RECEIVED-FPS Ggal Department

NANCY B. WHITE Assistant General Counsel - Florida

BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc. 150 South Monroe Street Room 400 Tallahassee, Florida 32301 (305) 347-5558 98 AUG -3 PM 4:58

RECORDS AND REPORTING

August 3, 1998

Mrs. Blanca S. Bayó
Director, Division of Records and Reporting
Florida Public Service Commission
2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard
Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850

Re: Docket No. 980696-TP (HB4785) Universal Service

Dear Ms. Bayó:

RECEIVED & FILED

OTH

Enclosed is an original and fifteen copies of BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc.'s Direct Testimony of Dr. Randall S. Billingsley, Dr. Robert M. Bowman, D. Daonne Caldwell, G. David Cunningham, Dr. Keven Duffy-Deno and Peter F. Martin, which we ask that you file in the captioned matter.

A copy of this letter is enclosed. Please mark it to indicate that the original was filed and return the copy to me. Copies have been served to the parties shown on the attached Certificate of Service.

Sincerely,

FPSC-RECORDS/REPORTING FPSC-RECORDS/REPORTING FPSC-RECORDS/REPORTING

APP Nancy B. White CAE CMU NBW/vf CTR cc: All parties of record EAG A. M. Lombardo LEG R. G. Beatty William J. Ellenberg II OPC Billinasleu Dowman DOCUMEND NUMBER-DATE DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE DOCUM! RCH SEC 08175 AUG-38 08176 AUG-38 08177 AUG-38 WAS

18178 AUG-3 # 08179 AUG-3 # 08180 AUG-3 # OSTAGE STREET OF THE ORTING

BEN-DAPPCUMENT NUMBER-DATE

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE DOCKET NO. 980696-TP (HB4785)

I HEREBY CERTIFY that a true and correct copy of the foregoing was

served via Federal Express this 3rd day of August, 1998 to the following:

Jack Shreve, Esquire
Charles Beck, Esquire
Office of Public Counsel
c/o The Florida Legislature
111 W. Madison Street, Rm. 812
Tallahassee, Florida 32399-1400
Tel. No. (850) 488-9330
Fax. No. (850) 488-4491

Michael Gross, Esquire Assistant Attorney General Office of the Attorney General PL-0 1 The Capitol Tallahassee, Florida 32399-1050 Tel. No. (850) 414-3300 Fax. No. (850) 488-6589

Tracy Hatch, Esquire (+)
AT&T
101 N. Monroe Street, Suite 700
Tallahassee, Florida 32301
Tel. No. (850) 425-6364
Fax. No. (850) 425-6361

Richard D. Melson, Esquire
Hopping, Green, Sams & Smith, P.A.
123 South Calhoun Street
Tallahassee, Florida 32314
Tel. No. (850) 425-2313
Fax. No. (850) 224-8551
Atty. for MCI

Thomas K. Bond
MCI Metro Access Transmission
Services, Inc.
780 Johnson Ferry Road
Suite 700
Atlanta, GA 30342
Tel. No. (404) 267-6315
Fax. No. (404) 267-5992

Robert M. Post, Jr. ITS 16001 S.W. Market Street Indiantown, FL 34956 Tel. No. (561) 597-3113 Fax. No. (561) 597-2115

Charles Rehwinkel Sprint-Florida, Inc. 1313 Blair Stone Road, MC FLTHOO 107 Tallahassee, Florida 32301 Tel. No. (850) 847-0244 Fax. No. (850) 878-0777

Carolyn Marek
VP Regulatory Affairs
S.E. Pogion
Time Warner Comm.
2828 Old Hickory Boulevard
Apt. 713
Nashville, TN 37221
Tel. No. (615) 673-1191
Fax. No. (615) 673-1192

Norman H. Horton, Jr., Esquire Messer, Caparello & Self P. A. 215 South Monroe Street Suite 701 Tallahassee, Florida 32301 Tel. No. (850) 222-0720 Fax. No. (850) 224-4359 Represents e.spire™

David B. Erwin, Esquire Attorney-at-Law 127 Riversink Road Crawfordville, Florida 32327 Tel. No. (850) 926-9331 Fax. No. (850) 926-8448 Represents GTC, Frontier, ITS and TDS Floyd R. Self, Esquire
Messer, Caparello & Self, P.A.
215 South Monroe Street
Suite 701
Tallahassee, FL 32301
Tel. No. (850) 222-0720
Fax. No. (850) 224-4359
Represents WorldCom

Patrick Wiggins, Esquire
Donna L. Canzano, Esquire (+)
Wiggins & Villacorta
2145 Delta Blvd.
Suite 200
Tallahassee, Florida 32302
Tel. No. (850) 385-6007
Fax. No. (850) 385-6008

Kimberly Caswell, Esquire GTE Florida Incorporated 201 North Franklin Street 16th Floor Tampa, Florida 33602 Tel. No. (813) 483-2617 Fax. No. (813) 204-8870

Jeffry J. Wahlen, Esquire Ausley & McMullen 227 South Calhoun Street Tallahassee, Florida 32301 Tel. No. (850) 425-5471 or 5487 Fax. No. (850) 222-7560 Represents ALLTEL, NEFTC, and Vista-United

Tom McCabe TDS Telecom 107 West Franklin Street Quincy, FL 32351 Tel. No. (850) 875-5207 Fax. No. (850) 875-5225 Peter M. Dunbar, Esquire Barbara D. Auger, Esquire Pennington, Moore, Wilkinson, & Dunbar, P. A. 215 South Monroe Street 2nd Floor Tallahassee, Florida 32301 Tel. No. (850) 222-3533 Fax. No. (850) 222-2126

Brian Sulmonetti WorldCom, Inc. 1515 South Federal Highway Suite 400 Boca Raton, FL 33432 Tel. No. (561) 750-2940 Fax. No. (561) 750-2629

Kelly Goodnight
Frontier Communications
180 South Clinton Avenue
Rochester, New York 14646
Tel. No. (716) 777-7793
Fax. No. (716) 325-1355

Laura Gallagher (+)
VP-Regulatory Affairs
Florida Cable Telecommunications
Association, Inc.
310 N. Monroe Street
Tallahassee, Florida 32301
Tel. No. (850) 681-1990
Fax. No. (850) 681-9676

Mark Ellmer GTC Inc. 502 Fifth Street Port St. Joe, Florida 32456 Tel. No. (850) 229-7235 Fax. No. (850) 229-8689 Steven Brown
Intermedia Communications, Inc.
3625 Queen Palm Drive
Tampa, Florida 33619-1309
Tel. No. (813) 829-0011
Fax. No. (813) 829-4923

Harriet Eudy ALLTEL Florida, Inc. 206 White Avenue Live Oak, Florida 32060 Tel. No. (904) 364-2517 Fax. No. (904) 364-2474

Lynne G. Brewer Northeast Florida Telephone Co. 130 North 4th Street Macclenny, Florida 32063 Tel. No. (904) 259-0639 Fax. No. (904) 259-7722

James C. Falvey, Esquire e.spire™ Comm. Inc. 133 National Business Pkwy. Suite 200 Annapolic Junction, MD 20701 Tel. No. (301) 361-4298 Fax. No. (301) 361-4277

Lynn B. Hall Vista-United Telecomm. 3100 Bonnet Creek Road Lake Buena Vista, FL 32830 Tel. No. (407) 827-2210 Fax. No. (407) 827-2424

William Cox Staff Counsel Florida Public Svc. Comm. 2540 Shumard Oak Blvd. Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850 Tel. No. (850) 413-6204 Fax. No. (850) 413-6250 Suzanne F. Summerlin, Esq. 1311-B Paul Russell Road Suite 201 Tallahassee, FL 32301 Tel. No. (850) 656-2288 Fax. No. (850) 656-5589

Nancy B. White (Pw)

(+) Protective Agreements

1		BELLSOUTH TELECOMMUNICATIONS, INC.
2		DIRECT TESTIMONY OF PETER F. MARTIN
3		BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION
4		DOCKET NO. 980696-TP
5		
6		
7		I. INTRODUCTION
8		
9	Q.	PLEASE STATE YOUR NAME, ADDRESS AND POSITION WITH
10		BELLSOUTH TELECOMMUNICATIONS, INC. (HEREINAFTER
11		REFERRED TO AS "BELLSOUTH" OR "THE COMPANY").
12		
13	A.	My name is Peter F. Martin and I am employed by BellSouth as a Director in
14		Regulatory. My business address is 675 West Peachtree Street, Atlanta, Georgia
15		30375.
16		
17	Q.	PLEASE GIVE A BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF YOUR BACKGROUND AND
18		EXPERIENCE.
19		
20	A.	I graduated from the Georgia Institute of Technology with a Bachelor of Industrial
21		Engineering Degree in 1981. I was awarded a Master of Business Administration
22		Degree in 1988 from Georgia State University.
23		
24		I began employment with Southern Bell in 1981 as an Outside Plant Engineer in
25		Southeast Florida. I have held positions in the Revenue Requirements/Pricing and

1		Pricing and Economics organizations. From June of 1990 to September 1996, I
2		served in BellSouth as a Manager in Regulatory Policy and Planning. I have been
3		in my present position since September 1996.
4		
5	Q.	HAVE YOU TESTIFIED IN OTHER PLACES ON UNIVERSAL SERVICE?
6		
7	A.	Yes, I have testified in all nine BellSouth States. In addition, I was a panelist
8		before the Federal-State Joint Board on Universal Service during a workshop that
9		was held in January, 1997 on cost proxy models.
10		
11		II. PURPOSE AND SUMMARY
12		
13	Q.	WHAT IS THE PURPOSE OF YOUR TESTIMONY BEING FILED TODAY?
14		
15	A.	My purpose is to address several critical issues surrounding the cost of basic local
16		telecommunications service as it relates to universal service. These issues are
17		outlined in the Commission's Order of July 24, 1998. Specifically, I address the
18		following issue numbers: 1, 2, 3, 5a, 5b, 6a and 6c.
19		
20		I also will review the federal universal service mechanism and provide this
21		Commission with the cost of universal service by wire center in BellSouth's
22		service area in Florida. This estimate is based on the cost model attached to Ms.
23		Daonne Caldwell's direct testimony.
24		
25		Ms. Caldwell will discuss the BellSouth specific inputs used in the BCPM 3.1.

1		model to calculate the forward-looking economic costs of providing universal
2		service. Dr. Kevin Duffy-Deno and Dr. Bob Bowman will address various
3		aspects of the BCPM 3.1 model.
4		
5		It is important that this Commission select a cost proxy model that engineers a
6		forward looking network that would actually transmit telephones calls in a quality
7		manner, and that is based on realistic inputs or universal service itself could be
8		jeopardized. While you sift through detailed arguments regarding the cost of
9		universal service, please remember that the end result should be a sustainable and
10		sufficient universal service fund as required by the Telecommunications Act of
11		1996. Such an outcome will keep basic local rates in this state affordable for
12		many more years to come.
13		
14	Q.	WHAT SPECIFICALLY WOULD YOU LIKE TO SEE THIS COMMISSION
15		DO?
16		
17	A.	I propose that the Commission adopt BellSouth's universal service cost
18		calculations for submittal to the state legislature.
19		
20	Q.	BEFORE YOU ANSWER THE SPECIFIC QUESTIONS SET OUT FOR
21		COMMENTS, CAN YOU PROVIDE SOME BACKGROUND ON WHAT HAS
22		OCCURRED AT THE FCC?
23		
24	A.	Yes.

1		
2		III. THE FCC'S ORDER ON UNIVERSAL SERVICE
3		
4	Q.	WHAT HAS THE FCC DONE ON UNIVERSAL SERVICE?
5		
6	A.	On May 8, 1997, the FCC issued its Report and Order in CC Docket No. 96-45.
7		In this Report and Order, the FCC adopted many of the recommendations set forth
8		by the Federal-State Joint Board on universal service. The FCC's Order put forth
9		a framework for how much high cost support will be provided from the Federal
10		High Cost Fund. It also provided details on the FCC's proposals for dealing with
11		schools, libraries, health care, and low income support.
12		
13	Q.	PLEASE DESCRIBE THE FCC'S MECHANISM FOR FUNDING HIGH COST
14		SUPPORT.
15		
16	A.	The FCC's mechanism for funding high cost support provides explicit support for
17		a small part of the difference between the cost of providing universal service and
18		an FCC revenue benchmark. The FCC method is illustrated in Figure 1 below.
19		The FCC directed that the cost of universal service be calculated using a forward

a small part of the difference between the cost of providing universal service and an FCC revenue benchmark. The FCC method is illustrated in Figure 1 below. The FCC directed that the cost of universal service be calculated using a forward looking cost proxy model, and that it be calculated for areas no larger than wire centers. The cost is next compared to an FCC revenue benchmark. The federal fund will then cover twenty-five percent (25%) of the difference between the cost and the FCC revenue benchmark. If the cost for that area is less than the FCC revenue benchmark, then the federal fund support for that area is zero.

The FCC has tentatively chosen a \$31 revenue benchmark to calculate universal service support an eligible telecommunications carrier ("ETC") would receive from the federal fund. They could have chosen another benchmark to use in calculating federal support. However, by selecting a \$31 revenue benchmark and a 25/75% jurisdictional split between interstate and intrastate, the FCC effectively has limited federal universal support and left the states to deal with supporting the rest.

Figure 1 25% - Federal Fun Universal Service Napogwide revenue benchmark

Q. HOW WILL THE FEDERAL HIGH COST FUND BE SUPPORTED?

1	A.	All interstate service providers will contribute to the fund based on their
2		nationwide share of interstate revenues received from end users. Access revenue
3		and other wholesale revenue are excluded from this calculation.
4		
5	Q.	WHAT DO LOCAL EXCHANGE COMPANIES ("LECS") DO TO REFLECT
6		THE SUPPORT THEY RECEIVE FROM THE FEDERAL FUND?
7		
8	A.	The FCC will require that LECs make adjustments to their interstate access price
9		to reflect the net amount of support they will receive from the federal universal
10		service fund. The net amount of support is equal to the amount that BellSouth's
11		receipts from the fund exceed BellSouth's contribution to the fund. Thus,
12		implementation of the Federal Universal Service Fund will be revenue neutral to
13		the LECs on day one. LECs reduce their prices by the net amount of funding the
14		receive from the universal service fund.
15		
16	Q.	CAN YOU PROVIDE SOME EXAMPLES OF HOW THE FEDERAL
17		MECHANISM WILL WORK?
18		
19	A.	Certainly. Assume that there is a company that serves two census block groups
20		(CBGs) called Alpha and Beta. A cost model, which the FCC has promised to
21		designate by-the end of this year, will calculate the monthly per line cost of
22		universal service as \$39.00 in Alpha and as \$23.00 in Beta. These costs are then
23		compared to the FCC revenue benchmark, which is tentatively set at \$31.00 for
24		residential lines. This is illustrated in Figure 2. In area Alpha, the difference
25		between the monthly cost and the benchmark is \$8.00. Under the FCC's

mechanism, the FCC will provide twenty-five percent (25%) of this amount, or \$2.00, in monthly support to any ETC that provides universal service in this area. In area Beta, the cost is less than the benchmark, so the FCC's mechanism does not provide any support out of the federal fund.

Area Beta

Beta: Federal support per ting equals 50.00

531

Cost - \$23

5

1

2

3

Figure 2

Area Alpha

Alpha: Federal suppl equals \$2.00

23% + \$3 (Ped. Begg

DI

13

15

17

18

19

Q. IS THAT ALL THERE IS TO THE FEDERAL HIGH COST FUND?

A. Yes. Conceptually, it is a simple framework, and it should be relatively easy to

construct a state-high cost fund that will fit well with the federal fund. To do so,

the Florida Commission should first adopt a reasonable cost proxy model, such as

the BCPM 3.1. The Florida Commission should then have a proceeding to deal

with the remaining universal service issues so that it can establish a Florida

Universal Service Fund.

1		
2	Q.	HASN'T THE FCC REFERRED MANY OF THE ABOVE ISSUES BACK TO
3		THE JOINT BOARD, AND WHAT WILL BE THE IMPACT OF CHANGES IN
4		THE FCC'S APPROACH?
5		
6	A.	On July 17, 1998, the FCC referred several issues back to the Federal-State Joint
7		Board for consideration. Such issues as the 25% federal factor and the revenue
8		assessment base were sent back to the Joint Board. It is certainly possible that
9		changes to the federal mechanism will result from this referral. However, the
10		focus of this proceeding (the cost of universal service) is unaffected by the FCC's
11		referral of issues back to the Joint Board.
12		
13		
14		IV. THE NEED FOR A STATE HIGH COST FUND
15		
16	Q.	DOES THE CREATION OF A FEDERAL FUND NEGATE THE NEED FOR A
17		STATE HIGH COST FUND?
18		
19	Α.	No, it does not. The federal fund only deals with a small part of the implicit
20		support that is currently built into LEC rates. State universal service support
21		mechanisms will need to deal with the remainder of the implicit universal service
22		support. The FCC recognized this fact in us Access Reform Order, wherein it
23		strongly encouraged states to identify and address the amount of implicit support
24		built into intrastate rates. In a speech given by William Kennard on February 9,

1998 to the National Association of State Utility Consumer Advocates, the FCC

Chairman said that "states have an obligation to take all reasonable steps as
promptly as possible to reform existing intrastate universal service support
mechanisms to make them compatible with competitive local markets by making
the subsidies explicit and portable." The United States Congress also recognized
the need for state funding mechanisms. Indeed, one of the principles set forth in
the Telecommunications Act of 1996 ("the Act") is that "[t]here should be
specific, predictable and sufficient federal and state mechanisms to preserve and
advance universal service." (47 U.S.C. Section 254(b)(5)) In fact, Section 254(f)
of the Communications Act requires that "Every telecommunications carrier that
provides intrastate telecommunications services shall contribute, on an equitable
and nondiscriminatory basis, in a manner determined by the State to the
preservation and advancement of universal service in that State."
Finally, Chapter 364 .025(4)(b), Florida Statues, requires this Commission to
report on the cost of universal service to the Legislature by February 15, 1999 in
order for the Legislature to establish a permanent universal service mechanism.
CAN RATES THAT CURRENTLY PROVIDE IMPLICIT SUPPORT FOR
UNITARIAL SERVICE DE SUSTAINED IN A COMPETITIVE

ENVIRONMENT?

Q.

A.

No. Competitors will target customers who currently provide the most implicit support. They will target high revenue business customers, and those residential customers that purchase considerable amounts of vertical and/or toll services.

Competitors will market their services only to these high margin ILEC customers.

1		and leave the remaining high cost customers to the incumbent LEC. Indeed, even
2		AT&T and MCI agree that implicit subsidies are not sustainable in a competitive
3		environment (ATT, Dr. Kaserman Direct Testimony, NC Docket No. P-100, Sub
4		133B, Page 9, "the system is unsustainable in a competitive market
5		environment. Where they are allowed to operate, market forces will inexorably
6		eliminate cross-subsidies."; MCI, Dr. Cabe Direct Testimony, KY Admin. Case
7		No. 360, page 13, " competition in local and intralata toll markets can be
8		expected to drive the prices of vertical and toll services below levels that have
9		been sustainable in the historically monopoly environment.").
10		
11	Q.	DOES BELLSOUTH HAVE A PROPOSAL FOR A STATE UNIVERSAL
12		SERVICE FUND?
13		
14	A.	Yes. However, since this proceeding is narrowly focused on the cost of universal
15		service, I will save discussion of BellSouth's proposal for a future proceeding.
16		
17	Q:	FOR PURPOSES OF DETERMINING THE COST OF BASIC LOCAL
18		TELECOMMUNICATIONS SERVICE APPROPRIATE FOR ESTABLISHING
19		A PERMANENT UNIVERSAL SERVICE MECHANISM, WHAT IS THE
20		APPROPRIATE COST PROXY MODEL TO DETERMINE THE TOTAL
21		FORWARD-LOOKING COST OF PROVIDING BASIC LOCAL
22		TELECOMMUNICATIONS SERVICE PURSUANT TO SECTION 364.025
23		(4)(b), FLORIDA STATUTES? (ISSUE 2)

1	A:	The BCPM 3.1 model is the appropriate cost proxy model for determining the
2		total forward-looking cost of providing basic local telecommunications service. It
3		was designed for this purpose and meets the ten criteria set out in the FCC's
4		Universal Service Order of May 8, 1997. BellSouth has run the BCPM 3.1
5		model for Florida and the results for BellSouth's territory by wire center are
6		attached as Exhibit PFM-1. BellSouth recommends that the Commission use the
7		BCPM 3.1 model with the inputs recommended by BellSouth for calculating the
8		total forward looking cost of basic local telecommunications service for
9		BellSouth.
10		
11		
12		V. ISSUES LIST
13		
14	Q:	WOULD YOU NOW SPECIFICALLY DISCUSS THE OTHER ISSUES
15		PARTICULAR TO THIS DOCKET?
16		
17	A:	Yes.
18		
19	Q.	WHAT IS THE DEFINITION OF THE BASIC LOCAL
20		TELECOMMUNICATIONS SERVICE REFERRED TO IN SECTION
21		364.025(4)(B)? (ISSUE 1)
22		
23	A.	Basic local telecommunications service is defined in Florida Statute 364.02 (2)
24		which states:

1		"Basic local telecommunications service" means voice-grade, flat-rate
2		residential, and flat-rate single-line business local exchange services
3		which provide dial tone, local usage necessary to place unlimited calls
4		within a local exchange area, dual tone multifrequency dialing, and
5		access to the following: emergency services such as "911," all locally
6		available interexchange companies, directory assistance, operator
7		services, relay services, and an alphabetical directory listing. For a
- 8		local exchange telecommunications company, such term shall include
9		any extended area service routes, and extended calling service in
10		existence or ordered by the commission on or before July 1, 1995.
11		
12		
13	Q.	FOR PURPOSES OF DETERMINING THE COST OF BASIC LOCAL
14		TELECOMMUNICATIONS SERVICE APPROPRIATE FOR ESTABLISING A
15		PERMANENT UNIVERSAL SERVICE MECHANISM, SHOULD THE
16		TOTAL FORWARD-LOOKING COST OF BASIC LOCAL
17		TELECOMMUNICATIONS SERVICE PURSUANT TO SECTION
18		364.025(4)(b), FLORIDA STATUTES, BE DETERMINED BY A COST
19		PROXY MODEL ON A BASIS SMALLER THAN A WIRE CENTER? IF SO,
20		ON WHAT BASIS SHOULD IT BE DETERMINED? (ISSUE 3)
21		-
22	A.	Initially, the forward-looking cost of basic local telecommunications should be
23		calculated at the wire center level. Current telecommunications providers capture
24		data at this level of aggregation on a standardized basis. Therefore, a wire center

1		basis for cost calculation would be less burdensome initially than going to a more
2		targeted area of measure like a census block group (CBG).
3		
4		However, the Commission's goal should be to move the basis of support
5		calculations from a wire center to a CBG basis (a smaller geographic area) for two
6		reasons. First, small areas more accurately target universal service support to
7		areas with high costs. Within a wire center, costs can vary greatly. By choosing a
8		smaller area (a CBG), the accuracy of calculations are greater than when numbers
9		are aggregated to the wire center level. Second, choosing small areas not only as
10		the basis for universal service support but also as the basis for designating service
11		areas for ETCs enables new competitive entrants to compete as an ETC and
12		receive universal service support, without having to serve an extended service
13		area (such as a wire center).
14		
15	Q.	FOR PURPOSES OF DETERMINING THE COST OF BASIC LOCAL
16		TELECOMMUNICATIONS SERVICE APPROPRIATE FOR ESTABLISHING
17		A PERMANENT UNIVERSAL SERVICE MECHANISM, FOR WHICH
18		FLORIDA LOCAL EXCHANGE COMPANIES MUST THE COST OF BASIC
19		LOCAL TELECOMMUNICATIONS SERVICE BE DETERMINED USING
20		THE COST PROXY MODEL IDENTIFIED IN ISSUE 2? (ISSUE 5A)
21		-
22	A.	The FCC stated in paragraph 232 of its Universal Service Order that a cost proxy
23		model should be used when calculating the forward-looking economic cost for
24		non-rural LECs. The non-rural LECS operating in Florida are BellSouth, Sprint,

and GTE.

2		The FCC has decided that rural carriers would not use forward looking economic
3		cost models until further review by the FCC and not prior to January 1, 2001.
4		Further, the FCC states that rural carriers would be gradually transitioned from the
5		current mechanism to a forward-looking economic cost model.(para. 203)
6		BellSouth believes that the bifurcated approach set out by the FCC (i.e treat
7		non-rural and rural companies separately) is reasonable for use in Florida.
8		
9	Q.	FOR EACH OF THE LECS IDENTIFIED IN (5A), WHAT COST RESULTS
10		FROM USING THE INPUT VALUES IDENTIFIED IN ISSUE 4 IN THE COST
11		PROXY MODEL IDENTIFIED IN ISSUE 2? (ISSUE 5B)
12		
13	A.	The forward-looking costs for BellSouth from the BCPM 3.1 are attached in
14		Exhibit PFM-1. It provides the cost by wire center for BellSouth's designated
15		service area. These costs are based on the forward-looking inputs as provided in
16		Ms. Daonne Caldwell's direct testimony.
17		
18	Q.	FOR PURPOSES OF DETERMINING THE COST OF BASIC LOCAL
19		TELECOMMUNICATIONS SERVICE APPROPRIATE FOR ESTABLISHING
20		A PERMANENT UNIVERSAL SERVICE MECHANISM, SHOULD THE
21		COST OF BASIC LOCAL TELECOMMUNICATIONS SERVICE FOR EACH
22		OF THE LECS THAT SERVE FEWER THAN 100,000 ACCESS LINES BE
23		COMPUTED USING THE COST PROXY MODEL IDENTIFIED IN ISSUE 2
24		WITH THE INPUT VALUES IDENTIFIED IN ISSUE 4? (ISSUE 6A)

1	A.	No.
2		
3	Q.	IF NOT, FOR EACH OF THE FLORIDA LECS THAT SERVE FEWER THAN
4		100,000 ACCESS LINES, WHAT APPROACH SHOULD BE EMPLOYED TO
5		DETERMINE THE COST OF BASIC LOCAL TELECOMMUNICATIONS
6		SERVICE AND WHAT IS THE RESULTING COST? (ISSUE 6C)
7	A.	The Commission should refrain at this time from using a cost proxy model for
9		LECs serving fewer than 100,000 access lines. These carriers should generally
10		fall into the definition of "rural LECs", and as such should use embedded costs in
11		determining the cost of basic local telecommunications service. This
12		methodology is consistent with the FCC's determination in their Universal
13		Service Order.
14		
15		XV. SUMMARY AND CONCLUSION
16		
17	Q.	PLEASE SUMMARIZE YOUR TESTIMONY.
18		
19	A.	It is critically important that this Commission get the cost of universal service
20		right. Consumers will be ill served if the costs are underestimated. The BCPM
21		cost model is an excellent tool for calculating the cost of universal service. The
22		inputs that BellSouth recommends be used in the model are both "real world" in
23		nature and representative of what an efficient provider would incur in building a
4		forward looking network capable of providing high quality basic local exchange

service. BellSouth's cost estimations should be approved by this Commission for

1	submittal to the Florida Legislature, and for subsequent use in the establishmen
2	of a state universal service fund.
3	
4	Q. DOES THIS CONCLUDE YOUR TESTIMONY?
5	
6	A. Yes, it does.
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	-
22	
23	
24	
25	

Florida	WIRE CEN	ITER LEVEL DATA					
WC by	78.08		-				
Belicore	Euchanne	Exchange	-	-			
pelicore	Exchange	Exchange	-	O denne	Business	-	BCPM 3.1 Cost/Ln
CLLI code	CLLI	Name	RG	Residence Flat Rate	Flat Rate	SLC	(incl grt)
ARCHFLMA	ARCHFL	ARCHER	5	\$8.80	\$23.65	\$3.50	\$60.08
BCRTFLBT	BCRTFL	BOCA RATON	10	\$10.35	\$28.00	\$3.50	\$24 81
BCRTFLMA	BCRTFL	BOCA RATON	10	\$10.35	\$28.00	\$3.50	\$27.90
BCRTFLSA	BCRTFL	BOCA RATON	10	Annual Control of the	\$28.00	\$3.50	\$30.00
BGPIFLMA	BGPIFL	BIG PINE	X1	\$10.50	\$28.63	\$3.50	\$41.26
BKVLFLJF	BKVLFL	BROOKSVILLE	5		\$23.85	\$3.50	\$49.26
BLOWFLMA	BLDWFL	BALDWIN	9		\$27.40	\$3.50	\$46 35
BLGLFLMA	BLGLFL	BELLE GLADE	3		\$21.90	\$3.50	\$33.75
BNNLFLMA	BNNLFL	BUNNELL	3		\$21.90	\$3.50	\$47.75
BRSNFLMA	BRSNFL	BRONSON	X2		\$29.81	\$3.50	\$58.40
BYBHFLMA	BYBHFL	BOCA RATON	10		\$28.00	\$3.50	\$30.50
CCBHFLAF	CCBHFL	COCOA BEACH	7		\$25.75	\$3.50	\$54.25
Charles in the Indianal Control Company of the Control	CCBHFL	4 Part of the Control	7		\$25.75	\$3.50	\$30.56
CCBHFLMA	A SECTION OF THE PARTY OF THE P	COCOA BEACH CEDAR KEY	1		\$19.80	\$3.50	\$55.71
CDKYFLMA	CDKYFL	1	3		\$21.90	\$3.50	\$66.66
CFLDFLMA	CFLDFL	CHIEFLAND	3	\$0.10	\$21.90	\$3.50	\$56.32
CHPLFLIA	CHPLFL	CHIPLEY			\$24.90	\$3.50	\$42.98
CNTMFLLE	CNTMFL	CANTONMENT	6				\$34.50
COCOFLMA	COCOFL	COCOA	7	\$9.50	\$25.75	\$3.50	\$33.09
COCOFLME	COCOFL		7		\$25.75		
CSCYFLBA	CSCYFL	CROSS CITY	2	\$7.70	\$20.80	\$3.50	\$50.55 \$38.08
DBRYFLDL	DBRYFL	DEBARY	5		\$23.65	\$3.50	
DBRYFLMA	DBRYFL	DEBARY	5		\$23.85	\$3.50	\$36.67
DELDFLMA	DELDFL	DELAND	5		\$23.85	\$3.50	\$35.87
DLBHFLKP	DLBHFL	DELRAY BEACH	8		\$26.60	\$3.50	\$29.47
DLBHFLMA	DLBHFL	DELRAY BEACH	8	A CONTRACTOR OF THE PARTY OF TH	\$26.60	\$3.50	\$30.88
DLSPFLMA	DLSPFL	DELEON SPRINGS	4	A second second second second second	\$22.90	\$3.50	\$52.56
DNLNFLWM	DNLNFL	DUNNELLON	6			\$3.50	\$55.27
DRBHFLMA	DRBHFL	DEERFIELD BEACH	12	\$10.65	\$29.10	\$3.50	\$28.76
DYBHFLFN	DYBHFL	DAYTONA BEACH	6			\$3.50	\$27.16
DYBHFLMA	DYBHFL	DAYTONA BEACH	6	\$9.15	\$24.90	\$3.50	\$30.51
DYBHFLOB	DYBHFL	DAYTONA BEACH	6	\$9.15		\$3.50	\$35.42
DYBHFLOS	DYBHFL	DAYTONA BEACH	6	\$9.15	\$24.90	\$3.50	\$34.63
DYBHFLPO	DYBHFL	DAYTONA BEACH	. 6	\$9.15	\$24.90	\$3.50	
EGLLFLBG	EGLLFL	EAU GALLIE	7	\$9.50	\$25.75	\$3.50	
EGLLFLIH	EGLLFL	EAU GALLIE	7	\$9.50	\$25.75	\$3.50	\$33.31
EORNFLMA	EORNFL	EAST ORANGE	11	\$10.45	\$28.60	\$3.50	
FLBHFLMA	FLBHFL	FLAGLER BEACH	1		- Annual Contraction for the	\$3.50	
FRBHFLFP	FRBHFL	FERNANDINA BEACH	1		\$21.90	\$3.50	
FTGRFLMA	FTGRFL	PORT GEORGE	1	A STATE OF THE PARTY OF THE PAR	\$27.40	\$3.50	\$42.53
FTLDFLCR	FTLDFL	MAMI	12	Annual control of the		\$3.50	\$28.10
FTLDFLCY	FTLDFL	MIAMI	12		A STATE OF THE PARTY OF THE PAR		
FTLDFLIA	FTLDFL	MIAMB	12		and the second second second	A CONTRACTOR OF THE PARTY OF TH	\$29.10
FTLDFLMR	FTLDFL	MIAMI	12			\$3.50	
	FTLDFL	MAMI	12		A STATE OF THE PARTY OF THE PAR		
FTLDFLOA		MIAMI	12	The second second second second second	A STATE OF THE PARTY OF	Acceptance of the	A CONTRACTOR OF THE PARTY OF TH
FTLDFLPL	FTLDFL	MIAMI	12			\$3.50	
FTLDFLSG	FTLDFL	Acres de la companya del la companya de la companya	12		A CONTRACTOR OF THE PARTY OF TH	\$3.50	
FTLDFLSU	FTLDFL	MIAMI	12		A REAL PROPERTY AND ADDRESS OF THE PARTY AND A	\$3.50	
FTLDFLWN	FTLDFL	MIAMI FORT PIERCE		\$8.60	A STATE OF THE PARTY OF THE PAR		
FTPRFLMA GCSPFLCN	GCSPFL	GREEN COVE SPRINGS		\$8.10	A STATE OF THE PARTY OF THE PAR	\$3.50	A Contract of the Contract of

Florida	WIRE CENTER LEVEL DATA						
WC by	-						
Belicore	Exchange	Exchange					
Delicore	Excise de	Dory		Residence	Business		BCPM 3.1 Cost/Lr
C111	CLLI	Name	RG	Flat Rate	Flat Rate	SLC	(incl grt)
GCVLFLMA	GCVLFL	GRACEVILLE	3		\$21.90	\$3.50	\$67.46
GENVFLMA	GENVFL	GENEVA	7	A CONTRACTOR OF THE PARTY OF TH	\$25.75		\$55.76
	GLBRFL	GULF BREEZE	6	No. of the contract of the con	\$24.90	\$3.50	\$36.58
GLBRFLMC	GSVLFL	GAINESVILLE	6	Account to the same of the sam	\$24.90	\$3.50	\$29.87
GSVLFLMA	GSVLFL	GAINESVILLE	6		\$24.90	\$3.50	\$30.93
GSVLFLNW	HAVNFL	HAVANA	6	The second second second	\$24.90	\$3.50	\$51.47
HAVNELMA	HBSDFL	HOBE SOUND	6	A CONTRACTOR OF THE PARTY OF TH	\$24.90	\$3.50	\$35.72
HBSDFLMA	HLNVFL	HOLLEY NAVARRE	6		A CONTRACTOR OF	\$3.50	\$45.70
HLNVFLMA	HLWDFL	FORT LAUDERDALE	12		\$29.10	\$3.50	\$25.71
HLWDFLHA	HLWDFL	FORT LAUDERDALE	12	A CONTRACTOR AND ARROWS	\$29.10	\$3.50	\$28.72
HLWDFLMA	1 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4	FORT LAUDERDALE	12	A CONTRACTOR OF THE PARTY OF TH	\$29.10	\$3.50	\$32.10
HLWDFLPE	HLWDFL	FORT LAUDERDALE	12		\$29.10	\$3.50	\$31.70
HLWDFLWH		HOMESTEAD	12		\$29.10	\$3.50	\$95.60
HMSTFLEA	HMSTFL	HOMESTEAD	12		\$29.10	\$3.50	\$29 93
HMSTFLHM	HMSTFL		12		\$29.10	\$3.50	\$50.0
HMSTFLNA	HMSTFL	HOMESTEAD	6	And the Parket of the Parket o	\$24.90	\$3.50	\$32.10
HTISFLMA	HTISFL	JENSEN BEACH	5	A CONTRACTOR OF THE PARTY OF	\$23.85	\$3.50	\$74.0
HWTHFLMA	HWTHFL	HAWTHORNS	4	Annual State of the Control of the C	\$22.90	\$3.50	\$34.2
SLMFLMA	ISLMFL	ISLAMORADA	X3	And the second second second second second	\$31.13	\$3.50	\$86.86
JAY-FLMA	JAY-FL	JAY			\$27.40	\$3.50	\$30.50
JCBHFLAB	JCBHFL	JACKSONVILLE BEACH	9	The second secon	\$27.40	\$3.50	\$31.5
JCBHFLMA	JCBHFL	JACKSONVILLE BEACH	0	A second property of the contract of the contr	\$27.40	\$3.50	\$26.2
JCBHFLSP	JCBHFL	JACKSONVILLE BEACH		A Committee of the Comm	\$28.00	\$3.50	\$30.50
JCVLFLAR	JCVLFL	JACKSONVILLE	10	Annual State of State	\$28.00	\$3.50	\$30.84
JCVLFLBW	JCVLFL	JACKSONVILLE	10		\$28.00	\$3.50	\$27.4
JCVLFLCL	JCVLFL	JACKSONVILLE	10	And the second s	Accession in the Control of the St.	\$3.50	\$31.8
JCVLFLFC	JCVLFL	JACKSONVILLE	10		\$28.00	\$3.50	\$25 8
JCVLFLIA	JCVLFL	JACKSONVILLE	10	A Committee of the Comm	\$28.00	\$3.50	\$20.1
JCVLFLJT	JCVLFL	JACKSONVILLE	10	AND THE RESIDENCE OF THE PARTY	\$28.00	\$3.50	\$37.4
JCVLFLLF	JCVLFL	JACKSONVILLE	10		\$28.00		\$34.6
JCVLFLNO	JCVLFL	JACKSONVILLE	10	And the second second second second	\$28.00	\$3.50	\$36.6
JCVLFLOW	JCVLFL	JACKSONVILLE	10		A STATE OF THE PARTY OF THE PAR	\$3.50	\$34.3
JCVLFLRV	JCVLFL.	JACKSONVILLE	10	And the second second		\$3.50	\$30.1
JCVLFLSJ	JCVLFL	JACKSONVILLE	10			\$3.50	\$27.3
JCVLFLSM	JCVLFL	JACKSONVILLE	10			\$3.50	\$33.0
JCVLFLWC	JCVLFL	JACKSONVILLE	10			A STATE OF THE PARTY OF THE PAR	\$32.1
JPTRFLMA	JPTRFL	JUPITER	9			\$3.50	\$54.2
KYHGFLMA	KYHGFL	KEYSTONE HEIGHTS	3		-	\$3.50	\$36.2
KYLRFLLS	KYLRFL	KEY LARGO	4		\$22.90		\$35.6
KYLRFLMA	KYLRFL	KEY LARGO	4		And in contrast of the last of	\$3.50	\$27.5
KYWSFLMA	KYWSFL	KEY WEST	4		A CONTRACTOR OF THE PARTY OF TH	\$3.50	\$46.4
LKCYFLMA	LKCYFL	LAKE CITY	4			\$3.50	
LKMRFLAB	LICHREL	SANFORD			The second secon	\$3.50	\$25.3
LKMRFLMA	LKMRFL	SANFORD			and the second second second	\$3.50	\$42.7
LYHNFLOH	LYHNFL	LYNN HAVEN			A CONTRACTOR OF THE PARTY OF TH	\$3.50	The second secon
MCNPFLMA	MCNPFL	MICANOPY			A CONTRACTOR OF THE PARTY OF TH	\$3.50	
MDBGFLPM	MDBGFL	MIDDLEBURG	1		A STATE OF THE PARTY OF THE PAR	\$3.50	A CONTRACTOR OF THE PERSON NAMED IN CONT
MIAMFLAE	MIAMFL	MIAMI	12	And the second s	Annual Control of the	\$3.50	The second secon
MIAMFLAL	MIAMFL	MIAMI	12		The second second second second	\$3.50	
MIAMFLAP	MIAMFL	MIAMI	12			\$3.50	The second secon
MIAMFLBA	MIAMFL	MIAMI	12	\$10.65	\$29.10	\$3.50	\$40.0

Florida	WIRE CEN	ITER LEVEL DATA					
WC by	100		-	-			
Belicore	Exchange	Evchance	_				
Delicore	Exchange	Exchange		Residence	Business		BCPM 3 1 Cost/Ln
CLLI code	CLU	Name		Flat Rate	Flat Rate	SLC	(incl grt)
MIAMFLBC	MIAMFL	MIAMI	12		\$29.10	\$3.50	\$27.47
MIAMFLBR	MIAMFL	MIAMI	12	\$10.65	\$29.10	\$3.50	\$23.60
MIAMFLCA	MIAMFL	MIAMI	12	\$10.65	\$29.10	\$3.50	\$30.26
MIAMFLEL	MIAMFL	MIAMI	12	\$10.65		\$3.50	\$26.43
MIAMFLGR	MIAMFL	MIAMI	12	\$10.65	\$29.10	\$3.50	\$20.74
MIAMFLHL	MIAMFL	MIAMI	12	\$10.65	\$29.10	\$1.50	\$28.09
MIAMFLIC	MIAMFL	MIAMI	12	\$10.65	\$29.10	\$3.50	\$25.44
MIAMFLKE	MIAMFL	MIAMI	12		\$29.10	\$3.50	\$23.42
MIAMFLME	MIAMFL	MIAMI	12		\$29.10	\$3.50	\$24.47
MIAMFLNM	MIAMFL	MIAMI	12		\$29.10	\$3.50	\$26.87
MIAMFLNS	MIAMFL	MIAMI	12		\$29.10		\$33.39
MIAMFLOL	MIAMFL	MIAMI	12		\$29.10	\$3.50	\$33.52
MIAMFLPB	MIAMFL	MIAMI	12		\$29.10	\$3.50	\$29.37
MIAMFLPE	MIAMFL	MAMI	12		\$29.10		\$27.92
and the second of the second o	A T Total Control of the Control of	MIAMI	12		\$29.10		\$30.24
MIAMFLRR	MIAMFL	March 19 to	12		\$29.10		\$32.24
MIAMFLSH	MIAMFL	MIAMI	12	And the second second second second	And in contrast of the same of the same of		\$31.65
MIAMFLSO	MIAMFL	MAMI	12		A STATE OF THE PARTY OF THE PAR	\$3.50	\$33.34
MIAMFLWD	MIAMFL	MAMI			\$29.10	\$3.50	\$27.31
MIAMFLWM	MIAMFL	MIAMI	12	and the second second second	And in contrast of the last of	\$3.50	\$29.52
MICCFLBB	MICCFL	SEBASTIAN	6		Annual Company of the	\$3.50	\$34.36
MLBRFLMA	MLBRFL	MELBOURNE	7		A re-		\$42.75
MLTNFLRA	MLTNFL	MILTON .	6			\$3.50	A STATE OF THE PARTY OF THE PAR
MNDRFLAV	MNDRFL	JACKSONVILLE	10		\$28.00	\$3.50	\$23.26
MNDRFLLO	MNDRFL	JACKSONVILLE	10	Annual Control of the Control of Control		\$3.50	\$31.02
MNDRFLLW	MNDRFL	JACKSONVILLE	10	A CONTRACTOR OF THE PARTY OF TH	A CONTRACTOR OF THE PARTY OF TH	\$3.50	\$35.96
MNSNFLMA	MNSNFL	MUNSON	6			\$3.50	\$102.26
MRTHFLVE	MRTHFL	MARATHON	1			\$3.50	\$36.01
MXVLFLMA	MXVLFL	MAXVILLE	9	A STATE OF THE PARTY OF THE PAR		\$3.50	\$73.67
NDADFLAC	NDADFL	NORTH DADE	12		Annual Property and Property an	\$3.50	\$28.27
NDADFLBR	NDADFL	NORTH DADE	12		A CONTRACTOR OF THE PERSON NAMED IN	\$3.50	\$32.13
NDADFLGG	NDADFL	NORTH DADE	12		The second second second	\$3.50	\$29.05
NDADFLOL	NDADFL	NORTH DADE	12			\$3.50	\$24.00
NKLRFLMA	NKLRFL	NORTH KEY LARGO	1		A STATE OF THE PARTY OF THE PAR	\$3.50	\$34.6
NSBHFLMA	NSBHFL	NEW SMYRNA BEACH	4		And the second name of the second name of the second	\$3.50	\$37.00
NWBYFLMA	NWBYFL	NEWBERRY		\$8.80		\$3.50	\$53.70
OKHLFLMA	OKHLFL	OAK HILL	-	\$8.40	\$22.90	\$3.50	\$48.95
OLTWFLLN	OLTWFL	OLD TOWN	1 2	\$7.70	\$20.60	\$3.50	\$70.6
ORLDFLAP	ORLDFL	ORLANDO	11		\$28.60		\$31.2
ORLDFLCL	ORLDFL	and the second s	111			\$3.50	\$30.3
ORLDFLMA		ORLANDO	11	The second second	A STATE OF THE PARTY OF THE PAR	\$3.50	
ORLDFLPC	ORLDFL		11	A CONTRACTOR OF THE PARTY OF TH	\$28.60	\$3.50	
ORLDFLPH	ORLDFL	177.7 THE DESCRIPTION OF THE PROPERTY OF THE P	11	A CONTRACTOR OF THE PARTY OF TH	And in contrast of the Contras	\$3.50	\$31.8
ORLDFLSA	ORLDFL		11	The second secon	\$28.60	\$3.50	\$29.4
ORPKFLMA	ORPKFL	ORANGE PARK		\$10.05	the same of the sa	\$3.50	
ORPKFLRW		ORANGE PARK	_	\$10.05	And in concession, the Publisher Street, Street,	\$3.50	
OVIDELCA	OVIDEL	OVIEDO	11	A CONTRACTOR OF THE PARTY OF TH	The second secon	\$3.50	
PACEFLPV	PACEFL	PACE		\$9.15	- Annual Control of the Control of t	\$3.50	
PAHKFLMA	PAHKFL	PAHOKEE		\$8.10	Commence of the Party of the Pa	\$3.50	
PCBHFLNT	PCBHFL	PANAMA CITY BEACH		\$8.80		\$3.50	
PLCSFLMA	PLCSFL			3 \$8.10		\$3.50	The second secon

Page 3 of 4

Florida	WIRE CEN	TER LEVEL DATA					
WC by			-		-	-	
Belicore	Evrhanna	Exchange	_				
CLLI code	CLU	Name	RG	Residence Flat Rate	Business Flat Rate	SLC	BCPM 3.1 Cost/Ln (incl grt)
PLTKFLMA	PLTKFL	PALATKA	4		\$22.90	\$3.50	\$42.50
PMBHFLCS	PMBHFL	POMPANO BEACH	12		\$29.10	\$3.50	\$27.44
A STATE OF THE PARTY OF THE PAR	PMBHFL	POMPANO BEACH	12	And in contrast of the last of	\$29.10	\$3.50	\$30.82
PMBHFLFE	PMBHFL	POMPANO BEACH	12		\$29.10	\$3.50	\$28.93
PMBHFLMA	PMBHFL	POMPANO BEACH	12		\$29.10	\$3.50	\$29.43
111111111111111111111111111111111111111	processor of the	POMONA PARK	4	The second secon	\$22.90	\$3.50	\$57.87
PMPKFLMA	PMPKFL	A SHARWARD CONTRACTOR OF THE PARTY OF THE PA	5		\$23.05	\$3.50	\$39.16
PNCYFLCA	PNCYFL	PANAMA CITY PANAMA CITY	5		\$23.85	\$3.50	\$35.17
PNCYFLMA	PNCYFL	To a man and a m	7		\$25.75	\$3.50	\$32.96
PNSCFLBL	PNSCFL	PENSACOLA	7		\$25.75	\$3.50	\$31.93
PNSCFLFP	PNSCFL	PENSACOLA	7	\$9.50	\$25.75	\$3.50	\$36.57
PNSCFLHC	PNSCFL	PENSACOLA	1 7		\$25.75	\$3.50	\$36.51
PNSCFLPB	PNSCFL	PENSACOLA	1		Annual Control of the	\$3.50	\$34.57
PNSCFLWA	PNSCFL	PENSACOLA			\$25.75		A CONTRACTOR OF THE PARTY OF TH
PNVDFLMA	PNVDFL	PONTE VEDRA BEACH	9		\$27.40	\$3.50	\$30.56
PRRNFLMA	PRRNFL	PERRINE	12		\$29.10	\$3.50	\$34.75
PRSNFLFD	PRSNFL	PIERSON	4		\$22.90	\$3.50	\$64.07
PTSLFLMA	PTSLFL	PORT ST. LUCIE	6		\$24.90	\$3.50	\$36.01
PTSLFLSO	PTSLFL	PORT ST. LUCIE	6		\$24.90	\$3.50	\$32.74
SBSTFLFE	SBSTFL	SEBASTIAN	6		\$24.90	\$3.50	\$55.3
SBSTFLMA	SBSTFL	SEBASTIAN	6		\$24.90	\$3.50	\$38.74
SGKYFLMA	SGKYFL	SUGARLOAF KEY	4		\$22.90	\$3.50	\$40.76
SNFRFLMA	SNFRFL	SANFORD	8	A company of the company of the	\$26.60	\$3.50	\$34.03
STAGFLBS	STAGFL	ST AUGUSTINE	4	A CONTRACTOR OF THE PARTY OF TH	\$22.90	\$3.50	\$31.60
STAGFLMA	STAGFL	ST AUGUSTINE	4		\$22 90	\$3.50	\$33 45
STAGFLSH	STAGFL	ST AUGUSTINE	4	A company of the comp	\$22.90	\$3.50	\$41.1
STAGFLWG	STAGFL	ST AUGUSTINE	4	A CONTRACT	\$22.90	\$3.50	\$149.30
STRTFLM	STRTFL	STUART	X2		\$29.81	\$3.50	\$32.97
SYHSFLCC	SYHSFL	SUNNY HILLS	3		\$21.90	\$3.50	\$88.12
TRENFLMA	TRENFL	TRENTON	. 5		\$23.85	\$3.50	\$60.5
TTVLFLMA	TTVLFL	TITUSVILLE	. 5		\$23.85	\$3.50	\$35.6
VERNFLMA	VERNFL	VERNON	3	\$8 10	\$21.90	\$3.50	
VRBHFLBE	VRBHFL	VERO BEACH	. 5		\$23.85	\$3.50	A CONTRACTOR OF THE PARTY OF TH
VRBHFLMA	VRBHFL	VERO BEACH	. 5		\$23.85	\$3.50	
WELKFLMA	WELKFL	WELAKA	4	A CONTRACTOR OF THE PARTY OF TH	\$22.90	\$3.50	and the second s
WPBHFLAN	WPBHFL	WEST PALM BEACH	10		Accessed to the second	\$3.50	
WPBHFLGA	WPBHFL	WEST PALM BEACH	10	\$10.35	Access to the second se	\$3.50	
WPBHFLGR	WPBHFL	WEST PALM BEACH	10		A STATE OF THE PARTY OF THE PAR	\$3.50	
WPBHFLHH	WPBHFL	WEST PALM BEACH	10	\$10.35	\$28.00	\$3.50	\$29 1
WPBHFLLE	WPBHFL	WEST PALM BEACH	10		Annual Control of the		
WPBHFLRB	WPBHFL	WEST PALM BEACH	10		A CONTRACTOR OF THE PARTY OF		
	WPSHFL	WEST PALM BEACH	10		\$28.00		
WWSPFLHI	WWSPFL	WEEKWACHEE SPRINGS		\$8.80			
WWSPFLSH	WWJPFL	WEEKWACHEE SPRINGS		\$8.80			
YNFNFLMA	YNFNFL	YOUNGSTOWN FOUNTAIN		\$8.80	A STATE OF THE PARTY AND ADDRESS OF THE PARTY		
YNTWFLMA	YNTWFL	YANKEETOWN		\$8.40	A STATE OF THE PARTY OF THE PAR		
YULEFLMA	YULEFL	YULEE	5	\$10.05			
196		A-Market III		\$10.07	\$27.30	\$3.50	\$32.4