ORIGINAL



April 20,1998

Ms.Blanca S. Bayo, Director
Division of Records & Reporting
Florida Public Service Commission
2540 Shumard Oak Blvd.
Tallahassee, Fl 32399-0850

Re: Docket No. 970990-TL
Proposed tariff filing by GTEFL to transfer a portion of the Sarasota exchange into the Bradenton exchange

Dear Ms. Bayo:

Please find enclosed Sarasota Equine Associates' Posthearing Statement for filing in the above matter. Although the date for this was on the 16th of this month, I did not receive the late filed exhibits of GTEFL until Apirl 14, 1998. I was unable to respond until this time as I was called out of town and was unable to look at the exhibits until this time.

ACK	- time as I
AFA	
APP	•
CAF TELL]in_
LEG LIN 3	
OPC	
RCH	
WAS	

OTH .

Villiam J MoGrety DVM

DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE

045 18 APR 22 8

FPSC-RECORDS/REPORTING

BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISION

In Re: Docket No. 970990-TL

Proposed tariff filing by GTEFL to transfer a portion of the Sarasota exchange into the Bradenton exchange Filed: April 21, 1998

SARASOTA EQUINE ASSOCIATES' BRIEF

SEA'S RESPONSE TO THE LATE-FILE EXHIBIT NO.S 1,2,3,4,&6 OF GTEFL

NO.1 "A HYBRID APPROACH"

SEA bought it's property locate on the Sarasota County side of University Parkway, the county line between Sarasota and Manatee counties. It was purchased from Polo Ranch Inc., not from Lakewood Ranch Inc. While both orginated from Schroeder-Manatee Inc., they are seperate entities. There is little, if any social or economic communication between the two. This would include "neighborhood communication" that the article speaks of. The Polo Club aside from being in another county, is zoned larger tracts of land than is Lakewood Ranch, land owners are required to be members of a Polo organization and is meant mostly to cater toward Polo players and their horses. Unlike Lakewood Ranchs which is a residential deviopement on smaller tracts (1/4 to 1/2 acre lots) This type zoning is not allowed in this part of Sarasota county.

It is important to realize the seperation of the two. In GTEFL's testimony, it stated that "GTEFL decided to serve the complete out of one exchange to avoid the situation of close neighbors in the development having different calling scopes and different local rates". To begin with, we are not talking about having to make a long-distance call to a party on the other side of University Parkway. As far as having different rates, I would not be so bold as to suggest that maybe they make the rates the same, but rather note the the same rate difference exists just west of the proposed transfer, and this does not seem to create a problem. Since Sarasots Polo Club is not part of Lakewood Ranch, GTEFL's argument of having one exchange for the development is not valid. SEA is not in Lakewood Ranch.

There is no mention of cost in the reason for requesting the transfer, but what was mentioned was that this request for the transfer was initiated by the developer of Lakewood Ranch so that more calling amenities could be offered to the residents of Lakewood Ranch such as 4 digit calling. SEA is not in Lakewood Ranch and should not have to suffer. It was the developer, a single entity, that requested these enhanced services for his developement, not a group of individual customers. SEA is also a single entity, albeit, smaller and should have the same rights when boundaries are requested to be changed. If the boundaries remain the same, Lakewood Ranch will not suffer either real nor potential economic loss. SEA will.

No 2. COST ANALYIS TO PUT IN REMOTE SWITCH

GTEFL's decision to serve the developer's request for enhanced services was their own. The costs expended already were done prior to any decisions made by the Florida Public Service Commission. GTEFL was aware of SEA's request not to be transferred into a Bradenton exchange.

All offers to SEA will increase the cost of telephone service to SEA. SEA already pays a substanial amount for telephone service. GTEFL is not in a postion to state whether or not these increases will create a hardship for SEA or not. Without regard to possible loss of income due to reasons already stated, day to day calling to the Venice area will substantially rise. SEA calling scope will be made smaller and SEA will be more difficult to reach unless SEA wants to spend more for telephone service.

It has been brought to my attention that GTEFL has the ability to offer Foreign Home Office. This would alliviate all problems.

No. 3 LEAST COST ALTERNATIVE TO SERVE POLO CLUB FROM SARASOTA EXCHANGE

This would keep SEA in Sarasota exchange and in the correct telephone book

No. 4 HOW GTE SERVES FRUITVILLE RD AND SOUTH AND SOUTHEAST OF THE SARASOTA POLO CLUB.

It will be developed.

SARASOTA EQUINE ASSOCIATES'S GENERAL POSITION

Sarasota Equine Associates has been at that location for longer than Lakewood Ranch. It is a business which resides in Sarasota, pays Sarasota County taxes and impact fees. These costs are higher than in Manatee County. Sarasota Equine Associates wishes to remain in all aspects a member of the Sarasota community. There are no horses in Lakewood Ranch. All offers by GTEFL will result in increased costs to SEA. also an intangible possibility of loss of revenue may occur. SEA is a small business and can not afford to allow this possibility. If it does occur, there is no way to retreive that lost revenue. By placing SEA in a Bradenton exchange, it will make the telephone directory a mess. Where will the name William McGinty DVM be? In the Bradenton directory? Presently it is in the Sarasota directory. Many people know the veterinarian by personal name and would look for it in the correct telephone directory. If the change is made William McGinty will lose potential

Lakewood Ranch. Why change it in the middle?

GTEFL understands SEA position and does not deny that there will be expense to SEA Again, the county line is an excellant boundary. It is the boundary both east and west of clients because he was not found in Sarasota. Did he move? No, he was put in a Bradenton book where a Sarasota veterinarian normally would not be normally found. GTEFL is not a small business nor is it capable of understanding the fickleness of the average horse owner. The expen se to SEA is far greater the GTEFL would expect.

Lakewood Ranch that is important but rather the people that live there both in and outside of Lakewood Ranch. The County line is a boundary that all can recognize and north side of University Parkway, in Manatee County. Why would they be asked to vote on whether the Sarasota residents should be moved into a Manatee exchange? calling scope of the area, much like visitors in a resort. Since a majority of the buildings are barns and not owner occuppied any vote would be of people that do not have any concern in the matter. SEA believes that a majority of the residential customers are in the concern in the matter. to remain a Sarasota telephone exchange). The only affected oustomers in the original area either in the Polo Club or in a business park south of University Parkway. With this in mind, SEA does not believe that a ballot would be fair in that a majority of the customers University Parkway, a residential part of Lakewood Ranch which is in Manatee County. Why is this? Obviously, people in Manatee county would want to have a Manatee the area to be be simply makes the most sense and is most fair to all involved in the Polo Club are temporary residents only. These people are not concerned with the exchange, (although the only people that showed up at the hearing from that area, wanted to remain a Sarasota telephone exchange). The only affected customers in the original area Parkway, this is in Sarasota county. GTEFL has now included an area. North of this. It does not believe that they should. This is a rare case where a more fair answer will be obtained by a commission rather than a vote. GTEFL has already changed the scope of The second issue is should the customers be balloted or not. SEA is very concerned about obtained by a commission rather than a vote. GTEFL has already changed the scope of e area to be balloted. When first contacted, the area in concern was south of University sarcation than a county line, as the area is developed, it is not ajority of the residential customers are in the

٩

William J McGinty DVM

Sarasota Equine Associates 8324 Whiskey Pond Lane Sarasota, Florida 24240 SARASOTA EQUINE ASSOCIATES

JOHNNIE F. COPELAND, Jr., D.V.M.

8325 WHISKEY POND LANE SARASOTA, FLORIDA 34240 (941) 355-7759



CERTIFICATE OS SERVICE

WILLIAM J. McGINTY, DV.M.

I HEREBY CERTIFY that copies of Sarasota Equine Associates' Posthearing statements concerning Docket No. 970090-TL were sent via US MAIL mjApril 21, 1998, to the party listed below.

Kimberly Caswell PO Box 110, FLTC 0007 Tampa FL 32399-0850

Ms. B. Bayo Dir. Division of Records and Recording Florida Public Service Commission 2540 Shumard Oak Blvd Tallahassee, Fl

Mary Beth Keating same address as above

William J McGinty DVM