

VOTE SHEET

APRIL 7, 1998

RE: DOCKET NO. 971481-WS - Petition of Mad Hatter Utility, Inc. in Pasco County for variance from Rule 25-30.036(3)(d), F.A.C., or, in the alternative, motion for extension of time.

Issue 1: Should MHU's Motion to Strike the County's comments be granted?  
Recommendation: No. MHU's Motion to Strike should be denied.

**APPROVED**

COMMISSIONERS ASSIGNED: Full Commission

COMMISSIONERS' SIGNATURES

MAJORITY

DISSENTING

*[Handwritten signatures in the majority column]*

\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_

REMARKS/DISSENTING COMMENTS:

DOCUMENT DATE

~~APR 7 1998~~ APR 7 98

VOTE SHEET

APRIL 7, 1998

DOCKET NO. 971481-WS - Petition of Mad Hatter Utility, Inc. in Pasco County for variance from Rule 25-30.036(3)(d), F.A.C., or, in the alternative, motion for extension of time.

(Continued from previous page)

Issue 2: Should the Commission grant MHU's Petition for Variance of Rule 25.30.036(3)(d), Florida Administrative Code, or, in the Alternative, A Motion For Extension of Time?

Recommendation: Yes. The Commission should grant MHU's Petition for Variance of Rule 25-30.036(3)(d), Florida Administrative Code, because the petition does meet the requirements of Section 120.542, Florida Statutes. If the Commission grants MHU's petition, the utility's alternative motion for extension of time will be moot and it will therefore not be necessary to rule upon it.

**APPROVED**

Issue 3: Should this docket be closed?

Recommendation: Yes. If Issue 2 is approved, and if no person whose substantial interests are affected files a protest within 21 days of issuance of the order, this docket should be closed.

**APPROVED**