

Kay

STATE OF FLORIDA



Public Service Commission

-M-E-M-O-R-A-N-D-U-M-

DATE : January 5, 1998
TO : Kay Flynn, Bureau Chief, Division of Records and Reporting
FROM : Stan L. Greer, Supervisor, Division of Communications *SLG*
RE : Consolidation of 971140-TP and certain documents in 960833-TP

The Commission directed staff to establish a separate hearing schedule for the issues raised in Docket No. 971140-TP and various documents filed in Docket No. 960833-TP (971140-TP). Since we already have a hearing scheduled for Docket No. 960833-TP for late January, I believe it will be easier administratively to track the documents filed for the subsequent hearing in 971140-TP if we move the documents listed below in Docket No. 960833-TP to Docket No. 971140-TP and establish a separate CASR schedule.

- 5708-97
- 6274-97
- 11063-97
- 11291-97
- 12942-97
- 13022-97

In addition to moving the documents listed above, I believe we should change the title of Docket No. 971140-TP to the following:

AT&T and MCI's motions to Compel compliance with Order No. PSC-96-1579-FOF-TP and to set non-recurring charges for combinations of network elements with BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc. pursuant to their agreements.

If you have any questions, please call me.

cc: Linda Williams, Records and Reporting
 Robin Norton
 MaryRose Sirianni
 Wayne Stavanja

DOCUMENT NUMBER - DATE
 00183 JAN -68
 FPSC-RECORDS/REPORTING

From: Kay Flynn
To: Stan Greer
Subject: fwd: 971140

====NOTE=====1/08/98=12:18pm==

Stan, I have your memo to move documents into 971140 and change the docket title. I have two questions (or concerns) about the title:

1. MCI Metro Access Transmission Services is the only name on the petition to set non-recurring charges. Why wasn't MCI Telecommunications Corporation included in the petition?
2. Did AT&T also petition to set non-recurring charges? Or was it only MCI Metro??

Kay

Fwd=by:=Stan=Greer=====1/12/98==9:13am==

Fwd to: Kay Flynn

MCI Metro is the ALEC provider of MCI Corporation. I think AT&T has filed either via their original motion to compel or through a subsequent filing a "me too" on the non-recurring charges. The nonrecurring portion is intended for both. There are some additional documents that the companies thought should be moved into the 1140 docket.

- ✓13194-97
- 12502-97 - *copy placed in 971140*
- 11957-97 - *copy placed in 971140*
- ✓00178-98

Let me know if you want me to send a subsequent memo.

Fwd=by:=Kay=Flynn=====

Fwd to: Stan Greer

.....
I'll "append" this e-mail to your original memo. Thanks.

From: Kay Flynn

To: Ruth Nettles

Subject: 960833/971140

===NOTE=====

Ruth, you will be receiving a memo from Stan Greer (DN 00183-98) that tells me to move certain documents from 960833 to 971140. I have pulled the documents Stan listed and marked them for 971140. There are three documents that should be COPIED and placed in 971140; the originals of these three documents should remain in 960833. The documents are:

12942-97 (this is an order; we've already talked about it)

11957-97 (this is a recommendation, and I didn't see it in 960833)

12502-97 (this is a vote sheet)

Please let me know if you have any questions. Kay
