## AKERMAN, SENTERFITT & EIDSON, P.A. ATTORNEYS AT LAW

ORIGINAL

FPSC-RECORDS/REPORTING

216 South Montos Street, Suite 200 Poet Office Box 10555 TALLAMBRIE, FLORIDA 32302-2555 18501 222-3471 TELECOPY 18501 222-6628

E. GARY EARLY

January 23, 1998

Ms. Blanca Bayo Director, Records & Reporting Florida Public Service Commission 2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard Tallahassee, Florida 32399-0850

RE: PSC Docket No. 97105

Dear Ms. Bayo:

On behalf of BellSouth BSE, Inc. enclosed for filing in the above referenced docket are the original and fifteen (15) copies of the Amended Motion to Dis niss Petition for Leave to Intervene filed by Teleport Communications Group, Inc. and TCG South Florida. Also enclosed for your records is a diskette containing the above referenced documents formatted in Wordperfect 6.1.

ACK	
AFA	If you have any questions please call me at (850) 222-3471. Thank you.
APP	Sincerely,
CAF	D.T. 632 50-9
CMU	- Stere
CTR	E. Gary Early
EAG	
LEG	EGE/mcd
LIN	enclosure(s)
OPC	
RCH	cc: All parties of record
SEC	
WAS	
OTH	FORT LAUDERDALE . MAIN . ORLANDO . TALLAMASSEE . TAMPA . WEST PALM SEACH DATE
	IAN 23 S

## BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISION

ORIGINAL

In Re: Application for certificate to provide alternative local exchange telecommunications service by BellSouth BSE, Inc.

Docket No. 971056-TX

Filed: January 23, 1998

## AMENDED MOTION TO DISMISS PETITION FOR LEAVE TO INTERVENE FILED BY TELEPORT COMMUNICATIONS GROUP, INC. and TCG SOUTH FLORIDA

Pursuant to Rule 25-22.037(2) and Rule 28-106.204, Florida Administrative Code, BellSouth BSE, Inc. moves the Florida Public Service Commission (Commission) for an Order dismissing the Petition for Leave to Intervene filed by Teleport Communications Group, Inc. and TCG South Florida (collectively referred to as "TCG"). In support of this Motion, BellSouth BSE, Inc. states as follows:

- On January 7, 1998, TCG filed a Petition for Loave to Intervene directed to Order No.
  PSC-97-1347-FOF-TX. That order granted to BellSouth BSE Inc. alternative local exchange telecommunications service certificate no. 5261 subject to the terms and conditions set forth in that order.
  - Rule 25-22.039, Florida Administrative Code, provides that

[p]ersons, other than the original parties to a pending proceeding, who have a substantial interest in the proceeding, and who desire to become parties may petition the presiding officer for leave to intervene. Petitions for leave to intervene...must conform with Commission Rule 25-22.036(7)(a), and must include allegations sufficient to demonstrate that the intervenor is entitled to participate in the proceeding as a matter of constitutional or statutory right or pursuant to Commission rule, or that the substantial interests of the intervenor are subject to determination or will be affected through the proceeding. Intervenors take the case as they find it.

 In its petition, TCG alleges that, by granting BellSouth BSE. Inc.'s certificate, its substantial interests would be affected as follows:

Allowing BellSouth to provide local exchange services as an ALEC, through its affiliate BSE, in BellSouth's service territory, will affect TCG's substantial interests by: (a) undermining the legal relationships between ILECs and ALECs created under Chapter 364, F.S. and the Act; (b) allowing BellSouth to shed itself of the legal obligations imposed on ILECs, including BellSouth, under Chapter 364, F.S. and the Act; and (c) subjecting TCG to anticompetitive practices, unfair competition, unlawful cross-subsidization and/or predatory pricing by BellSouth/BSE. Specifically, TCG's ability to compete for and retain local exchange service customers and its substantial interests will be adversely affected if:

- (a) BellSouth is able to evade its resale and provision of UNE obligations under Chapter 364 and the Act by providing local service in its service territory through BSE; and
- (b) BellSouth, through BSE, is able to retain or capture high-use residential and commercial customers through discounted rates which are below and the rates TCG may offer after TCG incurs the cost for UNEs or the cost of BellSouth's wholesale rates.

TCG Petition for Leave to Intervene, pp. 5-6, ¶10. See also TCG Petition for Leave to Intervene p. 4, ¶7.

4. In determining whether TCG has standing to protest the certificate granted to BellSouth BSE, Inc., the following observation provides useful ruidance:

[N]ot everyone having an interest in the outcome of a particular dispute over an agency's interpretation of the law submitted to its charge, or the agency's application of that law in determining the rights and interests of members of the public, is entitled to participate as a party in an administrative proceeding to resolve that dispute. Were that not so, each interested citizen could, merely by expressing an interest, participate in the agency's efforts to govern, a result that would unquestionably impede the ability of the agency to function efficiently and inevitably cause an increase in the number of litigated disputes well above the number that administrative and appellate judges are capable of handling.

Florida Society of Opthamology v. State Board of Optometry, 532 So.2d 1279, 1284 (Fla. 1st DCA 1988).

- 5. TCG's standing, if any, in this licensing proceeding must be predicated upon a finding that its substantial interests will be affected in manner that differs from the interests of the public generally in seeing that all applicants are certified in accordance with statutory requirements. Florida Society of Opthamology v. State Board of Optometry, supra at 1285.
- 6. The applicable test for determining whether TCG's substantial interests are or will be affected was initially stated in <u>Agrico Chemical Co. v. Department of Environmental Regulation</u>. 406 So.2d 478, 782 (Fla. 2nd DCA 1981), <u>rev. denied</u> 415 So.2d 1359 (Fla. 1982) and 415 So.2d 1361 (Fla. 1982), and has been consistently applied by the courts since \*hat time. The "<u>Agrico</u> test" is as follows:

We believe that before one can be considered to have a substantial interest in the outcome of the proceeding he must show 1) that he will suffer injury in fact which is of sufficient immediacy to entitle him to a Section 120.57 hearing, and 2) that his substantial injury is of a type or nature the proceeding is designed to protect.

TCG has failed to satisfy both prongs of the Agrico test.

7. No injury in fact has been alleged by TCG. TCG alleges that the grant of the certification will subject TCG to "anticompetitive practices, unfair competition, unlawful cross-subsidization and/or predatory pricing by BellSouth BSE." TCG Petition for Leave to Intervene. n. 5, ¶10. The exclusive remedy for TCG's alleged injury has been provided by the Legislature in Chapter 364, Fla. Stat. By law, the Commission has "continuing oversight jurisdiction over cross-subsidization, predatory pricing, or other similar anti-competitive behavior and may investigate, upon complaint or its own motion, allegations of such practices." See, Section 364.3381(3), Fla. Stat.

Inasmuch as BellSouth BSE, Inc. has not commenced operation in Florida, TCG's allegations about anticompetitive and unfair activities are, at best, premature. If and when anticompet ove or unfair activities manifest themselves, a complaint alleging such activities should be filed with the Commission pursuant to Section 364.3381(3), Fla. Stat.

- 8. The second prong of the <u>Agrico</u> test is whether the type of injury pled is that which the applicable statute is intended to protect. The main thrust of TCG's Petition is the allegation that the grant of an alternative local exchange telecommunications service certificate is violative of the Federal Telecommunications Act.. See, TCG Petition for Leave to Intervene, pp. 2-5, ¶¶5-8.
- In addition, TCG alleges that it will suffer purely economic damages by BellSouth BSE, Inc.'s entry into the market. TCG Petition for Leave to Intervene, pp. 2-4 95-6.
- 10. The general rule in Florida with respect to alleged economic injury has been expressed as follows:

[I]n licensing or permitting proceedings a claim of standing by third parties based solely upon economic interest is not sufficient valess the permitting or licensing statute contemplates consideration of such interests.

Florida Medical Association v. Department of Professional Regulation. 426 So.2d 1112, 1118 (Fla. 1st DCA 1983). See also Agrico, supra at 482; Florida Medical Cent x v. Department of Health and Rehabilitative Services, 484 So.2d 1292, 1294 (Fla. 1st DCA 1986) and Boca Raton Mausoleum v. Department of Banking and Finance, 511 So.2d 1060, 1064 (Fla. 1st DCA 1987).

- 11. Review of the statute authorizing the certification of alternative local exchange telecommunications companies demonstrates that the injuries alleged by TCG are not the type of injuries that this type of administrative proceeding was designed to protect.
  - 12. Subsections (1) and (2) of Section 364.337, Fla. Stat., were intended to establish

competition in the local exchange telecommunications market. The Cor mission was directed to "grant a certificate of authority to provide alternative local exchange service upon showing that the applicant has sufficient technical, financial and managerial capability to provide such service in the geographic area proposed to be served." Section 364.337(1), Fla. Stat. TCG has not alleged that BellSouth BSE, Inc.'s application is deficient in any of the above respects.

13. As a consequence, TCG has failed to allege any injury of a type or nature that this type of administrative proceeding was designed to protect. As provided in Section 364.377(1), Fla. Stat.:

It is the intent of the Legislature that the Commission act expeditiously to grant certificates of authority under this Section and that the grant of certificates not be affected by application of any criteria other than that specifically enumerated in this subsection. (emphasis added).

14. TCG has stipulated to all the factors that an applicant must possess in order to receive a certificate of authority to provide alternative local exchange telecommunications service under Section 364.337(1) and (2), Fla. Stat. See, Section 120.80(13)(b, Fla. Stat.

THEREFORE, the Petition for Leave to Intervene filed by TCG should be DISMISSED.

Dated this day of January, 1998.

Respectfully Submit ed,

Mark Herron, Esquire

Florida Bar No. 199737

E. Gary Early, Esquire

Florida Bar No. 325147

AKERMAN, SENTERFITT & EIDSON, P.A.

216 South Monroe Street, Suite 200

Tellahassee, Florida 32301

(850) 222-3471

Attorneys for BellSouth BSE, Inc.

## CERTIFICATE OF SERVIC

I HEREBY CERTIFY that a copy of the foregoing was turnished to the following parties by United States mail or hand delivery this day of January, 1998:

By Hand Delivery to:

Martha Carter Brown Division of Legal Services Florida Public Service Commission 2540 Shumard Oak Blvd., Room 390-M Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850

Joseph A. McGlothlin Vicki Gordon Kaufman 117 S. Gadsden Street Tallahassee, FL 32301 Counsel for Florida Competitive Carriers Association

Richard D. Melson
Hopping Green Sams & Smith
Post Office Box 6526
Tallahassee, FL 32314
Counsel for MCI Telecommunications Corp.

Robert G. Beatty and Nancy B. White c/o Nancy H. Sims 150 S. Monroe Street, Suite 400 Tallahassee, FL 32301 Counsel for BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc.

Kenneth A. Hoffman, Esq.
Rutledge, Ecenia, Underwood,
Purnell & Hoffman, P.A.
P.O. Box 551
Tallahassee, FL 32302
Counsel for Teleport Communications Group, Inc.

Peter M. Dunbar, Esq.
Barbara D. Auger, Esq.
Pennington, Moore, Wilkinson & Dunbar, P.A.
P.O. Bc 4 10095
Tallahassee, FL 32302
Counsel for Time Warner AxS of Florida, L.P.

By U.S. Mail to:

Thomas K. Bond MCI Telecommunications Corp. 780 Johnson Ferry Road Suite 700 Atlanta, GA 30342

Michael McRae, Esq.
Teleport Communications Group, Inc.
2 Lafayette Centre
1133 Twenty First Street, N.W.
Suite 400
Washington, D.C. 20036

Carolyn Marek
Time Warner Communications
Post Office Box 210706
Nashville, TN 37221

MARK HERRON E. GARY EARLY