Chief, Bureau of Reporting

JOY KELLY, CER, RPR

25

REPORTED BY:

## APPEARAMORS:

1

2

3

7

10

11

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

Southern States, Inc., 101 East College Avenue, Suite 700, Tallahassee, Florida 32301-1509, appearing on behalf of ASST Communications of the Southern States, Inc.

Company, Limited Partnership, 3100 Cumberland Circle,
Atlanta, Georgia 30339, appearing on behalf of Sprint
Communications Company, Limited Partnership.

B. CARY MALY, Akerman, Senterfitt & Eidson, P.A., 216 South Monroe Street, #200, Tallahassee, Florida, appearing on behalf of BollSouth Mobility.

Georgia, appearing on behalf of MCI
Telegramunications.

Underwood, Furnell & Hoffman, 215 South Monroe Street, Suite 420, Tellahassee, Florida 32301, appearing on behalf of Glebal Link Telesem.

BTAIN CALSUML, FPSC Divison of Appeals,
Gerald L. Gunter Building, 2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard,
Tallahassee, Florida 32399-0850, appearing on behalf
of the Commission Staff.

25

(Bearing command at 9130 a.m.)  Commissions commission Lat's get started.
5 M. GALDWELL Sorry about that.
6 and I'm here.
7 COMMISSIONE CAROTA: We'll call this
hearing to order. Staff counsel, will you please read
the notice.
10 10. CALCULA: Notice is given that on this
time and date a prehearing conference scheduled for
9:30 a.m. in this room and will be called to order
will be held.
NATIONAL SERVICES CONTRACTOR
appearances.
Ms. Mandalls Monica M. Barone on behalf of
Sprint Communications Company, Limited Partnership,
3100 Cumberland Circle, Atlanta, Georgia 30339.
commencement and the Marone, I was
looking forward to welooming you back but not this
quickly.
12 12. manage: I thought I would be back in
about three weeks, not three days.
15. 1513: Marsha Rule, ATST, 101 Worth
Monroe Street, Suite 700, Tallahassee 32301.

1	mm. Some Ton Bond on behalf of MCI
2	Telecommunications, 780 Johnson Ferry Road, Atlanta,
3	Georgia.
4	MR. MARKET: Gary Early, on behalf of
5	BellSouth Mobility, 216 South Monroe Street,
6	Tallahassee.
7	162. BOSTANNI Commissioner Garcia, Kenneth
	A. Hoffman of the firm Rutledge, Encenia, Underwood,
•	Purnell & Noffman, 215 South Monroe Street, Suite 420,
10	Tallahassee, Florida 32301, appearing on behalf of
11	Globel Link Telecom.
12	MS. CRESUMEL: Diana W. Caldwell, Florida
13	Public Service Commission, 2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard,
14	Tallahassee, Florida 32399-0862.
15	COMMISSIONER CARCIA: All right. Good
16	morning to all of you. Ms. Caldwell, are there any
17	preliminary matters?
18	MS. CALDUMEL: Not that I'm aware of.
19	commessions cancils Okay. I believe I now
20	turn this over to you, Ms. Caldwell. Is there
21	anything else we need to deal with?
22	MS. CRICOTEL: What we need to do is, I
23	think everybody received or we have copies of the
24	draft prehearing, draft order establishing procedures
25	to be followed at the rulemaking hearing. Everything

is pretty -- we generally don't have a prehearing conference for a rulemaking, but everything will be pretty standard as far as the rulemaking hearing. If anybody has changes to the draft, I want to note that staff will be providing a composite exhibit, and on Page 2 of the draft Prehearing Order it lists what will be available on the composite exhibit. Those will be provided to the court reporter, as well as all of the Commissioners, and we will have several available for reference for the people that are attending the hearing.

10

11

12

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

**25** <sup>1</sup>

The order simply goes through the procedures, that Staff will present a summary of the hearing and the economic — summary of the rules and Economic Impact Statement, and I think that needs to be changed to the Statement of Estimated Regulatory Cost.

COMMISSIONER CARCIA: Where is that?

MS. CALBUREL: That's on Page 2. I just noticed that.

COUNTRESIONER CARCEL: Many on, Ms. Caldwell, let me --

Staff will present a summary of the Statement of Estimated Regulatory Cost in the rule. COMMISSIONER CARGIA: Okay. So let's make that change.

things that we might want to discuss would be the order of -- we don't really have issues in this case, but what we might want to do is go through rule by rule, and since there are several rules that are proposed, go through and use those as your issues. So the first rule might be the scope, the second rule -- and go down the line. And I suggest we use that instead of issues as far as our order. And I can include that in the proposed order. The only other thing is if we want to decide an actual order of presentation.

COMMISSIONER CONSTR: Okay. Do the parties have any objection to that?

MS. 2028: This is Marsha Rule. I have just a comment.

With regard to taking the rules as the issue, I don't really have a objection to it, but I'm provided today a copy of those proposed changes. And some of the changes I'm suggesting are just for consistency, and rather than go through it rule by rule, depending on what objections people have to rules and how they end up, what's the best way to

present them, it might be easier to say, okay, here's the big change I want and you also need to change a couple of rules to make it consistent. But, again, that's not an objection. It's just something to think about. And I haven't really explored any other people's concerns with the rules, so I don't know if that's the best way.

The only other thing is what you may wish to do with Item 4 about presentation is state that unless the parties agree on the order of presentation, then the order will be determined by the prehearing office.

And, again, without exploring everybody's differences, I'm not real clear who should go first.

I assume since I asked for a hearing most people would want me to go first, but then again I don't really care.

that actually filed comments, it may be we say AT&T will go first and interested persons who attend the hearing can follow up and really not establish a set order, because there may be companies that don't have a particular comment on that issue.

COMMISSIONER CRACIA: That makes sense,
Ns. Caldwell. Do any of the other parties have any
comments?

The state of the s

7

.

11

12

16

17

21

22

ms. manual: Sprint doesn't object to that.
That would be fine.

OCCUPATION CONTROL Then we'll make it so.

No. Caldwell, you wanted to go through the
different rules and then, No. Rule, you had some
comments on those rules?

OCCUPATION CONTROLLY I think No. Caldwell wanted to go through the rules that she has and list them as issues, if I understood correctly.

proposing doesn't take into consideration some of the other comments that were filed almost -- based on the comments that were filed, almost every rule was affected either in a major way or in a -- just, you know, more technical change, but still each rule as addressed.

I think we can go through -- start from the beginning, go through each rule. Some may take a moment. Others may go a little longer and more in-depth discussion.

problem I have. I do not have the rules with me. How many are there?

MS. CALOUMLE: There are nine rules.

commencement cancilis Just so I can have
scoothing to follow along, why don't you get
Ms. Beyo's office to fax them to me right now so I'l
have something to look at, because I might have just
left them at home this morning. And with that
MS. CALBURES Commissioner Garcia, ve're

not going to go through the rules today. We just simply have nine rules that we will put in the order to establish --

commissioner enacth: I'm sorry. I thought you wanted to go through the rules.

commencement cancers: If everyone is fine with just those rules, then we're fine.

think, is after we've established the procedure that's all we need to do with this prehearing conference today.

I think afterwards some of the parties, the participants here today may want to get together and discuss the proposals that AT&T has suggested and we can do that more on an informal basis, the fact that everybody is here. But we don't need to do that on the record and at the prehearing conference.

If something should result from that

conversation them you will actually hear from us at the hearing on Friday.

.

there anything else that needs to be taken up?

MS. CALDUNEL: I have no further items.

COMMISSIONER CERCUR: Do any of the parties?

MS. RARCON: No. sir.

quees what we're going to do, Ms. Caldwell, is that we're going to let this go ferward. You're going to be discussing with the parties some of the rules and the wording that Ms. Rule has presented to you, as well as the other parties, and then we will take some type -- if we reach some kind -- of agreement to the hearing.

then present to the Commission a stipulated set of rules rather than go through issue-by-issue and it may take a few minutes to emplain the stipulations and make sure all of the Commissioners understand those. And we may be able to vote scanthing out that day. If we can't reach an agreement, then we will go through and let the Commission decide.

considerouse cancil: Great. Then I guess we'll adjourn the hearing.

1	ms. carsumts Thank you. I'll just make
2	the changes as we discussed to the prehearing order.
3	COUNTESTIMEN CARCIA: There was only one,
4	right, the wording on the economic
5	m. calcult: That's correct.
6	countestown cancil: Okay. Okay. Thank
7	you.
•	(Whereupon, the hearing concluded at
9	9:43 a.m.)
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
1	

STATE OF PLORIDA) CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER COUNTY OF LEON ) 2 I, JOY RELLY, CSR, RPR, Chief, Bureau of 3 Reporting, Official Commission Reporter, DO MERENY CERTIFY that the Prehearing Conference in Docket No. 960254-TI was heard by the Prehearing Officer at the time and place herein stated; it is further CONTIFIED that I stenographically reported 7 the said proceedings; that the same has been transcribed under my direct supervision; and that this transcript, consisting of 11 pages, constitutes a true transcription of my notes of said proceedings. DATED this 14th day of January, 1998. 10 11 12 13 14 15 Official Commission Reporter 16 (904) 413-6732 17 18 19 20 21

22

23

24