Up - CO I&M - Disconnect 1st/Addl | Stinson/T. June | MUNIZ,CARLOS A |
| L.3 Connect & Turn-Up - CO I&M - Install 1st/Addl | Stinson/T. June | MUNIZ,CARLOS A |
| Cable Rack number DS3 Circuits per Cable Rack | Tom Weber | THOMPSON,STANL |
| DSX-3 Panel Material Price | Tom Weber | THOMPSON,STANL |
| Trunk Distributing Frame Material Price | Tom Weber | THOMPSON,STANL |
| DSX-1 Panel Material Price | Tom Weber | THOMPSON,STANL |
| Trunk Distributing Frame - No. 4W Connections | Tom Weber | THOMPSON,STANL |
| Cable Rack - number DS1 Circuits per Cable Rack | Tom Weber | THOMPSON,STANL |
| Cable Rack - number 4W Circuits per Cable Rack | Tom Weber | THOMPSON,STANL |
| Cable Rack - number 2W Circuits per Cable Rack | Tom Weber | THOMPSON,STANL |
| I.3.1 Application Cost - CSCM Disconnect First | Tom Weber | THOMPSON,STANL |
| DS1 - Percent Cross Connects Requiring Repeaters | Tom Weber | THOMPSON,STANL |
| I.3.5 Cable Installation Cost Per Cable - CSCM Disconnect First | Tom Weber | THOMPSON,STANL |
| Cable Rack Average Length (Cable Support) | Tom Weber | THOMPSON,STANL |
| Utilization Percent: Cable Rack for x-conn | Tom Weber | THOMPSON,STANL |
| Cable Rack Length - DS1 Cross Connect | Tom Weber | THOMPSON,STANL |
| DS1 POT BAY Connect Block Material Price | Tom Weber | THOMPSON,STANL |
| Cable Rack Material Price (Per Linear Foot) (x-conn) | Tom Weber | THOMPSON,STANL |
| Cable Capacity - 4W on 100 Pr cable | Tom Weber | THOMPSON,STANL |
| Connecting Block - No. Circuits Per (4W) | Tom Weber | THOMPSON,STANL |
| DSX-1 Panel Capacity | Tom Weber | THOMPSON,STANL |
| DS3 Repeater Bay Capacity | Tom Weber | THOMPSON,STANL |
| Cable 28 Pair (DS1 Cross Connect) 330Ft Material Price | Tom Weber | THOMPSON,STANL |
| Trunk Distributing Frame - No. 2W Connections | Tom Weber | THOMPSON,STANL |
| DS3 POT Bay Capacity - Shelves | Tom Weber | THOMPSON,STANL |
| Utilization Percent: DS3 Repeater Bay | Tom Weber | THOMPSON,STANL |
| DS3 POT Bay Module Material Price | Tom Weber | THOMPSON,STANL |
| Cable Capacity - 2W on 100 Pr cable | Tom Weber | THOMPSON,STANL |
| Connecting Block - No. Circuits Per (2W) | Tom Weber | THOMPSON,STANL |
| DS3 POT Bay Module Capacity | Tom Weber | THOMPSON,STANL |
| DS1 - Number Per Repeater Bay | Tom Weber | THOMPSON,STANL |
| DS1 - Per POT Bay Shelf Capacity | Tom Weber | THOMPSON,STANL |
| DS1 POT BAY Shelf Material Price | Tom Weber | THOMPSON,STANL |
| DS1 Repeater Shelf Material Price | Tom Weber | THOMPSON,STANL |
| DS1 Repeater Material Price | Tom Weber | THOMPSON,STANL |
| DS3 POT Bay Shelf Material Price | Tom Weber | THOMPSON,STANL |
| Termination Block material price | Tom Weber | THOMPSON,STANL |
| DS3 Repeater Capacity | Tom Weber | THOMPSON,STANL |
| Utilization Percent: Cable DS3 X Connect Cable | Tom Weber | THOMPSON,STANL |
| DS1 - Number Per Repeater | Tom Weber | THOMPSON,STANL |
| Cable Capacity - DS3 on 2 Coax Cables | Tom Weber | THOMPSON,STANL |
| Connecting Block - No. Connections per 2W x-conn | Tom Weber | THOMPSON,STANL |
| Connecting Block - No. Connections per 4W x-conn | Tom Weber | THOMPSON,STANL |
| Trunk Distributing Frame - No. Connections per 2W x-conn | Tom Weber | THOMPSON,STANL |
| Trunk Distributing Frame - No. Connections per 4W x-conn | Tom Weber | THOMPSON,STANL |
| Cable Rack Investment Per Linear Foot (Cable Support) | Tom Weber | THOMPSON,STANL |
| DS1 POT Bay Connecting Block Capacity | Tom Weber | THOMPSON,STANL |
| Cables - Number Per Cable Rack (Cable Support) | Tom Weber | THOMPSON,STANL |
| DS3 Repeater Shelf Capacity | Tom Weber | THOMPSON,STANL |
| DS1 POT Bay Capacity - Shelves | Tom Weber | THOMPSON,STANL |
| DS3 POT Bay Shelf Capacity | Tom Weber | THOMPSON,STANL |
| Shelves - Number Per POT Bay (DS1) | Tom Weber | THOMPSON,STANL |
| Termination Block - No. Circuits per (4W) | Tom Weber | THOMPSON,STANL |
| Cable Capacity - DS1 on 28 Pr cable | Tom Weber | THOMPSON,STANL |
| DSX-3 Panel Capacity | Tom Weber | THOMPSON,STANL |
| Termination Block - No. Circuits per (2W) | Tom Weber | THOMPSON,STANL |
| DS1 - Number Per Repeater Shelf | Tom Weber | THOMPSON,STANL |
| Connecting Block Material Price | Tom Weber | THOMPSON,STANL |
| Power Usage per Month per Ampere | Tom Weber | THOMPSON,STANL |
| Power Plant Investment per Ampere | Tom Weber | THOMPSON,STANL |

| | | |
|---|-------------------|-----------------|
| Cables - Number Per Cable Rack (Cable Support) | Tom Weber | THOMPSON, STANL |
| DS3 - Percent Cross Connect requiring Repeaters | Tom Weber | THOMPSON, STANL |
| DSX-1 Panel Capacity | Tom Weber | THOMPSON, STANL |
| Connecting Block - No. Circuits Per (2W) | Tom Weber | THOMPSON, STANL |
| Cable Rack Length - 2W/4W Cross Connect | Tom Weber | THOMPSON, STANL |
| Power Plant Investment per Ampere | Tom Weber | THOMPSON, STANL |
| Trunk Distributing Frame - No. 4W Connections | Tom Weber | THOMPSON, STANL |
| Connecting Block - No. Circuits Per (4W) | Tom Weber | THOMPSON, STANL |
| DS3 Repeater Bay Material Price | Tom Weber | THOMPSON, STANL |
| Cable Rack Material Price (Per Linear Foot) (x-conn) | Tom Weber | THOMPSON, STANL |
| Connecting Block - No. Connections per 2W x-conn | Tom Weber | THOMPSON, STANL |
| Cable Rack Investment Per Linear Foot (Cable Support) | Tom Weber | THOMPSON, STANL |
| Connecting Block - No. Connections per 4W x-conn | Tom Weber | THOMPSON, STANL |
| Trunk Distributing Frame - No. Connections per 2W x-conn | Tom Weber | THOMPSON, STANL |
| Trunk Distributing Frame - No. Connections per 4W x-conn | Tom Weber | THOMPSON, STANL |
| Power Usage per Month per Ampere | Tom Weber | THOMPSON, STANL |
| DSX-3 Panel Capacity | Tom Weber | THOMPSON, STANL |
| Connecting Block Material Price | Tom Weber | THOMPSON, STANL |
| Cable Rack Length - DS3 Cross Connect | Tom Weber | THOMPSON, STANL |
| DSX-1 Panel Material Price | Tom Weber | THOMPSON, STANL |
| DS3 Repeater Shelf Material Price | Tom Weber | THOMPSON, STANL |
| Cable - 100 Pr, 400 Feet Material Price | Tom Weber | THOMPSON, STANL |
| Cable Rack Average Length (Cable Support) | Tom Weber | THOMPSON, STANL |
| Cable Rack Length - 2W/4W Cross Connect | Tom Weber | THOMPSON, STANL |
| Cable Rack Capacity (100Pr Cable) Cables per Rack | Tom Weber | THOMPSON, STANL |
| Cable Rack Capacity (DS1 28 Pr Cable) Cables per Rack | Tom Weber | THOMPSON, STANL |
| Cable Rack Capacity (DS3) Circuits per Rack | Tom Weber | THOMPSON, STANL |
| DSX-3 Panel Material Price | Tom Weber | THOMPSON, STANL |
| POT Bay - No. Circuits Per (4W) | Tom Weber | THOMPSON, STANL |
| Trunk Distributing Frame - No. 2W Connections | Tom Weber | THOMPSON, STANL |
| Cable Rack Length - DS3 Cross Connect | Tom Weber | THOMPSON, STANL |
| POT Bay Material Price (2W/4W) | Tom Weber | THOMPSON, STANL |
| DS1 POT BAY Material Price | Tom Weber | THOMPSON, STANL |
| Cable Rack Length - DS1 Cross Connect | Tom Weber | THOMPSON, STANL |
| DS3 POT Bay Material Price | Tom Weber | THOMPSON, STANL |
| POT Bay - No. Circuits Per (2W) | Tom Weber | THOMPSON, STANL |
| DS3 Repeater Material Price | Tom Weber | THOMPSON, STANL |
| Trunk Distributing Frame Material Price | Tom Weber | THOMPSON, STANL |
| DS1 Repeater Bay Material Price | Tom Weber | THOMPSON, STANL |
| Cable DS3 (2 Coax Cables & Cable Connector) Material Price | Tom Weber | THOMPSON, STANL |
| I.3.5 Cable Installation Cost Per Cable - CSCM Disconnect First | Tom Weber | THOMPSON, STANL |
| I.3.1 Application Cost - CSCM Install First | Tom Weber | THOMPSON, STANL |
| I.3.1 Application Cost - CSCM Disconnect First | Tom Weber | THOMPSON, STANL |
| I.3.5 Cable Installation Cost Per Cable - CSCM Install First | Tom Weber | THOMPSON, STANL |
| I.3.1 Application Cost - CSCM Install First | Tom Weber | THOMPSON, STANL |
| I.3.5 Cable Installation Cost Per Cable - CSCM Install First | Tom Weber | THOMPSON, STANL |
| I.3.5 Cable Installation Cost Per Cable - Outside Plant | Wade Bolotte | GOODMAN, RICHAR |
| I.3.5 Cable Installation Cost Per Cable - Outside Plant | Wade Bolotte | GOODMAN, RICHAR |
| I.3.5 Cable Installation Cost Per Cable - Outside Plant | Wade Bolotte | GOODMAN, RICHAR |
| I.3.5 Cable Installation Cost Per Cable - Outside Plant | Wade Bolotte | GOODMAN, RICHAR |
| SL.1 Service Inquiry - OSPE - Install 1st/Addl | Zitsman/E. Landry | KINSEY, LINDA M |
| SL.2 Engineering - OSPE - Install 1st/Addl | Zitsman/E. Landry | KINSEY, LINDA M |
| SL.2 Engineering - OSPE - Disconnect 1st/Addl | Zitsman/E. Landry | KINSEY, LINDA M |
| SL.1 Service Inquiry - OSPE - Disconnect 1st/Addl | Zitsman/E. Landry | KINSEY, LINDA M |
| L.1 Service Inquiry - OSPE - Install 1st/Addl | Zitsman/E. Landry | KINSEY, LINDA M |
| L.2 Engineering - OSPE - Install 1st/Addl - ISDN | Zitsman/E. Landry | KINSEY, LINDA M |
| L.2 Engineering - OSPE - Install 1st/Addl-2W, 4W Designed & 2W | Zitsman/E. Landry | KINSEY, LINDA M |
| L.2 Engineering - OSPE - Disconnect 1st/Addl | Zitsman/E. Landry | KINSEY, LINDA M |
| L.1 Service Inquiry - OSPE - Disconnect 1st/Addl | Zitsman/E. Landry | KINSEY, LINDA M |
| L.3 Engineering - OSPE - Install 1st/Addl | Zitsman/T. June | KINSEY, LINDA M |
| L.3 Engineering - OSPE - Disconnect 1st/Addl | Zitsman/T. June | KINSEY, LINDA M |