

BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In re: Petition by MCI
Telecommunications Corporation
and MCI Metro Access
Transmission Services, Inc. for
arbitration of certain terms and
conditions of a proposed
agreement with BellSouth
Telecommunications, Inc.
concerning interconnection and
resale under the
Telecommunications Act of 1996.

DOCKET NO. 960846-TP

In re: Petition by Metropolitan
Fiber Systems of Florida, Inc.
for arbitration with BellSouth
Telecommunications, Inc.
concerning interconnection
rates, terms, and conditions,
pursuant to the Federal
Telecommunications Act of 1996.

DOCKET NO. 960757-TP

In re: Petition by AT&T
Communications of the Southern
States, Inc. for arbitration of
certain terms and conditions of
a proposed agreement with
BellSouth Telecommunications,
Inc. concerning interconnection
and resale under the
Telecommunications Act of 1996.

DOCKET NO. 960833-TP
ORDER NO. PSC-98-1228-CFO-TP
ISSUED: September 18, 1998

PROTECTIVE ORDER GRANTING REQUEST FOR CONFIDENTIAL CLASSIFICATION
FOR DOCUMENT NO. 13083-97 (CROSS-REFERENCE DOCUMENT NO. 12622-97)

On December 22, 1997, BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc. (BellSouth) filed a request for confidential treatment of information contained in Document No. 13083-97 (cross-reference Document No. 12622-97), Revisions to Exhibit P-1 to the testimony of Daonne Caldwell and William Zarakas. Exhibit P-1 consists of BellSouth's TELRIC cost model. According to BellSouth, the revisions contain confidential and proprietary business information

DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE

10295 SEP 18 98

FPSC-RECORDS/REPORTING

containing, among other things, cost, vendor specific and other company proprietary information.

BellSouth believes that public disclosure of this information would provide its competitors with an unfair advantage, since BellSouth does not have access to the same information about its competitors. BellSouth states that the information is valuable and BellSouth treats it as confidential.

Florida law presumes that documents submitted to governmental agencies shall be public records. The only exceptions to this presumption are the specific statutory exemptions provided in the law and exemptions granted by governmental agencies pursuant to the specific terms of a statutory provision. This presumption is based on the concept that government should operate in the "sunshine." Rule 25-22.006(4)(c), Florida Administrative Code, provides that it is the Company's burden to demonstrate that the documents fall into one of the statutory examples set out in Section 364.183, Florida Statutes, or to demonstrate that the information is proprietary confidential information, the disclosure of which will cause the Company or its ratepayers harm.

Upon consideration, it appears that disclosure of this information could have a detrimental effect on BellSouth's competitive interests and business operations.

Section 364.183(3), Florida Statutes, states that proprietary confidential business information is information that:

. . . is owned or controlled by the person or company, is intended to be and is treated by the person or company as private in that the disclosure of the information would cause harm to the ratepayers or the person's or company's business operations, and has not been disclosed unless disclosed pursuant to a statutory provision, an order of a court or administrative body, or private agreement that provides that the information will not be released to the public.

Based on the definition of proprietary confidential business information in Section 364.183(3), Florida Statutes, it appears that the information for which BellSouth seeks confidential classification is information that, if disclosed, would cause harm

ORDER NO. PSC-98-1228-CFO-TP
DOCKET NOS. 960846-TP, 960757-TP, 960833-TP
PAGE 3

to the company or its ratepayers, because disclosure would harm BellSouth's business operations by impairing its ability to compete. Thus, this information qualifies as proprietary business information under Section 364.183, Florida Statutes, and Rule 25-22.006, Florida Administrative Code. It shall, therefore, be granted confidential treatment in accordance with those provisions.


Based on the foregoing, it is therefore

ORDERED by Commissioner Susan F. Clark, as Prehearing Officer, that a Protective Order granting the Request by BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc., for Confidential Classification of information in Document No. 13083-97 (cross-reference Document No. 12622-97), is granted. It is further

ORDERED that pursuant to Section 364.183, Florida Statutes, and Rule 25-22.006, Florida Administrative Code, any confidentiality granted to the material specified herein shall expire eighteen (18) months from the date of the issuance of this Order in the absence of a renewed request for confidentiality pursuant to Section 364.183, Florida Statutes. It is further

ORDERED that this Order will be the only notification by the Commission to the parties concerning the expiration of the confidentiality time period.

By ORDER of Commissioner Susan F. Clark, as Prehearing Officer, this 18th day of September, 1998.



SUSAN F. CLARK
Commissioner and Prehearing Officer

(S E A L)

MCB

ORDER NO. PSC-98-1228-CFO-TP
DOCKET NOS. 960846-TP, 960757-TP, 960833-TP
PAGE 4

NOTICE OF FURTHER PROCEEDINGS OR JUDICIAL REVIEW

The Florida Public Service Commission is required by Section 120.569(1), Florida Statutes, to notify parties of any administrative hearing or judicial review of Commission orders that is available under Sections 120.57 or 120.68, Florida Statutes, as well as the procedures and time limits that apply. This notice should not be construed to mean all requests for an administrative hearing or judicial review will be granted or result in the relief sought.

Any party adversely affected by this order, which is preliminary, procedural or intermediate in nature, may request: (1) reconsideration within 10 days pursuant to Rule 25-22.038(2), Florida Administrative Code, if issued by a Prehearing Officer; (2) reconsideration within 15 days pursuant to Rule 25-22.060, Florida Administrative Code, if issued by the Commission; or (3) judicial review by the Florida Supreme Court, in the case of an electric, gas or telephone utility, or the First District Court of Appeal, in the case of a water or wastewater utility. A motion for reconsideration shall be filed with the Director, Division of Records and Reporting, in the form prescribed by Rule 25-22.060, Florida Administrative Code. Judicial review of a preliminary, procedural or intermediate ruling or order is available if review of the final action will not provide an adequate remedy. Such review may be requested from the appropriate court, as described above, pursuant to Rule 9.100, Florida Rules of Appellate Procedure.