

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

VOTE SHEET

JULY 21, 1998

RE: DOCKET NO. 970281-TL - Establishment of intrastate implementation requirements governing federally mandated deregulation of local exchange company payphones.

Issue 1: Are the existing LEC intrastate tariffs for payphone services 1) cost-based, 2) consistent with the requirements of Section 276 of the Telecommunications Act of 1996, and 3) nondiscriminatory?

Recommendation: Yes, the existing LEC tariffs for payphone services are cost-based, consistent with Section 276 and nondiscriminatory; therefore, no further filings are necessary to modify existing tariffs. However, Indiantown Telephone System Inc., TDS/Quincy Telephone Company, and Vista-United Telecommunications currently do not have "smart" line service tarified as required by the FCC's orders. Therefore, Indiantown,

**APPROVED**

COMMISSIONERS ASSIGNED: Full Commission

COMMISSIONERS' SIGNATURES

MAJORITY

DISSENTING

*[Handwritten signatures in the majority column]*

\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_

REMARKS/DISSENTING COMMENTS:

VOTE SHEET

JULY 21, 1998

DOCKET NO. 970281-TL - Establishment of intrastate implementation requirements governing federally mandated deregulation of local exchange company payphones.

(Continued from previous page)

TDS/Quincy, and Vista-United should be required to file a tariff for this service no later than August 18, 1998. A tariff whose rates and terms mirror those of a tariff previously approved by this Commission will be presumed to have satisfied the "new services test" and will be handled administratively.

Issue 2: Should this docket be closed?

Recommendation: Yes. This docket should be closed unless a person whose substantial interests are affected by the Commission's decision files a protest within 21 days of issuance of the proposed agency action.

**APPROVED**