ORIGINAL

AUSLEY & MCMULLEN

ATTORNEYS AND COUNSELORS AT LAW

PO BOX 391 (21P 32302)
TALLAHASSEE, FLORIDA 32301
1850: 224-9115 FAX 1850: 222-7860

September 23, 1998

BY HAND DELIVERY

Ms. Blanca S. Bayo, Director Division of Records and Reporting Florida Public Service Commission 2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard Tallahassee, Florida 32399-0850

Re: Docket No. 980696-TP

Dear Ms. Bayo:

Enclosed for filing in the above docket are the original and fifteen (15) copies of Sprint-Florida, Inc.'s Amended Prehearing Statement. Also enclosed is a diskette containing the abovereferenced Amended Prehearing Statement originally typed in Word 97 format, which has been saved in Rich Text format for use with Word Perfect.

Please acknowledge receipt and filing of the above by stamping the duplicate copy of this letter and returning the same to this writer.

Thank you for your assistance in this matter.

AFA - AFP - CAF - CMU A	2 John P. Fons	M
EAG _ LEG _ LIN _S	Scc: All parties of record	
OPC _ RCH _	h day jefuud 980696 byo doc	
SEC _ WAS _ OTH _	P&C-BUREAU OF RECORDS	DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE 10527 SEP 23 # FPSC-REGURDS/REPORTING

BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In re: Determination of the Cost of)	DOCKET NO. 980696-TP
Local Telecommunications Service,)	FILED: September 23, 1998
pursuant to Section 364.025, Florida)	
Statutes)	
)	

SPRINT-FLORIDA'S AMENDED PREHEARING STATEMENT

Sprint-Florida, Inc ("Sprint-Florida"), pursuant to Order No. PSC-98-0813-PCO-TP, submits the following Amended Prehearing Statement:

- A. WITNESS: Sprint-Florida is sponsoring the direct and rebuttal testimony of Dr. Brian K. Staihr (Issues 1,2 and 3) and Kent W. Dickerson (Issue 4), and is co-sponsoring the direct and rebuttal testimony of Dr. Randall S. Billingsley (Issues 4(b)). In addition, Sprint-Florida is sponsoring the rebuttal testimony of James W. Sichter (Issues 2 and 3) and Carl H. Laemeli (Issue 4).
- B. <u>EXHIBITS</u>: Sprint-Florida through it's witnesses, is sponsoring the following exhibits:

Witness		Exhibit
	Direct	
Dr. Brian K. Staihr -	BKS-1	BCPM Version 3.1
	Rebuttal	
	BKS-1A	Footnotes
	BKS-2 BKS-3	FCC "Ex parte" documents FCC's analysis and Sprint's
	Dies 5	Response
	BKS-4	Example of geocode variance DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE
		10527 000 00 0

1052/ SEP 23 景

FPSC-RECORDS/REPORTING

	BKS-5	Sprint comments to FCC
	BKS-6	Explanation of geocode and placement process
	BKS-7	Example of HAI model
		customer lot distribution
	BKS-8	Minimum Spanning Tree
	BKS-9	Steiner Tree Examples
	BKS - 10	BCPM MST approach
	Direct	
Kent W. Dickerson -	KWD – 1	Summary of Study Inputs and Results
	Rebuttal	
	KWD-1	Expense and Investment Comparison
	Rebuttal	
Carl H. Laemeli -	CHL-1	Footnotes
3-30,-30,-30,-30,-30,-30,-30,-30,-30,-30	CHL-2	Comparison of Sprint and HAI Plant Mix Inputs
	CHL - 3	Comparison of Sprint and HA!
		Sharing Fractions
	CHL – 4	AT&T Response to Sprint Data Request
	Rebuttal	
James W. Sichter -	JWS-1	Wire Center-Maps
	Direct	
Dr. Randall S. Billingsley	RSB – 1	Regulatory and Economic Standards Used in Cost of

RSB-2	Capital Analysis Nature and Applicability of the DCF Model in Regulatory
RSB-4	Proceeding DCF and CAPM Data for Sprint-FL Comparable Firm Portfolio
RSB - 5	Comparable Firm Identification Criteria and Methodology
RSB-6	Capital Asset Pricing Model Analysis of the Cost of Equity Capital
RSB - 7	Treasury Bond Futures Interest Rate
RSB – 8	Market Risk Premium Approach to Estimating the Cost of Equity Capital
RSB - 9	Expected Market Risk Premium
RSB - 10	Expected Market Risk Premium
RSB - 12	"A" vs. Treasury Bond Yields
RSB - 14	Sprint-FL Capital Structure
RSB - 16	Market Value Capital Structure of Sprint-FL Comparables
RSB-17	Curriculum Vitae
Rebuttal	
RSB-2	DCF and CAPM Data for Sprint-FL Comparable Firm Portfolio
RSB-3	Comparable Firm Identification Criteria and Methodology

RSB-4	Treasury Bond Futures
	Interest Rate
RSB-6	Expected Market Risk
	Premium: "A" Rating Base
RSB - 8	A vs. Treasury Bond Yields
RSB - 10	Sprint-FL Capital Structure
RSB - 12	Market Value Capital
	Structure of Sprint-FL
	Comparables

C. BASIC POSITION: This proceeding is limited to the determination of the cost of providing universal service in Florida. As required by Section 364.025 (4)(b), Florida Statutes 1998, this cost determination is to be made using a cost proxy model to be selected by the Commission. Sprint-Florida, as one of the developers of the Benchmark Cost Proxy Model ("BCPM"), believes the BCPM Version 3.1 to be the most appropriate and accurate cost proxy model. This belief is supported by the record testimony and exhibits which demonstrate that the BCPM methodology and the Florida and Sprint-Florida specific input data provide an accurate estimate of the forward-looking economic cost of providing basic local exchange service in Florida. Using the BCPM Version 3.1, the estimated average monthly cost per line is \$31.78 for the Sprint-Florida service areas.

D-G. ISSUES AND POSITIONS:

Issue 1: What is the definition of the basic local telecommunications service referred to in Section 364.025(4)(b), Florida Statutes?

<u>Position:</u> The definition of basic local telecommunications service is the definition established by the Federal Communications Commission; namely (paraphrasing); single party service; voice grade access to the public switched network; Dial Tone Multi-frequency signaling or its functional equivalent; access to emergency services; access to operator services; access to interexchange service; access to directory assistance; and toll limitation service for certain customers. (Staihr)

Issue 2: For purposes of determining the cost of basic local telecommunications service appropriate for establishing a permanent universal service mechanism, what is the appropriate proxy model to determine the total forward-looking cost of providing basic local telecommunications service pursuant to Section 364.025(4)(b), Florida Statutes?

<u>Position:</u> The BCPM Version 3.1, with Florida and Sprint-Florida specific inputs, is the appropriate cost proxy model for determining the total forward-looking cost of providing basic local telecommunications service in Sprint-Florida's service areas. (Staihr, Sichter)

Issue 3: For purposes of determining the cost of basic local telecommunications service appropriate for establishing a permanent universal service mechanism, should the total forward-looking cost of basic local telecommunications service pursuant to Section 364.025(4)(b), Florida Statutes, be determined by a cost proxy model on a basis smaller than a wire center? If so, on what basis should it be determined?

<u>Position:</u> In order to assure that support for high-cost areas be adequately targeted, the cost of basic local telecommunications service should be determined on the basis of the census block group (CBG). However, there may be operational and administrative reasons to use the wire center at this time. (Staihr, Sichter)

- Issue 4: For purposes of determining the cost of basic local telecommunications service appropriate for establishing a permanent universal service mechanism, for each of the following categories what input values to the cost proxy model identified in Issue 2 are appropriate for each Florida LEC?
 - (a) Depreciation rates
 - (b) Cost of money
 - (c) Tax rates
 - (d) Supporting structures
 - (e) Structure sharing factors
 - (f) Fill factors
 - (g) Manholes

- (h) Fiber cable costs
- (i) Copper cable costs
- (j) Drops
- (k) Network interface devices
- (1) Outside plant mix
- (m) Digital loop carrier costs
- (n) Terminal costs
- (o) Switching costs and associated variables
- (p) Traffic data
- (q) Signaling system costs
- (r) Transport system costs and associated variables
- (s) Expenses
- (t) Other inputs

<u>Position:</u> The appropriate input values for each of the aforestated categories are set forth in Exhibit KWD-1 sponsored by Kent W. Dickerson. (Dickerson, Billingsley, Laemeli)

Issue 5 (a): For purposes of determining the cost of basic local telecommunications service appropriate for establishing a permanent universal service mechanism, for which Florida local exchange companies must the cost of basic local telecommunications service be determined using the cost proxy model identified in Issue 2?

Position: The LECs with more than 100,000 access lines.

Issue 5(b): For each of the LECs identified in (a), what cost results from using the input values identified in Issue 5 in the cost proxy model identified in Issue 2?

<u>Position:</u> The cost results from using the input values set forth in Exhibit KWD-1 are identified in Exhibit KWD-1.

Issue 6(a): For purposes of determining the cost of basic local telecommunications service appropriate for establishing a permanent universal service mechanism, should the cost of basic local telecommunications service for each of the LECs that serve fewer than 100,000 access lines be computed using the cost proxy model identified in Issue 2 with the input values identified in Issue 4?

Position: This issue does not apply to Sprint-Florida, so it has no position on this issue.

Issue 6(b): If yes, for each of the LECs that serve fewer than 100,000 access lines, what cost results from using the input values identified in Issue 4 in the cost proxy model identified in Issue 2?

Position: Not applicable.

Issue 6(c): If not, for each of the Florida LECs that serve fewer than 100,000 access liens, what approach should be employed to determine the cost of basic local telecommunications service and what is the resulting cost?

Position: Not applicable.

- H. <u>STIPULATIONS</u>: Sprint-Florida is not aware of any pending stipulations at this time.
- PENDING MOTIONS: Sprint-Florida is not aware of any pending motions at this time.
- J. <u>COMPLIANCE WITH ORDER ON PREHEARING</u>

 PROCEDURE: Sprint-Florida does not know of any requirement of the Order on Prehearing Procedure with which it cannot comply.

Respectfully submitted this 23rd day of September, 1998.

JOHN P. FONS

Ausloy & McMullen Post Office Box 391

Tallahassee, Florida 32302

(850) 224-9115

and

CHARLES REHWINKEL SPRINT-FLORIDA, INC P.O. Box 2214 Tallahassee, FL 32316

ATTORNEYS FOR SPRINT-FLORIDA, INC.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I HEREBY CERTIFY that a true copy of the foregoing has been furnished by U.S. Mail or hand delivery (*) this 23rd day of September, 1998, to the following:

William P. Cox *
Division of Legal Services
Florida Public Service Comm.
2540 Shumard Oak Blvd.
Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850

Edward Paschall AARP 1923 Atapha Nene Tallahassee, FL 32301

Tracy Hatch AT&T 101 N. Monroe St., Suite 700 Tallahassee, FL 32301

Robert Beatty/Nancy White c/o Nancy H. Sims BellSouth Telecommunications 150 S. Monroe St., Suite 400 Tallahassee, FL 32301

Everett Boyd Ervin Law Firm P. O. Drawer 1170 Tallahassee, FL 32302

David B. Erwin 127 Riversink Road Crawfordville, FL 32327 Laura Gallagher FCTA 310 N. Monroe Street Tallahassee, FL 32301

Benjamin Ochshom Florida Legal Services, Inc. 2121 Delta Blvd. Tallahassee, FL 32303

Angela Green FPTA 125 S. Gadsden St., #200 Tallahassee, FL 32301

Susan Langston FTIA P. O. Box 1776 Tallahassee, FL 32302

Kelly Goodnight Frontier Communications 180 S. Clinton Avenue Rochester, NY 14646

GTC, Inc. c/o St. Joe Communications P. O. Box 220 Port St. Joe, FL 32456 Kimberly Caswell GTE Florida P. O. Box 100, FLTC0007 Tampa, FL 33601

Patricia Greene Holland Law Firm 315 S. Calhoun St., Suite 600 Tallahassee, FL 32301

Richard Melson Hopping Law Firm P. O. Box 6526 Tallahassee, FL 32314

Charlie Murphy/Booter Imhof House Committee on Utilities and Communications 428 House Office Building Tallahassee, FL 32399-1300

David Daniel House Democratic Office 316, The Capitol 402 S. Monroe St. Tallahassee, FL 32399-1300

Steven Brown Intermedia Communications 3625 Queen Palm Drive Tampa, FL 33619

Jim McGinn ITS Telecommunications P. O. Box 277 Indiantown, FL 34956 Thomas K. Bond MCI Telecommunications Corp. 780 Johnson Ferry Road Suite 700 Atlanta, GA 30342

Joseph McGlothlin McWhirter Law Firm 117 S. Gadsden Street Tallahassee, FL 32301

Floyd Self Messer Law Firm P. G. Box 1876 Tallahassee, FL 32302

Office of Public Counsel c/o The Florida Legislature 111 W. Madison St., #812 Tallahassee, FL 32399-1400

Michael Gross Office of Attorney General Department of Legal Affairs The Capitol, PL-01 Tallahassee, FL 32399-1050

Peter M. Dunbar Barbara D. Auger Pennington Law Firm P. O. Box 10095 Tallahassee, FL 32301

Carolyn Marek Time Warner Co.nmunications P. O. Box 210706 Nashville, TN 37221 John Guthrie/Susan Masterton Senate Committee on Reg. Ind. 418 Senate Office Building Tallahassee, FL 32399

Julie S. Myers Smith, Bryan & Myers 311 E. Park Avenue Tallahassee, FL 32301

Richard L. Spears Community Assoc. Institute 9132 Ridge Pine Trail Orlando, FL 32819

Thomas M. McCabe
TDS Telecom/Quincy Telephone
P. O. Box 189
Quincy, FL 32353

Michael Twomey 8903 Crawfordville Road Tallahassee, FL 32310 Patrick Wiggins/Donna Canzano Wiggins Law Firm P. O. Drawer 1657 Tallahassee, FL 32302

Brian Sulmonetti WorldCom Technologies 1515 S. Federal Hwy., Suite 400 Boca Raton, FL 33432

Harriet Eudy ALLTEL Florida, Inc. F. O. Box 550 Live Oak, FL 32060

Bill Huttenhower Vista-United Telecommunications P. O. Box 10180 Lake Buena Vista, FL 32830

J. Jeffry Wahlen Ausley & McMullen P. O. Box 391 Tallahassee, FL 32302

h 'data' ipf'utd 6/hisptphsrevised Ldoc