TAMPA ELECTRIC COMPANY
DOCKET NO. 980001-EI
SUBMITTED FOR FILING 05/20/98
(TRUE-UP)

BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION 1 PREPARED DIRECT TESTIMONY 2 OF 3 GEORGE A. KESELOWSKY 4 5 Will you please state your name, business address, and 6 employer? 7 8 My name is George A. Keselowsky and my business address is 9 Post Office Box 111, Tampa, Florida 33601. I am employed 10 by Tampa Electric Company. 11 12 Please furnish us with a brief outline of your educational 13 background and business experience. 14 15 I graduated in 1972 from the University of South Florida 16 Bachelor of Science Degree in Mechanical 17 with Engineering. I have been employed by Tampa Electric 18 Company in various engineering positions since that time. 19 My current position is that of Senior Consulting Engineer 20 - Energy Supply Engineering. 21 22 23 24 25

DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE

Q. What are your current responsibilities?

A. I am responsible for testing and reporting unit performance, and the compilation and reporting of generation statistics.

Q. What is the purpose of your testimony?

A. My testimony presents the actual performance results from unit equivalent availability and station heat rate used to determine the Generating Performance Incentive Factor (GPIF) for the period October 1997 through March 1998. I will also compare these results to the targets established prior to the beginning of the period.

Q. Have you prepared an exhibit with the results for this six month period?

A. Yes. Under my direction and supervision and exhibit has been prepared entitled, "Tampa Electric Company, October 1997 - March 1998, Generating Performance Incentive Factor Results" consisting of 28 pages that was filed with this testimony (Have identified as Exhibit GAK-1).

Commission on the Actual Unit Performance data form.

Additionally, outage information is reported to the

Commission on a monthly basis. A summary of this data for

the six months provides the basis for the GPIF.

Q. Are the equivalent availability results shown on page 6, column 2, directly applicable to the GPIF table?

A. Not exactly. Adjustments to equivalent availability may be required as noted in section 4.3.3 of the GPIF Manual. The actual equivalent availability including the required adjustment is shown on page 6 of my exhibit. The necessary adjustments as prescribed in the GPIF Manual are further defined by a letter dated October 23, 1981, from Mr. J.H. Hoffsis of the Commission's Staff. The adjustments for each unit are as follows:

Gannon Unit No. 5

On this unit, 504 planned outage hours were originally scheduled to fall within the Winter 1997 period. Due to a reprioritization of the outage schedule additional work was moved forward and accomplished in this period. Consequently, the actual equivalent availability of 53.6% is adjusted to 63.5% as shown on page 7 of my exhibit.

Gannon Unit No. 6

On this unit, 48 planned outage hours were originally scheduled to fall within the Winter 1997 period. Due to a revision of the outage schedule, this work was moved forward to fall completely within the period, and 582.5 planned outage hours fell within the period. Consequently, the actual equivalent availability of 63.7% is adjusted to 72.6%, as shown on page 8 of my exhibit.

Big Bend Unit No. 1

On this unit 336 planned outage hours were originally scheduled to fall within the Winter 1997 period. Due to a revision of the outage schedule no planned outage hours fell within the period. Consequently, the actual equivalent availability of 82.7% is adjusted to 76.3% as shown on page 9 of my exhibit.

Big Bend Unit No. 2

On this unit 336 planned outage hours were originally scheduled to fall within the Winter 1997 period. Due to a revision of the outage schedule, 248.5 planned outage hours fell within the period. Consequently, the actual equivalent availability of 77.3% is adjusted to 75.7% as shown on page 10 of my exhibit.

Big Bend Unit No. 3

On this unit 504 planned outage hours were originally scheduled to fall within the Winter 1997 period. Due to a revision of the outage schedule, outage activities were moved forward and accomplished prior to the period, and no planned outage hours fell within the period. Consequently, the actual equivalent availability of 80.5% is adjusted to 71.2% as shown on page 11 of my exhibit.

Big Bend Unit No. 4

On this unit 504 planned outage hours were scheduled to fall within the Winter 1997 period. Due to a revision of the outage schedule the outage was moved to occur after the end of the period. Consequently, the actual equivalent availability of 92.3% is adjusted to 81.5% as shown on page 12 of my exhibit.

Q. How did you arrive at the applicable equivalent availability points for each unit?

A. The final adjusted equivalent availabilities for each unit are shown on page 6, column 4, of my exhibit. This number is entered into the respective Generating Performance Incentive Point (GPIP) Table for each particular unit on pages 21 through 26. Page 4 of my exhibit summarizes the equivalent availability points to be awarded or penalized.

2

3

4

1

Q. Would you please explain the heat rate results relative to the GPIF?

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

The actual heat rate and adjusted actual heat rate for Gannon and Big Bend Station are shown on page 6 of my exhibit. The adjustment was developed based on the guidelines of section 4.3.16 of the GPIF Manual. This procedure is further defined by a letter dated October 23, 1981, from Mr. J.H. Hoffsis of the FPSC Staff. The final adjusted actual heat rates are also shown on page 5 of my exhibit. This heat rate number is entered into the respective GPIP table for the particular unit, shown on pages 21 through 26. Page 4 of my exhibit summarizes the weighted heat rate and equivalent availability points to be awarded.

18 19

Q. Were any additional adjustments to heat rate required?

20 21

22

23

24

25

A. In order to assure compatibility of data, Big Bend Unit 3 heat rates have been calculated in the standard fashion, without scrubber power. This methodology has been reviewed and approved by the PSC staff, to be employed until there is sufficient operational history with the

1 scrubber to meet target preparation guidelines. 2 3 Q. Does this assure that the Big Bend 3 heat rate for the period is appropriate for comparison to its target and 4 meets GPIF criteria? 5 6 7 Yes. 8 9 What is the overall GPIP for Tampa Electric Company during 10 this six month period? 11 12 This is shown on page 28 of my exhibit. Essentially, the 13 weighting factors shown on page 4, column 3, plus the equivalent availability points and the heat rate points 14 15 shown on page 4, column 4, are substituted within the 16 equation. This resultant value, -0.911, is then entered 17 into the GPIF table on page 2. Using linear 18 interpolation, a penalty amount of \$188,281 is calculated. 19 20 Q. Does this conclude your testimony? 21 22 Yes, it does. 23

24

EXHIBIT NO.
DOCKET NO. 9800001 - E1
TAMPA ELECTRIC COMPANY
(GAK -1)
PAGE 1 OF 28

TAMPA ELECTRIC COMPANY OCTOBER 1997 - MARCH 1998 GENERATING PERFORMANCE INCENTIVE FACTOR RESULTS TABLE OF CONTENTS

SCHEDULE	PAGE
GPIF REWARD / PENALTY TABLE ACTUAL	2
GPIF CALCULATIONS OF MAXIMUM ALLOWED INCENTIVE DOLLARS	3
CALCULATIONSS OF SYSTEM ACTUAL GPIF POINTS	4
GPIF UNIT PERFORMANCE SUMMARY, EQUIVALENT AVAILABILITY	5
GPIF UNIT PERFORMANCE SUMMARY, AVERAGE NET OPERATING HEAT RATE	5
GPIF UNIT PERFORMANCE DATA	6
GPIF (EAF & HEAT RATE) ADJUSTMENT COMPUTATIONS	7 - 18
PLANNED OUTAGE SCHEDULE - ACTUAL	19
CRITICAL PATH DIAGRAM	20
GENERATING PERFORMANCE INCENTIVE POINTS TABLES (ACTUAL)	21 - 26
COMPARISON OF GPIF TARGET VS ACTUAL PERFORMANCE	27
GENERATING PERFORMANCE INCENTIVE POINTS CALCULATIONS	28

TAMPA ELECTRIC COMPANY GENERATING PERFORMANCE INCENTIVE POINTS TABLE REWARD / PENALTY TABLE - ACTUAL

OCTOBER 1997 - MARCH 1998

ORGINAL SHEET NO. 7.401.98A

GENERATING PERFORMANCE INCENTIVE POINTS (GPIP)	FUEL SAVINGS / (LOSS) (\$000)	GENERATING PERFORMANCE INCENTIVE FACTOR (\$000)
+10	4,133.5	2,066.8
+9	3,720.2	1,860.1
+8	3,306.8	1,653.4
+7	2,893.5	1,446.7
+6	2,480.1	1,240.1
+5	2,066.8	1,033.4
+4	1,653.4	826.7
+3	1,240.1	620.0
+2	826.7	413.4
+1	413.4	206.7
0	GPIP 0	0.0
-1	Points (478.5)	DOLLARS (206.7)
-2	(957.0)	(\$188,281)
-3	(1,435.5)	(620.0)
-4	(1,914.0)	(826.7)
-5	(2,392.5)	(1,033.4)
-6	(2,870.9)	(1,240.1)
-7	(3,349.4)	(1,440.7)
*-	(3,827.9)	(1,653.4)
-9	(4,306.4)	(1,860.1)
-10	(4,784.9)	(2,066.8)

TAMPA ELECTRIC COMPANY GENERATING PERFORMANCE INCENTIVE FACTOR CALCULATION OF MAXIMUM ALLOWED INCENTIVE DOLLARS ACTUAL

OCTOBER 19	97 - MA	RCH	1998
------------	---------	-----	------

Line 1	Beginning of period balan end of month common equ	1965	\$1,147,458,353	
Line 2	Month of October	1997	\$1,104,883,367	
Line 3	Month of November	1997	\$1,112,449,896	
Line 4	Month of December	1997	\$1,116,888,102	
Line 5	Month of January	1998	\$1,094,146,890	
Line 6	Month of February	1998	\$1,143,775,590	
Line 7	Month of March	1998	\$1,145,671,810	
Line 8	(summation of line 1 throu	igh line 7 divided by 7)	\$1,123,610,573	
Line 9	25 Basis points		0.0025	
Line 10	Revenue expansion factor		61.3738%	
Line 11	Maximum allowed incenti (Line 8 times line 9 divided times 0.5)		\$2,288,457	
Line 12	Jurisdictional Sales		6973189	MWH
Line 13	Total Sales		7019436	MWH
Line 14	Jurisdictional Seperation 1 (Line 12 divided by line 13		99.34%	
Line 15	Maximum Allowed Jurisd Dollars	ictional Incentive		
	(Line 11 times line 14)		\$2,273,380	

TAMPA ELECTRIC COMPANY CALCULATION OF SYSTEM GPIF POINTS OCTOBER 1997 - MARCH 1998 ACTUAL

PLANT/UNIT	ADJ A	MO CTUAL RMANCE	WEIGHTING FACTOR %	UNIT POINTS	WEIGHTED UNIT POINTS
GANNON 5	63.5%	EAF	1.46%	-10.000	-0.146
GANNON 6	72.6%	EAF	1.01%	-10.000	-0.101
BIG BEND I	76.3%	EAF	4.16%	-5.005	-0.208
BIG BEND 2	75.7%	EAF	5.22%	-6.945	-0.363
BIG BEND 3	71.2%	EAF	7.98%	-4.256	-0.340
BIG BEND 4	81.5%	EAF	3.98%	2.000	0.080
GANNON 5	10604	ANOHR	7.40%	-4.763	-0.352
GANNON 6	10453	ANOHR	11.85%	5.157	0.0.1
BIG BEND 1	9998	ANOHR	10.67%	0.679	0.072
BIG BEND 2	9993	ANOHR	16.14%	0.000	0.000
BIG BEND 3	9786	ANOHR	15.22%	-1.080	-0.164
BIG BEND 4	10011	ANOHR	14.91%	0.000	0.000

-0.911

GPIF REWARD

(\$188,281)

GPIF TARGET AND RANGE SUMMARY

OCTOBER 1997 - MARCH 1998

EQUIVALENT AVAILABILITY

PLANT/UNIT	WEIGHTING FACTOR (%)	EAF TARGET (%)	EAF MAX. (%)	RANGE MIN. (%)	MAX. FUEL SAVINGS (\$000)	MAX. FUEL LOSS (\$000)	EAF ADJUSTED ACTUAL %	FUEL SAVINGS/ LOSS (\$000)
GANNON 5	1.46%	77.3	80.1	71.7	60.3	(115.4)	63.5%	(115.4)
GANNON 6	1.01%	88.4	90.6	84.2	41.8	(106.4)	72.6%	(106.4)
BIG BEND 1	4.16%	79.3	82.3	73.3	172.1	(379.0)	76.3%	(189.7)
BIG BEND 2	5.22%	79.7	82.6	73.9	215.7	(360.7)	75.7%	(250.5)
BIG BEND 3	7.98%	74.1	77.6	67.3	330.0	(311.5)	71.2%	(132.6)
BIG BEND 4	3.98%	81.1	83.2	77.1	164.6	(362.9)	81.5%	32 9
GPIF SYSTEM	23.81%				984.5	(1,635.9)		

AVERAGE NET OPERATING HEAT RATE FOR GPIF COAL GENERATING UNITS

<u>PLANT/UNI</u> T	WEIGHTING FACTOR (%)	ANOHR Btu/kwb	TARGET NOF	ANOHR T RAN MIN.		MAX. FUEL SAVINGS (\$000)	MAX. FUEL LOSS (\$000)	ACTUAL ADJUSTED ANOHR	ACTUAL FUEL SAVINGS/ LOSS (\$000)
GANNON 5	7.40%	10378	69.1	9986	10770	306.0	(306.0)	10604	(145.8)
GANNON 6	11.85%	10692	66.3	10299	11085	490.0	(490.0)	10453	0.0
BIG BEND I	10.67%	10084	80.9	9847	10321	441.0	(441.0)	9998	29 9
BIG BEND 2	16.14%	9961	93.6	9616	10306	667.0	(667.0)	9993	0.0
BIG BEND 3	15.22%	9680	91.6	9318	10042	629.0	(629.0)	9786	(67.9)
BIG BEND 4	14.91%	10025	86.3	9710	10340	616.0	(616.0)	10011	0.0
GPIF SYSTEM	76.19%					3,149.0	(3,149.0)		

TAMPA ELECTRIC COMPANY ACTUAL UNIT PERFORMANCE DATA OCTOBER 1997 - MARCH 1998

PLANT/UNIT	ACTUAL EAF %	ADJUSTMENTS (1) EAF %	EAF ADJUSTED ACTUAL %
GANNON 5	53.6	9.9	63.5
GANNON 6	63.6	9.0	72.6
BIG BEND I	82.7	-6.4	76.3
BIG BEND 2	77.3	-1.6	75.7
BIG BEND 3	80.4	-9.2	71.2
BIG BEND 4	92.2	-10.7	81.5
PLANT / UNIT	ACTUAL ANOHR Btu/kwh	ADJUSTMENTS (1) TO ANOHR Btu/kwh	ANOHR ADJUSTED ACTUAL Btu/kwh
GANNON 5	10759	-155	10604
GANNON 6	10472	-19	10453
BIG BEND I	10083	-85	9998
BIG BEND 2	10103	-110	9993
BIG BEND 3	10069	-283	9786
BIG BEND 4	10025	-14	10011

⁽¹⁾ Documentation of adjustments to Actual EAF on pages 7 - 12

⁽¹⁾ Documentation of adjustments to Actual ANOHR on pages 13 - 18

TAMPA ELECTRIC COMPANY ADJUSTMENTS TO PERFORMANCE **GANNON UNIT NO. 5** OCTOBER 1997 - MARCH 1998

WEIGHTING FACTOR =

1 46" -

	6 MO. TARGET	6 MO. ACTUAL PERFORMANCE	ADJUSTED ACTUAL PERFORMANCE
P.H.	4369.0	4369 0	4369 0
E.A.F.	77.3	53.6	63.5
P.O.H.	504.0	1105.3	504.0
F.O.H. + E.F.O.H	386 0	831 3	984.5
M.O.H. + E.M.O.H	100.0	90.2	106 8
P.O.F.	11.5	25.3	11.5
E.F.O.F.	8.8	19.0	22.5
E.M.O.F.	2.3	2.1	2.4

-10.000 E. A. POINTS

ADJUSTMENTS TO E.A.F.

36.5

PH = PERIOD HOURS EAF = EQUIVALENT AVAILABILITY FACTOR POH = PLANNED OUTAGE HOURS FOH = FORCED OUTAGE HOURS MOH = MAINTENANCE OUTAGE HOURS POF = PLANNED OUTAGE FACTOR EFOF = EQUIVALENT FORCED OUTAGE FACTOR EMOF = EQUIVALENT MAINTENANCE OUTAGE FACTOR

TAMPA ELECTRIC COMPANY ADJUSTMENTS TO PERFORMANCE GANNON UNIT NO. 6 OCTOBER 1997 - MARCH 1998

WEIGHTING FACTOR =

1.01%

	6 MO. TARGET	6 MO. ACTUAL PERFORMANCE	ADJUSTED ACTUAL PERFORMANCE
P.H.	4369.0	4369.0	4369.0
E.A.F.	88.4	63.7	72.6
P.O.H.	48.0	582 5	48.0
F.O.H. + E.F.O.H	238 0	867.2	989 b
M.O.H. + E.M.O.H	220 0	139.0	158.6
P.O.F.	1.1	13.3	1.1
E.F.O.F.	5.4	19.8	22 7
E.M.O.F.	5.0	3.2	3.6

-10.000 E A POINTS

ADJUSTMENTS TO E.A.F.

100.0 - 27.4 = 72.6

PH = PERIOD HOURS

EAF = EQUIVALENT AVAILABILITY FACTOR

POH = PLANNED OUTAGE HOURS

FOH = FORCED OUTAGE HOURS

MOH = MAINTENANCE OUTAGE HOURS

EUCH = EQUIVALENT UNPLANNED OUTAGE HOURS

POF = PLANNED OUTAGE FACTOR

EFOF = EQUIVALENT FORCED OUTAGE FACTOR

TAMPA ELECTRIC COMPANY ADJUSTMENTS TO PERFORMANCE BIG BEND UNIT NO. 1 OCTOBER 1997 - MARCH 1998

WEIGHTING FACTOR =

4 16%

	6 MO. TARGET	6 MO. ACTUAL PERFORMANCE	ADJUSTED ACTUAL PERFORMANCE
P.H.	4369 0	43690	4369.0
E.A.F.	79 3	82.7	76.3
P.O.H.	336 0	0.0	336.0
F.O.H. + E.F.O.H	400.0	394 7	364.3
M.O.H. + E.M.O.H	168.0	363 0	335 1
P.O.F.	7.7	0.0	7.7
E.F.O.F.	9.2	9.0	8.3
E.M.O.F.	3.8	8.3	7 7

-5.005 E. A. POINTS

ADJUSTMENTS TO E.A.F.

PH = PERIOD HOURS EAF = EQUIVALENT AVAILABILITY FACTOR POH = PLANNED OUTAGE HOURS

FOH = FORCED OUTAGE HOURS

MOH = MAINTENANCE OUTAGE HOURS

EUOH = EQUIVALENT UNPLANNED OUTAGE HOURS

POF = PLANNED OUTAGE FACTOR

EFOF = EOUIVALENT FORCED OUTAGE FACTOR

TAMPA ELECTRIC COMPANY ADJUSTMENTS TO PERFORMANCE BIG BEND UNIT NO. 2 OCTOBER 1997 - MARCH 1998

WEIGHTING FACTOR =

5.22%

	6 MO. TARGET	6 MO. ACTUAL PERFORMANCE	ADJUSTED ACTUAL PERFORMANCE
P.H.	4369.0	4369 0	4369.0
E.A.F.	79.7	77.3	-5 -
P.O.H.	336.0	248.5	336.0
F.O.H. + E.F.O.H	353.0	456.6	446.9
M.O.H. + E.M.O.H	196.0	285.5	279 4
P.O.F.	7.7	5.7	7.7
E.F.O.F.	8.1	10.5	10.2
E.M.O.F.	4.5	6.5	6.4

-6.945 E. A. POINTS

ADJUSTMENTS TO E.A.F.

100.0 - 24.3 = 75.7

PH = PERIOD HOURS

EAF = EQUIVALENT AVAILABILITY FACTOR

POH = PLANNED OUTAGE HOURS

FOH = FORCED OUTAGE HOURS

MOH = MAINTENANCE OUTAGE HOURS

EUOH = EQUIVALENT UNPLANNED OUTAGE HOURS

POF = PLANNED OUTAGE FACTOR

EFOF = ECUIVALENT FORCED OUTAGE FACTOR

TAMPA ELECTRIC COMPANY ADJUSTMENTS TO PERFORMANCE BIG BEND UNIT NO. 3 OCTOBER 1997 - MARCH 1998

WEIGHTING FACTOR =

7.98" ..

	6 MO. TARGET	6 MO. ACTUAL PERFORMANCE	ADJUSTED ACTUAL PERFORMANCE
P.H.	4369.0	4369.0	4369 0
E.A.F.	74.1	80.5	71.2
P.O.H.	504.0	0.0	504 0
F.O.H. + E.F.O.H	472.0	503 0	445 0
M.O.H. + E.M.O.H	156.0	351.5	31! 0
P.O.F.	11.5	0.0	11.5
E.F.O.F.	10.8	11.5	10.2
E.M.O.F.	3.6	8.0	7.1

-4.256 E. A. POINTS

ADJUSTMENTS TO E.A.F.

. .

100.0 - 28.8 = 71.2

PH = PERIOD HOURS

EAF = EQUIVALENT AVAILABILITY FACTOR

POH = PLANNED OUTAGE HOURS

FOH = FORCED OUTAGE HOURS

MOH = MAINTENANCE OUTAGE HOURS

EUOH = EQUIVALENT UNPLANNED OUTAGE HOURS

POF = PLANNED OUTAGE FACTOR

EFOF = FOUIVALENT FORCED OUTAGE FACTOR

TAMPA ELECTRIC COMPANY ADJUSTMENTS TO PERFORMANCE BIG BEND UNIT NO. 4 OCTOBER 1997 - MARCH 1998

VEIGHTING FACTOR =

3.98" a

	6 MO. TARGET	6 MO. ACTUAL PERFORMANCE	ADJUSTED ACTUAL PERFORMANCE
P.H.	4369.0	4369.0	4369 0
E.A.F.	81.1	92.3	81.5
P.O.H.	504.0	0.0	504 ()
F.O.H. + E.F.O.H	167 0	161.9	143.2
M.O.H. + E.M.O.H	155.0	180.9	160.0
P.O.F.	11.5	0.0	11.5
E.F.O.F.	3.8	3 7	3.3
E.M.O.F.	3.5	4.1	3.7

2.000 E. A. POINTS

ADJUSTMENTS TO E.A.F.

81.5

PH = PERIOD HOURS

EAF = EQUIVALENT AVAILABILITY FACTOR

POH = PLANNED OUTAGE HOURS

FOH = FORCED OUTAGE HOURS

MOH = MAINTENANCE OUTAGE HOURS

EUOH = EQUIVALENT UNPLANNED OUTAGE HOURS

POF = PLANNED OUTAGE FACTOR

EFOF = EQUIVALENT FORCED OUTAGE FACTOR

TAMPA ELECTRIC COMPANY ADJUSTMENTS TO HEAT RATE GANNON UNIT NO. 5 HEAT RATE DATA OCTOBER 1997 - MARCH 1998

WEIGHTING FACTOR =

7.40%

	6 MO. TARGET	6 MO ACTUAL PERFORMANCE
ANOHR (Btu/kwh)	10378	10759
STA. NET GEN. (GWH)	571.3	402.3
OPER. Btu (10^9 btu)	5928.868	4328.681
NET OUTPUT FACTOR	69.1	60.K

-4.763 HEAT RATE POINTS

ADJUSTMENTS TO ACTUAL HEAT RATE FOR COMPARISON

CURRENT EQUATION NOF(-18.7056) + 11670.7 = ANOHR
60.8 (-18.7056) + 11670.7 = 10533
10759 - 10533 = 226
10378 + 226 = 10604

ANOHR = AVERAGE NET OPERATING HEAT RATE NOF = NET OPERATING FACTOR

TAMPA ELECTRIC COMPANY ADJUSTMENTS TO HEAT RATE GANNON UNIT NO. 6 HEAT RATE DATA OCTOBER 1997 - MARCH 1998

WEIGHTING FACTOR = 11.85%

	6 MO. TARGET	6 MO ACTUAL PERFORMANCE
ANOHR (Btu/kwh)	10692	10472
STA. NET GEN. (GWH)	1024.0	863.7
OPER. Btu (10^9 btu)	10949.495	9044.303
NET OUTPUT FACTOR	66.3	65.3

5.157 HEAT RATE POINTS

ADJUSTMENTS TO ACTUAL HEAT RATE FOR COMPARISON

CURRENT EQUATION NOF(-18.7199) + 11933.6 = ANOHR
65.3 (-18.7199) + 11933.6 = 10711
10472 - 10711 = -239
10692 + -239 = 10453

ANOHR = AVERAGE NET OPERATING HEAT RATE NOF = NET OPERATING FACTOR

TAMPA ELECTRIC COMPANY ADJUSTMENTS TO HEAT RATE BIG BEND UNIT NO. 1 HEAT RATE DATA OCTOBER 1997 - MARCH 1998

WEIGHTING FACTOR = 10.67%

	6 MO. TARGET	6 MO ACTUAL PERFORMANCE
ANOHR (Btu/kwh)	10084	10083
STA. NET GEN. (GWH)	1274.1	1309.1
OPER. Btu (10^9 btu)	12847.814	13199.430
NET OUTPUT FACTOR	80.9	77.1

0.679 HEAT RATE POINTS

ADJUSTMENTS TO ACTUAL HEAT RATE FOR COMPARISON

CURRENT EQUATION NOF(-22.4860) + 11903.0 = ANOHR

77.1 (-22.4860) + 11903.0 = 10169 10083 - 10169 = -86

10084 + -86 = 9998

ANOHR = AVERAGE NET OPERATING HEAT RATE NOF = NET OPERATING FACTOR

TAMPA ELECTRIC COMPANY ADJUSTMENTS TO HEAT RATE BIG BEND UNIT NO. 2 HEAT RATE DATA OCTOBER 1997 - MARCH 1998

WEIGHTING FACTOR = 16.14%

	6 MO. TARGET	6 MO ACTUAL PERFORMANCE
ANOHR (Btu/kwh)	9961	10103
STA. NET GEN. (GWH)	1316.0	1238.2
OPER. Btu (10^9 btu)	13108.591	12509.280
NET OUTPUT FACTOR	83.6	77.6

0.000 HEAT RATE POINTS

ADJUSTMENTS TO ACTUAL HEAT RATE FOR COMPARISON

CURRENT EQUATION NOF(-18.2305) + 11485.3 = ANOHR

77.6 (-18.2305) + 11485.3 = 10071

10103 - 10071 = 32

9961 + 32 = 9993

ANOHR = AVERAGE NET OPERATING HEAT RATE NOF = NET OPERATING FACTOR

TAMPA ELECTRIC COMPANY ADJUSTMENTS TO HEAT RATE BIG BEND UNIT NO. 3 HEAT RATE DATA OCTOBER 1997 - MARCH 1998

WEIGHTING FACTOR = 15.22%

	6 MO. TARGET	6 MO ACTUAL PERFORMANCE
ANOHR (Btu/kwh)	9680	10069
STA. NET GEN. (GWH)	1361.9	1334.7
OPER. Btu (10^9 btu)	13183.763	13439.760
NET OUTPUT FACTOR	91.6	75.9

-1.080 HEAT RATE POINTS

9786

ADJUSTMENTS TO ACTUAL HEAT RATE FOR COMPARISON

CURRENT EQUATION NOF(-17.9962) + 11328.8 = ANOHR 75.9 (-17.9962) + 11328.8 = 9963 10069 -9963 = 106

106

ANOHR = AVERAGE NET OPERATING HEAT RATE NOF = NET OPERATING FACTOR

9680 +

TAMPA ELECTRIC COMPANY ADJUSTMENTS TO HEAT RATE BIG BEND UNIT NO. 4 HEAT RATE DATA OCTOBER 1997 - MARCH 1998

WEIGHTING FACTOR = 14.91%

	6 MO. TARGET	6 MO. TARGET	6 MO ACTUAL PERFORMANCE
ANOHR (Btu/kwh)	10025	10025	10025
STA. NET GEN. (GWH)	1422.3	1422.3	1583.5
OPER. Btu (10^9 btu)	14258.275	14258.275	15875.074
NET OUTPUT FACTOR	86.3	86.3	84.2

0.000 HEAT RATE POINTS

ADJUSTMENTS TO ACTUAL HEAT RATE FOR COMPARISON

CURRENT EQUATION NOF(-6.9608) + 10625.5 = ANOHR

84.2 (-6.9608) + 10625.5 = 10039

10025 - 10039 = -14

10025 + -14 = 10011

ANOHR = AVERAGE NET OPERATING HEAT RATE NOF = NET OPERATING FACTOR

TAMPA ELECTRIC COMPANY GPIF PLANNED OUTAGE SCHEDULE - ACTUAL

OCTOBER 1997 - MARCH 1998

STATION/UNIT	PLANNED OUTAGE DATES	OUTAGE REASON
GANNON 5	OCT 27 - DEC 12	BOILER FLOOR REPLACEMENT CLASSIFIER MODIFICATIONS
•• GANNON 6	FEB 25 - MAE 21	REPAIR COLD GAS DUCT BOILER FEED PUMP INSPECTION
• BIG BEND 2	MAR 21 - MAY 8	REPLACE PRIMARY REHEATER BOILER FEED PUMP INSPECTION PPTR XFORMER/RECTIFIER CLASSIFIER MODIFICATION MILL END MODIFICATION HOT GAS DUCT REPLACEMENT

Milestone or Critical Path Charts of actual schedule are included on page 20.

^{*}Start / End dates outside of GPIF period.

^{**} Outage is conscise and a CPM was not required to outline events.

TAMPA ELECTRIC COMPANY BIG BEND UNIT NUMBER 2 PLANNED OUTAGE 1998 ACTUAL CPM 04/01/98

GENERATING PERFORMANCE INCENTIVE POINTS TABLE

OCTOBER 1997 - MARCH 1998

GANNON 5

EQUIVALENT AVAILABILITY POINTS	FUEL SAVINGS / (LOSS) (\$ X 1000)	ADJUSTED ACTUAL EQUIVALENT AVAILABILITY	AVERAGE HEAT RATE POINTS	FUEL SAVINGS (LOSS) (\$ X 1000)	ADJUSTED ACTUAL AVERAGE HEAT RATE
+10	60 3	80 1	-10	106.0	9986
+9	54 3	79 8	. 9	275.4	10018
*8	48.2	79 5	- 8	244.8	10049
.7	42.2	79.3	+7	214.2	18001
•6	36.2	79.0	*6	183.6	10113
+5	30 2	78.7	+5	153.0	10145
+4	24 1	78.4	+4	122 4	10176
+3	18.1	78.1	+ 3	91.8	10208
•2	12 1	77.9	+2	61.2	10240
-1	6.0	77.6	+1	10.6	10271
				0.0	10101
0	0 0	27.3	0	0.0	10378
				0.0	10453
- 1	(11.5)	76.7	*1	(30.6)	10485
+2	(23.1)	76.2	+2	(61.2)	10516
-1	(34.6)	25.6	-3	(9) 8)	10548
-4	(46.2)	75.1	-4	AHR (122.4) Adjusted	10580
-5	(57.7)	74.5	., ◄	POINTS Actual -4.763 (153.0) ANOHR	10612
-6	(69.2)	73.9	-6	(183.6)	10643
1.7	(80 B)	73.4	-21	(214.2)	10675
-K	(92.3)	72.8	-8	(244.8)	1070*
-9	(103 9)	72 3	S29	(275.4)	10738
-10 - PO	AF Adjusted Adjusted EAF 0,000 63.5%	→ 71.7	-10	(306.0)	10770
	Weighting Factor =	1.46%		Weighting Factor =	7 40%

GENERATING PERFORMANCE INCENTIVE POINTS TABLE

OCTOBER 1997 - MARCH 1998

GANNON 6

EQUIVALENT AVAILABILITY POINTS	FUEL SAVINGS / (LOSS) (\$ X 1000)	ADJUSTED ACTUAL EQUIVALENT AVAILABILITY	AVERAGE HEAT RATE POINTS	FULL SAVINGS (LDSS) (S X 1000)	ADRISTED ACTUA; AVERAGE HEAT RATE
+10	41.8	90 6	+10	490 0	10299
+9	37.6	90.4	*9	441 0	10111
+ 8	33.4	90.2	- 8	392.0	1014,7
• 2	29.3	89.9	+7	343.0	10344
•6	25.1	89.7	+6	294.0	10426
+5	20 9	89.5	., ◀	AHR Adjusted POINTS 2450 Actual	10458
+4	16.7	89.3	+4	5.157 ANOHR 196 0 10453	10490
+3	12.5	89 1	-3	147 0	10522
+2	8.4	88.8	+2	98.0	10557
+1	4.2	88 6	•1	490	10585
				0.0	104:17
D	0.0	88.4	0	0.0	10692
				00	10767
-1	(10.6)	88.0	-1	(49.0)	10799
-2	(21.3)	87.6	-2	(98.0)	10831
-3	(31.9)	87.1	-3	(147.0)	10862
-4	(42.6)	86.7	-4	(196.0)	10894
-5	(53.2)	86.3	-5	(245 0)	10926
A1	(63.8)	N5.9	-6	(294 0)	10958
.7	(74.5)	85.5	47	(343.0)	10990
-8	(85.1)	85 D	-8	(392.0)	11021
-9	(95.8)	84 6	.9	(441 0)	11053
-10 ◀ PO	AF INTS (106.4) Adjusted EAF 72.6%	► 842 ·	-10	(490 0)	11085
,	Weighting Factor -	1.01%		Weighting Factor =	11 85%

GENERATING PERFORMANCE INCENTIVE POINTS TABLE

OCTOBER 1997 - MARCH 1998

EQUIVALENT AVAILABILITY POINTS	FUEL SAVINGS / (LOSS) (\$ X 1000)	ADJUSTED ACTUAL EQUIVALENT AVAILABILITY	AVERAGE HEAT RATE POINTS	SAVINGS / (LOSS) (\$ X 1000)	ADJUSTED ACTUAL AVERAGE HEAT RATE
+10	172 1	82 3	+10	441 0	9847
+9	154 9	82 0	+9	396.9	9861
+8	137 7	81.7	+8	352 8	4874
+7	120 5	81 4	+7	308.7	9896
+6	103 3	81 1	+6	264 6	9912
+5	86 1	80 S	+5	220 5	9928
+4	68 8	80 5	+4	176.4	9944
+3	51.6	80.2	+3	1923	995(1
+2	34.4	79 9	+2	88.2	9977
+1	17.2	79.6		AHR 44 1 Adjusted	▶ 9991
				OINTS Actual ANOHR	
0	0.0	79.3	0	0.0	10084
				0.0	10159
-1	(37.9)	78 7	-1	(44.1)	10175
+2	(75.8)	78 1	-2	(88.2)	10191
-3	(113 7)	77.5	-3	(132.3)	10208
-4	(151 6)	76 9	-4	(176.4)	10224
.5	POINTS (189 5) Adjusted	763	-5	(220.5)	10240
-6	-5.005 76.3 (227 4)	75.7	-61	(264.6)	10256
-7	(265.3)	75 1	-7	(308.7)	10272
-8	(303.2)	74.5	-8	(352.8)	10289
.9	(341.1)	73 9	.9	(396 9)	10305
-10	(379 0)	73 3	-10	(441 0)	10321
	Weighting Factor =	4 16%		Weighting Factor =	10 67%

GENERATING PERFORMANCE INCENTIVE POINTS TABLE

OCTOBER 1997 - MARCH 1998

AVAILABILITY POINTS	SAVINGS / (LOSS) (S X 1000)	ADJUSTED ACTUAL EQUIVALENT AVAILABILITY	AVERAGE HEAT RATE POINTS	FUEL SAVINGS (4 OSS) (\$ X 1000)	ADJUSTED ACTUAL AVERAGE HEAT RATE
+10	215 7	82 6	+10	667 0	9616
+9	194.1	82 3	+9	600 3	9643
+8	172 6	82 0	+8	533 6	9670
+7	151.0	81.7	+7	466 9	9697
+6	129 4	81.4	+6	400 2	9724
+5	107 9	81 2	+5	333 5	9751
+4	86 3	80 9	+4	266 8	9778
+3	64 7	80 6	+3	200 1	9805
+2	43 1	80 3	+2	133 4	9832
+1	21.6	80 O	• 1	66.7	9859
			P	0.0	9886
0	0.0	79 7	0	AHR Adjusted POINTS 00 Actual	9961
			▼ _L	0.000 ANOHR 9993	10036
-1	(36.1)	79 1	-1	(66.7)	10063
-2	(72.1)	78 5	-2	(133.4)	10090
-3	(108 2)	78 0	-3	(200 1)	10117
4	(144 3)	77.4	-4	(266.8)	10144
-5	(180.4)	76 8	-5	(333.5)	10171
-6	EAF (216.4) Adjusted	76.2	-6	(400.2)	10198
.7	PCINTS (252.5) EAF	756	-7	(466.9)	10225
-8	-6.945 75.7% (288 6)	75.1	-8	(533.6)	10252
-9	(324.6)	74.5	-9	(600 3)	10279
-10	(360.7)	73 9	-10	(667.0)	10306

. . .

GENERATING PERFORMANCE INCENTIVE POINTS TABLE

OCTOBER 1997 - MARCH 1998

+10 -9 -8 -7 +6 -5 +4 -3 +2 -1 0 -1 -2 -3 -4 -4 -3 -5 -6 -7 -8	330 0 297.0 264 0 231 0 198 0 165 0 132 0 99 0 66 0	77.6 77.3 76.9 76.6 76.2 75.9 75.5 75.2 74.8	+10 +9 +8 +7 +6 +5 +4	629 0 566 1 503 2 440 3 377 4 314 5 251 6	9318 9374 9404 9433 9462 9490
-8 -7 +6 +5 +4 -3 +2 -1 0 -1 -2 -3 -4 -4.256 -6 -7	264 0 231 0 198 0 165 0 132 0 99 0 66 0	76 9 76 6 76 2 75 9 75 5	+8 +7 +6 +5 +4	503 2 440 3 377 4 314 5 251 6	9374 9404 9433 9462
•7 •6 •5 •4 •3 •2 •1 0 -1 -2 -3 -4 -4.256	231 0 198 0 165 0 132 0 99 0 66 0	76 6 76 2 75 9 75 5 75 2	+7 +6 +5 +4	440 3 377 4 314 5 251 6	9404 9433 9462
+6 +5 +4 +3 +2 +1 0 -1 -2 -3 -4 -4.256 -5	198 0 165 0 132 0 99 0 66 0	76 2 75 9 75 5 75 2	+6 +5 +4	377.4 314.5 251.6	9433 9462
+5 +4 +3 +2 +1 0 -1 -2 -3 -4 POINT: -4.256	165 0 132 0 99 0 66 0	75 9 75 5 75 2	+5	314.5 251.6	9462
+4 +3 +2 +1 0 -1 -2 -3 -4 -4.256 -5	132 0 99 0 66 0	75.5 75.2	+4	251 6	100000
-3 -2 -1 -2 -3 -4 -4.256 -6 -7	99 0 66 0	75 2			9490
+2 -1 0 -1 -2 -3 -4 -4 POINT: -4.256	66 0		+3	2.000	
-1 -1 -2 -3 -4 -4.256 -6 -7		74 8		188 7	9519
0 -1 -2 -3 -4 -4 POINT: -4.256			+2	125.8	9548
-1 -2 -3 -4 -4 -7 -6 -7	33 0	74.5	+1	62.4	9576
-1 -2 -3 -4 -4 -7 -6 -7				0.0	9605
-2 -3 -4 -4 -5 -6 -7	0.0	74 1	0	0.0	9680
-2 -3 -4 -4 -5 -6 -7				0.0	9755
.3 4 POINT: -4.256	(31.2)	73 4	0 -5	AHR (62.9) Actual	9784
-4 POINT: -4.256	(62.3)	72 7	.2	POINTS ANOHR -1.080 (125.8) 9786	9812
-4 POINT: -4.256 -6 -7	(93.5)	72 1	-3	(188.7)	9841
-6 -7	S (124.6) EAF	71.4	-4	(251.6)	9870
-7	(155 8) 71.2%	70 7	-5	(314.5)	9899
	(186 9)	70 0	-6	(377.4)	9927
- K	(218 1)	69.3	-7	(440 3)	9956
	(249.2)	68 7	-8	(503.2)	9985
.9	(280 4)	68.0	-9	(566.1)	10013
-10	(200 4)	67 3	-10	(629 0)	10042
	(311.5)				

. . .

GENERATING PERFORMANCE INCENTIVE POINTS TABLE

OCTOBER 1997 - MARCH 1998

OUIVALENT VAILABILITY POINTS	FUEL SAVINGS / (LOSS) (S X 1000)	EQUIVALENT AVAILABILITY	HEAT RATE POINTS	FUEL SAVINGS - (LOSS) (\$ N 1000)	ADJUSTED ACTUA AVERAGE HEAT RATE
+10	164 6	83.2	*10	616 0	9710
+0	148 1	83 O	-9	554.4	4714
* S	131 7	82.8	* K	492 8	475x
+7	115.2	82 6	+7	431.2	9782
+6	98 8	82.4	+6	369.6	9806
+5	82 3	82 2	+5	308 0	9830
+4	65 8	81.9	+4	246.4	9854
+3	49.4	81.7	+3	1 K4 K	9878
+2 - POINTS	32 9 EAF	81.5	+2	123 2	9902
+1	16.5	81.3	+1	61.6	9926
			process of the contract of the	0.0	9950
0	0.0	81.1		AHR OINTS 00 Actua 0.000 ANOH	1 10025
	0.00	uu uu		0.0 100	
-1	(36.3)	80 7	-1	(61.6)	10124
-2	(72 6)	80 3	-2	(123.2)	10148
-3	(108 9)	79 9	-3	(184.8)	10172
-4	(145.2)	79 5	-4	(246.4)	10196
-5	(181 5)	79 1	-5	(308.0)	10220
-6	(217 7)	78.7	-6	(369.6)	10244
-7	(254.0)	78.3	-7	(431.2)	1-268
-8	(290 3)	77.9	-8	(492 8)	10292
.9	(326 6)	77.5	.0	(554.4)	10316
-10	(362 9)	77.1	-10	(616 0)	10340

. 1 . . .

COMPARISON OF GPIF TARGETS VS. PRIOR PERIOD ACTUAL PERFORMANCE

OCTOBER 1997 - MARCH 1998

AVAILABILITY

	TARGET	WEIGHTING		GET PERIOR			PERFORM.	
PLANTANIL	FACTOR	FACTOR	101	ELOF	EUOR		11.01	1109
BIG BEND I	4.16%	17.5	2.7	110	14.1	0.0	15.4	150
BIG BEND 2	5.22%	21.9	2.2	12.6	12.6	5.7	350	16.0
BIG BEND 3	7.98%	33.5	11.5	14.4	16.2	0.0	19.9	194
BIG BEND 4	3 98%	16.7	11.5	74	8.3	0.0	10.1	10.5
GANNON 5	1 46%	6.1	11.5	11.1	12.6	24.1	19.4	24.9
GANNON 6	1.01%			10.5	10.6	11.1	22.5	26.2
	23 81%	100.0						
GPIF SYSTEM W	EIGHTED AVERAGE		9.6	12.2	13.5	1.4	14.7	17.4
GPIF SYSTEM WEIGHTED EQUIVALENT AVAILABILITY		-	79.2					
				DAVERAGE		5 PER	OD AVERA	GE
			101	ELOF	EUOR		LAF	
			7.2	311.9	12.9		80.9	

AVERAGE NET OPERATING HEAT RATE (Btw/kwh)

PLANTAINIT	TARGET WEIGHTING FACTOR	NORMALIZED WEIGHTING FACTOR	HEAT RATE TARGET	ADJUSTED ACTUAL HEAT RATE APR 96 - SEP 97
GANNON 5	7.40%	9.7	10378	10759
GANNON 6	11.85%	15.6	10692	10472
BIG BEND I	1067%	140	10084	10081
BIG BEND 2	16 14%	21.2	9961	10101
BIG BEND 3	15.22%	20 9	9680	10064
BIG BEND 4	14.91%	196	10025	19071
	76:19%	100 0		
GPIF SYSTEM WI	GHTED AVERAGE	HEAT RATE (Bluckwh	10089	10199

TARGET	BBI	BB2 BB3 BB4	GN5 GN6
EFOH	*****	333 0 *********	384 0 *****
ЕМОН	•••••	196 0 ********	100 0 *****
PH	•••••	4369 0 ********	4369 0 *****
EUOF	130	126 144 74	11 1 10 5
ACTUAL	BBI	BB2 BB3 BB4	GN1 GN6
EFOH	•••••	436.6 *********	E31 3 *****
ЕМОН	*****	204 2 *********	151
PH	*****	4365 0 ********	4369 0 *****
EUOF	15 9	151 199 105	19 4 22 7

TAMPA ELECTRIC COMPANY GENERATING PERFORMANCE INCENTIVE POINTS CALCULATION OCTOBER 1997 - MARCH 1998

Points are calculated according to the formula:

GPIP =
$$\leq \frac{n}{1}$$
 [(a_i)(EAP_i) + (e_i)(AHRP "

Where:

M = "

i=1,n

a = Unit equivalent availability weighting factor

EAP = Unit equivalent availability points

e = Station average heat rate weighting factor

AHRP = Station average heat rate points

Weighting factors and point values are listed in separate tables.

REWARD/PENALTY dollar amounts of the Generating Performance Incentive Factor (GPIF) are determined directly from the table for the corresponding Generating Performance Points (GPIP) - see page 2.

GPIP = (\$188,281)